Mastering Windows Presentation Foundation

Second Edition

Build responsive UIs for desktop applications with WPF



www.packt.com

Sheridan Yuen

Mastering Windows Presentation Foundation Second Edition

Build responsive UIs for desktop applications with WPF

Sheridan Yuen



BIRMINGHAM - MUMBAI

Mastering Windows Presentation Foundation Second Edition

Copyright © 2020 Packt Publishing

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, without the prior written permission of the publisher, except in the case of brief quotations embedded in critical articles or reviews.

Every effort has been made in the preparation of this book to ensure the accuracy of the information presented. However, the information contained in this book is sold without warranty, either express or implied. Neither the author(s), nor Packt Publishing or its dealers and distributors, will be held liable for any damages caused or alleged to have been caused directly or indirectly by this book.

Packt Publishing has endeavored to provide trademark information about all of the companies and products mentioned in this book by the appropriate use of capitals. However, Packt Publishing cannot guarantee the accuracy of this information.

Commissioning Editor: Kunal Chaudhari Acquisition Editor: Denim Pinto Content Development Editor: Rosal Colaco Senior Editor: Storm Mann Technical Editor: Pradeep Sahu Copy Editor: Safis Editing Project Coordinator: Francy Puthiry Proofreader: Safis Editing Indexer: Priyanka Dhadke Production Designer: Alishon Mendonsa

First published: February 2017 Second edition: March 2020

Production reference: 1270320

Published by Packt Publishing Ltd. Livery Place 35 Livery Street Birmingham B3 2PB, UK.

ISBN 978-1-83864-341-6

www.packt.com



Packt.com

Subscribe to our online digital library for full access to over 7,000 books and videos, as well as industry leading tools to help you plan your personal development and advance your career. For more information, please visit our website.

Why subscribe?

- Spend less time learning and more time coding with practical eBooks and Videos from over 4,000 industry professionals
- Improve your learning with Skill Plans built especially for you
- Get a free eBook or video every month
- Fully searchable for easy access to vital information
- Copy and paste, print, and bookmark content

Did you know that Packt offers eBook versions of every book published, with PDF and ePub files available? You can upgrade to the eBook version at www.packt.com and as a print book customer, you are entitled to a discount on the eBook copy. Get in touch with us at customercare@packtpub.com for more details.

At www.packt.com, you can also read a collection of free technical articles, sign up for a range of free newsletters, and receive exclusive discounts and offers on Packt books and eBooks.

Contributors

About the author

Sheridan Yuen is a Microsoft .NET MCTS and Oracle Java SCJP certified software developer, living in London, England. His passion for coding made him stand out from the crowd right from the start. Since his second year at university, he was employed as a teaching assistant for the first year student coding workshops and has been returning as a guest lecturer.

Among other prestigious positions, he was the primary software developer for the Ministry of Sound group for four years, working on their main music business application, responsible for creating their multi-award-winning albums. This application managed to increase its users' productivity by up to 80% in some cases.

In addition to this, he architected a unique ticket scanning application for their awardwinning nightclub, making it the first club in the world to introduce scanned ticket entry across all streams for their clients. Coming from a musical background and being a qualified audio engineer, with experience of record production and digital audio, this post was a perfect union.

He soon became a popular figure in the C# and WPF sections of the Stack Overflow, "question and answer" website, being awarded enough reputation by the community members to raise him too well within the top half percent of all users. While authoring this book and other projects has kept him away for some time, he is keen to return to continue to help new users to get to grips with WPF.

About the reviewer

Alex Drenea is an experienced software developer and architect with more than 15 years of experience working in various environments from enterprise to start-up. He has worked extensively with WFP since its inception in 2006 when it was still called Avalon and led teams at IBM building large scale WPF customer and enterprise applications.

Following that, he continued his journey by building on his WPF knowledge to become a Windows Phone and UWP developer and published more than 20 applications in the Windows store, with over half a million downloads. For his community contributions in the Windows platform, and recently in the Azure Data platform, he has been recognized as Microsoft MVP since 2016.

Packt is searching for authors like you

If you're interested in becoming an author for Packt, please visit authors.packtpub.com and apply today. We have worked with thousands of developers and tech professionals, just like you, to help them share their insight with the global tech community. You can make a general application, apply for a specific hot topic that we are recruiting an author for, or submit your own idea.

Table of Contents

Preface	1
Chapter 1: A Smarter Way of Working with WPF What is MVVM and how does it help?	7 8
Models	8
Viewo	9
VIEWS Data hinding	10
So how does MV/VM help?	10
Is there a downside?	10
Debunking the myth about code behind	12
Learning how to communicate again	13
Introducing the ICommand interface	15
Handling events in Attached Properties	18
Making use of delegates	20
Structuring the application code base	23
Summary	33
Chapter 2: Debugging WPF Applications	34
Utilizing the output window	35
Putting Presentation Trace Sources to work	37
Discovering inner exceptions	43
Debugging data bound values	46
Outputting values to UI controls	47
Catching changing Dependency Property values	47
Exploiting converters	49
Summary	51
Chapter 3: Writing Custom Application Frameworks	52
What is an application framework?	52
Encapsulating common functionality	53
In base classes	58
Through interfaces	61
With Extension Methods	65
IN UI CONTROIS	70
will conveners Constructing a custom application framework	74
Soparating the Date Access Lavor	82
Separating the Data Access Layer Droviding convices	99
Implementing Dependency Injection	105
	108

Connecting Views with View Models	117
Locating View Models	119
Summary	125
Chapter 4: Becoming Proficient with Data Binding	126
Data binding basics	126
Binding nath syntax	127
Escaping invalid characters	130
Evoloring the Binding class	131
Directing data bound traffic	131
Binding to different sources	135
Binding with priority	130
Binding from within control templates	100
Binding source changes	140
Converting data bound values	141
Binding multiple sources to a single target property	142
Dependency Properties	140
Dependency Properties	152
Setting metadata	155
Declaring read-only Dependency Properties	159
Registering Attached Properties	160
Prioritizing value setting sources	162
Data templates	168
Taking complete control	172
Displaying hierarchical data	175
Data binding to enumeration collections	179
Summary	182
Chanter 5: Using the Pight Controls for the Joh	400
Investigating the built in controls	183
investigating the built-in controls	183
inneriting framework abilities	184
Laying it on the line	186
Containing controls	187
Canvas	188
DockPanel	190
Grid	193
	199
UniformGrid	201
WiapPanel Droviding system loveut behavior	203
Content controlo	205
	208
Presenting content	209
Adernero	211
	217
Modifying existing controls	223
Styling	223
Being resourceful	225
Merging resources	228

Triggering changes	231
Templating controls	237
Attaching properties	243
Combining controls	246
Creating custom controls	250
Summary	258
Chapter 6: Adapting the Built-In Controls	259
Inspecting protected methods	259
Clipping the layout	265
Altering default behavior	268
Creating overridable methods	276
Tailoring to attain our requirements	278
Populating with Data	281
Progressing toward the Target	287
Highlighting the selection	292
Indicating in the Axes	292
Emphasizing the Selection	299
Summary	309
Chapter 7: Mastering Practical Animations	310
Investigating timelines	310
Introducing key-frames	320
Telling stories	324
Controlling storyboards	326
Easing functions	334
Animating along a path	340
Creating everyday animations	341
Summary	357
Chapter 8: Creating Visually Appealing User Interfaces	358
Styling applications consistently	358
Overriding default control styles	359
Using professional icons	361
Layering visuals	363
Throwing shadows	363
Declaring multiple borders	364
Reusing composite visuals	366
Reflecting light	368
Creating glowing effects	370
Putting it all together	372
	375
Casting reflections	375
Exploring boldeness windows Visualizing data	378
visualizing uala Livening up the LII controls	386
	402

Summarv	414
Chanter 9: Implementing Responsive Data Validation	445
Using validation rules – to do or not to do?	415
Getting to grine with validation interfaces	410
Implementing the IDataErrorInfo interface	417
Introducing the INotifyDataErrorInfo interface	434
Annotating data	443
Varving levels of validation	447
Incorporating multiple validation techniques	449
Customizing the error template	454
Avoiding UI-based validation errors	457
Keeping Synchronized with Legacy Behavior	463
Amalgamating validation and visuals	465
Summary	476
Chapter 10. Completing that Great User Experience	477
Providing user feedback	477
Utilizing multiple threads	489
Discovering the Async and Await keywords	490
Building asynchrony into our framework	493
Going the extra mile	512
Producing in-application help	512
Enabling user preferences	514
Extending common courtesies	517
Unburdening the end user	520
Summary	522
Chapter 11: Improving Application Performance	524
Leveraging the power of hardware rendering	525
Making more efficient resources	527
Freezing objects	528
Using the right controls for performance	530
Drawing conclusions	531
Enhancing the performance of textual output	540 549
Liking the linking	553
Data binding	556
Registering Dependency Properties	557
Binding to collections	558
Shrinking data objects	559
Virtualizing collections	562
Handling events	564
Summary	567
Chapter 12: Deploying Your Masterpiece Application	568

Installing Windows applications	568
Introducing the Setup Project	569
Working with the InstallShield Limited Edition project	574
Utilizing ClickOnce functionality	577
Securing deployments	579
Isolating storage	581
Accessing application versions	587
Summary	590
Chapter 13: What Next?	591
Turning your attention to future projects	593
Improving our application framework	594
Logging errors	597
Using online resources	597
Other Books You May Enjoy	599
Index	602

-

Preface

Microsoft **Windows Presentation Foundation** (**WPF**) provides several libraries and APIs for developers to create engaging user experiences. This book features a wide range of simple to complex examples to demonstrate how to develop enterprise-grade applications for Windows desktop with WPF.

This updated second edition of *Mastering Windows Presentation Foundation* starts by covering the benefits of using the **Model-View-View Model** (**MVVM**) software architectural pattern with WPF, before guiding you through debugging your WPF apps. The book will then take you through the application architecture and building the foundation layer for your apps. As you advance, you'll get to grips with data binding, explore the various built-in WPF controls, and customize them to suit your requirements.

Filled with intriguing and practical examples, this book delineates concepts that will help you take your WPF skills to the next level. You'll learn to discover MVVM and how it assists in developing with WPF, implement your own custom application framework, understand how to adapt built-in controls, get up to speed with using animations, implement responsive data validation, create visually appealing user interfaces, and improve the performance of your app and learn how to deploy your applications.

Also, you will discover a smarter way of working with WPF using the MVVM software architectural pattern, learn to create your own lightweight application framework to build your future applications upon, understand data binding, and learn how to use it in an application.

You'll learn how to create custom controls to meet your needs when the built-in functionality is not enough. You'll also understand how to enhance your applications using practical animations, stunning visuals, and responsive data validation. To ensure that your app is not only interactive but also efficient, you'll focus on improving application performance and finally discover the different methods for deploying your applications. By the end of this book, you'll be proficient in using WPF for developing efficient yet robust user interfaces.

Who this book is for

This Windows book is for developers with basic to intermediate-level knowledge of Windows Presentation Foundation and for those interested in simply enhancing their WPF skills. If you're looking to learn more about application architecture and designing user interfaces in a visually appealing manner, you'll find this book useful.

What this book covers

Chapter 1, A Smarter Way of Working with WPF, introduces the **Model**, **View**, **View Model** (**MVVM**) software architectural pattern and the benefits of using it with WPF.

Chapter 2, *Debugging WPF Applications*, provides essential tips on various methods of debugging WPF applications, ensuring the ability to iron out any problems that may occur.

Chapter 3, Writing Custom Application Frameworks, introduces the indispensable concept of application frameworks, with early examples that will be built upon as the book progresses. You will have a fully functioning Framework with which to build your applications upon.

Chapter 4, *Becoming Proficient with Data Binding*, demystifies data binding and clearly demonstrates how to use it in a practical application. A plethora of examples will help you to understand which binding syntax to use in any given situation and to be confident that your bindings will work as expected.

Chapter 5, *Using the Right Controls for the Job*, explains which controls to use in particular situations and describes the various ways to modify them when required. It clearly outlines how to customize existing controls and how to create custom controls when required.

Chapter 6, *Adapting the Built-In Controls*, focuses on changing control behavior through extension. It first investigates how the built-in controls enable us to manipulate them through derived classes, then describes how we can enable this technique in our own controls. It ends with an extended example, showing how to attain our requirements through control extension.

Chapter 7, *Mastering Practical Animations*, explains the ins and outs of WPF Animations, detailing this lesser known functionality. It concludes with a number of ideas for practical animations and continues to build upon the custom application framework.

Chapter 8, *Creating Visually Appealing User Interfaces*, offers advice for getting the most out of the WPF visuals, while remaining practical, and provides handy tips on making applications stand out from the crowd.

Chapter 9, *Implementing Responsive Data Validation*, presents a number of methods of data validation to suit every situation and continues to build upon the custom application framework. It covers full, partial, instant, and delayed validation and a variety of different ways to display validation errors.

Chapter 10, *Completing that Great User Experience*, provides tips for creating applications with a great user experience. Concepts introduced here, such as asynchronous data access and keeping the end users well informed, will substantially improve the existing custom application framework.

Chapter 11, *Improving Application Performance*, lists a number of ways to increase the performance of WPF applications from freezing resources to implementing virtualization. If you follow these tips and tricks, you can rest assured that your WPF applications will perform as optimally as they can.

Chapter 12, *Deploying Your Masterpiece Application*, covers the final requirement for all professional applications—deployment. It includes the older method of using the Windows Installer software, along with the more common and up-to-date method of using the ClickOnce functionality.

Chapter 13, *What Next?*, summarizes what you have learned from this book and suggests what you can do with many of your various new skills. It provides you with further ideas on extending the application framework.

To get the most out of this book

This book contains a large number of code examples, with the complete source code being available for download from GitHub. In order to run the code, you will need the following prerequisites:

- Visual Studio 2019
- Version 4.8 of the .NET Framework must be installed

If you do not already have these, they can both be downloaded and installed for free:

- Visual Studio Community 2019 can be downloaded from https://visualstudio.microsoft.com/downloads/.
- The latest version of the .NET Framework can be downloaded from https://dotnet.microsoft.com/download/dotnet-framework.



The complete source code that accompanies the book can be downloaded from https://github.com/PacktPublishing/Mastering-WindowsPresentation-Foundation-Second-Edition.

Download the example code files

You can download the example code files for this book from your account at www.packt.com. If you purchased this book elsewhere, you can visit www.packtpub.com/support and register to have the files emailed directly to you.

You can download the code files by following these steps:

- 1. Log in or register at www.packt.com.
- 2. Select the **Support** tab.
- 3. Click on Code Downloads.
- 4. Enter the name of the book in the **Search** box and follow the onscreen instructions.

Once the file is downloaded, please make sure that you unzip or extract the folder using the latest version of:

- WinRAR/7-Zip for Windows
- Zipeg/iZip/UnRarX for Mac
- 7-Zip/PeaZip for Linux

The code bundle for the book is also hosted on GitHub at https://github.com/ PacktPublishing/Mastering-Windows-Presentation-Foundation-Second-Edition. In case there's an update to the code, it will be updated on the existing GitHub repository.

We also have other code bundles from our rich catalog of books and videos available at https://github.com/PacktPublishing/. Check them out!

Download the color images

We also provide a PDF file that has color images of the screenshots/diagrams used in this book. You can download it here: https://static.packt-cdn.com/downloads/ 9781838643416_ColorImages.pdf

Conventions used

There are a number of text conventions used throughout this book.

CodeInText: Indicates code words in text, database table names, folder names, filenames, file extensions, path names, dummy URLs, user input, and Twitter handles. Here is an example: "You could then declare a BaseDragDropManager class to be used in the DragDropProperties class."

A block of code is set as follows:

```
public string Name
{
  get { return name; }
  set
  {
    if (name != value)
      {
        name = value;
        NotifyPropertyChanged("Name");
      }
  }
}
```

Any command-line input or output is written as follows:

```
System.Windows.Data Error: 17 : Cannot get 'Item[]' value (type
'ValidationError') from '(Validation.Errors)' (type
'ReadOnlyObservableCollection`1').
BindingExpression:Path=(Validation.Errors)[0].ErrorContent;
DataItem='TextBox' (Name=''); target element is 'TextBox' (Name='');
target property is 'ToolTip' (type 'Object')
ArgumentOutOfRangeException:'System.ArgumentOutOfRangeException: Specified
argument was out of the range of valid values.
```

Bold: Indicates a new term, an important word, or words that you see onscreen. For example, words in menus or dialog boxes appear in the text like this. Here is an example: "The **Cancel** button has been declared in the second row and column."



Warnings or important notes appear like this.



Tips and tricks appear like this.

Get in touch

Feedback from our readers is always welcome.

General feedback: If you have questions about any aspect of this book, mention the book title in the subject of your message and email us at customercare@packtpub.com.

Errata: Although we have taken every care to ensure the accuracy of our content, mistakes do happen. If you have found a mistake in this book, we would be grateful if you would report this to us. Please visit www.packtpub.com/support/errata, selecting your book, clicking on the Errata Submission Form link, and entering the details.

Piracy: If you come across any illegal copies of our works in any form on the Internet, we would be grateful if you would provide us with the location address or website name. Please contact us at copyright@packt.com with a link to the material.

If you are interested in becoming an author: If there is a topic that you have expertise in and you are interested in either writing or contributing to a book, please visit authors.packtpub.com.

Reviews

Please leave a review. Once you have read and used this book, why not leave a review on the site that you purchased it from? Potential readers can then see and use your unbiased opinion to make purchase decisions, we at Packt can understand what you think about our products, and our authors can see your feedback on their book. Thank you!

For more information about Packt, please visit packt.com.

1 A Smarter Way of Working with WPF

When **Windows Presentation Foundation (WPF**) was first released as part of the .NET Framework Version 3.0 in 2006, it was billed as the future of desktop application **Graphical User Interface (GUI)** languages and supporters claimed that it would put an end to the previous GUI technology, Windows Forms. However, as time passed, it has fallen far short of this claim.

There are three main reasons that WPF has not taken off as widely as previously expected. The first reason has nothing to do with WPF and stems from the recent push to host everything in the cloud and having web interfaces rather than desktop applications. The second reason relates to the very steep learning curve and a very different way of working that is required to master WPF.

The last reason is that it is not a very efficient language and if a WPF application has lots of 'bells and whistles' in, then either the client computers will need to have additional RAM and/or graphics cards installed, or they could face a slow and stuttering user experience.

This explains why many companies that make use of WPF today are in the finance industry, where they can afford to upgrade all users' computers to be able to run their applications optimally. This book will aim to make WPF more accessible to the rest of us by providing practical tips and tricks to help build our real-world applications more easily and more efficiently.

One of the simplest changes with the biggest workflow improvements that we can make to improve the way we work with WPF is to follow the MVVM software architectural pattern. It describes how we can organize our classes to make our applications more maintainable, testable, and generally simpler to understand. In this chapter, we will take a closer look at this pattern and discover how it can help us to improve our applications.

After discovering what MVVM is and what its benefits are, we'll learn several new ways to communicate between the various components in this new environment. We'll then focus on the physical structure of the code base in a typical MVVM application and investigate a variety of alternative arrangements.

What is MVVM and how does it help?

Model-View-View Model (**MVVM**) is a software architectural pattern that was famously introduced by John Gossman on his blog back in 2005 and is now commonly used when developing WPF applications. Its main purpose is to provide a *Separation of Concerns* between the business model, the **User Interface** (**UI**), and the business logic. It does this by dividing them into three distinct types of core components: Models, Views, and View Models. Let's take a look at how they are arranged and what each of these components represent:



As we can see here, the **View Models** component sits between the **Models** and the **Views** and provides two-way access to each of them. It should be noted at this point that there should be no direct relationship between the **Views** and **Models** components and only loose connections between the other components. Let's now take a closer look at what each of these components represent.

Models

Unlike the other MVVM components, the Model constituent comprises of a number of elements. It encompasses the business data model along with its related validation logic and also the **Data Access Layer** (**DAL**), or data repositories, that provide the application with data access and persistence.

The data model represents the classes that hold the data in the application. They typically mirror the columns in the database more or less, although it is common that they are hierarchical in form, and so may require joins to be performed in the data source in order to fully populate them.

One alternative would be to design the data model classes to fit the requirements in the UI, but either way, the business logic or validation rules will typically reside in the same project as the data model.

The code that is used to interface with whatever data persistence technology is used in our application is also included within the Models component of the pattern. Care should be taken when it comes to organizing this component in the code base, as there are a number of issues to take into consideration. We'll investigate this further in a while, but for now, let's continue to find out more about the components in this pattern.

View Models

The View Models can be explained easily; each View Model provides its associated View with all of the data and functionality that it requires. In some ways, they can be considered to be similar to the old Windows Forms code behind files, except that they have no direct relationship with the View that they are serving. A better analogy, if you're familiar with MVC, would be that they are similar to the Controllers in the **Model-View-Controller** (**MVC**) software architectural pattern. In fact, in his blog, John describes the MVVM pattern as being a variation of the MVC pattern.

They have two-way connections with the Model component in order to access and update the data that the Views require, and often, they transform that data in some way to make it easier to display and interact within the UI. They also have two-way connections with the Views through data binding and property change notification. In short, View Models form the bridge between the Model and the View, which otherwise have no connection to each other.

However, it should be noted that the View Models are only loosely connected to the Views and Model components through their data binding and interfaces. The beauty of this pattern enables each element to be able to function independently from each other.

To maintain the separation between the View Models and the View, we avoid declaring any properties of UI-related types in the View Model. We don't want any references to UIrelated DLLs in our View Models project, and so we make use of custom IValueConverter implementations to convert them to primitive types. For example, we might convert Visibility objects from the UI to plain bool values or convert the selection of some colored Brush objects to an Enum instance that is safe to use in the View Model. We will see several examples of converters throughout this book, but for now, let's continue.

Views

The Views define the appearance and layout of the UI. They typically connect with a View Model through the use of their DataContext property and display the data that it supplies. They expose the functionality provided by the View Model by connecting its commands to the UI controls that the users interact with.

In general, the basic rule of thumb is that each View has one associated View Model. This does not mean that a View cannot data bind to more than one data source or that we cannot reuse View Models. It simply means that, in general, if we have a class called SecurityView, it is more than likely that we'll also have an instance of a class named SecurityViewModel that will be set as the value of that View's DataContext property.

Data binding

One often overlooked aspect of the MVVM pattern is its requirement for data binding. We could not have the full **Separation of Concerns** without it, as there would be no easy way of communicating between the Views and View Models. The XAML markup, data binding classes, and ICommand and INotifyPropertyChanged interfaces are the main tools in WPF that provide this functionality.

The ICommand interface is how commanding is implemented in the .NET Framework. It provides behavior that implements and even extends the ever useful Command pattern, in which an object encapsulates everything needed to perform an action. Most of the UI controls in WPF have Command properties that we can use to connect them to the functionality that the commands provide.

The INotifyPropertyChanged interface is used to notify binding clients that property values have been changed. For example, if we had a User object and it had a Name property, then our User class would be responsible for raising the PropertyChanged event of the INotifyPropertyChanged interface, specifying the name of the property each time its value was changed. We'll look much deeper into all of this later, but now let's see how the arrangement of these components help us.

So how does MVVM help?

One major benefit of adopting MVVM is that it provides the crucial Separation of Concerns between the business model, the UI, and the business logic. This enables us to do several things. It frees the View Models from the Models, both the business model and the data persistence technology. This in turn enables us to reuse the business model in other applications and swap out the DAL and replace it with a mock data layer so that we can effectively test the functionality in our view models without requiring any kind of real data connection.

It also disconnects the Views from the View logic that they require, as this is provided by the View Models. This allows us to run each component independently, which has the advantage of enabling one team to work on designing the Views, while another team works on the View Models. Having parallel work streams enables companies to benefit from vastly reduced production times.

Furthermore, this separation also makes it easier for us to swap the Views for a different technology without needing to change our Model code. We may well need to change some aspects of the View Models, for example, the new technology used for the Views may not support the ICommand interface, but in principle, the amount of code that we would need to change would be fairly minimal.

The simplicity of the MVVM pattern also makes WPF easier to comprehend. Knowing that each View has a View Model that provides it with all the data and functionality that it requires means that we always know where to look when we want to find where our data bound properties have been declared.

Is there a downside?

There are, however, a few drawbacks to using MVVM, and it will not help us in every situation. The main downside to implementing MVVM is that it adds a certain level of complexity to our applications. First, there's the data binding, which can take some time to master. Also, depending on your version of Visual Studio, data binding errors may only appear at runtime and can be very tricky to track down. We will however look into solutions for this in the next chapter.

Then, there are different ways to communicate between the Views and View Models that we need to understand. Commanding and handling events in an unusual way takes a while to get used to. Having to discover the optimal arrangement of all the required components in the code base also takes time. So, there is a steep learning curve to climb before we can become competent at implementing MVVM for sure. This book will cover all of these areas in detail and attempt to lessen the gradient of that learning curve. However, even when we are well practiced at the pattern, there are still occasional situations when it wouldn't make sense to implement MVVM. One example would be if our application was going to be very small, it would be unlikely that we would want to have unit tests for it or swap out any of its components. It would, therefore, be impractical to go through the added complexity of implementing the pattern when the benefits of the Separation of Concerns that it provides, were not required.

Debunking the myth about code behind

One of the great misconceptions about MVVM is that we should avoid putting any code into the code behind files of our Views. While there is some truth to this, it is certainly not true in all situations. If we think logically for a moment, we already know that the main reason to use MVVM is to take advantage of the Separation of Concerns that its architecture provides. Part of this separates the business functionality in the View Model from the user interface-related code in the Views. Therefore, the rule should really be that *we should avoid putting any business logic into the code behind files of our Views*.

Keeping this in mind, let's look at what code we might want to put into the code behind file of a View. The most likely suspects would be some UI-related code, maybe handling a particular event, or launching a child window of some kind. In these cases, using the code behind the file would be absolutely fine. We have no business-related code here, and so we have no need to separate it from the other UI-related code.

On the other hand, if we had written some business-related code in a View's code behind file, then how could we test it? In this case, we would have no way to separate this from the View, no longer have our Separation of Concerns and, therefore, would have broken our implementation of MVVM. So in cases like this, the myth is no longer a myth... it is good advice.

However, even in cases like this where we want to call some business-related code from a View, it *is* possible to achieve without breaking any rules. As long as our business code resides in a View Model, it can be tested through that View Model, so it's not so important where it is called from during runtime. Understanding that we can always access the View Model that is data bound to a View's DataContext property, let's look at this simple example:

```
private void Button_Click(object sender, RoutedEventArgs e)
{
   UserViewModel viewModel = (UserViewModel)DataContext;
   viewModel.PerformSomeAction();
}
```

Now, there are some who would balk at this code example, as they correctly believe that Views should not know anything about their related View Models. This code effectively ties this View Model to this View. If we wanted to change the UI layer in our application at some point or have designers work on the View, then this code would cause us a problem. However, we need to be realistic... what is the likelihood that we will really need to do that?

If it is likely, then we really shouldn't put this code into the code behind file and instead handle the event by wrapping it in an Attached Property, and we'll see an example of this in the next section. However, if it is not at all likely, then there is really no problem with putting it there.

For example, if we have a UserView, that has one accompanying UserViewModel class and we are certain that we will not need to change it, then in this case, we can safely use the above code, without fear that direct cast will cause an Exception to be thrown. Let's follow rules when they make sense for us to follow them, rather than blindly sticking to them because somebody in a different scenario said they were a good idea.

One other situation when we can ignore this 'No code behind' rule is when writing selfcontained controls based on the UserControl class. In these cases, the code behind files are often used for defining Dependency Properties and/or handling UI events and for implementing general UI functionality. Remember though, if these controls are implementing some business-related functionality, we should write that into a View Model and call it from the control so that it can still be tested.

There is definitely a perfect sense in the general idea of avoiding writing business-related code in the code behind files of our Views and we should always try to do so. However, we now hopefully understand the reasoning behind this idea and can use our logic to determine whether it is Okay to do it or not in each particular case that may arise.

Learning how to communicate again

As we tend not to handle UI events directly, when using MVVM, we need alternative ways to implement the same functionality that they provide. Different methods are required to reproduce the functionality of different events. For example, the functionality of the SelectionChanged event of a collection control is typically reproduced by data binding a View Model property to the SelectedItem property of the collection control:

```
<ListBox ItemsSource="{Binding Items}"
SelectedItem="{Binding CurrentItem}" />
```

In this example, the setter of the CurrentItem property will get called by the WPF Framework each time a new item is selected from the ListBox. Therefore, instead of handling the SelectionChanged event in the code behind, we can call any method directly from the property setter in the View Model:

```
public TypeOfObject CurrentItem
{
  get { return currentItem; }
  set
  {
    currentItem = value;
    DoSomethingWithTheNewlySelectedItem(currentItem);
  }
}
```

Note that we need to keep any methods that we call from data bound property setters from doing too much, as the time that it takes to execute them could negatively affect the performance when entering data. However, in this example, we would typically use this method to start an asynchronous data access function using a value from the current item or alter the value of another property in the View Model.

Many other UI events can be replaced with some form of Trigger in the XAML markup directly. For example, imagine that we had an Image element that was set as the Content property value of a Button control and that we wanted the Image element to be semi-transparent when the Button was disabled. Instead of handling the UIElement.IsEnabledChanged event in the code behind file, we could write a DataTrigger in a Style that we could apply to the Image element:

```
<Style x:Key="ImageInButtonStyle" TargetType="{x:Type Image}">
<Setter Property="Opacity" Value="1.0" />
<Style.Triggers>
<DataTrigger Binding="{Binding IsEnabled,
RelativeSource={RelativeSource FindAncestor,
AncestorType={x:Type Button}}, FallbackValue=False}"
Value="False">
<Setter Property="Opacity" Value="0.5" />
</DataTrigger>
</Style.Triggers>
</Style.Triggers>
```

Binding syntax will be covered in detail in Chapter 4, Becoming Proficient With Data Binding, but in short, the binding in this DataTrigger is specifying the target as the IsEnabled property of the ancestor (or parent) of the Image element with a type of Button. When this binding target has a value of False, the Opacity property of the Image will be set to 0.5 and set back to its original value when the target property value is True. Therefore, the Image element in our Button will become semi-transparent when the Button is disabled.

Introducing the ICommand interface

When it comes to button clicks in WPF and MVVM, instead of handling the well-known Click event, we typically use some form of command that implements the ICommand interface. Let's take a look at an example of a basic standard command:

```
using System;
using System.Windows.Forms;
using System.Windows.Input;
public class TestCommand : ICommand
{
   public event EventHandler CanExecuteChanged;
   public void Execute(object parameter)
   {
     MessageBox.Show("You executed a command");
   }
   public bool CanExecute(object parameter)
   {
     return true;
   }
}
```



Please note that in this book, we will display code with two-space tabs, instead of the more commonly used four-space tabs, in order to enable more characters of each code snippet to fit onto each line.

We can see that it has an Execute method, where the functionality that the command provides is performed. The CanExecute method is called by the CommandManager at various points over time, when it believes that the output value may have changed. We'll cover this in more detail later, but basically, raising the CanExecuteChanged event is one of the ways to trigger the CommandManager to do this. The output of the CanExecute method specifies whether the Execute method can be called or not. You can imagine how cumbersome it would be if we had to create one of these classes for every action that we needed to implement. Furthermore, there is no context of where the command was called from other than the single command parameter. This means that if we wanted the command to add an item into a collection, we would have to put both the collection and the item to add into another object so that they could both be passed through the single input parameter.

When using MVVM, rather than implementing the commands in the standard way, we tend to use a single, reusable implementation that allows us to handle actions with standard methods directly in the View Model. This enables us to use commands without having to create a separate class for each one. There are a number of variations of this command, but its simplest form is shown here:

```
using System;
using System.Windows.Input;
public class ActionCommand : ICommand
{
  private readonly Action<object> action;
  private readonly Predicate<object> canExecute;
  public ActionCommand(Action<object> action) : this(action, null) { }
  public ActionCommand(Action<object> action,
    Predicate<object> canExecute)
  {
    this.action = action;
    this.canExecute = canExecute;
  }
  public event EventHandler CanExecuteChanged
  {
    add { CommandManager.RequerySuggested += value; }
    remove { CommandManager.RequerySuggested -= value; }
  }
  public bool CanExecute(object parameter)
  {
    return canExecute == null ? true : canExecute(parameter);
  }
  public void Execute (object parameter)
  {
    action(parameter);
  }
}
```

The action parameter of type Action<object> will hold the reference to the method that will be called when the command is executed and the object generic parameter relates to the optionally used command parameter. The canExecute parameter of type Predicate<object> will hold the reference to the method that will be called to verify whether the command can be executed or not and its object generic parameter relates to the optionally used command parameter again.

The CanExecuteChanged event should be raised whenever the canExecute parameter value changes. It is typically handled by command sources, such as the Button control, to set their IsEnabled property value appropriately. When a command source receives a notification that this event has been raised, it will call the ICommand.CanExecute method to check the new value. Therefore, when a command can execute, its data bound control will be enabled and when it can't, its data bound control will be disabled.

The CommandManager.RequerySuggested event will be raised when the CommandManager detects a change in the UI that could reflect on whether a command could execute or not. For example, this could be due to a user interaction, such as the selection of an item in a collection or some other change in focus. Therefore, connecting one to the other seems to be a logical thing to do. In fact, an example of this is actually found in the source code of the .NET RoutedCommand class.

This command class is typically used in the View Model classes, as shown in the following example, where the command functionality comes from the Save method and the bool return value of the CanSave method determines whether the command can execute or not:

```
public ICommand SaveCommand
{
  get { return new ActionCommand(action => Save(),
     canExecute => CanSave()); }
}
```

A safer way to ensure that the command is never called *by code* when the CanExecute condition is not satisfied would be to make this alteration; however, note that the CommandManager class will always perform this check before calling any commands anyway:

```
public void Execute(object parameter)
{
    if (CanExecute(parameter)) action(parameter);
}
```

Full credit for this custom command should go to Josh Smith, as his RelayCommand class was the first implementation like this that I came across, although there are several variations to be found online. The beauty of this particular implementation should not be underestimated. Not only is it simple, elegant, and saves us from writing large amounts of code, but it also makes testing our functionality much easier, as our command code can now be defined right in our View Models.

We'll look at this ActionCommand again and in more detail in Chapter 3, Writing Custom Application Frameworks, but for now, let's move on to the next method of communication.

Handling events in Attached Properties

There is one way to handle events in WPF without having to resort to writing code in the code behind file of a View. Using Attached Properties, we can encapsulate the handling of events and effectively expose their behavior using properties that we can data bind to in our Views. Let's take a look at a simple example using the PreviewKeyDown event:

```
public static DependencyProperty OnEnterKeyDownProperty =
  DependencyProperty.RegisterAttached("OnEnterKeyDown",
  typeof(ICommand), typeof(TextBoxProperties),
  new PropertyMetadata(OnOnEnterKeyDownChanged));
public static ICommand GetOnEnterKeyDown (DependencyObject dependencyObject)
{
  return (ICommand) dependencyObject.GetValue(OnEnterKeyDownProperty);
}
public static void SetOnEnterKeyDown (DependencyObject dependencyObject,
  ICommand value)
ł
  dependencyObject.SetValue(OnEnterKeyDownProperty, value);
ļ
private static void OnOnEnterKeyDownChanged(
  DependencyObject dependencyObject,
  DependencyPropertyChangedEventArgs e)
{
  TextBox textBox = (TextBox)dependencyObject;
  if (e.OldValue == null && e.NewValue != null)
    textBox.PreviewKeyDown += TextBox_OnEnterKeyDown;
  else if (e.OldValue != null && e.NewValue == null)
    textBox.PreviewKeyDown -= TextBox_OnEnterKeyDown;
}
```

private static void TextBox_OnEnterKeyDown(object sender, KeyEventArgs e)

```
{
    if (e.Key == Key.Enter || e.Key == Key.Return)
    {
        TextBox textBox = sender as TextBox;
        ICommand command = GetOnEnterKeyDown(textBox);
        if (command != null && command.CanExecute(textBox))
            command.Execute(textBox);
    }
}
```

As can be seen in this example, the event is handled by attaching an event handler in the normal way, except that all relating code is encapsulated within the class that declares the Attached Property. Let's take a closer look. First, we declare an Attached Property named OnEnterKeyDown of type ICommand in a class named TextBoxProperties, and we pass a reference of our handling method to the PropertyChangedCallback delegate parameter of the PropertyMetadata constructor.

The GetOnEnterKeyDown and SetOnEnterKeyDown methods represent the normal way to get and set Attached Property values. In the unfortunately named OnOnEnterKeyDownChanged method, which will be called when the property value changes, we look at the NewValue and OldValue values of the DependencyPropertyChangedEventArgs input parameter in order to decide whether we need to attach or detach the event handler to the PreviewKeyDown event of the relevant TextBox.

If the OldValue value is null and the NewValue value is not null, it means that there was no previous value, and so the property is being set for the first time. In this case, we want to attach the event handler. Conversely, when the OldValue value is not null and the NewValue value is null, it means that there previously was a value, which has been removed, so we should detach the event handler.

Finally, the TextBox_OnEnterKeyDown event handling method first detects whether either the *Enter* key or the *Return* key were pressed. If one was pressed, the data bound ICommand instance is checked for null and if the command can execute, it is then executed. Therefore, we have effectively wrapped a PreviewKeyDown event in an Attached Property and can now execute any command that has been data bound to it when the user presses *Enter* on their keyboard. In order to use this Attached Property, we must first add a XAML namespace prefix for our Attached folder in the XAML file of the View that this functionality is required in. Note that the TextBoxProperties class will be declared in the Attached folder of the Views project and so, its namespace will be as follows:

```
xmlns:Attached="clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Attached;
assembly=CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views"
```

Microsoft's convention for naming these prefixes is for the first character to be a lowercase letter, but it has always made more sense to me to simply use the last segment of the declared namespace, which will start with a capital letter. Once you have defined the prefix, you can use the Attached Property, as shown in the following example:

```
<TextBox Attached:TextBoxProperties.OnEnterKeyDown="{Binding Command}" />
```

Any UI events that we might need to handle in our applications can be encapsulated in Attached Properties in this same way. At first, this might seem to be a complicated way to handle events, compared with having a simple handler in a code behind file, but once we have a collection of these properties declared, we will find ourselves having to create fewer and fewer new ones. Think of them as simply being a reusable way of converting events into properties.

Making use of delegates

Delegates are very similar to events and, in fact, events can be thought of as a particular kind of delegate. They are a very simple tool to use to pass a signal or message from one place to another in the program. Unlike when creating custom events, we are not forced to use particular input parameters, for example, some form of the EventArgs class. We are totally unconstrained when creating custom delegates and are able to define our own method signatures, including both input and output parameter types.

As most of you will already be familiar with events and event handling, you'll already inadvertently know how to use delegates too. Let's look at a simple example. Imagine that we have a parent View Model that spawns child View Models and that one of these child View Models is paired with a View that enables administrative users to select security permissions. Now, let's imagine that the parent View that relates to the parent View Model has a menu that needs to be updated depending on the user's selection in the child View. How do we notify the parent View Model upon selection? This is where delegates save the day. Keeping this example simple initially, let's say that we just need to notify the parent View Model that a particular change has been made so that it can refresh the current user's security permissions from a database. In this case, we only need to pass a signal, so we can create a delegate with no input or output parameters. We can declare it in the View Model that will be sending the signal, in this case, the child View Model:

```
public delegate void Signal();
```

Note that we define it in the same way that we define an abstract method, except that the abstract keyword is replaced with the delegate keyword after the access modifier. In short, a delegate defines a type that references a method with a particular signature. Now that we have defined our signaling delegate, we need to create a way for elements outside the View Model to use it. For this, we can simply create a property of the type of our new delegate *in the same View Model*:

```
public Signal OnSecurityPermissionChanged { get; set; }
```

As we don't need any property change notifications for this property, we can save ourselves some typing and take advantage of the .NET Auto-Implemented Property syntax. Bear in mind that delegates work in a multicast way like events, meaning that we can attach more than one handler to each one. In order to do this, we need to use the += operator to add handlers for the delegate, and in this example, we would want to do that in the parent View Model when the child View is instantiated:

```
ChildViewModel viewModel = new ChildViewModel();
viewModel.OnSecurityPermissionChanged += RefreshSecurityPermissions;
```

Here, we have assigned the RefreshSecurityPermissions method in the parent View Model to be the handler for this delegate. Note that we omit the parenthesis and the input parameters if there were any when attaching the handler. Now, you may be wondering, "What does the method signature of this handler look like?", but you already have the answer to this. If you remember, we declared the delegate with the signature of the method that we want to handle it. Therefore, any method that shares this signature can be a handler for this type of delegate:

```
private void RefreshSecurityPermissions()
{
    // Refresh user's security permissions when alerted by the signal
}
```

Note that the name used is irrelevant and all that matters when matching the delegate signature are the input and output parameters. So, we now have our delegate declared and hooked up to a handler in the parent View Model, but it's still not going to do anything because we haven't actually called it yet. In our example, it's the child View Model that is going to call the delegate because that's the object that needs to send out the information or signal in this case.

When calling delegates, we must always remember to check for null before trying to use them because there may be no handlers attached. In our example, we'd call our Signal delegate via the OnSecurityPermissionChanged property at the point that we need to send the signal from the child View Model, let's say in reaction to a user changing their own security permissions:

```
if (OnSecurityPermissionChanged != null) OnSecurityPermissionChanged();
```

Alternatively, we could do so using the more concise null conditional operator in C# Version 6.0, which calls the delegate's Invoke method if it is not null:

```
OnSecurityPermissionChanged?.Invoke();
```

Note that we do need to include the parenthesis when calling the delegate in the first example even though OnSecurityPermissionChanged is a property. This is because the delegate type of the property relates to a method and it is that method that we are calling. Please bear in mind that the first of these methods is not thread safe, so if thread safety is important for your application, then you will need to use the latter way.

We now have the complete picture, but while it is common to have a signal-sending delegate such as this, it is not overly useful because it only passes a signal with no other information. In many real-world scenarios, we would typically want to have some sort of input parameter so that we could pass some information, rather than just a signal.

For example, if we wanted to be notified with details each time a user selected a different item from a collection control in the UI, we could add a CurrentItem property into a generic BaseCollection class in our application and data bind it to the data bound collection control's SelectedItem property. This CurrentItem property would then be called by the WPF Framework each time a user makes a new selection, and so we can call our new delegate from its property setter:

```
protected T currentItem;
public virtual CurrentItemChange CurrentItemChanged { get; set; }
public virtual T CurrentItem
{
```

```
get { return currentItem; }
set
{
   T oldCurrentItem = currentItem;
   currentItem = value;
   CurrentItemChanged?.Invoke(oldCurrentItem, currentItem);
   NotifyPropertyChanged();
  }
}
```

Delegates can be used to communicate between any related classes as long as they have access to the class that exposes the delegate so that they can attach a handler. They are commonly used to send information between child Views or View Models and their parents, or even between Views and View Models, but they can also be used to pass data between any two connected parts of the application.

Structuring the application code base

Now that we have a better understanding of the MVVM pattern, let's look at how we might implement it in a WPF application. What should the folder structure of our application be like? Clearly, we'll need somewhere to put our Models, Views, and View Models; however, how we arrange them will somewhat depend on the overall size of our application.

As we have heard, very small projects do not really suit MVVM because implementing it can involve a lot of preparation and often, the benefits do not apply. For small WPF applications, we would typically have just one project in our WPF application. In these cases, our classes would be separated into different folders within the single project.

With larger scale applications, we arrange our classes in the same basic structure, but as there are more classes and more chance that we want to reuse some of this code, it makes sense to use separate projects instead of folders. Either way, our classes should end up with the same CLR namespaces, as they tend to follow the structure of the application, regardless of whether those classes were separated using folders or projects.

While the CLR namespace in our startup project might be something along the lines of CompanyName.ApplicationName, the namespace of the classes in the Models component would be, or start with, CompanyName.ApplicationName.Models.For the purpose of the remainder of this book, we will assume that we are dealing with a large-scale WPF application and using projects for the separation of our classes.

There is nothing in the MVVM pattern that dictates what structure our code base should have, although there are clues. We will clearly need Views and ViewModels projects, but the Models project is less clearly defined. There are several elements within the Models component of MVVM, but we don't necessarily want to group them all into a single project in our code base. There are other projects that will be required too. Let's visualize some possible structures so that we can get started with building our application:

CompanyName.ApplicationName (Solution)
CompanyName.ApplicationName (Startup Project)
Images
Resources
CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters
CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataProviders
Interfaces
CompanyName.ApplicationName.Extensions
CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers
Interfaces
CompanyName.ApplicationName.Models
Business
CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels
Commands
Business
Collections
Delegates
Enums
Interfaces
CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views
Attached
Business
Controls
Test.CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers
Test.CompanyName.ApplicationName.Mocks
Test.CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels


These examples offer an insight into what the project structure of an MVVM-based WPF application might look like. However, nothing is set in stone and we are free to rename and to reorganize our application projects as we see fit. The important thing is how the components are connected together rather than the arrangement of the application files.

After we have developed a number of WPF applications, we get a feel for which project names and which structure we prefer, so I'd suggest trying a few variations and seeing which you feel more comfortable working with. Of course, some of us may not have the luxury of being able to create or alter the structure of the application that we work on. Let's first focus on the projects common to both example structures.

We see that the Images and Resources folders reside in the startup project. While this is customary, they *can* technically reside in any project or even in their own project. However, I prefer to keep them in this project because it provides a marginal performance benefit. Typically, when using MVVM, the only other files in the startup project will be the MainWindow.xaml and MainWindow.xaml.cs files, unless they reside with the other views, and the App.xaml (possibly with its code behind file) and app.config files.

The Images folder contains the images and icons that are displayed in the UI controls, whereas the Resources folder normally contains any resource files, such as XML schemas or text or data files that are used by the application.

The next project is named Converters and is fairly self-explanatory. It only contains classes that have implemented the IValueConverter or IMultiValueConverter interfaces and are used for converting data-bound values in the Views. These classes are all reusable and the DLL from this project should be kept up to date and shared among our other applications.

Both examples show an Extensions project, but this is entirely optional and not a requirement of the MVVM pattern. I just happen to find Extension Methods to be an essential part of .NET development, having built up a large collection of invaluable helper methods. After getting used to being able to call Add on an IEnumerable instance or ToObservableCollection on a query result, for example, I now reuse them in every application. We'll see some examples of these in Chapter 3, Writing Custom Application Frameworks, Chapter 9, Implementing Responsive Data Validation, and Chapter 10, Completing That Great User Experience.

The next common project that we can see is a project called Managers. Others may prefer to call this Engines, Services, or something similar, but that is just a personal preference, and either way, the content will be the same. In this project, we typically find a number of classes that together provide a wide variety of functionality to the View Models.

For example, in this project, we might find classes named ExportManager, FeedbackManager, HardDriveManager, WindowManager, and so on.

It is important to have a project like this, where we have one common place to provide all of the required specialized functionality for our application, rather than having to repeat the code in each View Model that requires that certain functionality. These classes are totally reusable between applications and this arrangement also promotes behavioral consistency throughout the application.

For example, without consolidating all of our functionality in this project, we might be tempted to copy and paste certain bits of code from one View Model to another. If the code then requires a change in the future, we may not remember that it has been copied and only update it in one View Model, thereby breaking the consistency of the application.

Another benefit of utilizing a project like this is that it reduces the number of references that the other projects need. The Managers project will typically require many references to be added, whereas the View Model and other classes that make use of its functionality will only need to add a single reference to this project.

Some or all of the functionality from these classes can be exposed through a BaseViewModel class and can therefore be made available to every View Model. We'll see more about this in Chapter 3, *Writing Custom Application Frameworks*, but for now, let's start to look at the differences between the two structures.

In the first structure example, the Business folder within the Models project simply represents the business data models of the application. There's no real need to have these classes in a separate Business folder other than the fact that it highlights that they are connected with the ViewModels.Business View Models and the Views.Business Views.

Technically, the data model classes in our application should represent our business objects and not contain any properties that bear no relevance to the business model, such as properties named CurrentItem or IsSelected. If this were the case and they were defined in their own project, as shown in the first example, then we could reuse their DLL in our other business applications. Alternatively, perhaps we already have a DLL representing the business model from another application that we will be reusing in the next application.

In either of these cases, we would need to add other folders into the ViewModels project in which we would implement an additional View Model class for each business model class to be displayed. This arrangement is shown in the ViewModels. **Business** folder of the first example and demonstrates the separation of the data model from the Views.

In these classes, we would encapsulate each public business model property in a new property that raised change notification and add any further properties required by the UI. It would look similar to the following example, where the BaseBusinessViewModel class simply implements the INotifyPropertyChanged interface:

```
using System;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Models.Business
{
  public class User
  {
    public User(Guid id, string name, int age)
    {
      Id = id;
      Name = name;
      Age = age;
    }
    public Guid Id { get; set; }
    public string Name { get; set; }
    public int Age { get; set; }
  }
}
using System;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Models.Business;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels.Business
{
  public class UserViewModel : BaseBusinessViewModel
  {
    private User model;
    private bool isSelected = false;
    public UserViewModel(User model)
    {
      Model = model;
    }
    public User Model
    {
      get { return model; }
      set { model = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
    }
```

}

```
public Guid Id
  {
   get { return Model.Id; }
   set { Model.Id = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
  }
 public string Name
  {
   get { return Model.Name; }
   set { Model.Name = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
  }
 public int Age
  {
   get { return Model.Age; }
   set { Model.Age = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
  }
 public bool IsSelected
  ł
   get { return isSelected; }
   set { isSelected = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
  }
}
```

When implementing this pattern, after each data object was loaded from the data source, it would need to be wrapped in one of these View Model classes before being displayed in the UI:

```
User user = new User(Guid.NewGuid(), "James Smith", 25);
UserViewModel userViewModel = new UserViewModel(user);
```

Following the pattern in the first example structure through to the Views project, we see that it also contains a Business folder. Typically, we could find a small, individual objectsized View there for each of these business model-related View Models. However, in the vast majority of applications, this additional level of separation between the business model and the UI is simply unrequired. Also, following this pattern adds a small overhead to all implementation and data access times.

For some, a viable alternative would be to simply add the properties and property change notification required by the UI straight into the data model classes. If we don't need this separation, then there is little point in writing all of the extra code.

I am a great fan of Agile practices and one of the twelve principles from the *Manifesto for Agile Software Development* summarizes this point perfectly:

"Simplicity--the art of maximizing the amount of work not done--is essential"

This simpler, alternative implementation is shown in the DataModels project of the second example, where the business model classes are combined with the UI-related properties, along with the business rules or validation logic.

In other types of applications, you may find a separate validation layer that sits between the DAL and the code behind the UI layer. But as we'll see in Chapter 9, *Implementing Responsive Data Validation*, with WPF, we can build validation right into the business classes, along with the properties that they are validating.

This DataModels project contains a number of sub-folders, grouping similar types of classes together. The Collections folder typically contains an extension of the ObservableCollection<T> class for each data model class in the application. The Enums folder is also often well used in most WPF applications, as enumerations are great to use when data bound to either radio buttons or checkboxes.

The interfaces found in the Interfaces folder are essential to enable the functionality of the base classes, as we'll see in Chapter 3, *Writing Custom Application Frameworks*. If we're likely to use a large number of delegates in our application, then it also makes sense to organize them into a separate Delegates folder as well. Otherwise, if a delegate is strongly tied to a particular class, they can just be declared locally in the classes that will be raising them.

One other alternative would be to have a single class in the Models project that encapsulates all of the application delegates, although this would require prefixing the name of this class to the delegate names when using them, for example, Delegates.CloseRequest.Declaring each delegate in the class that uses them enables us to reference them directly, for example, CloseRequest.

The data model classes in this project could be thought of as View Models too, although View Models that only serve the display of individual objects, as opposed to those that serve the main application Views. They would have a base class that implements the INotifyPropertyChanged interface like the main View Models, but then it would also typically implement a validation error interface too.

They also differ from the main application View Models because they generally provide no functionality other than validation to their associated Views. We can think of these classes as mere data containers with a few extra properties to enable effective communication with the UI.

When following this structure, we can render these individual object-sized View Models in the UI using data templates, so we generally don't need to declare a separate View for each of them. Furthermore, we may want to display the same objects differently in different parts of the application, or even switch their display in response to some user action and that is also easier to accomplish with data templates.

This explains why these objects do not reside in the View Models project along with the main application View Models. If you remember, each View Model should only have one associated View. For the purpose of this book, this simpler, alternative implementation is the pattern that we will be following. Now, let's continue by investigating the DAL of the application.

The DataProviders project from the first example is responsible for providing access to the persisted data source of the application. Another commonly used name is Repositories, but again, you can call it what you like. The important thing is that it has an essential Interfaces folder that contains one or more interfaces that form the connection between the data source(s) and the rest of the application.

The DataProviders and Interfaces folders in the second example appear within the Models project, but they have the same responsibilities. Either way, it is through the use of these interfaces that we are able to disconnect the data source and replace it with a mock source of some kind when testing. We will look at an example of this in Chapter 3, Writing Custom Application Frameworks, but for now, let's continue.

The ViewModels project is fairly easy to understand, as it just contains View Models. You may be wondering why there is a Commands folder inside it. If we were using commands in the old fashioned way, writing a separate class for each command, then we could end up with a great many classes and that would probably warrant putting them into their own project.

However, if you remember, we will be using only one single command, the ActionCommand. As this will be used by the View Model classes alone, it makes sense to include it in their project. We've already covered the differences in the View Models and Views projects between the two example structures, so let's finish off looking at the remaining common parts.

We often find an Attached folder in the Views project that contains the Attached Properties that are used in the application. As these classes contain View-related code and are only used by the Views, it is logical that they should reside here. Alongside that, we see the Controls folder where we find reusable user controls and/or custom controls, such as a custom textbox that spawns a child window to help with editing when clicked or a custom clock face that can be used to enter a time. At the bottom of both example structures, we see the test projects that contain the code that tests our application. If your application needs testing, this is a good pattern to follow. By prefixing the name of the projects that we will be testing with a Test domain, they will all appear in the Visual Studio Solution Explorer in one group, either above or below the other projects, and in the same order as the projects being tested.

The Mocks project typically hosts the application objects to be used while testing the application. This would normally include any mock data generation or provider classes and mock Manager classes. We may need to create these mock Manager classes if we don't want to use expensive resources while testing, or in case they access any UI elements that we also want to avoid when testing. Let's take a look at an example of one possible method of a UiThreadManager class:

```
public Task RunAsynchronously(Action method)
{
  return Task.Run(method);
}
```

This method is fairly straightforward and enables us to pass a reference to any method that we want to run asynchronously. It simply passes the method reference to the Task.Run method and lets it do its thing. It can be called like this:

```
UiThreadManager.RunAsynchronously(() => GenerateReports());
```

However, running code asynchronously in unit tests can have unpredictable results that may make them fail. Therefore, when testing, we need to use a MockUiThreadManager class and implement its RunAsynchronously method, as follows:

```
public Task RunAsynchronously(Action method)
{
  Task task = new Task(method);
  task.RunSynchronously();
  return task;
}
```

In this method, we can see that we use the RunSynchronously method of the Task class to run the referenced method synchronously, or in other words, immediately and on the same thread. In effect, this simply bypasses the functionality of the original method. Using these mock objects enable us to run different code while testing than we do at runtime. We'll see more examples of these mock objects in Chapter 3, *Writing Custom Application Frameworks*, but let's first take a look back at what we have covered so far.

Summary

In this chapter, we have discovered what the MVVM architectural pattern is and the benefits of using it when developing WPF applications. We're now in a better position to decide which applications to use it with and which not to. We started looking into the various new ways of communicating between the various components of this pattern and also investigated the most common ways of organizing our source code. We are now ready to start setting out our own application structures.

In the next chapter, before we properly get started building our application, we'll look at several methods of the sometimes tricky task of debugging our data bound values. We'll discover other useful tips and tricks that we can use to help us to iron out any problems that may occur in our applications so that once we start building, we'll be able to avoid wasting time with problems that may arise.

2 Debugging WPF Applications

When our WPF programs don't work as expected, we need to debug them, as we would with any other language. However, at first it can seem to be a daunting task, as WPF is very different from other languages. For example, when declaring a Dependency Property, we normally add a CLR property wrapper for convenience. However, the WPF Framework won't call it when the property value is changing, so we'd wait a long time for a break point in that setter to be hit

When we're testing our newly developed code, we need to be able to check the values of our data bound properties, and there are a number of ways to do that, although some are far from obvious. In this chapter, we'll investigate a number of important sources of information to help us to locate the mistakes in our code.

We'll discover a variety of tactics to help us when debugging the data bound values and find out how to track down the actual cause of a problem when faced with the dreaded XamlParseException. We'll cover all of these topics in detail shortly, but for now, let's first start with the absolute basics.

Utilizing the output window

When we've made changes to our XAML but don't see what we are expecting to see in the UI, the first place to look for errors is in the **Output** window of Visual Studio. If this window is not already visible, then you can display it by selecting the **Output** option from the **View** menu or by pressing *Ctrl* + *W* and then *O*.

However, if you have a binding error but don't see any reference to it in the **Output** window, it could be because your Visual Studio is not currently set up to output debug information to it. You can turn this functionality on in the Visual Studio **Options** dialog window. Navigate to **Tools** | **Options** | **Debugging** | **Output Window** | **General Output Settings**.

The **General Output Settings** section has several options that you can turn on and off. The most important ones are **All debug output** and **Exception Messages**, but it is generally a good practice to leave them all set to **On**. When set, binding errors will be displayed in the **Output** window in the following format:

```
System.Windows.Data Error: 40 : BindingExpression path error:
'ViewName' property not found on 'object' ''MainViewModel'
(HashCode=3910657)'. BindingExpression:Path=ViewName;
DataItem='MainViewModel' (HashCode=3910657); target element is 'TextBox'
(Name='NameTextBox'); target property is 'Text' (type 'String')
```

Let's take a closer look at this error. The plain English translation for this would be as follows:

- There is no public property named ViewName in the object of type MainViewModel with a HashCode value of 3910657.
- The error was raised from a Binding.Path value that was specified as ViewName, which was set on the Text property of a TextBox instance named NameTextBox

This could be rewritten with descriptive names rather than specific details, like this:

```
System.Windows.Data Error: 40 : BindingExpression path error:
'PropertyOfBindingSource' property not found on 'object'
''TypeOfBindingSource' (HashCode=HashCodeOfBindingSource)'.
BindingExpression:Path=UsedBindingPath; DataItem='TypeOfBindingSource'
(HashCode=HashCodeOfBindingSource); target element is 'TypeOfBindingTarget'
(Name='NameOfBindingTarget'); target property is
'PropertyOfBindingTarget' (type 'TypeOfBindingTargetProperty')
```

Now that we have our '*key*' to explain what these values represent, we can see that they are really very descriptive. Not only are we provided with the name of the data bound UI control, if it is set, and the used binding path, but also the type of the data source, along with the hash code of the actual instance of that type that is being used.

These errors highlight the mistakes that have been made in the XAML files. The type of errors displayed in this window will include incorrectly labeled binding paths, such as using non-existent property names, or otherwise invalid binding source paths. While it won't catch every problem, there is a way to make it output additional information that could help us to track down our more elusive problems. In order to do this, first display the **Options** dialog window. Navigate to **Tools** | **Options** | **Debugging** | **Output Window** | **WPF Trace Settings**.

Here, you can find a number of options, each with a variable level of output: **Animation**, **Data Binding**, **Dependency Properties**, **Documents**, **Freezable**, **HWND Hosting**, **Markup**, **Name Scope**, **Resource Dictionaries**, and **Routed Events**. The various levels of output and their meanings are as follows:

- Critical: Enables tracing of Critical events only
- Error: Enables tracing of Critical and Error events
- Warning: Enables tracing of Critical, Error, and Warning events
- Information: Enables tracing of Critical, Error, Warning, and Information events
- Verbose: Enables tracing of Critical, Error, Warning, Information, and Verbose events
- ActivityTracing: Enables tracing of Stop, Start, Suspend, Transfer, and Resume events

It is fairly common to permanently have the **Data Binding** option set to **Warning** or **Error**, with the other options set to **Off**. The general rule of thumb when using these options is to use the minimum level required, except when trying to find problems, because they will slow down the running of the application. It should be noted, however, that this extra debug trace output will not affect Release builds at all.

If you set the **Data Binding** entry to an output of **Verbose** or **All** and look in the **Output** window when running your application, you will understand why it will negatively affect performance. Even when not displaying this debug information in the **Output** window, the WPF Framework will still be performing a great number of checks when there are binding errors. It is, therefore, very important to clear up all errors and warnings that are displayed, to minimize the amount of work that the Framework does when trying to resolve them.

Putting Presentation Trace Sources to work

As useful as it is, there are certain occasions when using the **Output** window will not suffice. Perhaps we have far too much output to look through now and would like to view it on the way home from work, or maybe we need to see this kind of debug trace information after our application has been deployed. In these cases and others, it's time to enable the WPF Presentation Trace Sources.

There are a number of different trace sources that we can employ to output detailed tracing data for us. The choice is the same as that found in the **WPF Trace Settings** options and, in fact, after setting the values there, the **Output** window has already been showing us the debug trace output.

By default, WPF uses a DefaultTraceListener object to send the information to the **Output** window, but we can override that and/or configure the output to be sent to a text and/or XML file instead or as well.

In order to do this, we need to alter our app.config file, which is found in the root folder of our startup project. We'll need to add a system.diagnostics section and within it, add sources, switches, and sharedlisteners elements. The switches element holds the switch that determines the output level, as specified in the previous section.

The sharedlisteners element specifies which kind of output we want to utilize. The three types are:

- System.Diagnostics.ConsoleTraceListener: Sends the traces to the Output window
- System.Diagnostics.TextWriterTraceListener: Outputs to a text file
- System.Diagnostics.XmlWriterTraceListener: Outputs to an XML file

Finally, we need to add a source element for each trace source that we want to listen to, and specify which switch and listener we want to use with it. Therefore, we are able to output different trace sources to different media and with different levels of output. These trace sources are the same as those found in the **WPF Trace Settings** options, although in the configuration file, we need to specify their full names.

The choices are as follows:

- System.Windows.Media.Animation
- System.Windows.Data
- System.Windows.DependencyProperty
- System.Windows.Documents

- System.Windows.Freezable
- System.Windows.Interop.HwndHost
- System.Windows.Markup
- System.Windows.NameScope
- System.Windows.ResourceDictionary
- System.Windows.RoutedEvent
- System.Windows.Shell

Let's see an example configuration file:

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
<configuration>
 <startup>
    <supportedRuntime version="v4.0" sku=".NETFramework,Version=v4.6.1" />
 </startup>
 <system.diagnostics>
    <sources>
      <source name="System.Windows.Data" switchName="Switch">
        <listeners>
          <add name="TextListener" />
        </listeners>
      </source>
    </sources>
    <switches>
      <add name="Switch" value="All" />
    </switches>
    <sharedListeners>
      <add name="TextListener"
       type="System.Diagnostics.TextWriterTraceListener"
        initializeData="Trace.txt" />
    </sharedListeners>
    <trace indentsize="4" autoflush="true"></trace>
  </system.diagnostics>
</configuration>
```

Focusing on the system.diagnostics section from the example, we see that there is one source element that is specifying the System.Windows.Data source (for data binding information), the switch named Switch, and the TextListener listener. Looking first in the switches section, we find the switch named Switch and note that it is set with an output level of All.

Below this, in the sharedlisteners element, we see the listener named TextListener. This listener is of type System.Diagnostics.TextWriterTraceListener and this outputs to a text file which is specified by the value of the initializeData attribute. We end with a trace element that sets the tab size of the text document to four spaces and ensures that data is flushed out of the buffer after each write to prevent trace data from being lost due to a crash.

To set a less verbose output, we can simply alter the switch to use one of the other levels of output, as follows:

```
<add name="Switch" value="Error" />
```

As mentioned earlier, WPF can use a DefaultTraceListener object to send trace information to the **Output** window when particular options are set in Visual Studio. The name of this listener is Default. In order to stop the default behavior of this DefaultTraceListener, we can remove it using our source element, as follows:

```
<source name="System.Windows.Data" switchName="Switch">
<listeners>
<add name="TextListener" />
<remove name="Default" />
</listeners>
</source>
```

It's good to be aware of this fact, because if we also configured our own ConsoleTraceListener object, we could end up with our **Output** window duplicating trace events. However, it is also possible to add multiple listeners into each source element if required:

```
<source name="System.Windows.Data" switchName="Switch">
<listeners>
<add name="TextListener" />
<add name="OutputListener" />
</listeners>
</source>
```

We can also add different listeners for different sources:

```
<source name="System.Windows.Data" switchName="Switch">
    <listeners>
        <add name="TextListener" />
        </listeners>
    </source>
<source name="System.Windows.DependencyProperty" switchName="Switch">
        <listeners>
        <add name="OutputListener" />
```

```
</listeners>
</source>
...
<sharedListeners>
<add name="TextListener"
type="System.Diagnostics.TextWriterTraceListener"
initializeData="Trace.txt" />
<add name="OutputListener"
type="System.Diagnostics.ConsoleTraceListener" />
</sharedListeners>
```

Different output levels for different sources can be added as follows:

One neat feature that WPF Presentation Trace Sources provide is the ability to create our own custom trace sources:

```
<source name="CompanyName.ApplicationName" switchName="Switch">
<listeners>
<add name="TextListener" />
</listeners>
</source>
```

Note that the DefaultTraceListener was already configured to send information to the **Output** window in the **WPF Trace Settings** options mentioned in the previous section, so the traces from this source will also be sent to the **Output** window automatically. If you have not set those options but want to view the trace output there, then you will need to manually add a reference to the ConsoleTraceListener to this source as shown in the preceding code snippets.

In the code, we are now able to output custom trace information to this source:

```
TraceSource traceSource = new TraceSource("CompanyName.ApplicationName");
traceSource.TraceEvent(TraceEventType.Information, eventId, "Data loaded");
// Alternative way to output information with an event id of 0
traceSource.TraceInformation("Data loaded");
```

To specify different levels of importance, we use the TraceEventType enumeration:

```
traceSource.TraceEvent(TraceEventType.Error, eventId, "Data not loaded");
```

After outputting the debug information, we can optionally flush the existing listeners to ensure that they receive the events in the buffers before continuing:

```
traceSource.Flush();
```

Finally, we need to ensure that we close the TraceSource object to free resources when we have outputted the necessary information:

traceSource.Close();

The best part of this tracing functionality is the fact that we can turn it on and off using the configuration file, either at design time, runtime, or even on production versions of the application. As the configuration file is basically a text file, we can manually edit it and then restart the application so that it reads the new configuration.

Imagine that we had two switches in our file and that our default configuration used the switch named OffSwitch, so that there was no tracing output:

```
<source name="CompanyName.ApplicationName" switchName="OffSwitch">
    <listeners>
        <add name="TextListener" />
        </listeners>
    </source>
...
<switches>
        <add name="AllSwitch" value="All" />
        <add name="OffSwitch" value="Off" />
        </switches>
</switches>
```

Now imagine that we have deployed our application and it is installed on a user's computer. It's worth noting at this point that the actual deployed configuration file that is created from the app.config file will have the same name as the executable file. In our case, it would be named CompanyName.ApplicationName.exe.config and would reside in the same folder as the executable file.

If this installed application was not behaving correctly, we could locate this configuration file, and simply change the switch to the one named AllSwitch:

```
<source name="CompanyName.ApplicationName" switchName="AllSwitch">
   <listeners>
        <add name="TextListener" />
        </listeners>
   </source>
```

After restarting the application, the new configuration would be read and our custom traces would be written to the specified text file. One alternative to restarting the application would be to call the Refresh method of the Trace class, which has the same effect of initiating a new read of the configuration file:

Trace.Refresh();

This method call can even be connected to a menu item or other UI control to enable tracing to be turned on and off without having to restart the application. Using either of these methods of refreshing the configuration file, we can attain important debug information from our software, even when it is in production. However, great care should be taken to ensure that text or XML file tracing is not permanently enabled on released software, as it will negatively affect performance.

While the WPF Presentation Trace Sources are typically available by default these days, in a few cases, we may need to manually enable this tracing functionality by adding the following registry key:

HKEY_CURRENT_USER\Software\Microsoft\Tracing\WPF

Once the WPF registry key has been added, we need to add a new DWORD value to it, name it ManagedTracing, and set its value to 1. We should then have access to the WPF Presentation Trace Sources. We've now seen a number of ways of finding the information that we need at runtime, but what about if the application won't even run?

Discovering inner exceptions

When we are building the content of our Views, we often make the odd typographical mistake here and there. Perhaps, we mistype the name of one of our properties in a binding path, or copy and paste some code that references other code that we have not copied.

At first, it may appear to be quite difficult to find the source of these types of errors, because when we run our application, the actual error that is raised by Visual Studio is usually of type XamlParseException and bares no direct relation to the actual error. The additional information provided is also of little help. Here is a typical example:

	· ^
Exception thrown: 'System.Windows.Markup.XamlParseException' in PresentationFramework.dll	
Additional information: 'Provide value on 'System.Windows.Markup.StaticResourceHolder' threw an exception.' Line number '48' and line position '41'.	
Troubleshooting tips:	
Get general help for this exception.	4 11 >
Search for more Help Online	
Exception settings:	
Break when this exception type is thrown	
Actions:	
View Detail	
Copy exception detail to the clipboard	
Open exception settings	
OK	inue

Let's investigate this further. We can see that the additional information supplied here says:

'Provide value on 'System.Windows.Markup.StaticResourceHolder' threw an exception.' Line number '48' and line position '41'.

Now let's try to break this down to some meaningful information. Firstly, it is clear that the exception was thrown by the System.Windows.Markup.StaticResourceHolder class. By itself, this information is not very useful, but at least we know that the problem has something to do with a StaticResource that could not be resolved.

The next bit of information that we can gather from this message is that the problem occurred on line 48 and position 41. However, without informing us of which file this relates to, this information is also not very useful. The **Exception** dialog window shown in the preceding screenshot will often have a line pointing to the line and position in the current file, which can also be another red herring. In this particular case, it was indeed false information as there was no error there, but at least that tells us that the problem has not arisen from the current file.

The trick to finding out what caused the real problem that occurred is for us to click the **View Detail...** link in the window. This will open the **View Detail** window, where we can see all of the property values of XamlParseException. Looking at the StackTrace and TargetSite property values won't help in the way that they usually do with normal exceptions. However, if we open up and inspect the InnerException property value, we can finally find out what actually happened.

Let's do that with our example:

Exc	eption	details:		
~	 System.Windows.Marku {"'Provide value on 'System.Windows.Markup.StaticResourceHolder' threw an exception 			
	> 8	BaseUri	{pack://application:,,,/CompanyName.ApplicationName;component/app.xaml}	
	> 0)ata	{System.Collections.ListDictionaryInternal}	
	H	HelpLink	null	
	H	Result	-2146233087	
	× 1	nnerException	{"Cannot find resource named 'BaseButtonStyle'. Resource names are case sensitive."}	
	;	> Data	{System.Collections.ListDictionaryInternal}	
		HelpLink	null	
		HResult	-2146233088	
	3	> InnerException	null	
		Message	Cannot find resource named 'BaseButtonStyle'. Resource names are case sensitive.	
		Source	PresentationFramework	
		StackTrace	at System.Windows.StaticResourceExtension.ProvideValueInternal(IServiceProvider se	
	3	> TargetSite	{System.Object ProvideValueInternal(System.IServiceProvider, Boolean)}	
	K	(eyContext	null	
	L	ineNumber	48	
	L	inePosition	41	
	N	/lessage	'Provide value on 'System.Windows.Markup.StaticResourceHolder' threw an exception.	
	1	VameContext	null	
	S	ource	PresentationFramework	
	S	itackTrace	at System.Windows.Markup.WpfXamlLoader.Load(XamlReader xamlReader, IXamlOb	
	> 1	argetSite	{System.Object Load(System.Xaml.XamlReader, System.Xaml.IXamlObjectWriterFactor	
	ι	JidContext	null	

At last, we have something that we can work with. The InnerException.Message property value states: "Cannot find resource named 'BaseButtonStyle'. Resource names are case sensitive".

Therefore, our offending object references the <code>BaseButtonStyle</code> style. A quick search for 'BaseButtonStyle' through the solution files in Visual Studio will locate the source of the problem. In this case, our problem lay in the <code>Application.Resources</code> section of the <code>App.xaml</code> file. Let's take a closer look:

```
<Style x:Key="SmallButtonStyle" TargetType="{x:Type Button}"
BasedOn="{StaticResource BaseButtonStyle}">
<Setter Property="Height" Value="24" />
<Setter Property="Width" Value="24" />
</Style>
```

Here we can see a style that is based on another style, but the base style is apparently missing. It is this missing base style that is the StaticResource named BaseButtonStyle that caused this error. We can fix this problem easily by either creating the referenced base style in the App.xml file, or by removing the BasedOn property from the SmallButtonStyle style.

We should always bear in mind that errors like these will most likely reside in the code that we have just been editing, so that also helps us to narrow down the search. It is therefore beneficial to run the application often when implementing XAML that may contain errors, as the more code we write between checking our progress, the more code we need to look through to find the problem.

Debugging data bound values

So far, we have seen that we can utilize a number of sources of information to help with tracking down the causes of our problems. However, what about actual debugging? In other GUI languages, we can add breakpoints at various locations in our code and watch our values changing as we step through our code. While we can also do this with WPF applications, it is not always so obvious where to put our breakpoints to ensure that program execution will hit them.

If you remember from the previous chapter, the CommandManager.RequerySuggested event is raised when CommandManager detects a change in the UI that could reflect on whether a command could execute or not. Well, it turns out that two of the conditions that the CommandManager looks out for is when the application window is either activated or deactivated and we can take advantage of this to help us when debugging. Note that the application window is deactivated when the user moves focus from it and is reactivated when the user returns focus to it.

Therefore, while running the application side by side with Visual Studio, we can put a breakpoint in any method that is being used as a canExecute handler for our ActionCommand class, thereby removing focus from the application. Now, when we click back on the WPF application, the focus will be returned to it.

This will cause the CommandManager.RequerySuggested event to be raised and as a result, the canExecute handler will be called and our breakpoint will be hit. This basically means that we are able to get the program execution into our View Models to debug parameter values any and every time that we need to. Let's see what else we can do to help fix our data binding errors.

Outputting values to UI controls

One of the simplest ways of working out what values our data bound properties have is to just data bind them to other UI controls that have a textual output. For example, if we have a collection of items and we want to do something with the selected item, but whatever that is isn't working, we need to verify that our binding to that selected item is correct.

To visualize the result of the binding, we can simply copy and paste the binding path to the Text property of a TextBox and run the application. If our binding path is correct, we'll see something output in the TextBox and if not, we'll know that the problem that we're having is, in fact, down to the binding path. We can, therefore, use this method to verify that objects that don't normally have a textual output are at least correctly data bound or not.

This simple technique can help in any situation where the faulty data binding is not already rendered in a text-based UI control. For example, we might need to debug a data bound value because a particular visual effect that is created with a DataTrigger instance is not working and we need to determine whether the problem is related to the UI control or the data binding path.

Catching changing Dependency Property values

As we saw at the beginning of this chapter, the WPF Framework won't call the CLR property wrappers of our Dependency Properties when the property values are changing. However, there is a way to accomplish this using callback handlers. In fact, we've already seen an example of this when we were looking at the creation of the OnEnterKeyDown Attached Property. Let's remind ourselves what that looked like:

```
public static DependencyProperty OnEnterKeyDownProperty =
    DependencyProperty.RegisterAttached("OnEnterKeyDown",
    typeof(ICommand), typeof(TextBoxProperties),
    new PropertyMetadata(OnOnEnterKeyDownChanged));
...
public static void OnOnEnterKeyDownChanged(
    DependencyObject dependencyObject, DependencyPropertyChangedEventArgs e)
{
    TextBox textBox = (TextBox)dependencyObject;
    if (e.OldValue == null && e.NewValue != null)
        textBox.PreviewKeyDown += TextBox_OnEnterKeyDown;
    else if (e.OldValue != null && e.NewValue == null)
        textBox.PreviewKeyDown -= TextBox_OnEnterKeyDown;
    }
```

For this Attached Property, we used a particular overload of the

DependencyProperty.RegisterAttached method that accepts a PropertyMetadata object, which enabled us to assign a PropertyChangedCallback handler to the property. Note that there is an identical overload for the DependencyProperty.Register method for declaring Dependency Properties.

Program execution will enter these PropertyChangedCallback handlers each time their related Dependency Property changes, so that makes them perfect for debugging their values. While we don't often need to attach these handlers, it only takes a moment to add one when we need to and they enable us to find out what's going on with the Dependency Property values at runtime.

Exploiting converters

If we're having a problem with a data binding that uses an IValueConverter to convert the data bound value from one type to another, then we can place a breakpoint into the Convert method of the converter. As long as we have correctly set up the converter, we can be sure that the breakpoint will be hit when the binding is evaluated at runtime. If it doesn't get hit, that will mean that we have not set it up correctly.

However, even when we are not already using a converter on a binding that is not displaying the value that we are expecting, we can still add one just for this purpose. We can either add an existing converter to the binding, if we have one of the relevant type, or we can create a simple converter specifically for the purpose of debugging and use that instead. Let's take a look at how we might do this:

```
[ValueConversion(typeof(object), typeof(object))]
public class DebugConverter : IValueConverter
{
  public object Convert (object value, Type targetType, object parameter,
    CultureInfo culture)
  {
    if (Debugger.IsAttached) Debugger.Break();
    return value;
  }
  public object ConvertBack(object value, Type targetType,
    object parameter, CultureInfo culture)
  {
    if (Debugger.IsAttached) Debugger.Break();
    return value;
  }
}
```

As you can see from the preceding code snippet, it's a very simple implementation of the IValueConverter interface. We start by specifying that we are converting from object to object in the ValueConversion attribute, thereby outlining that we are not actually converting any data bound values in this converter. The rest of the class represents a typical converter class, but without any conversion code.

The only real point of interest here are the two calls to the Debugger.Break method from the System.Diagnostics assembly. When the program execution reaches either of these method calls, it will automatically break, just as if there were breakpoints set on these lines. Therefore, when using this converter, we don't even need to set breakpoints; we can just plug it into the binding, run the program, and investigate the value of the value input parameter.

It can be attached like any other converter:

However, this method can be unsafe to use in a production environment and the converter should be removed when debugging is finished. If it is left connected in release code, an Exception will be thrown at runtime, complaining that Windows has encountered a user-defined breakpoint. Although I wouldn't recommend leaving a converter that is just used for debugging data bound values connected in a production environment, we can make a slight alteration to it to completely eliminate the danger of this occurring:

```
[ValueConversion(typeof(object), typeof(object))]
public class DebugConverter : IValueConverter
{
  public object Convert(object value, Type targetType, object parameter,
    CultureInfo culture)
  {
    Break(value);
    return value;
  }
  public object ConvertBack(object value, Type targetType,
    object parameter, CultureInfo culture)
  {
    Break(value);
    return value;
  }
  [Conditional("DEBUG")]
  private void Break (object value)
  {
    Debugger.Break();
  }
}
```

Now, the Debugger.Break method and the data bound value have been moved into a separate Break method, where the value of the value input parameter can be inspected. Note the use of the ConditionalAttribute attribute on this new method. It provides a way to include or exclude methods that it has been set on, depending on the current solution configuration. If the configuration is set to debug, this method can be called, but otherwise, all calls to it are removed from the compiled code. In this way, we can be assured that we will not run into problems with our release code.

Summary

In this chapter, we've investigated the best ways to track down our coding problems. We've looked at the various debug tracing outputs that we have access to and even discovered how to output our own custom trace information. We discovered that the exceptions that are thrown in WPF often hide their useful information in their InnerException properties. Finally, we found out a number of tips and tricks to use when trying to find errors with our data bound values.

The next chapter delves deeply into the subject of application frameworks and we get started on constructing our own. We find out about the benefit of base classes and discover alternative ways to implement our framework functionality. The chapter will finish off by investigating a variety of techniques to ensure that our applications maintain the essential Separation of Concerns that MVVM provides.

3 Writing Custom Application Frameworks

In this chapter, we will investigate application frameworks and the benefits that they can bring us. We find out the differences between providing this functionality via base classes and interfaces and also discover other ways to build functionality into our frameworks. We will then use this newfound knowledge to begin to construct our own application framework to streamline our future application development. The chapter will finish off by inspecting a variety of techniques to ensure that our applications maintain the essential Separation of Concerns that MVVM provides.

What is an application framework?

In the simplest terms, an application framework is comprised of a library of classes that, together, provide the most common functionality required by an application. By using an application framework, we can vastly reduce the amount of work and time that is required to create the various parts of the application. In short, they support the future development of the application.

In typical three-tier applications, the framework often extends through all layers of the application; the **Presentation Layer**, the **Business Layer**, and the **Data Access Layer**. In a WPF application using the MVVM pattern, we can, therefore, see aspects of the application framework in all three components of the pattern; the Models, the View Models, and the Views.

Apart from the obvious benefits of the reduced production times and effort involved in creating our application components, application frameworks also provide many additional benefits. Typical application frameworks promote reusability, which is one of the core aims of **Object-Oriented Programming** (**OOP**). They do this by providing generic interfaces and/or base classes that can be used to define the various application components.

By reusing these application framework interfaces and base classes, we also instill a sense of uniformity and consistency throughout the application. Furthermore, as these frameworks generally provide additional functionality, or services, the developers working on the application can save further time when requiring this particular functionality.

Concepts like modularity, maintainability, testability, and extensibility can also be realized by using an application framework. These frameworks often come with the ability to run individual components independently of each other and this fits WPF and the MVVM pattern extremely well. Additionally, application frameworks can also supply patterns of implementation to further simplify the process of constructing new application components.

Different frameworks are created for different technologies and WPF already have a few publicly available. Some are relatively lightweight, like the **MVVM Light Toolkit** and the **WPF Application Framework (WAF)**, while others are more heavyweight, like **Caliburn.Micro** and the now open source **Prism**. While it is likely that you may have used one or more of these frameworks at work, instead of investigating these in this chapter, we'll look at how to create our own lightweight custom framework, that will implement just the features that we need.

Encapsulating common functionality

Probably the most commonly used interface in any WPF application would be the INotifyPropertyChanged interface, as it is required to correctly implement data binding. By providing an implementation of this interface in our base class, we can avoid having to repeatedly implement it in every single View Model class. It is, therefore, a great candidate for inclusion in our base class. There are a number of different ways to implement it depending on our requirements, so let's take a look at the most basic first:

```
public virtual event PropertyChangedEventHandler PropertyChanged;
protected virtual void NotifyPropertyChanged(string propertyName)
{
    if (PropertyChanged != null)
        PropertyChanged(this, new PropertyChangedEventArgs(propertyName));
}
```

In all forms of this implementation, we first need to declare the PropertyChanged event. This is the event that will be used to notify the various binding sources and targets of changes to the data bound values in our application. Note that this is the only requirement of the INotifyPropertyChanged interface. There is no NotifyPropertyChanged method that we have to implement, so you may well come across differently named methods that perform the same functionality.

Of course, without the method, just implementing the event would do nothing. The basic idea of this method is that as usual, we first check for null, and then raise the event, passing the raising class instance as the sender parameter and the name of the property that changed in the PropertyChangedEventArgs. We have already seen that the null conditional operator in C# 6.0 provides us with a shorthand notation for this:

```
PropertyChanged?.Invoke(this, new PropertyChangedEventArgs(propertyName));
```

Note that the declared access modifier on this method is protected, to ensure that all View Models that derive from this base class will have access to it, while non-deriving classes will not. Furthermore, the method is also marked as virtual, so that the derived classes can override this functionality if required. In the View Models, this method would be called from a property like this:

```
private string name = string.Empty;
public string Name
{
  get { return name; }
  set
  {
    if (name != value)
      {
      name = value;
      NotifyPropertyChanged("Name");
    }
  }
}
```

However, a new attribute was added in .NET 4.5, that gives us a shortcut to use with this implementation. The CallerMemberNameAttribute class enables us to automatically obtain the name of the method caller, or more specifically in our case, the name of the property that called the method. We can use it with an optional input parameter with a default value, like this:

```
protected virtual void NotifyPropertyChanged(
   [CallerMemberName]string propertyName = "")
{
   PropertyChanged?.Invoke(this,
        new PropertyChangedEventArgs(propertyName));
}
```

The calling property can then be simplified to this:

```
public string Name
{
  get { return name; }
  set { if (name != value) { name = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
}
```

It's worth noting at this point that in .NET 4.5.3, another improvement to calling the most basic implementation of this method was introduced. The nameof operator also enables us to avoid using strings to pass the property name, as passing strings can be error prone. This operator basically converts the name of a property, variable, or method to a string at compile time, so the end result is exactly the same as passing the string, but less error prone when renaming definitions. Using the preceding property as an example, let's see how this operator is used:

```
NotifyPropertyChanged(nameof(Name));
```

There are also other tricks that we can employ too. For example, we often need to notify the Framework that more than one property value has changed at once. Visualize a scenario where we have two properties named Price and Quantity, and a third property named Total. As you can imagine, the value of the Total property will come from the calculation of the Price value multiplied by the Quantity value:

```
public decimal Total
{
  get { return Price * Quantity; }
}
```

However, this property has no setter, *so where should we call the* NotifyPropertyChanged *method from*? The answer is simple. We need to call it from *both* of the constituent property setters, as they can both affect the resulting value of this property.

Traditionally, we would have to call the NotifyPropertyChanged method once for each constituent property and once for the Total property. However, it is possible to rewrite our implementation of this method to enable us to pass multiple property names to it in a single call. For this, we can make use of the params keyword to enable any number of input parameters:

```
protected void NotifyPropertyChanged(params string[] propertyNames)
{
    if (PropertyChanged != null)
    {
        foreach (string propertyName in propertyNames)
        {
            PropertyChanged(this, new PropertyChangedEventArgs(propertyName));
        }
    }
}
```

When using the params keyword, we need to declare an array type input parameter. However, this array merely holds the input parameters and we do not need to supply an array when calling this method. Instead, we provide any number of input parameters of the same type and they will be implicitly added to the array. Going back to our example, this enables us to call the method like this:

```
private decimal price = OM;
public decimal Price
{
   get { return price; }
   set
   {
      if (price != value)
      {
        price = value;
        NotifyPropertyChanged(nameof(Price), nameof(Total));
      }
   }
}
```

We therefore have a variety of different ways to implement this method, depending on what suits our requirements. We can even add a number of overloads of the method to provide the users of our framework with more choices. We'll see a further enhancement to this method later, but for now, let's see what our BaseViewModel class might look like so far:

```
using System.ComponentModel;
using System.Runtime.CompilerServices;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels
{
  public class BaseViewModel : INotifyPropertyChanged
  {
    #region INotifyPropertyChanged Members
    public event PropertyChangedEventHandler PropertyChanged;
    protected virtual void NotifyPropertyChanged(
      params string[] propertyNames)
    {
      if (PropertyChanged != null)
      {
        foreach (string propertyName in propertyNames)
        {
          PropertyChanged(this,
            new PropertyChangedEventArgs(propertyName));
        }
      }
    }
    protected virtual void NotifyPropertyChanged(
      [CallerMemberName] string propertyName = "")
    {
      PropertyChanged?. Invoke (this,
        new PropertyChangedEventArgs(propertyName));
    }
    #endregion
  }
}
```

To summarize, we started with an interface that declared a single event. The interface itself provides no functionality and in fact, we as the implementers, have to provide the functionality, in the form of the NotifyPropertyChanged method and the calling of that method each time a property value changes. But the reward for doing this is that the UI controls are listening and responding to those events and so, by implementing this interface, we have gained this additional data binding capability.

However, we can provide functionality in our application framework in a number of different ways. The two main ways are through the use of base classes and interfaces. The main difference between these two approaches relate to the amount of development that the users of our framework will have to accomplish in order to create the various application components.

When we use interfaces, we are basically supplying a contract that the developers will have to honor, by providing the implementation themselves. However, when we use base classes, we are able to provide that implementation for them. So generally, base classes provide ready-written functionality, whereas interfaces rely on the developers to provide some or all of that functionality for themselves.

We've just seen an example of implementing an interface in our View Model base class. Let's now take a look at what else we can encapsulate in our other framework base classes and compare the differences between providing features or functionality in base classes and interfaces. Let's turn our attention to our Data Model classes now.

In base classes

We have seen that in a WPF application, it is essential for us to have an implementation of the INotifyPropertyChanged interface in our View Model base class. Likewise, we will also need a similar implementation in our Data Model base class. Remember that when Data Models are mentioned here, we are discussing the business Model classes that are combined with the View Model properties and functionality from the second application structure example in Chapter 1, A Smarter Way of Working with WPF.

All of these DataModel classes will need to extend their base class because they will all need to have access to its INotifyPropertyChanged implementation. As we progress through the chapters in this book, we will see more and more reasons why we need separate base classes for our Data Models and View Models. For example, let's imagine that we want to provide these Data Models with some simple auditing properties and investigate what our base class might look like:

```
using System;
using System.ComponentModel;
using System.Runtime.CompilerServices;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels
{
    public class BaseDataModel : INotifyPropertyChanged
    {
        private DateTime createdOn;
        private DateTime? updatedOn;
```

}

```
private User createdBy, updatedBy;
 public DateTime CreatedOn
  {
   get { return createdOn; }
    set { createdOn = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
  }
 public User CreatedBy
  {
   get { return createdBy; }
    set { createdBy = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
  }
 public DateTime? UpdatedOn
  {
   get { return updatedOn; }
    set { updatedOn = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
  }
 public User UpdatedBy
  {
   get { return updatedBy; }
   set { updatedBy = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
  }
  #region INotifyPropertyChanged Members
  . . .
  #endregion
}
```

Here, we see our auditing properties, along with the hidden INotifyPropertyChanged implementation that we saw earlier. For now, let's keep the implementation the same as that of the BaseViewModel class. Note that using this particular base class would result in all derived classes getting access to these properties, whether they needed them or not.

We might then decide to declare another base class, so that we can have one that provides access to our implementation of the INotifyPropertyChanged interface and one that extends that base class and adds the new auditable properties shown earlier. In this way, all derived classes can make use of the INotifyPropertyChanged interface implementation and the classes that require the auditable properties as well can be derived from the second base class:



For this basic example, we seem to have solved our problem. If these auditable properties were the only properties that we wanted to provide to our derived classes, then this would not be such a bad situation. However, an average a framework will typically provide far more than this.

Let's now imagine that we wanted to provide some basic undo capability. We'll see an example of this later in this chapter, but for now we'll keep this simple. Without actually specifying the required members of this new base class, let's just think about this first.

Now we have a situation where we already have two different base classes and we want to provide some further functionality. *Where should we declare our new properties?* We could derive from either one, or indirectly, from both of the existing base classes, as shown in the following diagram, in order to create this new *synchronizable* base class:



So now, we could have four different base classes that the developers, that use our framework could extend. There could be some confusion as to exactly which base class they need to extend, but overall, this situation is still just about manageable. However, imagine if we want to provide some additional properties or functionality in one or more levels of base class.

In order to enable every combination of functionality from these base classes, we could end up with as many as eight separate base classes. Each additional level of functionality that we provide will either double the total number of base classes that we have, or mean that the developers sometimes have to derive from a base class with functionality or properties that they do not require. Now that we have uncovered a potential problem of utilizing base classes, let's see if declaring interfaces can help with this situation.

Through interfaces

Going back to our auditing example, we could have declared these properties in an interface instead. Let's see what this might look like:

```
using System;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Interfaces
{
    public interface IAuditable
    {
        DateTime CreatedOn { get; set; }
        User CreatedBy { get; set; }
        DateTime? UpdatedOn { get; set; }
        User UpdatedBy { get; set; }
    }
}
```

Now, if a developer requires these properties, they can implement this interface as well as extending the Data Model base class:


Let's see an example of this in code now:

```
using System;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Interfaces;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels
{
 public class Invoice : BaseDataModel, IAuditable
  {
    private DateTime createdOn;
    private DateTime? updatedOn;
    private User createdBy, updatedBy;
    public DateTime CreatedOn
    {
      get { return createdOn; }
      set { createdOn = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
    }
    public User CreatedBy
    {
     get { return createdBy; }
     set { createdBy = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
    }
    public DateTime? UpdatedOn
    {
      get { return updatedOn; }
      set { updatedOn = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
    }
    public User UpdatedBy
```

```
{
   get { return updatedBy; }
   set { updatedBy = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
  }
}
```

Initially then, it seems as though this could be a better way to go, but let's continue to investigate the same scenario that we looked at with the base classes. Let's now imagine that we want to provide the same basic undo capability using interfaces. We didn't actually investigate which members would be required for this, but it will require both properties and methods.

This is where the interface approach starts to break down somewhat. We can ensure that implementers of our ISynchronization interface have particular properties and methods, but we have no control over their implementation of those methods. In order to provide the ability to undo changes, we need to provide the actual implementation of these methods, rather than just the required scaffolding.

If this was left up to the developers to implement each time they used the interface, they might not implement it correctly, or perhaps they might implement it differently in different classes and break the consistency of the application. Therefore, to implement some functionality, it seems as though we really do need to use some kind of base class.

However, we also have a third option that involves a mix of the two approaches. We could implement some functionality in a base class, but instead of deriving our Data Model classes from it, we could add a property of that type to them, so that they can still access its public members.

We could then declare an interface that simply has a single property of the type of this new base class. In this way, we would be free to add the different functionality from different base classes to just the classes that require them. Let's look at an example of this:

```
public interface IAuditable
{
   Auditable Auditable { get; set; }
}
```

This Auditable class would have the same properties as those in the previous IAuditable interface shown in the preceding code. The new IAuditable interface would be implemented by the Data Model classes by simply declaring a property of type Auditable :

```
public class User : IAuditable
{
   private Auditable auditable;
   public Auditable Auditable
   {
     get { return auditable; }
     set { auditable = value; }
   }
   ...
}
```

It could be used by the framework, for example, to output the names of each user and when they were created into a report. In the following example, we use the **Interpolated Strings** syntax that was introduced in C# 6.0 for constructing our string. It's like the string.Format method, but with the method call replaced with a \$ sign and the numerical format items replaced with their related values:

```
foreach (IAuditable user in Users)
{
   Report.AddLine($"Created on {user.Auditable.CreatedOn}" by
      {user.Auditable.CreatedBy.Name});
}
```

Most interestingly, as this interface could be implemented by many different types of object, the preceding code could also be used with objects of different types. Note this slight difference:

```
List<IAuditable> auditableObjects = GetAuditableObjects();
foreach (IAuditable user in auditableObjects)
{
    Report.AddLine($"Created on {user.Auditable.CreatedOn}" by
        {user.Auditable.CreatedBy.Name});
}
```

It's worth pointing out this useful ability to work with objects of different types is not limited to interfaces. This can also be achieved just as easily with base classes. Imagine a View that enabled the end user to edit a number of different types of object.

If we added a property named PropertyChanges, that returned details of changed properties, into the BaseSynchronizableDataModel class that we will see later, in the *Constructing a custom application framework* section, we could use this very similar code to display a confirmation of the changes from each object back to the user:

```
List<BaseSynchronizableDataModel> baseDataModels = GetBaseDataModels();
foreach (BaseSynchronizableDataModel baseDataModel in baseDataModels)
{
    if (baseDataModel.HasChanges)
        FeedbackManager.Add(baseDataModel.PropertyChanges);
}
```

We have a number of choices when it comes to encapsulating pieces of pre-packaged functionality into our Data Model classes. Each of these methods that we have investigated so far have strengths and weaknesses. If we're sure that we want some pre-written functionality in every one of our Data Model classes, like that of the INotifyPropertyChanged interface, then we can simply encapsulate it in a base class and derive all of our Model classes from that.

If we just want our Models to have certain properties or methods that can be called from other parts of the framework, but are not concerned with the implementation, then we can use interfaces. If we want some combination of the two ideas, then we can implement a solution using the two methods together. It is up to us to choose the solution that best fits the requirements in hand.

With Extension Methods

There is a further method of providing additional functionality to the developers of our application that was mentioned when investigating the application structures in the Chapter 2, *Debugging WPF Applications*. It is through the use of Extension Methods. If you are not familiar with this amazing .NET feature, Extension Methods enable us to write methods that can be used on objects that we did not create.

At this stage, it's worth pointing out that we don't generally write Extension Methods for classes that we have declared. There are two main reasons for this. The first is that we created these classes and so we have access to their source code and can therefore simply declare new methods in these classes directly.

The second reason is that there will be a reference to our Extensions project added to most other projects, including our DataModels project, so that they can all take advantage of the extra capabilities. Therefore, we can't add references to any of our other projects into the Extensions project, because it would create circular dependencies.

You are probably aware of Extension Methods already, although perhaps inadvertently, as most of the **LINQ** methods are Extension Methods. Once declared, they can be used just like the ordinary methods that were declared within the various classes that we are extending, although they are differentiated by having different icons in the Visual Studio IntelliSense display:



The basic principle when declaring them is to have a static class, where each method has an extra input parameter prefixed with the this keyword, that represents the object being extended. Note that this extra input parameter must be declared first in the parameter list and that it will not be visible in IntelliSense when calling the method on an instance of an object.

Extension Methods are declared as static methods, but are typically called using instance method syntax. A simple example should help to clarify this situation. Let's imagine that we want to be able to call a method on each item in a collection. In fact, we'll see an example of this being used in our BaseSynchronizableCollection class later in this chapter, but now, let's see how we can do this:

```
using System;
using System.Collections.Generic;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Extensions
{
    public static class IEnumerableExtensions
    {
        public static void ForEach<T>(this IEnumerable<T> collection,
        Action<T> action)
        {
        foreach (T item in collection) action(item);
        }
    }
}
```

Here, we see the this input parameter that specifies the instance of the target type that this Extension Method is called on. Remember that this won't appear in the parameter list in IntelliSense in Visual Studio, unless it is called through the static class itself, as shown in the following code:

```
IEnumerableExtensions.ForEach(collection, i => i.RevertState());
```

Inside this method, we simply iterate through the collection items, calling the Action specified by the action input parameter and passing in each item as its parameter. After adding a using directive to the CompanyName.ApplicationName.Extensions namespace, let's see how this method is more usually called:

```
collection.ForEach(i => i.PerformAction());
```

So, you can now see the power of Extension Methods and the benefits that they can bring us. If some functionality that we want is not already provided by a certain class in the .NET Framework, then we can simply add it. Take this next example.

Here is an Extension Method that has been sorely missed from the existing LINQ Extension Methods. As with the other LINQ methods, this one also works on the IEnumerable<T> interface and, therefore, also any collection that extends it:

```
public static IEnumerable<TSource> DistinctBy<TSource, TKey>(
   this IEnumerable<TSource> source, Func<TSource, TKey> keySelector)
{
   HashSet<TKey> keys = new HashSet<TKey>();
   foreach (TSource element in source)
   {
      if (keys.Add(keySelector(element))) yield return element;
   }
}
```

Let's first look at the declaration of this method. We can see that our source collection will be of type TSource. Note that this is exactly the same as if the generic type parameter were named T, like in our other examples, except that this provides a little more detail as to the use of this type parameter. This naming has come from the Enumerable.OrderBy<TSource, TKey> method, where type TSource parameter represents our source collection.

Next, we notice that the method name is suffixed by two generic type parameters; first, the TSource parameter, and then the TKey parameter. This is because we require two generic type parameters for the input parameter of type Func<TSource, TKey>. If you're not familiar with the Func<T, TResult> delegate, as Microsoft calls it, it simply encapsulates any method that has a single input parameter of type T and returns a value of type TResult, or, in our case, TKey.

"Why are we using this Func<T, TResult> delegate?", I hear you asking. Well, it's simple really; using this class, we can provide the developers with an object of the same type as those in the source collection and the ability to select a member of that class, in particular, the property that they want to perform the distinct query on. Before looking at the rest of this method, let's see it in use:

```
IEnumerable<User> distinctUsers = Users.DistinctBy(u => u.Id);
```

Let's envisage that we had a collection of User objects that had all purchased items. This collection could contain the same User object more than once, if they purchased more than one item. Now, let's imagine that we wanted to compile a collection of unique users from the original collection, so as not to send multiple bills to people that ordered multiple items. This method would return a single member for each distinct Id value.

Referring back to the source code for this method, the User class represents the TSource parameter and this is shown in the Lambda expression in the example as the u input parameter. The TKey parameter is determined by the type of the class member that is selected by the developer, in this case, by the Guid Id value. This example could be written slightly differently to make it clearer:

```
IEnumerable<User> distinctUsers = Users.DistinctBy((User user) => user.Id);
```

So, our Func<TSource, TKey> can be seen here, with a User input parameter and a Guid return value. Now, let's focus on the magic of our method. We see a HashSet of type Guid in our case being initialized. This type of collection is essential to this method, as it allows only unique values to be added.

Next, we iterate through our source collection, of type User in this case, and attempt to add the relevant property value of each item in the collection into the HashSet. In our case, we're adding the values of the identities of each User object into this HashSet.

If the identity value is unique and the HashSet<T>.Add method returns true, we yield, or return that item from our source collection. The second and each subsequent time that a used Id value is read, it is rejected. This means that only the first items with unique identity values are returned from this method. Note that in this example, we are not interested in the purchases, but in the unique users that made them.

We've now managed to create our very own LINQ-style Extension Method. However, not all of our Extension Methods need to be so ground breaking. Often, they can be used to simply encapsulate some commonly used functionality.

In a way, we can use them as simple convenience methods. Take a look at the following example that is used in the *With Converters* section later in this chapter:

```
using System;
using System.ComponentModel;
using System.Reflection;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Extensions
{
  public static class EnumExtensions
    public static string GetDescription(this Enum value)
    {
      FieldInfo fieldInfo = value.GetType().GetField(value.ToString());
      if (fieldInfo == null) return Enum.GetName(value.GetType(), value);
      DescriptionAttribute[] attributes = (DescriptionAttribute[])
        fieldInfo.GetCustomAttributes(typeof(DescriptionAttribute), false);
      if (attributes != null && attributes.Length > 0)
        return attributes[0].Description;
      return Enum.GetName(value.GetType(), value);
    }
  }
}
```

In this method, we attempt to get the FieldInfo object that relates to the instance of the relevant enumeration provided by the value input parameter. If the attempt fails, we simply return the name of the particular instance. If we succeed however, we then use the GetCustomAttributes method of that object, passing the type of the DescriptionAttribute class, to retrieve an array of attributes.

If we have declared a value in the DescriptionAttribute of this particular enumeration instance, then it will always be the first item in the attribute array. If we have not set a value, then the array will be empty and we return the name of the instance instead. Note that as we used the base Enum class in this method, we are able to call this method on any enumeration type.

When creating these methods, it should be noted that there is no requirement to put them into separate classes that are split by type, as we have done here. There are no specified naming conventions either and, in fact, it is also totally viable to put all of your Extension Methods into a single class. However, if we have a large number of Extension Methods of a particular type, then it can help with maintenance to have this separation.

Before moving on, let's take a look at one final example of these Extension Methods. One of the most useful traits of an Extension Method is the ability to add new or missing functionality to existing classes from the .NET Framework. For example, let's see how we can replicate Linq and define a simple Count method for the IEnumerable class:

```
public static int Count(this IEnumerable collection)
{
    int count = 0;
    foreach (object item in collection) count++;
    return count;
}
```

As we can see, this method requires little explanation. It literally just counts the number of items in the IEnumerable collection and returns that value. As simple as it is, it proves to be useful, as we'll see in a later example. Now that we have investigated Extension Methods, let's turn our attention to another way of building further abilities into our framework, this time focusing on the Views component.

In UI controls

One another common way to include functionality in an application framework is to encapsulate it into custom controls. In doing so, we can expose the required functionality using Dependency Properties, while hiding the implementation details. This is also another great way to promote reusability and consistency throughout the application. Let's take a look at a simple example of a UserControl that wraps the functionality of the System.Windows.Forms.FolderBrowserDialog control:

```
<UserControl
x:Class="CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls.FolderPathEditField"
xmlns="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml/presentation"
xmlns:x="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml"
xmlns:Controls="clr-
namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls">
<TextBox Name="clr-
namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls">
<TextBox Name="FolderPathTextBox"
Text="{Binding FolderPathTextBox"
Text="{Binding FolderPath, RelativeSource={RelativeSource
AncestorType={x:Type Controls:FolderPathEditField}}, FallbackValue='',
UpdateSourceTrigger=PropertyChanged}" Cursor="Arrow"
PreviewMouseLeftButtonUp="TextBox_PreviewMouseLeftButtonUp" />
</UserControl>
```

This simple UserControl just contains a textbox with its Text property data bound to the FolderPath Dependency Property that is declared in our control's code behind. Remember that it is perfectly acceptable to use the code behind of a UserControl for this purpose when using MVVM. Note that we have used a RelativeSource binding here because nothing has been set to this control's DataContext property. We'll find out much more about data binding in Chapter 4, Becoming Proficient with Data Binding, but for now, let's continue.

You may notice that we have attached a handler for the PreviewMouseLeftButtonUp event in the code behind and as no business-related code is being used there, this is also perfectly acceptable when using MVVM. The only other notable code here is that we set the Cursor property to show an arrow when users mouse over our control. Let's now take a look at the code behind of the UserControl and see how the functionality is encapsulated:

```
using System;
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Controls;
using System.Windows.Input;
using FolderBrowserDialog = System.Windows.Forms.FolderBrowserDialog;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls
{
  public partial class FolderPathEditField : UserControl
    public FolderPathEditField()
      InitializeComponent();
    }
    public static readonly DependencyProperty FolderPathProperty =
      DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(FolderPath),
      typeof(string), typeof(FolderPathEditField),
      new FrameworkPropertyMetadata(string.Empty,
      FrameworkPropertyMetadataOptions.BindsTwoWayByDefault));
    public string FolderPath
      get { return (string)GetValue(FolderPathProperty); }
      set { SetValue(FolderPathProperty, value); }
    }
    public static readonly DependencyProperty OpenFolderTitleProperty =
      DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(OpenFolderTitle),
      typeof(string), typeof(FolderPathEditField),
      new FrameworkPropertyMetadata(string.Empty,
      FrameworkPropertyMetadataOptions.BindsTwoWayByDefault));
```

}

```
public string OpenFolderTitle
  {
    get { return (string)GetValue(OpenFolderTitleProperty); }
    set { SetValue(OpenFolderTitleProperty, value); }
  }
 private void TextBox_PreviewMouseLeftButtonUp(object sender,
    MouseButtonEventArgs e)
  {
    if (((TextBox)sender).SelectedText.Length == 0 &&
      e.GetPosition(this).X <= ((TextBox)sender).ActualWidth -
      SystemParameters.VerticalScrollBarWidth)
      ShowFolderPathEditWindow();
  }
 private void ShowFolderPathEditWindow()
  ł
    string defaultFolderPath = string.IsNullOrEmpty(FolderPath) ?
      Environment.GetFolderPath (Environment.SpecialFolder.MyDocuments)
      : FolderPath;
    string folderPath = ShowFolderBrowserDialog(defaultFolderPath);
    if (string.IsNullOrEmpty(folderPath)) return;
    FolderPath = folderPath;
  }
 private string ShowFolderBrowserDialog(string defaultFolderPath)
  {
    using (FolderBrowserDialog folderBrowserDialog =
      new FolderBrowserDialog())
    {
      folderBrowserDialog.Description = OpenFolderTitle;
      folderBrowserDialog.ShowNewFolderButton = true;
      folderBrowserDialog.SelectedPath = defaultFolderPath;
      folderBrowserDialog.ShowDialog();
      return folderBrowserDialog.SelectedPath;
    }
  }
}
```

We start with our using directives and see an example of a using alias directive. In this case, we don't want to add a normal using directive for the System.Windows.Forms assembly because it contains many UI-related classes that have names that clash with those in the required System.Windows assembly.

To avoid these conflicts, we can create an alias for the single type that we are interested in using from that assembly. To clarify, Microsoft decided not to reinvent the wheel, or, in this case, the FolderBrowserDialog control, in the System.Windows assembly, and so we need to add a reference to the System.Windows.Forms assembly and use the one from there.

Looking at this class, we see that much of this code is taken up with the declarations of the Dependency Properties of the control. We have the FolderPath property that will hold the file path of the folder that is selected from the Windows.Forms control, and the OpenFolderTitle property that will populate the title bar of the FolderBrowserDialog window when displayed.

Next, we see the TextBox_PreviewMouseLeftButtonUp event handler that handles the PreviewMouseLeftButtonUp event of the single TextBox element in our control. In this method, we first verify that the user is not selecting text from, or scrolling, the TextBox control and then, if true, we call the ShowFolderPathEditWindow method.

In order to verify that the user is not selecting text, we simply check the length of the SelectedText property of the TextBox control. In order to confirm that the user is not scrolling the TextBox control, we compare the relative horizontal position of the user's click with the length of the TextBox element minus the width of its vertical scroll bar to ensure that their mouse is not over the scroll bar, if present.

The ShowFolderPathEditWindow method first prepares to display the Windows.Forms control. It sets the defaultFolderPath variable to either the current value of the FolderPath property, if one is set, or the current user's Documents folder, using the Environment.GetFolderPath method and the Environment.SpecialFolder.MyDocuments enumeration.

It then calls the ShowFolderBrowserDialog method to launch the actual FolderBrowserDialog control and retrieve the selected folder path. If a valid folder path is selected, we set its value to the data bound FolderPath property directly, but note that we could have set it in other ways.

It would be very easy to add an ICommand property to our control in order to return the selected folder path instead of using this direct assignment. This could be useful in cases where we don't want the data bound value to be set instantly; for example, if the control was used in a child window that needed a confirmation button to be clicked before the data bound value could be updated.

The ShowFolderBrowserDialog method wraps the use of the FolderBrowserDialog class in a using statement, to ensure that it is disposed of, once it has been used. It utilizes the defaultFolderPath variable and the OpenFolderTitle property when setting up the actual FolderBrowserDialog control. Note that this OpenFolderTitle property is simply here to demonstrate how we can expose the required properties from the FolderBrowserDialog element in our control. In this way, we can encapsulate the use of the Windows.Forms control and assembly within our control.

Note that we could have added extra Dependency Properties to enable the users of our framework to have further control over the settings in the FolderBrowserDialog control. In this basic example, we simply hardcoded a positive value for the FolderBrowserDialog.ShowNewFolderButton property, but we could have exposed that as another property.

We could have also added a browse button and maybe even a clear button to clear the selected folder value. We could have then added additional bool Dependency Properties to control whether those buttons should be displayed or not. There are many other ways that we could improve this control, but it still demonstrates how we can encapsulate functionality into our Views components. We'll see another View-related way to capture little snippets of functionality in the following section.

With converters

Converters are yet another way that we can package up useful functionality in our framework. We've already seen a useful example of the IValueConverter interface in Chapter 2, *Debugging WPF Applications*, but while that was a very simple example, converters can actually be very versatile.

Long before Microsoft introduced their BooleanToVisibilityConverter class, developers had to create their own versions. We often need to convert the UIElement.Visibility enumeration to or from a variety of different types, and so it is a good idea to start with a BaseVisibilityConverter class that can serve multiple converter classes. Let's see what that entails:

```
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Data;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters
{
    public abstract class BaseVisibilityConverter
    {
        public enum FalseVisibility { Hidden, Collapsed }
```

}

```
protected Visibility FalseVisibilityValue { get; set; } =
   Visibility.Collapsed;

public FalseVisibility FalseVisibilityState {
   get { return FalseVisibilityState == Visibility.Collapsed ?
    FalseVisibility.Collapsed : FalseVisibility.Hidden; }
   set { FalseVisibilityState = value == FalseVisibility.Collapsed ?
    Visibility.Collapsed : Visibility.Hidden; }
   public bool IsInverted { get; set; }
}
```

This converter requires one value to represent the visible value and as there is only one corresponding value in the UIElement.Visibility enumeration, that will clearly be the Visibility.Visible instance. It also requires a single value to represent the invisible value.

As such, we declare the FalseVisibility enumeration with the two corresponding values from the UIElement.Visibility enumeration and the FalseVisibilityValue property to enable users to specify which value should represent the false state. Note that the most commonly used Visibility.Collapsed value is set as the default value.

Users can set the FalseVisibilityState property when using the control and this sets the protected FalseVisibilityValue property internally. Finally, we see the indispensable IsInverted property that is optionally used to invert the result. Let's see what our BoolToVisibilityConverter class looks like now:

```
using System;
using System.Globalization;
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Data;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters
{
  [ValueConversion(typeof(bool), typeof(Visibility))]
  public class BoolToVisibilityConverter : BaseVisibilityConverter,
        IValueConverter
        {
            public object Convert(object value, Type targetType,
                object parameter, CultureInfo culture)
            {
                 if (value == null || value.GetType() != typeof(bool))
                 return DependencyProperty.UnsetValue;
```

}

```
bool boolValue = IsInverted ? !(bool)value :(bool)value;
return boolValue ? Visibility.Visible : FalseVisibilityValue;
}
public object ConvertBack(object value, Type targetType,
object parameter, CultureInfo culture)
{
    if (value == null || value.GetType() != typeof(Visibility))
        return DependencyProperty.UnsetValue;
    if (IsInverted) return (Visibility)value != Visibility.Visible;
    return (Visibility)value == Visibility.Visible;
    }
}
```

We start by specifying the data types involved in the implementation of the converter in the ValueConversion attribute. This helps tools to know what types are being used in the converter, but also makes it clear to the users of our framework. Next, we extend our BaseVisibilityConverter base class and extend the required IValueConverter interface.

In the Convert method, we first check the validity of our value input parameter, if valid, we convert it to a bool variable, taking the IsInverted property setting into consideration. We return the DependencyProperty.UnsetValue value for invalid input values. Finally, we resolve the output value from this bool variable to either the Visibility.Visible instance, or the value of the FalseVisibilityValue property.

In the ConvertBack method, we also check the validity of our value input parameter first. We return the DependencyProperty.UnsetValue value for invalid input values again, otherwise we output a bool value that specifies whether the input parameter of type Visibility is equal to the Visibility.Visible instance, while again taking the value of the IsInverted property into consideration.

Note that use of the IsInverted property enables users to specify that elements should become visible when the data bound bool value is false. This can be incredibly useful when we want to have one object visible upon a certain condition and another object hidden dependent upon the same condition. We can declare two converters from this class like this:

```
xmlns:Converters="clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters;
assembly=CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters"
...
<Converters:BoolToVisibilityConverter x:Key="BoolToVisibilityConverter" />
<Converters:BoolToVisibilityConverter
x:Key="InvertedBoolToVisibilityConverter" IsInverted="True" />
```

As stated, we often need to convert to and from the UIElement.Visibility enumeration from a variety of different types. Let's now look at an example of a conversion to and from the Enum type. The principle is the same as the last example, where a single data bound value represents the Visibility.Visible instance and all other values represent the hidden or collapsed state:

```
using System;
using System.Globalization;
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Data;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters
{
  [ValueConversion(typeof(Enum), typeof(Visibility))]
  public class EnumToVisibilityConverter : BaseVisibilityConverter,
    IValueConverter
  {
    public object Convert(object value, Type targetType,
      object parameter, CultureInfo culture)
    {
      if (value == null || (value.GetType() != typeof(Enum) &&
        value.GetType().BaseType != typeof(Enum)) ||
        parameter == null) return DependencyProperty.UnsetValue;
      string enumValue = value.ToString();
      string targetValue = parameter.ToString();
      bool boolValue = enumValue.Equals(targetValue,
        StringComparison.InvariantCultureIgnoreCase);
      boolValue = IsInverted ? !boolValue : boolValue;
      return boolValue ? Visibility.Visible : FalseVisibilityValue;
    }
    public object ConvertBack(object value, Type targetType,
      object parameter, CultureInfo culture)
    {
      if (value == null || value.GetType() != typeof(Visibility) ||
        parameter == null) return DependencyProperty.UnsetValue;
      Visibility usedValue = (Visibility)value;
      string targetValue = parameter.ToString();
      if (IsInverted && usedValue != Visibility.Visible)
        return Enum.Parse(targetType, targetValue);
      else if (!IsInverted && usedValue == Visibility.Visible)
        return Enum.Parse(targetType, targetValue);
      return DependencyProperty.UnsetValue;
    }
  }
}
```

Again, we start by specifying the data types involved in the implementation of the converter in the ValueConversion attribute. In the Convert method, we first check the validity of our value input parameter, if valid, we convert it to the string representation of the value. This particular class uses the parameter input parameter to pass the specified enumeration instance that will represent the visible value, and so it is set to the targetValue variable as a string.

We then create a bool value by comparing the current enumeration instance with the target instance. Once we have our bool value, the last two lines replicate those in the BoolToVisibilityConverter class.

The ConvertBack method implementation is somewhat different. Logically speaking, we are unable to return the correct enumeration instance for a hidden visibility, as it could be any value except the visible value passed through the parameter input parameter.

As such, we are only able to return that specified value if the element is visible and the IsInverted property is false, or if it is not visible and the IsInverted property is true. For all other input values, we simply return the DependencyProperty.UnsetValue property to state that there is no value.

Another incredibly useful thing that converters can do is to convert individual enumeration instances to particular images. Let's look at an example that relates to our FeedbackManager, or, more accurately, the Feedback objects that get displayed. Each Feedback object can have a particular type that is specified by the FeedbackType enumeration, so let's look at that first:

```
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Enums
{
    public enum FeedbackType
    {
        None = -1,
        Error,
        Information,
        Question,
        Success,
        Validation,
        Warning
    }
}
```

To make this work, we obviously need a suitable image for each enumeration instance, except for the None instance. Our images will reside in a folder named Images in the root folder of the startup project:

```
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Enums;
using System;
using System.Globalization;
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Data;
using System.Windows.Media;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters
{
  [ValueConversion(typeof(FeedbackType), typeof(ImageSource))]
  public class FeedbackTypeToImageSourceConverter : IValueConverter
    public object Convert(object value, Type targetType,
      object parameter, CultureInfo culture)
    {
      if (!(value is FeedbackType feedbackType) ||
        targetType != typeof(ImageSource))
        return DependencyProperty.UnsetValue;
      string imageName = string.Empty;
      switch ((FeedbackType)value)
      {
        case FeedbackType.None: return null;
        case FeedbackType.Error: imageName = "Error_16"; break;
        case FeedbackType.Success: imageName = "Success_16"; break;
        case FeedbackType.Validation:
        case FeedbackType.Warning: imageName = "Warning_16"; break;
        case FeedbackType.Information: imageName = "Information_16"; break;
        case FeedbackType.Question: imageName = "Question_16"; break;
        default: return DependencyProperty.UnsetValue;
      }
      return $"pack://application:,,,/CompanyName.ApplicationName;
        component/Images/{ imageName }.png";
    }
    public object ConvertBack(object value, Type targetType,
      object parameter, CultureInfo culture)
    ł
      return DependencyProperty.UnsetValue;
    }
  }
}
```

Once again, we start by specifying the data types involved in the converter in the ValueConversion attribute. In the Convert method, we use C# 6.0 Pattern Matching to check the validity of our value input parameter and to cast it to a FeedbackType instance, if valid. We then use that in a switch statement, to generate the relevant image name for each enumeration instance.

If an unknown instance is used, we return the DependencyProperty.UnsetValue value. In all other cases, we use String Interpolation to build up the full file path of the relevant image and then return it from the converter as the converted value. As the ConvertBack method in this converter has no valid use, it is not implemented and simply returns the DependencyProperty.UnsetValue value.

You may have noticed that we specified type ImageSource in the ValueConversion attribute, but we returned a string. This is possible because XAML uses the relevant type converter to convert the string into an ImageSource object automatically for us. Exactly the same thing occurs when we set an Image. Source property with a string in XAML.

As with other parts of our framework, we can make our converters even more useful, when we combine functionality from other areas. In this particular example, we utilize one of the Extension Methods that was shown earlier in this chapter. To remind you, the GetDescription method will return the value of the DescriptionAttribute that is set on each enumeration instance.

The DescriptionAttribute enables us to associate any string value with each of our enumeration instances, so this is a great way to output a user-friendly description for each instance. An example of this would be as follows:

```
using System.ComponentModel;
public enum BitRate
{
  [Description("16 bits")]
  Sixteen = 16,
  [Description("24 bits")]
  TwentyFour = 24,
  [Description("32 bits")]
  ThirtyTwo = 32,
}
```

In this way, instead of displaying the names of the instances in a RadioButton control, for example, we could display the more humanized descriptions from these attributes. Let's have a look at this converter class now:

```
using System;
using System.Globalization;
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Data;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Extensions;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters
{
  [ValueConversion(typeof(Enum), typeof(string))]
  public class EnumToDescriptionStringConverter : IValueConverter
  {
    public object Convert(object value, Type targetType,
      object parameter, CultureInfo culture)
    {
      if (value == null || (value.GetType() != typeof(Enum) &&
        value.GetType().BaseType != typeof(Enum)))
        return DependencyProperty.UnsetValue;
      Enum enumInstance = (Enum) value;
      return enumInstance.GetDescription();
    }
    public object ConvertBack (object value, Type targetType,
      object parameter, CultureInfo culture)
      return DependencyProperty.UnsetValue;
    }
  }
}
```

As we're now accustomed to doing, we start by specifying the data types used in the converter in the ValueConversion attribute. In the Convert method, we again check the validity of our value input parameter and return the DependencyProperty.UnsetValue value if it is invalid.

If it is valid, we cast it to a Enum instance and then use the power of our Extension Method to return the value from each instance's DescriptionAttribute. In doing so, we are able to expose this functionality to our Views and to enable the users of our framework to utilize it directly from the XAML. Now that we have a general understanding of the various ways that we can encapsulate functionality into our framework, let's focus on starting construction of our base classes.

Constructing a custom application framework

There will be different requirements for different components, but typically, the properties and functionality that we build into our Data Model base classes will be utilized and made more useful by our other base classes, so let's start by looking at the various Data Model base classes first.

One thing that we need to decide is whether we want any of our Data Model base classes to be generic or not. The difference can be subtle, but important. Imagine that we want to add some basic undo functionality into a base class. One way that we can achieve this would be to add an object into the base class that represents the unedited version of the Data Model. In an ordinary base class, it would look like this:

```
public abstract class BaseSynchronizableDataModel : BaseDataModel
{
   private BaseSynchronizableDataModel originalState;
   public BaseSynchronizableDataModel OriginalState
   {
     get { return originalState; }
     private set { originalState = value; }
   }
}
```

In a generic base class, it would look like this:

```
public abstract class BaseSynchronizableDataModel<T> : BaseDataModel
{
    private T originalState;
    public T OriginalState
    {
        get { return originalState; }
        private set { originalState = value; }
    }
}
```

To make this property more useful, we'll need to add some further methods. First, we'll see the non-generic versions:

```
public abstract void CopyValuesFrom(BaseSynchronizableDataModel dataModel);
public virtual BaseSynchronizableDataModel Clone()
{
```

```
BaseSynchronizableDataModel clone =
    Activator.CreateInstance(this.GetType()) as
BaseSynchronizableDataModel;
    clone.CopyValuesFrom(this);
    return clone;
}
public abstract bool PropertiesEqual(BaseSynchronizableDataModel
dataModel);
```

Now, let's look at the generic versions:

```
public abstract void CopyValuesFrom(T dataModel);
public virtual T Clone()
{
    T clone = new T();
    clone.CopyValuesFrom(this as T);
    return clone;
}
public abstract bool PropertiesEqual(T dataModel);
```

The last few members of this base class would be the same for both versions:

```
public bool HasChanges
{
  get { return originalState != null && !PropertiesEqual(originalState); }
}
public void Synchronize()
{
  originalState = this.Clone();
  NotifyPropertyChanged(nameof(HasChanges));
ļ
public void RevertState()
{
  Debug.Assert(originalState != null, "Object not yet synchronized.");
  CopyValuesFrom(originalState);
  Synchronize();
  NotifyPropertyChanged(nameof(HasChanges));
}
```

We started with the OriginalState property which holds the unedited version of the Data Model. After that, we see the abstract CopyValuesFrom method that the developers will need to implement and we'll see an example of that implementation shortly. The Clone method simply calls the CopyValuesFrom method in order to perform a deep clone of the Data Model.

Next, we have the abstract PropertiesEqual method that the developers will need to implement in order to compare each property in their classes with those from the dataModel input parameter. Again, we'll see this implementation shortly, but you may be wondering why we don't just override the Equals method, or implement the IEquatable.Equals method for this purpose.

The reason why we don't want to use either of those methods is because they, along with the GetHashCode method, are used by the WPF Framework in various places and they expect the returned values to be immutable. As our object's properties are very much mutable, they cannot be used to return the values for those methods. Therefore, we have implemented our own version. Now, let's return to the description of the remainder of this code.

The HasChanges property is the property that we would want to data bind to a UI control to indicate whether a particular object had been edited. The Synchronize method sets a deep clone of the current Data Model to the originalState field and, importantly, notifies the WPF Framework of a change to the HasChanges property. This is done because the HasChanges property has no setter of its own and this operation will affect its value.

It is very important that we set a cloned version to the originalState field, rather than simply assigning the actual object reference to it. This is because we need to have a completely separate version of this object to represent the unedited version of the Data Model. If we simply assigned the actual object reference to the originalState field, then its property values would change along with the Data Model object and render it useless for this feature.

The RevertState method first checks that the Data Model has been synchronized and then copies the values back from the originalState field to the Model. Finally, it calls the Synchronize method to specify that this is the new, unedited version of the object and notifies the WPF Framework of a change to the HasChanges property.

So, as you can see, there are not many differences between these two versions of the base class. In fact, the differences can be seen more clearly in the implementation of the derived classes. Let's now focus on their implementations of the example abstract methods, starting with the non-generic versions:

```
public override bool PropertiesEqual(BaseClass genreObject)
{
   Genre genre = genreObject as Genre;
   if (genre == null) return false;
   return Name == genre.Name && Description == genre.Description;
}
public override void CopyValuesFrom(BaseClass genreObject)
{
   Debug.Assert(genreObject.GetType() == typeof(Genre), "You are using
      the wrong type with this method.");
   Genre genre = (Genre)genreObject;
   Name = genre.Name;
   Description = genre.Description;
}
```

Before discussing this code, let's first see the generic implementations:

```
public override bool PropertiesEqual(Genre genre)
{
   return Name == genre.Name && Description == genre.Description;
}
public override void CopyValuesFrom(Genre genre)
{
   Name = genre.Name;
   Description = genre.Description;
}
```

At last, we can see the difference between using generic and non-generic base classes. Without using generics, we have to use base class input parameters, which will need to be cast to the appropriate type in each of the derived classes before we can access their properties. Attempting to cast inappropriate types causes Exceptions, so we generally try to avoid these situations.

On the other hand, when using a generic base class, there is no need to cast, as the input parameters are already of the correct type. In short, generics enable us to create type-safe Data Models and avoid duplicating type specific code. Now that we have seen the benefit of using generic classes, let's take a pause from generics for a moment and look at this base class a bit closer.

Some of you may have noticed that the only places where the WPF Framework is notified of changes to our HasChanges property is in the Synchronize and RevertState methods. However, in order for this functionality to work properly, we need to notify the framework every time the values of any properties are changed.

We could rely on the developers to call the NotifyPropertyChanged method, passing the HasChanges property name each time they call it for each property that changes, but if they forgot to do this, it could lead to errors that could be difficult for them to track down. Instead, a better solution would be for us to override the default implementation of the INotifyPropertyChanged interface from the base class and notify changes to the HasChanges property for them each time it is called:

```
#region INotifyPropertyChanged Members
protected override void NotifyPropertyChanged(
  params string[] propertyNames)
{
  if (PropertyChanged != null)
  {
    foreach (string propertyName in propertyNames)
      if (propertyName != nameof(HasChanges)) PropertyChanged(this,
        new PropertyChangedEventArgs(propertyName));
    }
    PropertyChanged(this,
      new PropertyChangedEventArgs(nameof(HasChanges)));
  }
}
protected override void NotifyPropertyChanged(
  [CallerMemberName]string propertyName = "")
{
  if (PropertyChanged != null)
  {
    if (propertyName != nameof(HasChanges)) PropertyChanged(this,
      new PropertyChangedEventArgs(propertyName));
    PropertyChanged(this,
      new PropertyChangedEventArgs(nameof(HasChanges)));
  }
}
#endregion
```

The first method will raise the PropertyChanged event, passing the name of the HasChanges property just once, regardless of how many property names were passed to the method. The second method also performs a check to ensure that it will refrain from raising the event with the HasChanges property name more than once, so these implementations remain efficient.

Now, our base class will work as expected and the HasChanges property will correctly update when other properties in the Data Model classes are changed. This technique can also be utilized in other scenarios; for example, when validating our property values, as we'll see later in Chapter 9, *Implementing Responsive Data Validation*. For now though, let's return to see what else we can achieve with generics.

Another area where generics are often used relates to collections. I'm sure that you're all aware that we tend to use the <code>ObservableCollection<T></code> class in WPF applications because of its <code>INotifyCollectionChanged</code> and <code>INotifyPropertyChanged</code> implementations. It is customary, but not essential, to extend this class for each type of Data Model class that we have:

public class Users : ObservableCollection<User>

However, instead of doing this, we can declare a BaseCollection<T> class that extends the ObservableCollection<T> class and adds further functionality into our framework for us. The users of our framework can then extend this class instead:

public class Users : BaseCollection<User>

One really useful thing that we can do is to add a generic property of type T into our base class, that which will represent the currently selected item in a data bound collection control in the UI. We could also declare some delegates to notify developers of changes to either selection or property values. There are so many shortcuts and helper methods that we can provide here, dependent on requirements, so it's worth spending some time investigating this. Let's take a look at a few possibilities:

```
using System.Collections.Generic;
using System.Collections.ObjectModel;
using System.ComponentModel;
using System.Linq;
using System.Runtime.CompilerServices;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Extensions;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Collections {
    public class BaseCollection<T> :
        ObservableCollection<T>, INotifyPropertyChanged
        where T : class, INotifyPropertyChanged, new()
```

```
{
 protected T currentItem;
 public BaseCollection(IEnumerable<T> collection) : this()
 {
   foreach (T item in collection) Add(item);
  }
 public BaseCollection(params T[] collection) :
   this(collection as IEnumerable<T>) { }
 public BaseCollection() : base()
  {
   currentItem = new T();
  }
 public virtual T CurrentItem
  {
   get { return currentItem; }
   set
    {
     T oldCurrentItem = currentItem;
     currentItem = value;
     CurrentItemChanged?.Invoke(oldCurrentItem, currentItem);
     NotifyPropertyChanged();
    }
  }
 public bool IsEmpty
  {
   get { return !this.Any(); }
  }
 public delegate void ItemPropertyChanged(T item,
   string propertyName);
 public virtual ItemPropertyChanged CurrentItemPropertyChanged
   { get; set; }
 public delegate void CurrentItemChange(T oldItem, T newItem);
 public virtual CurrentItemChange CurrentItemChanged { get; set; }
 public T GetNewItem()
 {
   return new T();
  }
 public virtual void AddEmptyItem()
```

```
{
  Add(new T());
}
public virtual void Add(IEnumerable<T> collection)
{
  collection.ForEach(i => base.Add(i));
}
public virtual void Add(params T[] items)
{
  if (items.Length == 1) base.Add(items[0]);
  else Add(items as IEnumerable<T>);
}
protected override void InsertItem(int index, T item)
{
  if (item != null)
  {
    item.PropertyChanged += Item_PropertyChanged;
    base.InsertItem(index, item);
    if (Count == 1) CurrentItem = item;
  }
}
protected override void SetItem(int index, T item)
{
  if (item != null)
  {
    item.PropertyChanged += Item_PropertyChanged;
    base.SetItem(index, item);
    if (Count == 1) CurrentItem = item;
  }
}
protected override void ClearItems()
{
  foreach (T item in this)
    item.PropertyChanged -= Item_PropertyChanged;
  base.ClearItems();
}
protected override void RemoveItem(int index)
{
  T item = this[index];
  if (item != null) item.PropertyChanged -= Item_PropertyChanged;
 base.RemoveItem(index);
}
```

}

```
public void ResetCurrentItemPosition()
{
    if (this.Any()) CurrentItem = this.First();
}
private void Item_PropertyChanged(object sender,
    PropertyChangedEventArgs e)
{
    if ((sender as T) == CurrentItem)
        CurrentItemPropertyChanged?.Invoke(currentItem, e.PropertyName);
    NotifyPropertyChanged(e.PropertyName);
}
#region INotifyPropertyChanged Members
...
#endregion
}
```

There's quite a lot to digest here, so let's go over each part carefully. We start with our private member of type T that will back our CurrentItem property. We then find a few overloads of the constructor that enable us to initialize our collection from either a collection, or any number of input parameters of the relevant type.

Next, we see the CurrentItem property from Chapter 1, A Smarter Way of Working with WPF, again, but now with some further context. If a class has subscribed to the CurrentItemChanged property, we will call the delegate from here, passing both the new and old values of the current item. The IsEmpty property is just an efficient convenience property for our developers to call when they need to know whether the collection has any content or not.

After this, we see the collection delegates and the relevant property wrappers that enable the developers that will use our framework to make use of them. Next, we see the convenient GetNewItem and AddEmptyItem methods, which both generate a new item of the T generic type parameter, before returning or adding them to the collection, respectively. This is the reason that we needed to add the new() generic type constraint to the class definition; this type constraint specifies that the generic type used must have a parameterless constructor.

And now we reach the various Add methods of the collection; note that every way to add an item to the collection must be handled, so that we can attach our Item_PropertyChanged handler to the PropertyChanged event of each added item to ensure consistent behavior. We therefore call our Add methods from all other overloads and helper methods and call the base Collection.Add method from there. Note that we actually attach our handler inside the protected InsertItem method, as this overridden method is called from the Add methods in the Collection class.

Likewise, the protected SetItem method will be called by the Collection class when items are set using the index notation, so we must handle that too. Similarly, when items are removed from the collection, it is equally, if not more, important to remove the reference to our event handler from each object. Failing to do so can result in memory leaks, as the reference to the event handler can keep the Data Model objects from being disposed by the garbage collector.

As such, we also need to handle every method of removing objects from our collection. To do this, we override a few more protected methods from the Collection base class. The ClearItems method will be called internally when users call the Clear method on our collection. Equally, the RemoveItem method will be called when users call any of the public removal methods, so it is the optimal place to remove our handler.

Skipping the ResetCurrentItemPosition method for now, at the bottom of the class, we reach the Item_PropertyChanged event handling method. If the item that has had the property changed is the current item in the collection, then we raise the ItemPropertyChanged delegate that is connected with the CurrentItemPropertyChanged property.

For every property change notification, regardless of whether the item is the current item or not, we then raise the INotifyPropertyChanged.PropertyChanged event. This enables developers that use our framework to be able to attach a handler to the PropertyChanged event directly on our collections and to be able to discover when any property has been changed on any of the items in the collection.

You may also have noticed a few places in the collection class code where we set the value of the CurrentItem property. The option chosen here is to always select the first item in the collection automatically, but it would be a simple change to have the last item selected instead, for example. As always, these kinds of details will depend on your specific requirements.

Another benefit of declaring these base collection classes is that we can utilize the properties and extend the functionality that is built into our base Data Model classes. Thinking back to the simple example of our BaseSynchronizableDataModel class, let's see what we could add into a new base collection class to improve this functionality.

Before we can do this however, we need to be able to specify that the objects in our new collection have implemented the properties and methods from the BaseSynchronizableDataModel class. One option would be to declare our new collection class like this:

```
public class BaseSynchronizableCollection<T> : BaseCollection<T>
  where T : BaseSynchronizableDataModel<T>
```

However, in C#, we can only extend a single base class, while we are free to implement as many interfaces as we like. A more preferable solution would therefore be for us to extract the relevant synchronization properties from our base class into an interface, and then add that to our base class definition:

```
public abstract class BaseSynchronizableDataModel<T> :
   BaseDataModel, ISynchronizableDataModel<T>
   where T : BaseDataModel, ISynchronizableDataModel<T>, new()
```

We could then specify this new generic constraint on our new collection class like this:

```
public class BaseSynchronizableCollection<T> : BaseCollection<T>
  where T : class, ISynchronizableDataModel<T>, new()
```

Note that any other generic constraints that are placed on the

BaseSynchronizableDataModel class will also need to be added to the where T part of this declaration. If, for example, we needed to implement another interface in the base class and we did not add the same constraint for the T generic type parameter in the base collection class, then we would get a compilation error when attempting to use instances of our base class as the T parameter. Let's now look at this new base class:

```
using System.Collections.Generic;
using System.ComponentModel;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Interfaces;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Extensions;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Collections
{
    public class BaseSynchronizableCollection<T> : BaseCollection<T>
      where T : class, ISynchronizableDataModel<T>,
      INotifyPropertyChanged, new()
    {
      public BaseSynchronizableCollection(IEnumerable<T> collection) :
      base(collection) { }
      public BaseSynchronizableCollection(params T[] collection) :
      base(collection as IEnumerable<T>) { }
```

}

```
public BaseSynchronizableCollection() : base() { }
  public virtual bool HasChanges
  {
    get { return this.Any(i => i.HasChanges); }
  }
  public virtual bool AreSynchronized
  {
    get { return this.All(i => i.IsSynchronized); }
  }
  public virtual IEnumerable<T> ChangedCollection
  {
    get { return this.Where(i => i.HasChanges); }
  }
  public virtual void Synchronize()
  {
    this.ForEach(i => i.Synchronize());
  }
  public virtual void RevertState()
  {
    this.ForEach(i => i.RevertState());
  }
}
```

While remaining simple, this base collection class provides some powerful functionality. We start off with the class declaration, with its generic type constraints that are inherited from both our target T type classes and our BaseCollection<T> class. We've then implemented the constructor overloads and passed initialization duties straight to the base class.

Note that had we wanted to attach an additional level of event handlers to our collection items, we would follow the pattern from the base class, rather than calling the base class constructors in this way.

The HasChanges property can be used as a flag to detect whether any item in the collection has any changes or not. This would typically be tied to the canExecute parameter of a save command, so that the save button would become enabled when any item in the collection had been edited and disabled if the changes were undone.

The AreSynchronized property simply specifies whether the items in the collection have all been synchronized or not, but the real beauty of this class is in the ChangedCollection property. Using a simple LINQ filter, we return only the items from the collection that have changes. Imagine a scenario where we enable the user to edit multiple items at once. With this property, our developers could extract just the items that they need to save from the collection with zero effort.

Finally, this class provides one method to enable the synchronization of all of the items in the collection at once and another to undo the changes of all of the edited items in the collection likewise. Note the use of the custom ForEach Extension Method in these last two methods; if you remember from the earlier *With Extension Methods* section, it enables us to perform an action on each item in the collection.

Through the use of the properties and methods of our Data Model base classes by other parts of our framework, we are able to extend their functionality further. While building composite functionality from different components in this way is generally optional, it can also be necessary, as we'll see later in the book.

The more common functionality that we can build into our application framework base classes, the less work the developers that use our framework will have to do when developing the application. However, we must plan carefully and not force the developers to have unwanted properties and methods in order to extend a particular base class that has some other functionality that they do want.

Typically, there will be different requirements for different components. The Data Model classes will generally have more base classes than View Models because they play a bigger role than View Models. The View Models simply provide the Views with the data and functionality that they require. However, the Data Model classes contain the data, along with validation, synchronization, and possibly animation methods and properties. With this in mind, let's look again at the View Model base class.

We have already seen that we will need an implementation of the INotifyPropertyChanged interface in our base class, *but what else should we implement*? If every View will be providing some specific functionality, such as saving and deleting items for example, then we can also add commands straight into our base class and abstract methods that each derived View Model class will have to implement:

```
public virtual ICommand Refresh
{
  get
  {
    return new ActionCommand(action => RefreshData(),
        canExecute => CanRefreshData());
  }
```

```
}
protected abstract void RefreshData();
protected abstract bool CanRefreshData();
```

Again, it is important to declare this command as being virtual, in case the developers need to provide their own, different implementation of it. An alternative to this arrangement would be to just add abstract properties for each command, so that the individual implementations would be completely up to the developers:

```
public abstract ICommand Save { get; }
```

While on the subject of commands, you may remember our basic implementation of ActionCommand from Chapter 1, A Smarter Way of Working with WPF. At this point, it is worth taking a short detour to investigate this further. Note that while the basic implementation shown works well most of the time, it can catch us out occasionally and we may notice that a button hasn't become enabled when it should have.

Let's look at an example of this. Imagine that we have a button in our UI that opens a folder for the user to view files from and is enabled when a certain condition is met in the ICommand.CanExecute method. Let's say that this condition is that the folder should have some content. After all, there's no point in opening an empty folder for the user.

Now, let's imagine that this folder will be filled when the user performs some other operation in the UI. The user clicks the button that starts this folder-filling function and the application begins to fill it. At the point that the filling function is complete and the folder now holds some content, the open folder button should become enabled, as its associated command's CanExecute condition is now true.

Nevertheless, the CanExecute method won't be called at that point and why should it? The button and, indeed, the CommandManager class has no idea that this background process was occurring and that the condition of the CanExecute method has now been met. Luckily, we have a couple of options to address this situation.

One option is to raise the CanExecuteChanged event manually to make the data bound command sources recheck the output of the CanExecute method and update their enabled state accordingly. To do this, we could add another method into our ActionCommand class, but we would have to rearrange a few things first.

The current implementation doesn't store any references to the event handlers that get attached to the CanExecuteChanged event. They're actually being stored in the CommandManager class, as they're just passed straight through for the RequerySuggested event to handle. In order to be able to raise the event manually, we'll need to store our own references to the handlers and, to do that, we'll need an EventHandler object:

```
private EventHandler eventHandler;
```

Next, we'll need to add the references to the handlers that get attached and remove those that get detached, while still passing references of them through to the RequerySuggested event of the CommandManager:

```
public event EventHandler CanExecuteChanged
{
    add
    {
        eventHandler += value;
        CommandManager.RequerySuggested += value;
    }
    remove
    {
        eventHandler -= value;
        CommandManager.RequerySuggested -= value;
    }
}
```

The final change to our ActionCommand class is to add the method that we can call to raise the CanExecuteChanged event when we want the command sources of the UI controls to retrieve the new CanExecute value and update their enabled states:

```
public void RaiseCanExecuteChanged()
{
    eventHandler?.Invoke(this, new EventArgs());
}
```

We are now able to raise the CanExecuteChanged event whenever we need to, although we'll also need to change our use of the ActionCommand class to do so. Whereas previously, we were simply returning a new instance each time its getter was called, we'll now need to keep a reference to each command that we want to have this ability:

```
private ActionCommand saveCommand = null;
...
public ICommand SaveCommand
{
  get { return saveCommand ?? (saveCommand =
     new ActionCommand(action => Save(), canExecute => CanSave())); }
}
```

If you are unfamiliar with the ?? operator shown in the preceding code, it is known as the **null-coalescing operator** and simply returns the left-hand operand if it is not null, or the right-hand operand if it is. In this case, the right-hand operand will initialize the command and set it to the saveCommand variable. Then, to raise the event, we call the new RaiseCanExecuteChanged method on our ActionCommand instance when we have completed our operation:

```
private void ExecuteSomeCommand()
{
    // Perform some operation that fulfills the canExecute condition
    // then raise the CanExecuteChanged event of the ActionCommand
    saveCommand.RaiseCanExecuteChanged();
}
```

While our method is built into the ActionCommand class, at times we may not have access to the particular instance that we need to raise the event on. It should therefore be noted at this point that there is another, more direct way that we can get the CommandManager class to raise its RequerySuggested event.

In these cases, we can simply call the CommandManager.InvalidateRequerySuggested method. We should also be aware that these methods of raising the RequerySuggested event will only work on the UI thread, so care should be taken when using them with asynchronous code. Now that our short command-related detour is complete, let's return to take a look at what other common functionality we might want to put into our View Model base class.

If we have chosen to use generic base classes for our Data Models, then we can take advantage of that in our BaseViewModel class. We can provide generic methods that utilize members from these generic base classes. Let's take a look at some simple examples:

```
public T AddNewDataTypeToCollection<S, T>(S collection)
  where S : BaseSynchronizableCollection<T>
```
```
where T : BaseSynchronizableDataModel<T>, new()
{
  T item = collection.GetNewItem();
  if (item is IAuditable)
    ((IAuditable)item).Auditable.CreatedOn = DateTime.Now;
  item.Synchronize();
  collection.Add(item);
  collection.CurrentItem = item;
  return item;
}
public T InsertNewDataTypeToCollection<S, T>(int index, S collection)
  where S : BaseSynchronizableCollection<T>
  where T : BaseSynchronizableDataModel<T>, new()
{
  T item = collection.GetNewItem();
  if (item is IAuditable)
    ((IAuditable)item).Auditable.CreatedOn = DateTime.Now;
  item.Synchronize();
  collection.Insert(index, item);
  collection.CurrentItem = item;
  return item;
}
public void RemoveDataTypeFromCollection<S, T>(S collection, T item)
  where S : BaseSynchronizableCollection<T>
  where T : BaseSynchronizableDataModel<T>, new()
{
  int index = collection.IndexOf(item);
  collection.RemoveAt(index);
  if (index > collection.Count) index = collection.Count;
  else if (index < 0) index++;
  if (index > 0 && index < collection.Count &&
    collection.CurrentItem != collection[index])
    collection.CurrentItem = collection[index];
}
```

Here, we see three simple methods that encapsulate more common functionality. Note that we must specify the same generic type constraints that are declared on our bass classes. Failure to do so would either result in compilation errors or us not being able to use our Data Model classes with these methods.

The AddNewDataTypeToCollection and InsertNewDataTypeToCollection methods are almost identical and start by creating a new item of the relevant type using the GetNewItem method of our generic BaseSynchronizableCollection class. Next, we see another use for our IAuditable interface. In this case, we set the CreatedOn date of the new item if it implements this interface.

Because we declared the generic type constraint on the T-type parameter that specifies that it must be, or extend, the BaseSynchronizableDataModel class, we are able to call the Synchronize method to synchronize the new item. We then add the item to the collection and set it as the value of the CurrentItem property. Finally, both methods return the new item.

The last method performs the opposite action; it removes an item from the collection. Before doing so, it checks the item's position in the collection and sets the CurrentItem property to the next item if possible, or the next nearest item if the removed item was the last item in the collection.

Once again, we see how we can encapsulate commonly used functionality into our base class and save the users of our framework both time and effort in reimplementing this functionality in each View Model class. We can package up any common functionality that we require in this manner. Having now seen several examples of providing functionality in our base classes, let's now turn our attention to providing separation between the components of our framework.

Separating the Data Access Layer

Now that we've had a look at providing a variety of functionality through our base classes and interfaces, let's investigate how we can provide the Separation of Concerns that is crucial when using the MVVM pattern. Once again, we turn to the humble interface to help us achieve this. Let's view a simplified example:

```
using System;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Models.Interfaces
{
    public interface IDataProvider
    {
        User GetUser(Guid id);
        bool SaveUser(User user);
    }
}
```

We start off with a very simple interface. Of course, real applications will have a great many more methods than this, but the principle is the same, regardless of the complexity of the interface. So here, we just have a GetUser and a SaveUser method that our DataProvider classes need to implement. Now, let's look at the ApplicationDataProvider class:

```
using System;
using System.Data.Ling;
using System.Linq;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Models.Interfaces;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Models.DataProviders
{
  public class ApplicationDataProvider : IDataProvider
  {
    public ApplicationDataContext DataContext
    {
      get { return new ApplicationDataContext(); }
    }
    public User GetUser(Guid id)
    {
      DbUser dbUser = DataContext.DbUsers.SingleOrDefault(u => u.Id == id);
      if (dbUser == null) return null;
      return new User(dbUser.Id, dbUser.Name, dbUser.Age);
    }
    public bool SaveUser(User user)
      using (ApplicationDataContext dataContext = DataContext)
      ł
        DbUser dbUser =
          dataContext.DbUsers.SingleOrDefault(u => u.Id == user.Id);
        if (dbUser == null) return false;
        dbUser.Name = user.Name;
        dbUser.Age = user.Age;
        dataContext.SubmitChanges(ConflictMode.FailOnFirstConflict);
        return true;
      }
    }
  }
}
```

This ApplicationDataProvider class uses some simple LINQ to SQL to query and update a database for the User specified by the id value provided. That means that this particular implementation of the interface requires a connection to a database. We want to avoid having this dependency when testing our application, so we'll need another implementation of the interface to use for testing purposes. Let's take a look at our mock implementation now:

```
using System;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Models.Interfaces;
namespace Test.CompanyName.ApplicationName.Models.DataProviders
{
  public class MockDataProvider : IDataProvider
  {
    public User GetUser(Guid id)
    {
      return new User(id, "James Smith", 25);
    }
    public bool SaveUser(User user)
    {
      return true;
    }
  }
}
```

In this MockDataProvider implementation of the IDataProvider interface, we can see that the data is just manually mocked. In fact, it just returns the one single User from the GetUser method and always returns true from the SaveUser method, so it's fairly useless.

In a real-world application, we would either utilize a mocking framework, or manually mock up some more substantial testing data. Still, this will suffice for the point that we are focusing on here. Now that we've seen the classes involved, let's look at how they might be used.

The idea is that we have some sort of DataController class or classes that sit between the IDataProvider interface and the View Model classes. The View Model classes request data from the DataController class and, in turn, it requests data through the interface.

It therefore mirrors the methods of the interface and typically introduces some extra functionality, such as feedback handling for example. Let's see what our simplified DataController class looks like:

```
using System;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Models.Interfaces;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Models.DataControllers
{
  public class DataController
  {
    private IDataProvider dataProvider;
    public DataController(IDataProvider dataProvider)
    {
      DataProvider = dataProvider;
    }
    protected IDataProvider DataProvider
      get { return dataProvider; }
      private set { dataProvider = value; }
    }
    public User GetUser(Guid id)
    {
      return DataProvider.GetUser(id);
    }
    public bool SaveUser(User user)
    {
      return DataProvider.SaveUser(user);
    }
  }
ļ
```

As we can see, the DataController class has a private member variable of type IDataProvider, which is populated in its constructor. It is this variable that is used to access the application data source. When the application is running, an instance of our ApplicationDataProvider class is used to instantiate the DataController class, and so our actual data source is used:

```
DataController dataController =
    new DataController(new ApplicationDataProvider());
```

However, when we are testing our application, we can use an instance of our MockDataProvider class to instantiate the DataController class instead, thereby eliminating our dependency on the actual data source:

DataController dataController = new DataController(new MockDataProvider());

In this way, we can swap out the code that provides the data for the View Models, while keeping the rest of the code unchanged. This enables us to test the code in the View Models without having to be connected to our actual data storage device. In the next section, we'll see better ways to initialize these classes, but for now, let's see what else our DataController class could do for us.

Interfaces become more useful when they are used by parts of the application framework, other than the implementing classes. Apart from than defining some auditing properties and having the possibility of outputting their values, our earlier IAuditable interface example is not overly useful. We could however, extend its functionality further in our DataController class by automatically updating its values. We'll need to add some more members to achieve this:

```
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Interfaces;
...
public User CurrentUser { get; set; }
...
private void SetAuditUpdateFields<T>(T dataModel) where T : IAuditable
{
    dataModel.Auditable.UpdatedOn = DateTime.Now;
    dataModel.Auditable.UpdatedBy = CurrentUser;
    return dataModel;
}
```

We first need to add a property of type User that we will use to set the value of the current user of the application. This can be set as new users login to the application. Next, we need a method to update the "*updated*" values of our IAuditable interface. Again, we add a generic type constraint to ensure that only objects that implement our interface can be passed into this method. The result of this is that the developers that use our application framework can easily update these values:

```
public bool SaveUser(User user)
{
   return DataProvider.SaveUser(SetAuditUpdateFields(user));
}
```

We could add a similar method to set the "*created*" audit properties when adding new objects:

```
public bool AddUser(User user)
{
   return DataProvider.AddUser(SetAuditCreateFields(user));
}
...
private void SetAuditCreateFields<T>(T dataModel) where T : IAuditable
{
   dataModel.Auditable.CreatedOn = DateTime.Now;
   dataModel.Auditable.CreatedBy = CurrentUser;
   return dataModel;
}
```

Continuing this example, we could extend the constructor of our DataController class to accept a User input parameter that we can use to set our CurrentUser property with:

```
public DataController(IDataProvider dataProvider, User currentUser)
{
   DataProvider = dataProvider;
   CurrentUser = currentUser;
}
```

We could then expose our data source to our View Models through their base class using a CurrentUser property in the StateManager class and the DependencyManager class that we'll see in the following sections:

```
protected DataController Model
{
  get { return new DataController(
    DependencyManager.Instance.Resolve<IDataProvider>(),
    StateManager.CurrentUser); }
}
```

Essentially, anything, that we need to do to the data coming from our application data source can be achieved in a single DataController class. However, if we require several different modifications, then we could alternatively create several controller classes and chain them together, with each performing their separate tasks in turn.

As they could all implement the same methods, they could all potentially implement the same interface:



We'll see an example of this in Chapter 10, *Completing That Great User Experience*, but now that we have a good idea on how best to setup our application data source connections to provide the separation required by the MVVM pattern, we can focus on the next way of building functionality into our framework. Let's move on to discover how we can plug more complex and/or specialized functionality into our framework.

Providing services

The job of the base classes and interfaces in our application framework are to encapsulate functionality that is commonly used by our View Models and Data Models. When the required functionality is more complex, or when it involves particular resources, or external connections, we implement it in separate service, or manager classes. For the remainder of this book, we will refer to these as manager classes. In larger applications, these are typically provided in a separate project.

Encapsulating them in a separate project enables us to reuse the functionality from these classes in our other applications. Which classes we use in this project will depend on the requirements of the application that we're building, but it will often include classes that provide the ability to send emails, to access the end user's hard drive, to export data in various formats, or to manage global application state for example.

We will investigate a number of these classes in this book, so that we have a good idea of how to implement our own custom manager classes. The most commonly used of these classes can normally be accessed directly from the base View Model class via properties. There are a few different ways that we can expose these classes to the View Models, so let's examine them.

When a manager class is used often, and for short durations each time, we can expose a new instance of them each time, as follows:

```
public FeedbackManager FeedbackManager
{
   get { return new FeedbackManager(); }
}
```

However, if a manager class is required for the life of the application because it must remember a particular state or configuration, for example, then we typically use the static keyword in one way or another. The simplest option would be to declare a normal class, but expose it via a static property:

```
private static StateManager stateManager = new StateManager();
...
public static StateManager StateManager
{
   get { return stateManager; }
}
```

An alternative method of having one and only one instance of a class being instantiated and having it stay alive for as long as the application is running is for us to use the Singleton pattern. While it was all the rage twenty or so years ago, it has unfortunately recently fallen foul of more modern programming principles, such as the likes of SOLID, which states that each class should have a single responsibility.

The Singleton pattern breaks this principle as it serves whatever purpose we design it for, but it is also responsible for instantiating itself and maintaining a single access point. Before discussing the merits and pitfalls of this pattern further, let's take a look at how we might implement it in our manager class:

```
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers
{
   public class StateManager
   {
     private static StateManager instance;
     private StateManager() { }
     public static StateManager Instance
```

[106]

}

```
{
  get { return instance ?? (instance = new StateManager()); }
}
...
}
```

Note that it can be implemented in a variety of ways, but this particular way uses lazy initialization, where the instance is not instantiated until it is first referenced via the Instance property. Using the ?? operator again, the Instance property getter can be read as "return the one and only instantiated instance if it is not null, or, if it is, instantiate the one and only instance and then return it." The significant part of this pattern is that as there is no public constructor and, therefore, the class cannot be externally instantiated, this property is the single way to access the internal object.

However, this is the very part that causes trouble for some developers, as this makes inheritance impossible with these classes. In our case though, we won't need to extend our StateManager class, so that is not a concern for us. Others may point to the problem that exposing this Singleton class, as shown in the following code, will tightly couple it to the base View Model class that it is declared in:

```
public StateManager StateManager
{
  get { return StateManager.Instance; }
}
```

While this is true, what harm is that with this class? Its purpose is to maintain the state of user settings, common or default values, and values for UI display and operation statuses. It contains no resources and no real reason to avoid using it when running unit tests, so in this case, the tight coupling is inconsequential. In this regard, the Singleton pattern continues to be a useful tool in the right situations, but we should certainly be aware of its pitfalls all the same.

However, if a particular manger class does utilize resources or creates some form of connection with the outside world, for example, like an EmailManager would, then we will need to create an interface for it to maintain our Separation of Concerns. Remember that interfaces enable us to disconnect the actual application components and replace them with mock components while testing. In these cases, we have to expose the functionality in the base classes slightly differently:

```
private IEmailManager emailManager;
...
public BaseViewModel(IEmailManager emailManager)
{
```

```
this.emailManager = emailManager; }
}
...
public IEmailManager EmailManager
{
  get { return emailManager; }
}
```

The general idea here is for us to have no direct contact with the manager class in hand, instead accessing its functionality through the interface methods and properties. By doing this, we are able to decouple the manager class from the View Models that use it and therefore enable them to be used independently of each other. Note that this is a very simple example of Dependency Injection.

Implementing Dependency Injection

Dependency injection is a well-known design pattern that aids in decoupling various components of an application. If one class uses another class to perform some functionality internally, then the class that is internally used becomes a dependency of the class that uses it. It cannot achieve its objectives without it. In some cases, this is not a problem, but in others, it can represent a huge problem.

For example, let's imagine that we have a FeedbackManager class that is responsible for providing operational feedback to the end users. In that class, we have a FeedbackCollection instance that holds the Feedback objects that are currently being displayed to the current user. Here, the Feedback objects are a dependency of the FeedbackCollection instance and that, in turn, is a dependency of the FeedbackManager class.

These objects are all tightly coupled, which is usually a bad thing in software development. However, they are also tightly related by necessity. A FeedbackCollection object would be useless without the Feedback objects, as would the FeedbackManager object.

In this particular case, these objects require this coupling to make them useful together. This is called composition, where the individual parts form a whole, but do little on their own, so it really is no problem for them to be connected in this way.

On the other hand, let's now contemplate the connection between our View Models and our DAL. Our View Models will definitely need access to some data, so it would at first seem to make sense to encapsulate a class in our View Models that provides the data that it requires.

While that would certainly work, it would unfortunately result in the DAL class becoming a dependent of the View Model class. Moreover, it would permanently couple our View Model component to the DAL and break the Separation of Concerns that MVVM provides. The kind of connection that we require in this situation is more like aggregation, where the individual parts are useful on their own.

In these cases, we want to be able to use the individual components separately and to avoid any tight coupling between them. Dependency Injection is a tool that we can use to provide this separation for us. In the absolute simplest terms, Dependency Injection is implemented through the use of interfaces. We've already seen some basic examples of this in the DataController class from the *Separating the Data Access Layer* section, and the EmailManager example from the previous section.

However, they were very basic examples and there are a variety of ways of improving them. Many application frameworks will provide the ability for developers to use Dependency Injection to inject the dependencies into their classes and we can do the same with ours. In its simplest form, our DependencyManager class will simply need to register the dependencies and provide a way to resolve them when required. Let's take a look:

```
using System;
using System.Collections.Generic;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers
{
  public class DependencyManager
    private static DependencyManager instance;
    private static Dictionary<Type, Type> registeredDependencies =
      new Dictionary<Type, Type>();
    private DependencyManager() { }
    public static DependencyManager Instance
    {
      get { return instance ?? (instance = new DependencyManager()); }
    }
    public int Count
      get { return registeredDependencies.Count; }
    }
    public void ClearRegistrations()
    {
      registeredDependencies.Clear();
    }
```

}

```
public void Register<S, T>() where S : class where T : class
  {
    if (!typeof(S).IsInterface) throw new ArgumentException("The S
      generic type parameter of the Register method must be an
      interface.", "S");
    if (!typeof(S).IsAssignableFrom(typeof(T))) throw
      new ArgumentException ("The T generic type parameter must be a
      class that implements the interface specified by the S generic
      type parameter.", "T");
   if (!registeredDependencies.ContainsKey(typeof(S)))
      registeredDependencies.Add(typeof(S), typeof(T));
  }
 public T Resolve<T>() where T : class
  {
    Type type = registeredDependencies[typeof(T)];
    return Activator.CreateInstance(type) as T;
  }
 public T Resolve<T>(params object[] args) where T : class
    Type type = registeredDependencies[typeof(T)];
    if (args == null || args.Length == 0)
      return Activator.CreateInstance(type) as T;
    else return Activator.CreateInstance(type, args) as T;
  }
}
```

You may have noticed that we are using the Singleton pattern again for this class. In this case, it again fits our requirements exactly. We want one, and only one, instance of this class to be instantiated and we want it to stay alive for as long as the application is running. When testing, it is used to inject our mock dependencies into the View Models, so it is part of the framework that enables our Separation of Concerns.

The Count property and the ClearRegistrations method are more useful for testing than when running the application and the real action goes on in the Register and Resolve methods. The Register method registers the interface type represented by the S generic type parameter, with the concrete implementation of that interface represented by the T generic type parameter.

As the S generic type parameter must be an interface, an ArgumentException is thrown at runtime if the type parameter class supplied is not one. A further check is performed to ensure that the type specified by the T generic type parameter actually implements the interface specified by the S generic type parameter, and a further ArgumentException is thrown if the check fails.

The method then verifies the fact that the type parameter provided is not already in the Dictionary and adds it if it is unique in the collection. Therefore, in this particular implementation, we can only specify a single concrete implementation for each supplied interface. We could change this to either update the stored reference if an existing type was passed again, or even to store multiple concrete types for each interface. It all depends on the application requirements.

Note the generic type constraint declared on this method that ensures that the type parameters will at least be classes. Unfortunately, there is no such constraint that would allow us to specify that a particular generic type parameter should be an interface. However, this type of parameter validation should be used where possible, as it helps the users of our framework to avoid using these methods with inappropriate values.

The Resolve methods use some simple reflection to return the concrete implementations of the interface types represented by the generic type parameters used. Again, note the generic type constraints declared by these two methods, that specify that the type used for type T parameter must be a class. This is to prevent the Activator.CreateInstance methods from throwing an Exception at runtime, if a type that could not be instantiated were used.

The first overload can be used for classes without any constructor parameters, and the second has an additional params input parameter to pass the parameters to use when instantiating classes that require constructor parameters.

The DependencyManager class can be set up during application startup, using the App.xaml.cs file. To do this, we first need to find the following StartupUri property setting in the Application declaration at the top of the App.xaml file:

```
StartupUri="MainWindow.xaml"
```

We then need to replace this StartupUri property setting with the following Startup property setting:

Startup="App_Startup"

In this example, App_Startup is the name of the initialization method that we want to be called at startup. Note that as the WPF Framework is no longer starting the MainWindow class, it is now our responsibility to do so:

```
using System.Windows;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels.Interfaces;
```

```
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName
{
  public partial class App : Application
  {
    public void App_Startup(object sender, StartupEventArgs e)
    {
      RegisterDependencies();
      new MainWindow().Show();
    }
    private void RegisterDependencies()
      DependencyManager.Instance.ClearRegistrations();
      DependencyManager.Instance.Register<IDataProvider,
        ApplicationDataProvider>();
      DependencyManager.Instance.Register<IEmailManager, EmailManager>();
      DependencyManager.Instance.Register<IExcelManager, ExcelManager>();
      DependencyManager.Instance.Register<IWindowManager, WindowManager>();
    }
  }
}
```

When we want to inject these dependencies into a View Model in the application at runtime, we could use the DependencyManager class like this:

```
UsersViewModel viewModel =
    new UsersViewModel(DependencyManager.Instance.Resolve<IEmailManager>(),
    DependencyManager.Instance.Resolve<IExcelManager>(),
    DependencyManager.Instance.Resolve<IWindowManager>());
```

The real beauty of this system is that when testing our View Models, we can register our mock manager classes instead. The same preceding code will then resolve the interfaces to their mock concrete implementations, thereby freeing our View Models from their actual dependencies:

```
private void RegisterMockDependencies()
{
    DependencyManager.Instance.ClearRegistrations();
    DependencyManager.Instance.Register<IDataProvider, MockDataProvider>();
    DependencyManager.Instance.Register<IEmailManager, MockEmailManager>();
    DependencyManager.Instance.Register<IExcelManager, MockExcelManager>();
    DependencyManager.Instance.Register<IWindowManager, MockWindowManager>();
}
```

We've now seen the code that enables us to swap out our dependent classes with mock implementations when we are testing our application. However, we've also seen that not all of our manager classes will require this. So, what exactly represents a dependency? Let's take a look at a simple example involving a UI popup message box:

```
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Enums;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers.Interfaces
{
    public interface IWindowManager
    {
        MessageBoxButtonSelection ShowMessageBox(string message,
            string title, MessageBoxButton buttons, MessageBoxIcon icon);
    }
}
```

Here, we have an interface that declares a single method. This is the method that the developers will call from the View Model classes when they need to display a message box in the UI. It will use a real MessageBox object during runtime, but that uses a number of enumerations from the System.Windows namespace.

We want to avoid interacting with these enumeration instances in our View Models, as that will require adding a reference to the PresentationFramework assembly and tie our View Models to part of our Views component.

We therefore need to abstract them from our interface method definition. In this case, we have simply replaced the enumerations from the PresentationFramework assembly with custom enumerations from our domain that merely replicate the original values. As such, there is little point in showing the code for these custom enumerations here.

While it's never a good idea to duplicate code, it's an even worse idea to add a UI assembly like the PresentationFramework assembly to our ViewModels project. By encapsulating this assembly within the Managers project and converting its enumerations, we can expose the functionality that we need from it without tying it to our View Models:

```
using System.Windows;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers.Interfaces;
using MessageBoxButton =
   CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Enums.MessageBoxButton;
using MessageBoxButtonSelection =
   CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Enums.MessageBoxButtonSelection;
using MessageBoxIcon =
   CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Enums.MessageBoxIcon;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers
```

```
{
 public class WindowManager : IWindowManager
 {
   public MessageBoxButtonSelection ShowMessageBox(string message,
      string title, MessageBoxButton buttons, MessageBoxIcon icon)
    {
     System.Windows.MessageBoxButton messageBoxButtons;
     switch (buttons)
      {
       case MessageBoxButton.Ok: messageBoxButtons =
          System.Windows.MessageBoxButton.OK; break;
        case MessageBoxButton.OkCancel: messageBoxButtons =
          System.Windows. MessageBoxButton.OkCancel; break;
        case MessageBoxButton.YesNo: messageBoxButtons =
          System.Windows.MessageBoxButton.YesNo; break;
        case MessageBoxButton.YesNoCancel: messageBoxButtons =
          System.Windows.MessageBoxButton.YesNoCancel; break;
        default: messageBoxButtons =
          System.Windows.MessageBoxButton.OKCancel; break;
      }
     MessageBoxImage messageBoxImage;
     switch (icon)
      {
       case MessageBoxIcon.Asterisk:
         messageBoxImage = MessageBoxImage.Asterisk; break;
       case MessageBoxIcon.Error:
         messageBoxImage = MessageBoxImage.Error; break;
        case MessageBoxIcon.Exclamation:
         messageBoxImage = MessageBoxImage.Exclamation; break;
        case MessageBoxIcon.Hand:
         messageBoxImage = MessageBoxImage.Hand; break;
        case MessageBoxIcon.Information:
         messageBoxImage = MessageBoxImage.Information; break;
        case MessageBoxIcon.None:
         messageBoxImage = MessageBoxImage.None; break;
        case MessageBoxIcon.Question:
         messageBoxImage = MessageBoxImage.Question; break;
        case MessageBoxIcon.Stop:
         messageBoxImage = MessageBoxImage.Stop; break;
        case MessageBoxIcon.Warning:
         messageBoxImage = MessageBoxImage.Warning; break;
        default: messageBoxImage = MessageBoxImage.Stop; break;
      }
     MessageBoxButtonSelection messageBoxButtonSelection =
       MessageBoxButtonSelection.None;
     switch (MessageBox.Show(message, title, messageBoxButtons,
       messageBoxImage))
      {
```

}

```
case MessageBoxResult.Cancel: messageBoxButtonSelection =
    MessageBoxButtonSelection.Cancel; break;
    case MessageBoxResult.No: messageBoxButtonSelection =
        MessageBoxButtonSelection.No; break;
    case MessageBoxResult.OK: messageBoxButtonSelection =
        MessageBoxResult.Yes: messageBoxButtonSelection =
        MessageBoxButtonSelection.Yes; break;
    }
    return messageBoxButtonSelection;
}
```

We start with our using directives and see further examples of using alias directives. In this case, we created some enumeration classes with the same names as those from the System.Windows namespace. To avoid the conflicts that we would have caused by adding a standard using directive for our

CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Enums namespace, we add aliases to enable us to work with just the types from our namespace that we require.

After this, our WindowManager class simply converts the UI-related enumeration values to and from our custom enumerations, so that we can use the functionality of the message box, but not be tied to its implementation. Imagine a situation where we need to use this to output an error message:

```
WindowManager.ShowMessageBox(errorMessage, "Error", MessageBoxButton.Ok,
MessageBoxIcon.Error);
```

When execution reaches this point, a message box will pop up, displaying an error message with an error icon and heading. The application will freeze at this point while waiting for user feedback and, if the user does not click a button on the popup, it will remain frozen indefinitely. If execution reaches this point during a unit test and there is no user to click the button, then our test will freeze indefinitely and never complete.

In this example, the WindowManager class is dependent upon having a user present to interact with it. Therefore, if the View Models used this class directly, they would also have the same dependency. Other classes might have a dependency on an email server, database, or other type of resource, for example. These are the types of classes that View Models should only interact with via interfaces.

In doing so, we provide the ability to use our components independently from each other. Using our IWindowManager interface, we are able to use our ShowMessageBox method independently of the end users. In this way, we are able to break the user dependency and run our unit tests without them. Our mock implementation of the interface can simply return a positive response each time and the program execution can continue unheeded:

```
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Enums;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers.Interfaces;
namespace Test.CompanyName.ApplicationName.Mocks.Managers
{
  public class MockWindowManager : IWindowManager
  {
    public MessageBoxButtonSelection ShowMessageBox(string message,
      string title, MessageBoxButton buttons, MessageBoxIcon icon)
    {
      switch (buttons)
      {
        case MessageBoxButton.Ok:
        case MessageBoxButton.OkCancel:
          return MessageBoxButtonSelection.Ok;
        case MessageBoxButton.YesNo:
        case MessageBoxButton.YesNoCancel:
          return MessageBoxButtonSelection.Yes;
        default: return MessageBoxButtonSelection.Ok;
      }
    }
  }
ļ
```

This simple example shows another method of exposing functionality from a source to our View Models, but without it becoming a dependency. In this way, we can provide a whole host and variety of capabilities to our View Models, while still enabling them to function independently.

We now have the knowledge and tools to build functionality into our application framework in many different ways, yet our probe into application frameworks is still not quite complete. One other essential matter is that of connecting our Views with our View Models. We'll need to decide how the users of our framework should do this, so let's look at some choices.

Connecting Views with View Models

In WPF, there are several ways to connect our Views to their data sources. We've all seen examples of the simplest method of a View setting its DataContext property to itself in its code behind:

```
public partial class MainWindow : Window
{
    public MainWindow()
    {
        InitializeComponent();
        DataContext = this;
    }
}
```

However, this should only ever be used for quick demonstrations and never in our realworld applications. If we need to data-bind to properties declared in a View's code behind, let's say for a particular custom UserControl, then we should use RelativeSource bindings instead. We'll find out more about this in Chapter 4, *Becoming Proficient with Data Binding*, but for now, let's continue looking at the alternative ways to connect the Views with their data sources.

The next simplest method utilizes the data templating Model that is built into the WPF Framework. This topic will also be covered in much more detail in Chapter 4, Becoming Proficient with Data Binding, but, in short, a DataTemplate is used to inform the WPF Framework how we want it to render data objects of a particular type. The simple example shows how we could define the visual output of our User objects:

```
<DataTemplate DataType="{x:Type DataModels:User}">
<TextBlock Text="{Binding Name}" />
</DataTemplate>
```

In this example, the DataType property specifies which type of object this relates to and therefore, which properties the containing XAML bindings have access to. Keeping it simple for now, we just output the name of each User in this DataTemplate. When we data-bind one or more User objects to a UI control that is within the scope of this DataTemplate, they will each be rendered by the WPF Framework as a TextBlock that specifies their name.

When the rendering engine of the WPF Framework comes across a custom data object, it looks for a DataTemplate that has been declared for its type and, if it finds one, it renders the object according to the XAML contained within the relevant template. This means that we can create a DataTemplate for our View Model classes that simply specifies their related View classes as the rendering output:

```
<DataTemplate DataType="{x:Type ViewModels:UsersViewModel}">
<Views:UsersView />
</DataTemplate>
```

In this example, we have specified that when the WPF Framework sees an instance of our UserViewModel class, it should render it as one of our UserView classes. At this point, it will set our View Model instance to the DataContext property of the related View implicitly. The only downside to this method is minimal, and is that we have to add a new DataTemplate to our App.xaml file for each of our View-View Model pairs.

This method of connection works View Model first, where we supply the View Model instance and the WPF Framework takes care of the rest. In these cases, we typically use a ContentControl that has its Content property data bound to a ViewModel property, which the application View Models are set to. The WPF Framework notes the type of the View Model that is set and renders it according to its specified DataTemplate:

```
private BaseViewModel viewModel;
public BaseViewModel ViewModel
{
  get { return viewModel; }
  set { viewModel = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
}
...
ViewModel = new UserViewModel();
...
<ContentControl Content="{Binding ViewModel}" />
```

This is the preferred version of View to View Model connections for many, as the WPF Framework is left to take care of most of the details. However, there is another way to construct these connections that adds a layer of abstraction to the process.

Locating View Models

For this method, we need to create interfaces for each of our View Models. It's called View Model Location and it's fairly similar to the Dependency Injection example that we have already seen. In fact, we could even use our existing DependencyManager to achieve a similar result. Let's take a quick look at that first:

```
DependencyManager.Instance.Register<IUserViewModel, UserViewModel>();
...
public partial class UserView : UserControl
{
    public UserView()
    {
        InitializeComponent();
        DataContext = DependencyManager.Instance.Resolve<IUserViewModel>();
    }
}
...
<Views:UsersView />
```

In this example, we associate the IUserViewModel interface with the UserViewModel concrete implementation of that interface in some initialization code and later, resolve the dependency, before setting it as the View's DataContext value. After declaring our Views in the XAML, they automatically hook themselves up to their related View Models at runtime.

This method of connecting Views to View Models works View first, where we declare the View and it instantiates its own View Model and sets its own DataContext. The downside with this method is that we have to create an interface for all of our View Models and register and resolve each of them using the DependencyManager.

The main difference between this implementation and that of a View Model Locator is that a locator provides a level of abstraction from our Singleton class, which enables us to indirectly instantiate our View Models from the XAML, without using the code behind. They also have a little extra specific functionality that enables dummy data to be used at design time. Let's take a look at the simplest possible example:

[119]

```
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels.Interfaces;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.ViewModelLocators {
    public class ViewModelLocator
    {
        public IUserViewModel UserViewModel
```

```
{
   get { return DependencyManager.Instance.Resolve<IUserViewModel>(); }
  }
}
```

Here, we have a very basic View Model Locator that simply locates a single View Model. It is important that this View Model class has an empty constructor so that it can be instantiated from the XAML. Let's see how we can do this:

```
<UserControl x:Class="CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.UserView"
xmlns="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml/presentation"
xmlns:x="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml"
xmlns:ViewModelLocators="clr-namespace:
    CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.ViewModelLocators"
Height="30" Width="300">
    </userControl.Resources>
        <ViewModelLocators:ViewModelLocator x:Key="ViewModelLocator" />
        </userControl.Resources>
        <UserControl.DataContext>
        <Binding Path="UserViewModel"
        Source="{StaticResource ViewModelLocator}" />
        </userControl.DataContext>
        <TextBlock Text="{Binding User.Name}" />
        </userControl>
```

As a side note, you may have noticed that our ViewModelLocator class has been declared in the Views project. The location of this class is not very important, but it must have references to both the ViewModels and the Views projects, and this severely limits the number of projects in which it can reside. Typically, the only projects that will have access to the classes from both of these projects will be the Views project and the startup project.

Getting back to our example, an instance of the ViewModelLocator class is declared in the View's Resources section and this will only work if we have a parameterless constructor (including the default parameterless constructor that is declared for us if we do not explicitly declare a constructor). Without a parameterless constructor, we will receive an error in the Visual Studio designer.

Our View sets its own DataContext property in XAML this time, using a binding path to the UserViewModel property from our ViewModelLocator resource. The property then utilizes our DependencyManager to resolve the concrete implementation of the IUserViewModel interface and return it for us.

There are other benefits to using this pattern as well though. One problem often faced by WPF developers is that the Visual Studio WPF Designer cannot resolve the interfaces that are used to back their concrete implementations, nor can it access the application data sources during design time. The result of this is that the designer does not typically display data items that cannot be resolved.

One thing that we can do with our ViewModelLocator resource is to provide mock View Models that have dummy data returned from their properties that we can use to help visualize our Views as we construct them. To achieve this, we can make use of the IsInDesignMode Attached Property from the DesignerProperties .NET class:

```
public bool IsDesignTime
{
  get { return
    DesignerProperties.GetIsInDesignMode(new DependencyObject()); }
}
```

The DependencyObject object here is required by the Attached Property and, in fact, is the object that is being checked. As all objects supplied here would return the same value, we are free to use a new one each time. If we are concerned that this property will be called more frequently than the garbage collector, we could opt to use a single member instead, just for this purpose:

```
private DependencyObject dependencyObject = new DependencyObject();
public bool IsDesignTime
{
   get { return DesignerProperties.GetIsInDesignMode(dependencyObject); }
}
```

However, if we need a DependencyObject object just for this purpose, then we could simplify things further by extending our ViewModelLocator class from the DependencyObject class and use itself as the required parameter. Of course, this would mean that our class would inherit unwanted properties, so some might prefer to avoid doing this. Let's see how we could use this property to provide the WPF Designer with mock data at design time:

```
using System.ComponentModel;
using System.Windows;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewS.UiewModelLocators;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.ViewModelLocators {
```

```
public class ViewModelLocator : DependencyObject
{
    public bool IsDesignTime
    {
        get { return DesignerProperties.GetIsInDesignMode(this); }
    }
    public IUserViewModel UserViewModel
        {
            get
            {
                return IsDesignTime ? new MockUserViewModel() :
                DependencyManager.Instance.Resolve<IUserViewModel>();
            }
        }
    }
}
```

If you look at our UserViewModel property, you'll see the value that we return is now dependent upon the value of the IsDesignTime property. If we are in design time, for example, when the View file is open in the WPF Designer, then the MockUserViewModel class will be returned. At runtime, however, the concrete implementation of our IUserViewModel interface that we registered with the DependencyManager will be returned instead.

The MockUserViewModel class will typically hardcode some mock data and return it from its properties when requested. In this manner, the WPF Designer will be able to visualize the data for the developers or designers while they build the Views.

However, each View will require a new property in our locator class and we'll need to copy this conditional operator statement from the preceding code for each. As always in OOP, there is a further abstraction that we could make to hide that implementation away from the developers that will use our framework. We could create a generic base class for our View Model Locator:

```
using System.ComponentModel;
using System.Windows;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.ViewModelLocators
{
    public abstract class BaseViewModelLocator<T> : DependencyObject
      where T : class
      {
         private T runtimeViewModel, designTimeViewModel;
```

}

```
protected bool IsDesignTime
  {
    get { return DesignerProperties.GetIsInDesignMode(this); }
  }
  public T ViewModel
    get { return IsDesignTime ?
      DesignTimeViewModel : RuntimeViewModel; }
  }
  protected T RuntimeViewModel
  {
    get { return runtimeViewModel ??
      (runtimeViewModel = DependencyManager.Instance.Resolve<T>()); }
  }
  protected T DesignTimeViewModel
  {
    set { designTimeViewModel = value; }
    get { return designTimeViewModel; }
  }
}
```

We start by declaring an abstract class that takes a generic type parameter, which represents the interface type of the View Model that we are trying to locate. Once again, note the generic type constraint declared on the generic type parameter that specifies that the type used must be a class. This is now required because this class calls the Resolve method of the DependencyManager class and that has the same constraint declared upon it.

We have two internal members of the relevant type of View Model interface that back the properties with the same names. There's one for our runtime View Model and one for our design time View Model. The third View Model property of the same type is the one that we will data-bind to from Views and it uses our IsDesignTime property to determine which View Model to return.

A nice touch in this class is that it does a lot of the connection work for the developers. They don't need to concern themselves with the implementation of the <code>lsDesignTime</code> property, and this base class will even attempt to automatically resolve the concrete View Model dependency for the runtime View Model property. Therefore, the developer need only declare the following code for each View Model to take advantage of this functionality:

```
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels.Interfaces;
```

```
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.ViewModelLocators
{
    public class UserViewModelLocator : BaseViewModelLocator<IUserViewModel>
    {
        public UserViewModelLocator()
        {
            DesignTimeViewModel = new MockUserViewModel();
        }
    }
}
```

It could be set up in the UI with very little difference to our original locator version:

```
<UserControl x:Class="CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.UserView"
...
<UserControl.Resources>
<Locators:UserViewModelLocator x:Key="ViewModelLocator" />
</UserControl.Resources>
<UserControl.DataContext>
<Binding Path="ViewModel" Source="{StaticResource ViewModelLocator}" />
</UserControl.DataContext>
...
</UserControl.DataContext>
...
```

Note that although this should work automatically in newer versions of Visual Studio, you may need to provide a helping hand to the WPF Designer in older versions. The mc:Ignorable attribute specifies which XAML namespace prefixes encountered in a markup file may be ignored by an XAML processor and the d XAML namespace is used by the Designer, so we can specify a DataContext location to it directly at design time:

```
xmlns:d="http://schemas.microsoft.com/expression/blend/2008"
xmlns:mc="http://schemas.openxmlformats.org/markup-compatibility/2006"
mc:Ignorable="d" d:DataContext="{Binding ViewModel,
Source={StaticResource ViewModelLocator}}"
```

While there is a clear benefit to this arrangement, as always, we have to weigh up whether the cost of any such abstractions will be worth the benefits. For some, the cost of extracting an interface, declaring a mock version of it to use at design time, and creating a View Model Locator for each View Model will definitely be worth the benefit of designing Views that visualize their data.

For others, it simply won't be worth it. Each time we add a level of abstraction, we have more work to achieve to arrive at the same end goal. We need to decide whether each abstraction is viable in our own situations and build our application frameworks accordingly.

Summary

We've now investigated the benefit of having an application framework and started constructing our own. We've discovered a variety of different ways to encapsulate our required functionality into our framework and know which situations to use each in. After exploring a number of manager classes, we have also begun to expose functionality from external sources, but without being tied to them.

We've managed to maintain and improve the Separation of Concerns that our application requires and should now be able to detach the various application components and run them independently of each other. We are also able to provide our View designers with mock data at design time, while maintaining loose coupling at runtime.

In the next chapter, we will thoroughly examine the essential topic of data binding, one of the very few requirements of the MVVM pattern. We'll comprehensively cover the wide variety of binding syntax, both long and short hand notation, discover why bindings fail to work at certain times, and get a better understanding of how to display our data exactly the way we want.

4 Becoming Proficient with Data Binding

In this chapter, we'll investigate the data binding syntax that is used to connect our data sources to our UI controls. We'll examine how to declare Dependency Properties, along with all of the various options that we have when doing that. We'll find out about the scope of declared bindings and unravel the finer details of data templates.

It is the data binding in WPF that enables it to work so well with the MVVM pattern. It provides the connection for two-way communication between the View and the View Models components. Yet this abstraction can often lead to confusion and make tracking down problems more difficult than when using traditional methods of UI to business logic communication.

As data binding is such an important part of the MVVM pattern, we'll cover this topic thoroughly, from the basics to advanced concepts, and we'll ensure that we are able to fulfill any binding requirements that we may receive.

Data binding basics

In WPF, we use the Binding class to create our bindings. In general, it is fair to say that every binding will contain four constituent parts. Let's take a look at them now:

- The first is the binding source; typically, this will be one of our View Models.
- The second is the path to the property from the source object that we would like to data bind to.
- The third is the binding target; this will typically be a UI control.
- The fourth is the path to the property of the binding target that we want to data bind to.

If one of our bindings does not work, it is most likely that one of these four things has not been set correctly. It is important to stress that the target property will typically be from a UI control, because there is a data binding rule that states that the binding target must be a Dependency Property. The properties of most UI controls are Dependency Properties, and so, this rule simply enforces that data normally travels in the direction from our View Model data sources to the binding target UI controls.

We'll examine the direction of data bound data traversal later in the chapter, but let's first focus on the syntax that is used to specify the value of the Binding.Path property.

Binding path syntax

Bindings can be declared either in longhand, defining an actual Binding element in the XAML, or in shorthand, using the markup language that is translated to a Binding element for us by the XAML. We'll primarily focus on the shorthand notation, as that is what we will predominantly use throughout the book.

The Binding.Path property is of type PropertyPath. This type supports a unique syntax that can be expressed in XAML using a XAML markup extension. While it can be confusing at times, there are specific rules that we can learn to make it easier. Let's investigate.

To start with, let's understand that the binding path is relative to the binding source and that the binding source is typically set by the DataContext property, or by the path itself. In order to bind to the whole binding source, we can specify our binding like this:

```
{Binding Path=.}
```

It can also be specified like this:

{Binding .}

Most simply, we can specify our binding like this:

{Binding}

Note that explicitly declaring the Path property name in this syntax is optional when the path value is declared first. The three preceding examples are all equal. We will omit the Path property declaration in the bindings in this book for brevity. Let's now see the remaining property path syntax mini-language.

To data bind to most property paths, we use the same notation as we use in code. For example, when binding directly to the property of a data bound object, we just use the property name:

```
{Binding PropertyName}
```

To data bind to the property of an object that is directly referenced by a property of our binding source, we again use the same syntax that we do in code. This is known as **indirect property targeting**:

{Binding PropertyName.AnotherPropertyName}

Similarly, when data binding to an item in a collection, or a property of a collection item, we use the indexing notation from code. For example, this is how we access a property from the first item in our data bound binding source:

{Binding [0].PropertyName}

Of course, if we want to access the second item, we use a key of 1 and use a key value of 2 if we want the third item and so on. Likewise, to indirectly target a property of a collection item, where the collection is a property of our binding source, we use the following syntax:

{Binding CollectionPropertyName[0].PropertyName}

As you can see, we are freely able to combine these various syntactical options to generate more complex binding paths. Multi-dimensional collections are also accessed in the same way as we refer to them in code:

```
{Binding CollectionPropertyName[0, 0].PropertyName}
{Binding CollectionPropertyName[0, 0, 0].PropertyName}
...
```

While discussing data binding to collections, note that there is a special forward slash (/) syntax that we can use to access the selected item at any time:

{Binding CollectionPropertyName/PropertyName}

This particular example would bind to the PropertyName property of the current item of the collection specified by the CollectionPropertyName property. Let's take a quick look at a more practical example:

```
<StackPanel>
<ListBox ItemsSource="{Binding Users}"
IsSynchronizedWithCurrentItem="True" />
<TextBlock Text="Selected User's Name:" />
<TextBlock Text="{Binding Users/Name}" />
</StackPanel>
```

In this basic example using our UsersViewModel, we data bind the Users collection to a listbox. Underneath, we output the value of the Name property from the currently selected item. Note the setting of the IsSynchronizedWithCurrentItem property, as without it, this forward slash binding would not work correctly.

Try removing the IsSynchronizedWithCurrentItem property from the example and running the application again and you will see that the current user's name will be output initially, but not updated after changes to the selected item.

Setting this property to True will ensure that the ItemCollection.CurrentItem property from the ListBox.Items collection is updated each time the selection changes. Note that we could also achieve this same output using the ListBox.SelectedItem property instead of this forward slash notation:

```
<StackPanel>
<ListBox Name="ListBox" ItemsSource="{Binding Users}"
IsSynchronizedWithCurrentItem="True" />
<TextBlock Text="Selected User's Name:" />
<TextBlock Text="{Binding SelectedItem.Name, ElementName=ListBox}" />
</StackPanel>
```

The IsSynchronizedWithCurrentItem property is now not needed to update the selected user's name in the TextBlock, because the SelectedItem property will take care of that. However, setting it to True in this case will ensure that the first item in the ListBox is selected and that the TextBlock will initially output the name of that item's user. Let's continue looking at the forward slash notation.

If you are trying to data bind to a property of an item in a collection, where the collection is itself an item of a parent collection, we can use the forward slash notation multiple times in a single binding path:

{Binding CollectionPropertyName/InnerCollectionPropertyName}

To clarify, this path would bind to the PropertyName property of the selected item of the collection specified by the InnerCollectionPropertyName property, which itself is the selected item of the collection specified by the CollectionPropertyName property.

Let's move on from collections now, to Attached Properties. In order to data bind to an Attached Property, we need to use a slightly different syntax from that used in code; we need to enclose the property name in parenthesis, along with the class name:

```
{Binding (ClassName.PropertyName) }
```

Note that when the Attached Property is a custom-declared property, we must include the XAML namespace prefix inside the parenthesis with its separating colon:

{Binding (XmlNamespacePrefix:ClassName.PropertyName)}

Typically, when binding to Attached Properties, we also need to specify the binding target as well as the target property. The binding target will generally either be the object that the binding is set on, or another UI element, so we tend to see the RelativeSource or ElementName properties being used in these situations:

```
{Binding Path=(Attached:TextBoxProperties.Label),
RelativeSource={RelativeSource AncestorType={x:Type TextBox}}}
```

We'll see an extended version of this example later in the book, but in short, it binds to the <code>TextBoxProperties.Label</code> Attached Property of the parent control of type <code>TextBox</code>. It is called from within a <code>ControlTemplate</code> and so, the parent textbox is the templated parent of the control that is being data bound.

Escaping invalid characters

When using the PropertyPath syntax mini-language, there may be the odd occasion when we need to escape certain characters that are used in the syntax. In general, the backslash (\) is used as the escape character and the only characters that we need to escape are as follows.

The most common character that we may need to escape in our bind paths is the closing curly bracket (}), which signals the end of a markup section. Also, if you need to use an actual backslash in your binding path, then you must escape it by preceding it with another backslash.

The only two other characters that we need to escape are the equals sign (=) and the comma character (,), which are both used to define binding paths. All other characters that we are likely to use in a binding path are deemed to be valid.

Note that there is a special character to use if we need to escape a character when inside an indexer binding expression. In these cases, instead of using the backslash character, we need to use the caret character (^) as the escape character.

Also note that when explicitly declaring bindings in XAML, we need to escape the ampersand (&) and the greater than sign (>) by replacing them with their XML Entity forms. If you need to use these characters, then replace the ampersand with & and replace the greater than sign with >.

Exploring the Binding class

The Binding class has more properties than we have space to discuss here, but we'll cover the most important ones in detail shortly, and briefly look at other notable properties momentarily. The Binding class is the top-level class in each binding, but internally it uses a lower-level class that maintains the connection between the binding source and binding target.

The BindingExpression class is that underlying object. When using MVVM, developers do not typically access this inner class, as we tend to keep our functionality in our View Models. However, if we are writing custom controls, then it can be useful to be aware of it.

It can be used to programmatically update the associated binding source in certain circumstances and we'll find out about that later in the chapter. For now, let's focus on what the Binding class can do for us.

In .NET 4.5, a great new property was added to the Binding class. The Delay property enables us to specify an amount of time in milliseconds with which to delay the update of the binding source after a change has been made to the binding target property value.

This is really useful if we are performing some heavy computational validation or other processing dependent upon the user's input in a TextBox element for example. To clarify this functionality further, this delay is actually restarted each time the data bound property value changes, or each key press in our example. It is typically used to update the binding source in chunks, each time the user pauses, or completes typing, somewhat like buffering:

```
<TextBox Text="{Binding Description,
UpdateSourceTrigger=PropertyChanged, Delay=400}" />
```

The FallbackValue property is another useful property when it comes to performance. In order to return a value from each binding, the WPF Framework does up to four things. The first is to simply validate the target property type with the data bound value. If successful, it will then try to resolve the binding path.

Most of the time, this will work, but if not, it will then attempt to find a converter to return the value. If it can't find one, or the located converter returns the DependencyProperty.UnsetValue value, it will then look to see if the FallbackValue property has a value to provide it with. If there is no fallback value, then a lookup is required to find the default value of the target Dependency Property.

By setting the FallbackValue property, we can do two things to improve performance, albeit in a slight way. The first is that, it will stop the WPF Framework from performing the lookup of the default value of the target Dependency Property. The second is that it will prevent trace statements from being fed to the **Output** window in Visual Studio and to any other trace outputs that have been setup.

The TargetNullValue property is similar to the FallbackValue property in that it enables us to provide some output when there is no data bound value from the binding source. The difference is that the FallbackValue property value is output when a data bound value cannot be resolved, while the TargetNullValue property value is used when the successfully resolved data bound value is null.

We can use this functionality to display a more humanized value than null, or even to provide a default message in our textbox controls for example. To do this, we could set our data bound string properties to null and set a suitable value to the TargetNullValue property:

```
<TextBox Text="{Binding Name, TargetNullValue='Please enter your name'}" />
```

Of course, this message will actually appear in the TextBox control, so it's not an ideal way of providing this functionality. We'll see a better example of this later in the book, but now, let's continue our exploration of the Binding class.

If we have any properties in our View Model that access their data asynchronously, or if they are calculated by a heavy computational process, then we need to set the <code>IsAsync</code> method to <code>True</code> on the binding:

```
<Image Source="{Binding InternetSource, IsAsync=True,
FallbackValue='pack://application:,,/CompanyName.ApplicationName;
component/Images/Default.png'}" />
```

This stops the UI from being blocked while waiting for the data bound property to be calculated, or otherwise resolved. Until the binding source is resolved, the fallback value is used, if set, or the default value will be used otherwise. In this example, we are providing a default image to be displayed until the actual image is downloaded from the internet and the binding source is resolved.

Another useful property of the Binding class is the StringFormat property. As the name hints, this uses the string.Format method internally to format our data bound text output. There are, however, a few caveats to using this functionality. The first is that we can only use a single format item, that is represented by the single data bound value in a normal binding. We'll find out how to use multiple values later in the chapter.

Secondly, we need to declare our format carefully, as curly brackets are used by the markup extensions and we cannot use the double quote characters ("), as the binding is already declared within double quotes. One solution is to use single quotes to surround our format string:

```
<TextBlock Text="{Binding Price, StringFormat='{0:C2}'}" />
```

Another option is to escape the format by preceding it with a pair of curly brackets:

```
<TextBlock Text="{Binding Price, StringFormat={}{0:C2}}" />
```

Most of the useful binding properties have now been discussed here, but it should be noted that there are a number of properties in the Binding class that are not typically used when building a WPF application with MVVM. This is because they involve event handlers and we do not normally implement event handlers when using MVVM.

For example, the three NotifyOnSourceUpdated, NotifyOnTargetUpdated and NotifyOnValidationError properties relate to the raising of the Binding.SourceUpdated, Binding.TargetUpdated and Validation.Error Attached Events.

Likewise, the three ValidatesOnDataErrors, ValidatesOnExceptions, ValidatesOnNotifyDataErrors and ValidationRules properties all relate to the use of the ValidationRule class. This is a very UI-related way of validating, but this puts our business logic right into our Views component.

When using MVVM, we want to avoid this blending of components. We therefore tend to work with data elements rather than UI elements, and so we perform these kind of duties in our Data Model and/or View Model classes instead. We'll see this in Chapter 9, *Implementing Responsive Data Validation*, later in the book, but now let's take a deeper look at the most important properties of the Binding class.
Directing data bound traffic

The direction of data traversal in each binding is specified by the Binding.Mode property. There are four distinct directional instances declared in the BindingMode enumeration, plus an additional value. Let's first take a look at the directional values and what they represent.

The first and most common value reflects the most common situation, where data flows from the binding source, say, one of our View Models, to the binding target, represented by a UI control. This binding mode is called **One-Way** and is specified by the OneWay enumeration instance. This mode is used primarily for display only, or read-only purposes, and situations where the data bound values cannot be altered in the UI.

The next most common direction of travel is represented by the TwoWay enumeration instance and signifies that data is free to travel both from our View Models to the UI controls and also in the opposite direction. This is the most commonly used mode when data binding to form controls, when we want the users' changes to be reflected in our View Models.

The third directional enumeration instance is the OneWayToSource instance and is the opposite to the OneWay instance. That is, it specifies that data can only travel from the binding target, represented by a UI control, to the binding source, for example, one of our View Models. This mode is also useful for capturing user inputted date, when we don't need to alter the data bound values.

The final directional instance is similar to the OneWay instance, except that it only works once and is represented by the OneTime instance. While this mode will indeed only work one time, upon instantiation of its containing control, it will actually also update its value each time the DataContext property of the relevant binding is set. However, its purpose is that it provides better performance than the OneWay member and is only suitable for binding to non-changing data, so if the data will be updated, this is not the correct directional instance to use.

The final instance is named Default and as the name hints, is the default value of the Binding.Mode enumeration. It directs the binding to use the binding mode that was declared from the specified target property. When each Dependency Property is declared, we can specify whether a One or **Two-Way** binding mode should be used by default. If this is not specifically declared, then the property will be assigned a One-Way mode. We'll see this explained in more detail later in this chapter.

Binding to different sources

We generally set the binding source using the FrameworkElement.DataContext property. All UI controls extend the FrameworkElement class, so we can set our binding sources on any of them. This must be set for a binding to work, although it can be specified in the Path property, or inherited from ancestor controls, so it does not have to be explicitly set. Take a look at this simple example, which assumes that a suitable binding source has been correctly set on the parent control:

```
<StackPanel>
<TextBlock DataContext="{Binding User}" Text="{Binding Name}" />
<TextBlock DataContext="{Binding User}" Text="{Binding Age}" />
</StackPanel>
```

Here, we set the binding source of the first TextBlock to a User object and the path to the Name property from that source. The second is set likewise, but with the binding source path pointing to the Age property instead. Note that we have set the DataContext property to a User object on each TextBox control individually.

While this is perfectly valid XAML, you can imagine how tiresome it would be to do this on every control that we want to data bind to in a large form. As such, we tend to take advantage of the fact that the DataContext property can inherit its value from any of its ancestor controls. In this way, we can simplify this code by setting the DataContext on the parent control instead:

```
<StackPanel DataContext="{Binding User}">
<TextBlock Text="{Binding Name}" />
<TextBlock Text="{Binding Age}" />
</StackPanel>
```

In fact, when developing each Window or UserControl, it is customary to set the DataContext on these top-level controls, so that every contained control will have access to the same binding source. This is why we create a View Model for each Window or UserControl and specify that each View Model is responsible for providing all of the data and functionality that its related View requires.

There are a few alternative ways of specifying a binding source, other than setting the DataContext property. One way is to use the Source property of the binding and this enables us to explicitly override the binding source that is inherited from the parent DataContext, if one was set. Using the Source property, we are also able to data bind to resources, as we saw in our View Model Locator example, or static values, as shown in the following snippet:

```
<TextBlock Text="{Binding Source={x:Static System:DateTime.Today},
Mode=OneTime, StringFormat='{}© {0:yyyy} CompanyName'}" />
```

Another way involves the use of the RelativeSource property of the binding. Using this incredibly useful property of type RelativeSource, we can specify that we want to use the target control, or a parent of that control as the binding source.

It also enables us to override the binding source from the DataContext and is often essential when trying to data bind to View Model properties from DataTemplate elements. Let's adjust the earlier DataTemplate for our User Data Model to output a property from its normal DataContext that is set by the DataTemplate, and one from the View Model that is set as the DataContext of the parent control, using the AncestorType property of the RelativeSource class:

```
<DataTemplate DataType="{x:Type DataModels:User}">
    <StackPanel>
        <TextBlock Text="{Binding Name}" />
        <TextBlock Text="{Binding DataContext.UserCount,
            RelativeSource={RelativeSource Mode=FindAncestor,
            AncestorType={x:Type Views:UserView}}}" />
        </StackPanel>
</DataTemplate>
```

Note that setting the Mode property, that specifies the relative position of the binding source compared to the binding target, is optional here. Using the AncestorType property implicitly sets the Mode property to the FindAncestor instance, so we can declare the same binding without it, like this:

```
<TextBlock Text="{Binding DataContext.UserCount,
RelativeSource={RelativeSource
AncestorType={x:Type Views:UserView}}}" />
```

The Mode property is of the RelativeSourceMode enumeration type, which has four members. We've already seen an example of one instance, the FindAncestor member, although this can be extended using the related RelativeSource. AncestorLevel property, which specifies which level of ancestor in which to look for the binding source. This property is only really useful if a control has multiple ancestors of the same type, as in this following simplified example:

The TextBox in this example will output the word "Outer" at runtime because we have declared that the binding source should be the second ancestor of type StackPanel. If the AncestorLevel property had been set to one or omitted from the binding, then the TextBox would output the word "Inner" at runtime.

The next RelativeSourceMode enumeration instance is Self, which specifies that the binding source is the same object as the binding target. Note that when using the RelativeSource.Self property, the Mode property is implicitly set to the Self instance. We could use this property to data bind one property of a UI control to another, as in this following example, which sets the control's width value to its Height property to ensure that it remains a square regardless of the width:

```
<Rectangle Height="{Binding ActualWidth,
RelativeSource={RelativeSource Self}}" Fill="Red" />
```

The RelativeSource.TemplatedParent property is only used to access the properties of controls from inside a ControlTemplate. The templated parent refers to the object that has the ControlTemplate applied to it. When using the TemplatedParent property, the Mode property is implicitly set to the TemplatedParent instance of the RelativeSourceMode enumeration. Let's see an example:

In this example, the templated parent is the instance of the ProgressBar that will have this template applied to it and so, using the TemplatedParent property, we are able to access the various properties of the ProgressBar class from within the ControlTemplate. Furthermore, any binding source that is data bound to the Value property of the templated parent will also be data bound to the Text property of this internal TextBox element.

Moving on to the final RelativeSource property, PreviousData is only really useful when defining a DataTemplate for items in a collection. It is used to set the previous item in the collection as the binding source. While not often used, there can be situations where we need to compare values between neighboring items in a collection and we'll see a full example of this later in this chapter.

Although a far simpler option, the ElementName property of the Binding class also enables us to override the binding source set by the DataContext. It is used to data bind the property of one UI control to either the property of another control, or another property on the same control. The only requirement to use this property is that we need to name the element that we want to data bind to in our current control. Let's see an example:

```
<StackPanel Orientation="Horizontal" Margin="20">

<CheckBox Name="Checkbox" Content="Service" Margin="0,0,10,0" />

<TextBox Text="{Binding Service}"

Visibility="{Binding IsChecked, ElementName=Checkbox,

Converter={StaticResource BoolToVisibilityConverter}}" />

</StackPanel>
```

In this example, we have a CheckBox element and a TextBlock element. The Visibility property of the TextBlock element is data bound to the IsChecked property of the CheckBox element and we make use of the BoolToVisibilityConverter class that we saw earlier to convert the bool value to a Visibility instance. Therefore, when the user checks the CheckBox element, the TextBlock element will become visible.

The ElementName property can also be used as a shortcut to access the parent control's DataContext. If we name our View This for example, then we can use the ElementName property from within a data template to data bind to a property from the parent View Model:

```
<DataTemplate DataType="{x:Type DataModels:User}">
    <StackPanel>
        <TextBlock Text="{Binding Name}" />
        <TextBlock Text="{Binding DataContext.UserCount, ElementName=This}" />
        </StackPanel>
</DataTemplate>
```

When specifying these alternative binding sources, it is important to know that we can only use one of these three different methods at once. If we were to set more than one of the binding Source, RelativeSource, or ElementName properties, then an exception would be thrown from the binding.

Binding with priority

On the odd occasion, we may need to specify a number of source binding paths and want to map them to a single binding target property. One way that we can do this is to use the MultiBinding class and we'll see an example of this in the last section of this chapter. However, there is an alternative class that we can use that provides us with some additional functionality.

The PriorityBinding class enables us to specify multiple bindings and gives each a priority, with the bindings that are declared first having the highest priority. The special functionality of this class is that it will display the value from the first binding that returns a valid value and if that is not the binding with the highest priority, it will then update the display with the value from the highest priority binding when it is successfully resolved.

To clarify further, this enables us to specify a binding to a normal property that will resolve immediately, while the actual value that we want to data bind to is being downloaded, calculated, or otherwise being resolved over time. This enables us to supply a default image source while the actual required image is being downloaded, or to output a message until a calculated value is ready for display. Let's look at a simple XAML example:

```
<TextBlock>

<TextBlock.Text>

<PriorityBinding>

<Binding Path="SlowString" IsAsync="True" />

<Binding Path="FastString" Mode="OneWay" />

</PriorityBinding>

</TextBlock.Text>

</TextBlock>
```

In the preceding example, we set the PriorityBinding on the TextBlock.Text property and inside, specify two bindings. The first has the higher priority and has the actual property value that we want to display. Note that we set the IsAsync property to True, to specify that this binding will take some time to resolve and that it should not block the UI thread. The second binding is data bound to a normal property using a One-Way binding that simply outputs a message:

```
public string FastString
{
   get { return "The value is being calculated..."; }
}
```

By using the PriorityBinding element, this message will be output instantly and then updated with the actual value from the SlowString property when it is ready. Let's now move on and investigate one further type of Binding class.

Binding from within control templates

A TemplateBinding is a particular type of binding that is used within ControlTemplate elements in order to data bind to the properties of the type that is being templated. It is very similar to the RelativeSource.TemplatedParent property that we discussed earlier:

```
<ControlTemplate x:Key="ProgressBar" TargetType="{x:Type ProgressBar}">
...
<TextBlock Text="{TemplateBinding Value}" />
...
</ControlTemplate>
```

In this example from earlier that we have edited slightly, we see that declaring a TemplateBinding is far more straightforward and less verbose than performing the same binding using the RelativeSource.TemplatedParent property. Let's remind ourselves what that looked like:

```
<TextBlock Text="{Binding Value,
RelativeSource={RelativeSource TemplatedParent}}" />
```

If possible, it is generally preferable to use a TemplateBinding instead of the RelativeSource.TemplatedParent property and although they perform the same connection in the binding, there are a few differences between them. For example, a TemplateBinding is evaluated at compile time, which enables faster instantiation of control templates, whereas a TemplatedParent binding is not evaluated until runtime. Furthermore, it is a simpler form of binding and is missing a number of the Binding class properties, such as StringFormat and Delay. In addition, it places the extra constraints on the user, that it is permanently set to have a binding mode of OneWay and both binding target *and* binding source must be Dependency Properties. It was designed to be used in a single place with a single purpose and in that situation, it does its job well and more efficiently than its counterpart.

Binding source changes

At times, we may need to make changes to our binding sources and have those changes propagate to the binding target controls. We may want to set default values on a new form, clear old form values, or even set form labels from our View Models. In order to do this, our View Models *must* implement the INotifyPropertyChanged interface and this is why we build this implementation into our base View Model class.

When we data bind a binding source to a control in the UI, an event handler is attached to the PropertyChanged event of the source object. When a notification of a change to the property that is specified by the binding source property path is received, the control is updated with the new value.

It should be noted that the PropertyChanged event of the binding source will be null if no handler has specifically been attached and none of its properties have been data bound to UI controls. It is for this reason that we must always check for null, before raising this event.

All of the binding modes work in the direction of binding source to binding target, except for the OneWayToSource instance. However, only this and the TwoWay instance of the Binding.Mode enumeration propagate changes in the direction of the binding target to the binding source.

When the binding is working in either of these modes, it attaches a handler to the target control to listen for changes to the target property. When it receives notification of a change to the target property, its behavior is determined by the value of the binding's UpdateSourceTrigger property.

This property is of the enumeration type UpdateSourceTrigger, which has four members. The most common is the PropertyChanged instance and this specifies that the source property should be updated as soon as the target property has changed. This is the default value for most controls.

The LostFocus member is the next most common value and this specifies that the binding should update the binding source when the user moves focus from the data bound control. This option can be useful when we want to trigger validation once the user has completed entry in each textbox, rather than as they type.

The Explicit instance will not update the binding source without explicit instruction to do so. As we need to programmatically call the UpdateSource method of the internal BindingExpression object in order to propagate the changes to the binding source, this option is not generally used in our normal Views.

Instead, if used at all, we would find it in our CustomControl classes. Note that calling the UpdateSource method will do nothing if the binding mode is not set to one of the OneWayToSource or TwoWay instances.

If we had an instance of a textbox and we wanted to explicitly update the binding source that was data bound to its Text property, we can access the lower-level BindingExpression object from the BindingOperations.GetBindingExpression method and call its UpdateSource method:

```
BindingExpression bindingExpression =
BindingOperations.GetBindingExpression(textBox, TextBox.TextProperty);
bindingExpression.UpdateSource();
```

Alternatively, if our binding target control class extends the FrameworkElement class and most do, then we can simply call the GetBindingExpression method on it directly and pass in the Dependency Property key that we want to update the binding from:

textBox.GetBindingExpression(TextBox.TextProperty);

The last member of the UpdateSourceTrigger enumeration is the Default instance. This is similar to the Default instance of the Binding.Mode enumeration in that it uses the value specified by each target Dependency Property and is the default value of the UpdateSourceTrigger property. Again, we'll find out how to set the metadata for Dependency Properties later in this chapter.

Converting data bound values

There are many times when developing a WPF application, when we need to convert a data bound property value to a different type. For example, we might want to control the visibility of some UI elements with a bool property in our View Model, so that we can avoid having the UI-related Visibility enumeration instance in it.

We might want to convert different enumeration members to different Brush objects, or collections to string representations of the contained collection items. We've already seen a number of examples of the IValueConverter interface, but let's now take a bit more of a thorough look:

```
public interface IValueConverter
{
    object Convert(object value, Type targetType, object parameter,
        CultureInfo culture);
    object ConvertBack(object value, Type targetType, object parameter,
        CultureInfo culture);
}
```

As we've already seen, the value input parameter of type object is the data bound value of the binding. The object return type relates to the converted value that we want to return. The targetType input parameter specifies the type of the binding target property and is typically used to validate the input value to ensure that the converter is being used with the expected type of data.

The parameter input parameter is optionally used to pass an additional value through to the converter. If used, its value can be set using the Binding.ConverterParameter property. Finally, the culture input parameter provides us with a CultureInfo object to correctly format textual output, when working in a culturally-sensitive application. We'll return to this in a moment, but let's first look at an example of a converter that uses the parameter input parameter:

```
using System;
using System.Globalization;
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Data;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters
{
  [ValueConversion(typeof(Enum), typeof(bool))]
  public class EnumToBoolConverter : IValueConverter
  {
    public bool IsInverted { get; set; }
    public object Convert(object value, Type targetType, object parameter,
      CultureInfo culture)
    {
      if (value == null || parameter == null || (value.GetType() !=
        typeof(Enum) && value.GetType().BaseType != typeof(Enum)))
        return DependencyProperty.UnsetValue;
      string enumValue = value.ToString();
      string targetValue = parameter.ToString();
```

```
bool boolValue = enumValue.Equals(targetValue,
        StringComparison.InvariantCultureIgnoreCase);
     return IsInverted ? !boolValue : boolValue;
    }
   public object ConvertBack (object value, Type targetType,
     object parameter, CultureInfo culture)
    {
     if (value == null || parameter == null)
       return DependencyProperty.UnsetValue;
     bool boolValue = (bool)value;
     string targetValue = parameter.ToString();
     if ((boolValue && !IsInverted) || (!boolValue && IsInverted))
       return Enum.Parse(targetType, targetValue);
     return DependencyProperty.UnsetValue;
   }
 }
}
```

The idea of this converter is that we can data bind an enumeration property to a RadioButton or CheckBox control that specifies the name of a particular member. If the value of the data bound property matches the specified member, then the converter will return true and check the control. For all other enumeration members, the control will be unchecked. We could then specify a different member in each of a group of RadioButton controls, so that each member could be set.

In the class, we start by specifying the data types that are involved in the implementation of the converter in the ValueConversion attribute. Next, we see the IsInverted property that we saw in the BaseVisibilityConverter class that enables us to invert the output of the converter.

In the Convert method, we first check the validity of our value and parameter input parameters, and return the DependencyProperty.UnsetValue value if either are invalid. For valid values, we convert both parameters to their string representations. We then create a bool value by comparing the two string values. Once we have our bool value, we use it in conjunction with the IsInverted property to return the output value.

As with our other enumeration converter example, the ConvertBack method implementation is a little different again, as we are unable to return the correct enumeration instance for a false value; it could be any value except the value specified by the parameter input parameter.

As such, we are only able to return the specified enumeration instance if the data bound value is true and the IsInverted property is false, or if it is false and the IsInverted property is true. For all other input values, we simply return the DependencyProperty.UnsetValue property, which is preferred by the property system rather than the null value.

Let's see an example of this in use, with the BitRate enumeration that we saw in the previous chapter. Let's first look at the simple View Model:

```
using System.Collections.ObjectModel;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Enums;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Extensions;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels
{
 public class BitRateViewModel : BaseViewModel
    private ObservableCollection<BitRate> bitRates =
      new ObservableCollection<BitRate>();
    private BitRate bitRate = BitRate.Sixteen;
    public BitRateViewModel()
    {
      bitRates.FillWithMembers();
    }
    public ObservableCollection<BitRate> BitRates
      get { return bitRates; }
      set { if (bitRates != value) { bitRates = value;
        NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
    }
    public BitRate BitRate
    {
      qet { return bitRate; }
      set { if (bitRate != value) { bitRate = value;
        NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
    }
  }
}
```

This class just contains a collection of type BitRate, which will hold all possible members and a selection property of type BitRate, which we will data bind to the various RadioButton elements using our new converter.

Note the use of the FillWithMembers Extension Method in the constructor. Let's see that first:

```
public static void FillWithMembers<T>(this ICollection<T> collection)
{
    if (typeof(T).BaseType != typeof(Enum))
        throw new ArgumentException("The FillWithMembers<T> method can only be
        called with an enum as the generic type.");
    collection.Clear();
    foreach (string name in Enum.GetNames(typeof(T)))
        collection.Add((T)Enum.Parse(typeof(T), name));
}
```

In the FillWithMembers Extension Method, we first check that the collection that the method is called on is of an enumeration type and throw an ArgumentException if it's not. We then clear the collection, in case it has any pre-existing items in it. Finally, we iterate through the result of the Enum.GetNames method, parsing each string name to the relevant enumeration member and casting it to the correct type, before adding it to the collection.

Let's now see the XAML for the View:

```
<UserControl x:Class="CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.BitRateView"</pre>
 xmlns="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml/presentation"
 xmlns:x="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml"
 xmlns:Converters="clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters;
    assembly=CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters">
  <UserControl.Resources>
    <Converters:EnumToBoolConverter x:Key="EnumToBoolConverter" />
  </UserControl.Resources>
  <GroupBox Header="Audio Quality" HorizontalAlignment="Left"</pre>
   VerticalAlignment="Top" Padding="5">
    <StackPanel>
      <RadioButton Content="16 bits" IsChecked="{Binding BitRate,
        Converter={StaticResource EnumToBoolConverter},
        ConverterParameter=Sixteen}" VerticalContentAlignment="Center" />
      <RadioButton Content="24 bits" IsChecked="{Binding BitRate,
        Converter={StaticResource EnumToBoolConverter}, ConverterParameter=
        TwentyFour}" VerticalContentAlignment="Center" />
      <RadioButton Content="32 bits" IsChecked="{Binding BitRate,
        Converter={StaticResource EnumToBoolConverter},
        ConverterParameter=ThirtyTwo}" VerticalContentAlignment="Center" />
    </StackPanel>
  </GroupBox>
</UserControl>
```

In this View, we set up the Converters XAML namespace prefix and then declare an instance of the EnumToBoolConverter class in the Resources section. We then declare a StackPanel containing three RadioButton elements inside a GroupBox. Each RadioButton element is data bound to the same BitRate property from our View Model, using the converter from the resources.

Each button specifies a different enumeration member in its binding's ConverterParameter property and this is passed through to the converter in the parameter input parameter. If a RadioButton is checked, its true value is passed to the converter and converted to the value specified by its ConverterParameter value and the BitRate property is updated with that value. The output of this code looks like the following figure:



Note that if we had a large number of enumeration members, or the members were changed regularly, declaring each one manually in the UI like this example might not be such a good idea. In these cases, we could generate the same UI with less work, utilizing a DataTemplate object. We'll see an example of this later in this chapter, but for now, let's return to the input parameters of our converter.

The final input parameter in the Convert and ConvertBack methods is the culture parameter of type CultureInfo. In non-international applications, we can simply ignore this parameter, however if globalization plays a part in your application, then using this parameter is essential.

It enables us to correctly format any textual output that we may have in our converter using the object.ToString method and keep it in line with the rest of the text in the application. We can also use it in the various Convert class methods to ensure that numerals are also correctly output in the right format. Globalization is beyond the scope of this book and so we'll move on now.

Binding multiple sources to a single target property

In WPF, there is another, more common way to data bind to multiple binding sources at once and to perform some sort of conversion from the various values to a single output value. In order to achieve this, we need to use a MultiBinding object in conjunction with a class that implements the IMultiValueConverter interface.

The MultiBinding class enables us to declare multiple binding sources and a single binding target. If the Mode or UpdateSourceTrigger properties of the MultiBinding class are set, then their values are inherited by the contained binding elements, unless they have different values set explicitly.

The values from the multiple binding sources can be combined in one of two ways; their string representations can be output using the StringFormat property, or we can use a class that implements the IMultiValueConverter interface to generate the output value. This interface is very similar to the IValueConverter interface, but works with multiple data bound values instead.

When implementing the IMultiValueConverter interface, we do not set the ValueConversion attribute that we are accustomed to setting in the IValueConverter implementations that we have created.

In the Convert method that we need to implement, the value input parameter of type object from the IValueConverter interface is replaced by an object array named values, which contains our input values.

In the ConvertBack method, we have an array of type Type for the types of the binding targets and one of type object for the return types. Apart from these slight differences, these two interfaces are the same. Let's look at an example to help clarify the situation.

Imagine a scenario where a healthcare application needs to display a patient's weight measurements over time. It would be helpful if we could output an indicator of whether each consecutive measurement was higher or lower than the previous one, to highlight any unhealthy trends. This can be implemented using the RelativeSource.PreviousData property mentioned earlier, a MultiBinding object and an IMultiValueConverter class. Let's first take a look at how we implement the IMultiValueConverter interface:

```
using System;
using System.Globalization;
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Data;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters
{
  public class HigherLowerConverter : IMultiValueConverter
    public object Convert(object[] values, Type targetType,
      object parameter, CultureInfo culture)
    {
      if (values == null || values.Length != 2 ||
        !(values[0] is int currentValue) ||
        !(values[1] is int previousValue))
        return DependencyProperty.UnsetValue;
      return currentValue > previousValue ? "->" : "<-";</pre>
    }
    public object[] ConvertBack(object value, Type[] targetTypes,
      object parameter, CultureInfo culture)
    {
      return new object[2] { DependencyProperty.UnsetValue,
        DependencyProperty.UnsetValue };
    }
  }
}
```

We start our implementation with the customary validation of the input values. In this specific converter, we are expecting two values of type int, and so we use C# 6.0 Pattern Matching to verify that before continuing. If valid, we compare our two pre-cast values, returning the appropriate string-based direction arrow, dependent on the result of the comparison.

As the ConvertBack method is not required for our example, we simply return an object array that contains two DependencyProperty.UnsetValue values. Let's take a quick look at our View Model next:

```
using System.Collections.Generic;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels
{
```

}

```
public class WeightMeasurementsViewModel : BaseViewModel
{
   private List<int> weights =
      new List<int>() { 90, 89, 92, 91, 94, 95, 98, 99, 101 };
   public List<int> Weights
   {
     get { return weights; }
     set { weights = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
   }
}
```

Here, we have a very simple View Model, with just one field and property pair. We've just hardcoded a few test values to demonstrate with. Let's now take a look at our View:

```
<UserControl
 x:Class="CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.WeightMeasurementsView"
 xmlns="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml/presentation"
 xmlns:x="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml"
 xmlns:Converters="clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters;
   assembly=CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters"
 xmlns:System="clr-namespace:System;assembly=mscorlib">
 <UserControl.Resources>
   <Converters:HigherLowerConverter x:Key="HigherLowerConverter" />
 </UserControl.Resources>
  <Border BorderBrush="Black" BorderThickness="1" CornerRadius="5"
   HorizontalAlignment="Left" VerticalAlignment="Top">
   <ItemsControl ItemsSource="{Binding Weights}" Margin="20,20,0,20">
      <ItemsControl.ItemsPanel>
        <ItemsPanelTemplate>
          <StackPanel Orientation="Horizontal" />
        </ItemsPanelTemplate>
      </ItemsControl.ItemsPanel>
      <ItemsControl.ItemTemplate>
        <DataTemplate DataType="{x:Type System:Int32}">
          <StackPanel Margin="0,0,20,0">
            <TextBlock Text="{Binding}" />
            <TextBlock HorizontalAlignment="Center">
              <TextBlock.Text>
                <MultiBinding
                  Converter="{StaticResource HigherLowerConverter}">
                  <Binding />
                  <Binding
                    RelativeSource="{RelativeSource PreviousData}" />
                </MultiBinding>
              </TextBlock.Text>
            </TextBlock>
```

```
</StackPanel>
</DataTemplate>
</ItemsControl.ItemTemplate>
</ItemsControl>
</Border>
</UserControl>
```

After the Converters XAML namespace prefix and the declared HigherLowerConverter element in the Resources section, we have a bordered ItemsControl that is data bound to the Weights property of the View Model that is set as the DataContext of this View. Next, we see a horizontal StackPanel element being used as the ItemsPanelTemplate in the ItemsControl.ItemsPanel property. This simply makes the collection control display items horizontally instead of vertically.

Note that in the following DataTemplate object, we need to specify the data type and so need to import the System namespace from the mscorlib assembly to reference the Int32 type. The binding to the Text property in the first TextBlock specifies that it is binding to the whole data source object, which is simply an integer in this case.

The binding to the Text property in the second TextBlock is where we are using our MultiBinding and IMultiValueConverter elements. We set our HigherLowerConverter class to the Converter property of the MultiBinding object and inside this, we specify two Binding objects. The first is again binding to the integer value and the second uses the RelativeSource.PreviousData property to data bind to the previous integer value. Let's now see the output of this example:

90 89 92 91 94 95 98 99 101 <- -> <- -> -> -> -> ->

Each value after the first have an arrow displayed underneath, that specifies whether it is higher or lower than the previous value. While the visual output of this example could be improved, it does still highlight the worrying trend of the weight measurements continually increasing towards the end of the sample data. This useful technique can be used in any situation when we need to compare current data values with previous values, such as when displaying share prices, or stock levels.

Dependency Properties

We've already seen some examples of Dependency Properties in previous chapters, but now let's take a more thorough look. We have a large number of options that we can use when declaring these properties, with some more commonly used than others. Let's investigate the standard declaration first, by defining an Hours property of type int in a class named DurationPicker:

```
public static readonly DependencyProperty HoursProperty =
   DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(Hours), typeof(int),
   typeof(DurationPicker));
public int Hours
{
   get { return (int)GetValue(HoursProperty); }
   set { SetValue(HoursProperty, value); }
}
```

As with all Dependency Properties, we start by declaring the property as static and readonly because, we only want a single, immutable instance of it. This also enables us to access it without an instance of our class.

Unlike normal CLR properties, we do not store the values of our Dependency Properties in private fields that back the properties. Instead, default values are stored directly within the metadata of each DependencyProperty object and altered values are stored in a separate array in the DependencyObject instance that the Dependency Property value was set on.

Let's clarify this a little further and remember that all of the built-in controls extend the DependencyObject class. This means that the altered values of the TextProperty Dependency Property for example, which was declared in the TextBox class, are stored in the actual TextBox instance that the property value was changed on. This is the main reason why bindings can only be set on a Dependency Property of a Dependency Object.

An array of values exists in each DependencyObject instance and contains the values of all of its declared Dependency Properties that have been explicitly set on it. This is a very important point. This means that by default, with no changed values, the array is empty and therefore, the memory footprint is very small. This is converse to a CLR class, where each property has a memory footprint, whether it is set or not. The result of this arrangement is that it saves a huge amount of memory, because only Dependency Property values that have been explicitly set will be stored in the array of values, while default values are read directly from the Dependency Property objects instead.

The fact that this array of changed values exists in the DependencyObject class explains why we need to call its GetValue and SetValue methods to access and set the values of our Dependency Properties. Our HoursProperty here is merely the identifier, known as the **Dependency Property Identifier**, whose GlobalIndex property value is used to access the relevant value from that array.

Note that the values in this array are of type <code>object</code>, so that it can work with any object type. This explains why we need to cast the return value of the <code>GetValue</code> method from <code>object</code> to the appropriate type in the getter of our CLR wrapper property. Let's now examine what happens internally when we declare a Dependency Property.

In the DependencyProperty class, there is a private

static Hashtable named PropertyFromName, which holds references to every registered Dependency Property in the application and is shared among all instances of the class. To declare each property and create our key to the Hashtable, we use the Register method of the DependencyProperty class.

This method has a number of overloads, but all of them require the following information; the name and type of the property and the type of the declaring class, or *owner type* as Microsoft prefer to call it. Let's look into this process in a bit more depth.

When we register a Dependency Property using one of the Register methods, the provided metadata is first validated and replaced with default values, if required. Then a private RegisterCommon method is called and inside it, a class named FromNameKey is used to generate the unique key from the name and owner type of the Dependency Property to create. It does this by creating a unique hash code, by combining the results from calling the object.GetHashCode method on both the name and owner type passed to it.

After the FromNameKey object has been created, the PropertyFromName collection is checked for this key and an ArgumentException is thrown if one already exists within it. If it is unique, then the default metadata and default value are validated and set from input parameters, or automatically generated if missing.

After this step, the actual DependencyProperty instance is created using the new keyword and a private constructor. This internal instance is then added to the PropertyFromName Hashtable, using the FromNameKey object as the unique key, and then returned to the caller of the Register method, to be stored locally in the public static readonly Dependency Property Identifier.

Note that the overloaded Register methods both have an additional input parameter of type PropertyMetadata and we'll investigate this in the next section. For now, let's focus on the last overload, which also enables us to attach a ValidateValueCallback handler to our property.

As the name suggests, this is solely used for validation purposes and we cannot alter the data bound value in this method. Instead, we are simply required to return true or false to specify the validity of the current value. Let's see how we can attach this handler to our property and what its method signature is:

```
public static readonly DependencyProperty HoursProperty =
   DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(Hours), typeof(int),
   typeof(DurationPicker), new PropertyMetadata(12), ValidateHours));
private static bool ValidateHours(object value)
{
   int intValue = (int)value;
   return intValue > 0 && intValue < 25;
}</pre>
```

Note that the ValidateValueCallback delegate does not provide us with any reference to our class and so, we cannot access its other properties from this static context. In order to compare the current value with other property values, or to ensure that certain conditions are met, we can use another overload of the PropertyMetadata input parameter of the DependencyProperty.Register method and we'll see this shortly. But let's now return to focus on the PropertyMetadata input parameter.

Setting metadata

Using the overloads of the PropertyMetadata constructor, we can optionally set a default value for the property and attach handlers to be called when the value changes, or when it is being re-evaluated. Let's update our example to attach a PropertyChangedCallback handler now:

```
public static readonly DependencyProperty HoursProperty =
   DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(Hours), typeof(int),
   typeof(DurationPicker), new PropertyMetadata(OnHoursChanged));
private static void OnHoursChanged(DependencyObject dependencyObject,
   DependencyPropertyChangedEventArgs e)
{
   // This is the signature of PropertyChangedCallback handlers
}
```

Note that our PropertyChangedCallback handler must also be declared as static in order to be used from the static context of the declared DependencyProperty as shown in the preceding code. However, we may have a situation where we need to call an instance method rather than a static method and in these cases, we can declare an anonymous method that calls our instance method like this:

```
public static readonly DependencyProperty HoursProperty =
   DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(Hours),
   typeof(int), typeof(DurationPicker),
   new PropertyMetadata((d, e) => ((DurationPicker)d).OnHoursChanged(d,e)));
private void OnHoursChanged(DependencyObject dependencyObject,
   DependencyPropertyChangedEventArgs e)
{
   // This is the signature of non-static PropertyChangedCallback handlers
}
```

Anonymous methods comprised of Lambda expressions can appear confusing, so let's first extract the relevant code:

(d, e) => ((DurationPicker)d).OnHoursChanged(d, e))

This could be re-written to make the example somewhat clearer:

```
(DependencyObject dependencyObject, DependencyPropertyChangedEventArgs e)
=>
  ((DurationPicker)dependencyObject).OnHoursChanged(dependencyObject, e))
```

Now we can clearly see the input parameters of the PropertyChangedCallback handler, followed by the anonymous method body. Inside this method, we simply cast the dependencyObject input parameter to the type of the declaring class and then call the non-static method from the cast instance of the class, passing the input parameters through, if required.

As we saw in the Chapter 2, *Debugging WPF Applications*, the CLR properties that provide convenient access to our Dependency Properties will not be called by the WPF Framework when their values change. Using this PropertyChangedCallback handler is how we are able to perform actions upon value changes, or to debug the changing values.

The last overload of the PropertyMetadata constructor additionally enables us to set a CoerceValueCallback handler, which provides the platform for us to ensure that our values remain within valid ranges. Unlike the PropertyChangedCallback delegate, it requires us to return the output value of the property, so this enables us to alter the value before returning it. Here is a simple example that shows how we can adjust our property values:

```
public static readonly DependencyProperty HoursProperty =
   DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(Hours),
   typeof(int), typeof(DurationPicker),
   new PropertyMetadata(0, OnHoursChanged, CoerceHoursValue));
...
private static object CoerceHoursValue(DependencyObject dependencyObject,
   object value)
{
   // Access the instance of our class from the dependencyObject parameter
   DurationPicker durationPicker = (DurationPicker)dependencyObject;
   int minimumValue = 1, maximumValue = durationPicker.MaximumValue;
   int actualValue = (int)value;
   return Math.Min(maximumValue, Math.Max(minimumValue, actualValue));
}
```

In this simple example, we first cast the dependencyObject input parameter, so that we can access its MaximumValue property. Let's assume that our DurationPicker control can work with either twelve or twenty-four hour time formats and so we need to determine the current upper hour limit. We can therefore constrain our Hours property value to be between one and this upper limit.

When using the CoerceValueCallback handler, there is a special case that enables us to effectively cancel a change in value. If your code detects what your requirements specify to be a wholly invalid value, then you can simply return the DependencyProperty.UnsetValue value from the handler.

This value signals to the property system that it should discard the current change and return the previous value instead. You could even use this technique to selectively block changes to a property until a certain condition is met elsewhere in the class, for example.

That sums up the useful but fairly limited options that we have with our PropertyMetadata object, although it should be noted that there are a number of classes that derive from this class that we can use in its place and each have their own benefits. The UIPropertyMetadata class directly extends the PropertyMetadata class and adds the ability to disable all animations of the property value via its IsAnimationProhibited property.

Additionally, the FrameworkPropertyMetadata class further extends the UIPropertyMetadata class and provides us with the ability to set property inheritance, the default Binding.Mode and Binding.UpdateSourceTrigger values of the property, and a variety of FrameworkPropertyMetadataOptions flags that affect layout.

Let's take a look at some of the FrameworkPropertyMetadataOptions members. If we think that most users will want to use Two-Way data binding with our property, then we can declare it with the BindsTwoWayByDefault instance. This has the effect of switching the Binding.Mode from the default OneWay member to the TwoWay member on all bindings to our property:

```
public static readonly DependencyProperty HoursProperty =
   DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(Hours), typeof(int),
   typeof(DurationPicker), new FrameworkPropertyMetadata(0,
   FrameworkPropertyMetadataOptions.BindsTwoWayByDefault, OnHoursChanged,
   CoerceHoursValue));
```

Another commonly used flag is the Inherits instance, which specifies that the property value can be inherited by child elements. Think of the FontSize or Foreground properties that can be set on a Window and inherited by each control inside it.

Note that if we want to create a Dependency Property using this Inherits member, then we should declare it as an Attached Property, as property value inheritance works better with Attached Properties. We will find out more about this soon, in a subsequent section, but now let's continue. Next is the SubPropertiesDoNotAffectRender member, which can be used to streamline performance, and we'll find out more about this particular instance in Chapter 12, Deploying Your Masterpiece Application.

The last commonly used options are the AffectsArrange, AffectsMeasure, AffectsParentArrange and AffectsParentMeasure members. These are typically used with Dependency Properties that have been declared in custom panels, or other UI controls, where the property value affects the look of the control and changes to it need to cause a visual update.

It should also be noted that this FrameworkPropertyMetadataOptions enumeration is declared with the FlagsAttribute attribute, which signifies that we can also allocate a bitwise combination of its instance values, and therefore set multiple options for each of our Dependency Properties:

```
public static readonly DependencyProperty HoursProperty =
   DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(Hours), typeof(int),
   typeof(DurationPicker), new FrameworkPropertyMetadata(0,
   FrameworkPropertyMetadataOptions.BindsTwoWayByDefault |
   FrameworkPropertyMetadataOptions.AffectsMeasure, OnHoursChanged,
   CoerceHoursValue));
```

In order to set the default value for the Binding.UpdateSourceTrigger property, we need to use the most heavily populated constructor, passing all six input parameters:

```
public static readonly DependencyProperty HoursProperty =
   DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(Hours), typeof(int),
   typeof(DurationPicker), new FrameworkPropertyMetadata(0,
   FrameworkPropertyMetadataOptions.BindsTwoWayByDefault, OnHoursChanged,
   CoerceHoursValue, false, UpdateSourceTrigger.PropertyChanged));
```

Note that it is perfectly fine to pass null values for the callback handlers, if we don't need to use them. The false after the CoerceValueCallback handler value sets the IsAnimationProhibited property of the UIPropertyMetadata class. The UpdateSourceTrigger value set here will be used on all bindings to this property that have not explicitly set the UpdateSourceTrigger property on the binding, or have set the UpdateSourceTrigger.Default member to the binding property.

Now that we have fully investigated the various options that we have when we declare Dependency Properties using the Register method of the DependencyProperty class, let's take a look at the another registration method from this class.

Declaring read-only Dependency Properties

Typically, read-only Dependency Properties are most commonly found in custom controls in situations where we need to data bind to a value, but do not want it to be publicly accessible. It might be a property that holds some relation to an on screen visual, a mid calculation point, or previous value, but generally, we don't want the users of our framework to be able to data bind to it.

Let's imagine a scenario where we want to create a button that will enable us to set a tooltip message to display when the control is disabled, in addition to the normal tooltip message. In this case, we could declare one Dependency Property to hold the disabled tooltip message and another to store the value of the original tooltip when displaying the disabled tooltip. This original tooltip property is a perfect candidate to be a read-only Dependency Property. Let's see what this property looks like:

```
private static readonly DependencyPropertyKey originalToolTipPropertyKey =
  DependencyProperty.RegisterReadOnly("OriginalToolTip", typeof(string),
  typeof(TooltipTextBox), new PropertyMetadata());
public static readonly DependencyProperty OriginalToolTipProperty =
  originalToolTipPropertyKey.DependencyProperty;
public static string GetOriginalToolTip(DependencyObject dependencyObject)
{
  return (string)dependencyObject.GetValue(OriginalToolTipProperty);
}
```

As you can see, we use a different syntax to declare read-only Dependency Properties. Instead of returning the DependencyProperty identifier that is returned from the Register method, the RegisterReadOnly method returns a DependencyPropertyKey object.

This object is typically declared with a private access modifier, to stop it from being externally used with the DependencyObject.SetValue method. However, this method can be used within the class that registered the read-only property to set its value.

The DependencyProperty property of the DependencyPropertyKey object is used to return the actual DependencyProperty identifier that is used to access the property value from the dictionary that we discussed earlier.

The input parameters of the RegisterReadOnly methods offer the same options as those of the standard Register method, although there is one less overload. Unlike the Register method, when calling the RegisterReadOnly methods, we always need to provide the PropertyMetadata object, although we can pass a null value if we do not need what it provides.

One very important point to note is that when data binding to a read-only Dependency Property, we *must* set the binding Mode property to the OneWay enumeration member. Failure to do so will result in an error at runtime. We've now covered the creation of normal Dependency Properties in some detail, so let's move on to take a look at a different kind Dependency Property.

Registering Attached Properties

The DependencyProperty class enables us to register one further, special type of Dependency Property. These properties are like the Extension Methods of XAML, as they enable us to extend existing classes with our own functionality. They are of course, Attached Properties.

We've already seen some examples of them earlier in this book and we'll see further examples later, but in this chapter, we'll cover their registration. We can declare Attached Properties in exactly the same ways that we can create Dependency Properties and have all of the same various options of setting metadata and attaching handlers.

There are several overloads of the RegisterAttached and RegisterAttachedReadOnly methods that mirror the Register and RegisterReadOnly methods in input parameters and functionality. However, instead of declaring a CLR wrapper for our Attached Properties, we are required to declare a pair of getter and setter methods to access and set their values. Let's see another example from the TextBoxProperties class:

```
public static DependencyProperty IsFocusedProperty =
   DependencyProperty.RegisterAttached("IsFocused",
   typeof(bool), typeof(TextBoxProperties),
   new PropertyMetadata(false, OnIsFocusedChanged));

public static bool GetIsFocused(DependencyObject dependencyObject)
{
   return (bool)dependencyObject.GetValue(IsFocusedProperty);
}

public static void SetIsFocused(DependencyObject dependencyObject,
   bool value)
{
```

```
dependencyObject.SetValue(IsFocusedProperty, value);
}
public static void OnIsFocusedChanged(DependencyObject dependencyObject,
    DependencyPropertyChangedEventArgs e)
{
    TextBox textBox = dependencyObject as TextBox;
    if ((bool)e.NewValue && !(bool)e.OldValue && !textBox.IsFocused)
        textBox.Focus();
}
```

Here, we have the declaration of a bool Attached Property named IsFocused with a PropertyMetadata element that specifies a default value and a PropertyChangedCallback handler. Like the CLR property wrappers for Dependency Properties, these getter and setter methods will not be called by the WPF Framework. They are typically declared both public and static.

However, there is one situation where we do not need to declare these methods as public. If we want to create a Dependency Property whose value can be inherited by its children, then we should declare it using the RegisterAttached method, even if we don't require an Attached Property. In this situation, we are not required to publicly expose our property getter and setter.

Although we can specify the FrameworkPropertyMetadataOptions.Inherits metadata option upon the declaration of Dependency Properties and their value inheritance might work in some situations, it is not guaranteed in other situations. As Attached Properties are global properties in the property system, we can be assured that their property value inheritance will work in all situations.

Returning to our example, our PropertyChangedCallback handler is a simple affair. It casts the dependencyObject property to the type of control that the property is attached to, in this case, a TextBox. It then verifies that the data bound bool value has been set from false to true and that the control is not already focused. If these conditions are verified, the control is then focused.

This Attached Property can be data bound to a bool property in a View Model like this:

```
xmlns:Attached="clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Attached"
...
<TextBox Attached:TextBoxProperties.IsFocused="{Binding IsFocused}"
Text="{Binding User.Name}" />
```

The attached TextBox control can then be focused from the View Model at any time using this following method:

```
private void Focus()
{
   IsFocused = false;
   IsFocused = true;
}
```

Note that we need to ensure that the variable is false before setting it to true, as it is the actual changing of the value that will trigger the control to become focused. Now that we know how to declare our own custom Dependency Properties, let's turn our attention to the rules that govern the way they are set.

Prioritizing value setting sources

As we have already seen, there are a number of ways of setting the values of Dependency Properties; we can set them directly in code, locally in XAML, or through the use of our CoerceValueCallback handlers for example. However, there are many more ways that they can be set. For example, they can also be set in styles, animations, or through property inheritance to name but a few.

When we data bind our View Model properties to Dependency Properties and find that the displayed value is not what we are expecting, one reason for this can be because another method of setting the property has a higher precedence and so, overrides our expected value. This is because all the methods of setting the values of Dependency Properties are ordered in terms of importance in a list called the Dependency Property Setting Precedence List. Let's take a look at that now:

- 1. Property system coercion
- 2. Animated properties
- 3. Local value
- 4. Template properties
- 5. Implicit style (only applies to the Style property)
- 6. Style triggers
- 7. Template triggers
- 8. Style setters
- 9. Default (theme) style
- 10. Inheritance
- 11. Default value from Dependency Property metadata

Last on the list, with the lowest precedence at position eleven, are the default values that are specified in the Dependency Property declarations. Next up the list are changes caused by property inheritance. Remember that this can be defined in our Dependency Properties using the Inherits instance of the FrameworkPropertyMetadataOptions enumeration in the FrameworkPropertyMetadata input parameter of the

DependencyProperty.Register method. Let's see an example of this to highlight this order of precedence:

```
<StackPanel TextElement.FontSize="20">

<TextBlock Text="Black Text" />

<StackPanel Orientation="Horizontal" TextElement.Foreground="Red">

<TextBlock Text="Red Text" />

</StackPanel>

</StackPanel>
```

In this first example, the TextBlock control in the outer StackPanel has its Foreground color set to black by the default value that was set in the data bound Text property. However, the TextBlock control inside the inner StackPanel has its default Foreground property value overridden by the TextElement.Foreground Attached Property value that is set on its parent control. It inherits the value of this property from the StackPanel and this demonstrates that properties set through property inheritance have a higher precedence than properties set with default values.

However, default property values that are set in theme styles follow on the precedence list, with the next lowest priority, and override property values set through inheritance. As it is quite difficult to come up with a short XAML example for this, we'll skip over this item and move onto the next. At number eight on the list, we have property values that have been set by style setters. Let's adjust our earlier example to demonstrate this:

```
<StackPanel TextElement.FontSize="20">

<TextBlock Text="Black Text" />

<StackPanel Orientation="Horizontal" TextElement.Foreground="Red">

<TextBlock Text="Red Text" Margin="0,0,10,0" />

<TextBlock Text="Green Text">

<TextBlock Text="Green Text">

<TextBlock.Style>

<Style TargetType="{x:Type TextBlock}">

<Style TargetType="{x:Type TextBlock}">

</Style>

</TextBlock.Style>

</TextBlock.Style>

</TextBlock.Style>

</StackPanel>
```

In this example, the TextBlock control in the outer StackPanel still has its Foreground color set to black by the default value of the data bound Text property. The top TextBlock control inside the inner StackPanel still has its default Foreground property value overridden by the TextElement.Foreground value from its parent control. However, now we can also see that values that are set in a Style will override inherited property values. This is the output of this code snippet:

Black Text Red Text Green Text

Next, at number seven on the precedence list, we have template triggers, which override property values that are set with style setters and all other previously mentioned methods of setting values. Note that this specifically deals with triggers that are declared within templates, such as the ControlTemplate, and does not relate to triggers that are declared within any Style.Triggers collections. Let's look at an example:

```
<Button Content="Blue Text" FontSize="20">
  <Button.Style>
    <Style TargetType="{x:Type Button}">
      <Setter Property="Foreground" Value="Green" />
      <Setter Property="Control.Template">
        <Setter.Value>
          <ControlTemplate TargetType="{x:Type Button}">
            <ContentPresenter />
            <ControlTemplate.Triggers>
              <Trigger Property="IsEnabled" Value="True">
                <Setter Property="Foreground" Value="Blue" />
              </Trigger>
            </ControlTemplate.Triggers>
          </ControlTemplate>
        </Setter.Value>
      </Setter>
    </Style>
  </Button.Style>
</Button>
```

In this example, we have declared a button and overridden its ControlTemplate, defining a new, minimal markup for it. In the style, we have set the Foreground property value to green in a setter. However, in our ControlTemplate, we have a Trigger that will override this value and set it to blue when its condition is met. Note that if we changed the trigger condition to false or removed the whole trigger, the button text would then become green, as set by the style.

Next up the list at position six are triggers that are declared within Style.Triggers collections. One important point to note here is that this only relates to styles that are either declared inline locally, in the current control's Resources section, or in the application resources file and not to default styles, which have a lower precedence value. We can extend our previous example by adding a new trigger into the Style.Triggers collection to highlight this new priority:

```
<Button Content="Orange Text" FontSize="20">
  <Button.Style>
    <Style TargetType="{x:Type Button}">
      <Setter Property="Foreground" Value="Green" />
      <Setter Property="Control.Template">
        <Setter.Value>
          <ControlTemplate TargetType="{x:Type Button}">
            <ContentPresenter />
            <ControlTemplate.Triggers>
              <Trigger Property="IsEnabled" Value="True">
                <Setter Property="Foreground" Value="Blue" />
              </Trigger>
            </ControlTemplate.Triggers>
          </ControlTemplate>
        </Setter.Value>
      </Setter>
      <Style.Triggers>
        <Trigger Property="IsEnabled" Value="True">
          <Setter Property="Foreground" Value="Orange" />
        </Trigger>
      </Style.Triggers>
    </Style>
  </Button.Style>
</Button>
```

When running this example, our text is now orange. The Foreground property value that is set by the trigger in the Triggers collection of the style has overridden the value set by the template trigger, which itself has overridden the value set by the style setter. Let's move on.

At number five on the list, we have implicit styles. Note that this special level of precedence only applies to the Style property and no others. A style can be implicitly set to all members of a type by specifying the target type and being declared without an x:Key directive set. Here is an example:

```
<Style TargetType="{x:Type Button}">
<Setter Property="Foreground" Value="Green" />
</Style>
```

The relevant style must either be declared in the current XAML page, or the Application.Resources section of the App.xaml file. Styles from themes are not included here, as they have a lower value precedence. Note that this special position in the list was only added in .NET 4 and is omitted from the .NET 3 documentation on the docs.microsoft.com website.

Next up the list at position four are properties that are set within either a ControlTemplate or a DataTemplate. If we set a property directly on any element within a template, that value will override all values set by methods with lower precedence. For example, if we directly set the Foreground property on the ContentPresenter from our previous example, then its value will override all other settings in that example and the button text will be red:

```
<ControlTemplate TargetType="{x:Type Button}">
<ContentPresenter TextElement.Foreground="Red" />
<ControlTemplate.Triggers>
<Trigger Property="IsEnabled" Value="True">
<Setter Property="Foreground" Value="Blue" />
</Trigger>
</ControlTemplate.Triggers>
</ControlTemplate>
```

At position three on the list, we have locally set values. To demonstrate this, we could just set the Foreground property on the actual button from the last full example, but instead let's highlight an extremely common mistake that a lot of developers make. Imagine a situation where we want to output a value predominantly in one color, but in another color under certain circumstances. Some developers might try something like this:

```
<TextBlock Text="{Binding Account.Amount, StringFormat={}{0:C}}"
Foreground="Green">
<TextBlock.Style>
<Style TargetType="{x:Type TextBlock}">
<Style.Triggers>
<DataTrigger Binding="{Binding Account.IsOverdrawn}" Value="True">
<Setter Property="Foreground" Value="Red" />
</DataTrigger>
```

```
</Style.Triggers>
</Style>
</TextBlock.Style>
</TextBlock>
```

Upon running this example, some might expect this to work and be stumped when it doesn't. The reason why this doesn't work is because local property settings have a higher value setting precedence than properties set by style triggers. The solution to correcting this mistake is to use our new found knowledge of this value setting precedence list and move the local property setting to a style setter, which has a lower precedence than the trigger:

```
<TextBlock Text="{Binding Account.Amount, StringFormat={}{0:C}}">

<TextBlock.Style>

<Style TargetType="{x:Type TextBlock}">

<Setter Property="Foreground" Value="Green" />

<Style.Triggers>

<DataTrigger Binding="{Binding Account.IsOverdrawn}" Value="True">

<Setter Property="Foreground" Value="Red" />

</DataTrigger>

</Style.Triggers>

</Style.Triggers>

</Style>

</TextBlock.Style>

</TextBlock>
```

Now, the TextBlock.Foreground property will be set to green from the style setter and overridden by the trigger when the condition is true, as expected. Let's continue up the list to position two. In the penultimate position, we have property values that are set by animations. A very simple example can demonstrate this nicely for us:

```
<Rectangle Width="300" Height="300" Fill="Orange">

<Rectangle.Triggers>

<EventTrigger RoutedEvent="Loaded">

<BeginStoryboard>

<Storyboard Storyboard.TargetProperty="Width">

<DoubleAnimation Duration="0:0:1" To="50" AutoReverse="True"

RepeatBehavior="Forever" />

</Storyboard>

</BeginStoryboard>

</Rectangle.Triggers>

</Rectangle>
```

In this example, the animation overrides the locally set value of the Width property and the rectangle grows and shrinks as planned. If we think logically about this, then it is clear that the animation system had to feature at a very high position on the property setting precedence list. Otherwise, if it was much lower down the list, we wouldn't be able to animate anything.

However, properties that are set by animations are at number two of the list, which means that there is one place that a property can be set that will override even values set by animations. At number one on the list of Dependency Property Setting Precedence, with the absolutely highest priority setting, is the property coercion system that we discussed in the *Dependency Properties* section.

This could only really happen if we built a custom control that animated a custom Dependency Property that had particular requirements placed upon it, such as specifying that it should have a certain maximum or minimum value. In this case, we could enforce these rules in a CoerceValueCallback handler that is attached to the Dependency Property.

If we had these requirements that were enforced by the property coercion system, yet wanted to animate them in the UI, it again makes perfect sense that we would want our coerced values to override the values set by the animation. In this way, we could rest assured that our coerced property values will remain within the bounds that we set for them at all times.

Data templates

We've already seen a number of simple examples of the DataTemplate, but they are such an important part of WPF that we're going to have a much more thorough look at them now. In short, we use a DataTemplate to define how we want particular data objects to be rendered in the UI.

If we were to data bind a particular type of object to a UI control without providing a DataTemplate for it, the WPF Framework would not know how to display it. Let's highlight this with an example:

```
<ItemsControl ItemsSource="{Binding Users}" />
```

In these cases, the best job that the WPF Framework can do is to display a string representation of each object. It achieves this by calling the object. ToString method on the data object and setting that value to the Text property of a TextBlock, which it uses to display the object. If this method has not been overridden in the object's class, this will result in the name of the type of the object being displayed in its place:

CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.User CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.User CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.User

Knowing that the WPF Framework will call the <code>ToString</code> method on our data objects before displaying them enables us to take a shortcut, or a simple alternative to defining a <code>DataTemplate</code>, if we only need a textual output in the UI. Therefore, it is always a good idea for us to override the <code>object.ToString</code> method to output some meaningful display:

```
public override string ToString()
{
   return Name;
}
```

This will result in the following output:

James Smith Robert Johnson Maria Garcia

Note that Visual Studio IntelliSense also calls the <code>ToString</code> method on our data objects before displaying them, so the benefit of providing a custom implementation for it is doubled. As such, we often add an abstract method into our base class to ensure that all derived classes will implement this method:

```
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels
{
    public abstract class BaseDataModel : INotifyPropertyChanged
    {
```
. . .

```
public abstract override string ToString();
}
```

Returning to the topic of data templates now, let's first take a look at a better example for our User objects and then investigate where we can declare our data templates:

```
<DataTemplate x:Key="UserTemplate" DataType="{x:Type DataModels:User}">
  <Border BorderBrush="Black" BorderThickness="1" CornerRadius="5"
    Padding="5" Margin="0,0,0,5">
        <StackPanel Orientation="Horizontal">
            <TextBlock Text="{Binding Name}" Margin="0,0,3,0" />
            <TextBlock Text="{Binding Age, StringFormat={}({0})}" />
        </StackPanel>
    </Border>
</DataTemplate>
```

In this example, we simply output the user's name in one TextBlock and their age in another. Note the use of the StringFormat property to surround the age in brackets in the output. Let's now see how this DataTemplate renders our User objects:



Primarily, we can declare our data templates in one of four main places. The first is in line with the control that the related data object or objects will be displayed in. We have two main options for this too, depending on the number of data objects that we have to display.

If we have a single object to display, we can utilize the ContentControl element to display it and the ContentControl.ContentTemplate property to define the DataTemplate element that it should use to render the data object:

```
<ContentControl Content="{Binding Users[0]}">
<ContentControl.ContentTemplate>
<DataTemplate DataType="{x:Type DataModels:User}">
```

```
...
</DataTemplate>
</ContentControl.ContentTemplate>
</ContentControl>
```

Similarly, in a collection control, or ItemsControl, such as the ListBox control, we can declare our DataTemplate directly in the ItemTemplate property:

```
<ListBox ItemsSource="{Binding Users}">
<ListBox.ItemTemplate>
<DataTemplate DataType="{x:Type DataModels:User}">
...
</DataTemplate>
</ListBox.ItemTemplate>
</ListBox>
```

The next place that we can declare our data templates is in the Resources section of the control that will display the data object or objects. Here is our ContentControl now:

```
<ContentControl Content="{Binding Users[0]}"
ContentTemplate="{StaticResource UserTemplate}">
<ContentControl.Resources>
<DataTemplate x:Key="UserTemplate" DataType="{x:Type DataModels:User}">
...
</DataTemplate x:Key="UserTemplate" DataType="{x:Type DataModels:User}">
...
</DataTemplate>
</ContentControl.Resources>
</ContentControl.Resources>
```

We can also declare our data templates in the Resources section of the Window or UserControl that contains the control that displays the data objects. If we have multiple data objects, then we can set our data template like this:

```
<UserControl.Resources>

<DataTemplate x:Key="UserTemplate" DataType="{x:Type DataModels:User}">

...

</DataTemplate>

</UserControl.Resources>

<ListBox ItemsSource="{Binding Users}"

ItemTemplate="{StaticResource UserTemplate}" />
```

The last place that we can define our data templates is in the Application.Resources section of the App.xaml file. When the WPF framework searches for a data template for particular data type, it first searches the local Resources section of the control that is applying the template.

If it finds no match for the type, it then searches the Resources collection of the parent control and then the parent of that control and so on. If it still does not find a data template with a matching type, then it will search through the Application.Resources section of the App.xaml page.

We can use this order of lookup to our advantage. We often declare our default data templates in the Application.Resources section of the App.xaml page, as these resources are available application wide. If we need to override our default data templates, to display a particular output in a particular View, we can declare a new data template with the same x:Key directive locally in the View's Resources section.

As the local Resources section is searched before the application resources, it will use the locally declared data template instead of the default one. Another way of overriding our default templates is to declare them without setting their x:Key directives:

```
<DataTemplate DataType="{x:Type DataModels:User}">
    ...
</DataTemplate>
```

Resources that are declared in this way are implicitly applied to all data objects of the appropriate type that do not have a data template explicitly applied. Therefore, in order to override these default data templates, we can simply declare a new data template and explicitly set it to the relative template property using its x:Key directive. Let's now look at one further way of specifying a data template.

Taking complete control

At times, we might want to display different objects of the same type in different ways, depending on the values of their properties. For example, with a collection of objects that represent vehicles, you might want to have different displays for different types of vehicle, as trucks have different specifications to motor boats. The DataTemplateSelector class enables us to do just that.

When extending the DataTemplateSelector class, we can override its single SelectTemplate method. In this method, we are provided with both the data object and the data bound object and can select different data templates to return, dependent on the data object's property values.

Let's see a very simple example, where we return one of two data templates based on the User's age. We'll first need to declare another DataTemplate for our User type:

In this template, we have simply inverted the colors of the background and foreground from those in the first template. Let's now see our DataTemplateSelector class that will reference both this and the other DataTemplate element:

```
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Controls;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.DataTemplateSelectors
{
  public class UserAgeDataTemplateSelector : DataTemplateSelector
    public override DataTemplate SelectTemplate(object item,
      DependencyObject container)
    {
      FrameworkElement = container as FrameworkElement;
      if (element != null && item != null && item is User user)
      {
        if (user.Age < 35) return
          (DataTemplate)element.FindResource("InverseUserTemplate");
        else return (DataTemplate)element.FindResource("UserTemplate");
      }
      return null;
    }
  }
}
```

In this example, we first defensively cast the container input parameter to an object of type FrameworkElement, using the as keyword. We then perform the standard null checks for this new object and the other input parameter and use the is keyword to pattern match the correct type and automatically cast the item parameter to a User object, if it's of the right type. If it is, then we call the FindResource method on our FrameworkElement object, to return the appropriate data template, dependent upon the value of the Age property. Otherwise, we return null.

The FrameworkElement.FindResource method first searches the calling object for the data template and then its parent element, and so on, up the logical tree. If it doesn't find it in any parent element in the application window, it then looks through the App.xaml file. If it still does not find it there, it then searches in the themes and system resources.

The container input parameter is used to access the FindResource method. Note that it will typically be of type ContentPresenter if we're using a normal collection control, so we could have cast it to that type in order to access the data templates.

However, the default container could be overridden to use one of the parent classes that the ContentPresenter class is derived from. Therefore, to avoid the possibility of exceptions, it is safer to cast it to the FrameworkElement class that actually declares the FindResource method.

Let's see how we can use this class now. First, we need to add the XAML namespace prefix for our DataTemplateSelectors namespace:

```
xmlns:DataTemplateSelectors=
   "clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.DataTemplateSelectors"
```

Then we need to add an instance of our UserAgeDataTemplateSelector class to a Resources section:

```
<DataTemplateSelectors:UserAgeDataTemplateSelector
    x:Key="UserAgeDataTemplateSelector" />
```

Finally, we set our resource selector to the ItemTemplateSelector property:

```
<ItemsControl ItemsSource="{Binding Users}" Padding="10"
ItemTemplateSelector="{StaticResource UserAgeDataTemplateSelector}" />
```

When running the application now, we'll see this new output:



Note that DataTemplateSelector classes are typically used with very different templates, such as those that make up the different editing or viewing modes of a custom control. Slight differences like those in our simple example can be far easier achieved using style triggers and we'll find out more about triggers and styles in the next chapter.

Displaying hierarchical data

There is one class in the .NET Framework that extends the DataTemplate class in order to support UI controls that extend the HeaderedItemsControl class. As it sounds, the HeaderedItemsControl class represents a particular kind of ItemsControl element that has a header. Examples include the MenuItem, TreeViewItem, and ToolBar classes.

The HierarchicalDataTemplate class was created to display hierarchical Data Models. To clarify a little further, a hierarchical data Model is a data Model that contains a collection property with items of the same type as the parent object. Think of the folder view in the Windows Explorer window; each folder can contain further folders.

The main difference between the HierarchicalDataTemplate and the DataTemplate class is that the HierarchicalDataTemplate class has an ItemsSource property that we can use to bind the children of each item to.

In addition to the ItemsSource property, there are a number of other item-related properties, such as the ItemContainerStyle, ItemStringFormat and ItemTemplate properties. We'll find out more about what these other properties do in the next chapter, but for now, let's look at an example.

There are plenty of HierarchicalDataTemplate examples that demonstrate the use of TreeViewItem elements to be found online, so for this example, we'll see how we can build an application menu using data binding. First, we'll need a View Model to data bind to each MenuItem control. Let's take a look at our MenuItemViewModel class:

```
using System.Collections.ObjectModel;
using System.Windows.Input;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels
{
  public class MenuItemViewModel : BaseViewModel
  {
    private string header = string.Empty;
    private ICommand command = null;
    private ObservableCollection<MenuItemViewModel> menuItems =
      new ObservableCollection<MenuItemViewModel>();
    public string Header
    {
      get { return header; }
      set { if (header != value) { header = value;
        NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
    }
    public ICommand Command
    {
      get { return command; }
      set { if (command != value) { command = value;
        NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
    }
    public ObservableCollection<MenuItemViewModel> MenuItems
    {
      get { return menuItems; }
      set { if (menuItems != value) { menuItems = value;
        NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
    }
  }
}
```

In this simplified example, our View Model only declares three properties to data bind to the MenuItem control's properties. In a real application, we would typically add further properties, so that we could define the icon, or maybe the style of each menu item as well. However, continuing the example with our View Model, let's look at the class that would declare these View Models.

If an application has a menu control, it would typically reside in the MainWindow.xaml file. Therefore, the data bound MenuItemViewModel elements would be declared in the View Model that is data bound to the data context of that View. Let's look at the required properties:

```
private ObservableCollection<MenuItemViewModel> menuItems =
    new ObservableCollection<MenuItemViewModel>();
public ObservableCollection<MenuItemViewModel> MenuItems
{
    get { return menuItems; }
    set { if (menuItems != value) { menuItems = value;
    NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
}
```

An alternative to programmatically declaring the various menu item View Models would be to define the items in an XML file, read it in and generate the items from that at runtime. However, for the purpose of this simple example, let's just hard code some values to use, omitting the commands for brevity:

```
MenuItems.Add(new MenuItemViewModel() { Header = "Users",
   MenuItems = new ObservableCollection<MenuItemViewModel>() {
   new MenuItemViewModel() { Header = "Details",
   MenuItems = new ObservableCollection<MenuItemViewModel>() {
    new MenuItemViewModel() { Header = "Banking" },
   new MenuItemViewModel() { Header = "Personal" } } },
   new MenuItemViewModel() { Header = "Security" } } });
MenuItems.Add(new MenuItemViewModel() { Header = "Administration" });
MenuItems.Add(new MenuItemViewModel() { Header = "View" });
MenuItems.Add(new MenuItemViewModel() { Header = "Help",
   MenuItems = new ObservableCollection<MenuItemViewModel>() {
    new MenuItemViewModel() { Header = "Administration" });
```

While this code is somewhat difficult to read, it is far more compact than declaring each child item separately and then building up the hierarchy afterwards. The end result is the same, so let's now see what the required XAML looks like:

```
<Menu ItemsSource="{Binding MenuItems}" FontSize="14" Background="White">
<Menu.ItemContainerStyle>
<Style TargetType="{x:Type MenuItem}">
```

Here, we declare a Menu control and data bind our MenuItems collection to its ItemsSource property. The ItemContainerStyle enables us to define the style of the UI container that surrounds each of our data items. In this case, that control is a MenuItem control.

All we need to do in this style is to bind the Command property of our View Model to the Command property of the menu item. If we had declared any other properties in our View Model to map to the MenuItem class properties, then this style would be the place to data bind them.

As discussed earlier, the ItemTemplate property enables us to provide a data template, or in this case, our HierarchicalDataTemplate element, that will define how each item will be rendered. In the template declaration, we state the type of our data items and specify the collection property that contains the child items.

Inside the template, we simply output the value of the Header property in a TextBlock element. This will represent the name of each menu item. Let's see what this will all look like when the application is running now:

			r		\sim
Users	Administratio	n v	lew	пеір	
0)etails 🔹 🕨		Ban	king	
Security			Pers	sonal	
					15

Data binding to enumeration collections

We've already seen a number of examples of data binding to enumeration instances. We've seen converters that we can use to convert our enumeration values and Extension Methods that we can use to extract additional information from each member. Earlier in this chapter, we even saw a full but basic example using our BitRate enumeration. Now, with our new found knowledge, let's see how we can improve that earlier example.

As noted, in the previous example, we manually declared a RadioButton control for each of our enumerations. While that is fine for our three member enumeration, it wouldn't make so much sense to use this method if we had a large number of members. Instead, let's think about how we could use a DataTemplate to declare how each member should be rendered. Let's remind ourselves how we declared each RadioButton in the previous example:

```
<RadioButton Content="16 bits" IsChecked="{Binding BitRate,
Converter={StaticResource EnumToBoolConverter},
ConverterParameter=Sixteen}" VerticalContentAlignment="Center" />
```

The first thing that we notice is the hardcoded Content value. Obviously, we can't do this in a DataTemplate, otherwise every member would be given the same label. This is a perfect place for us to use the EnumToDescriptionStringConverter converter that we created earlier, so let's update that now:

```
<UserControl.Resources>
...
<Converters:EnumToDescriptionStringConverter
    x:Key="EnumToDescriptionStringConverter" />
...
</UserControl.Resources>
...
<RadioButton Content="{Binding .,
    Converter={StaticResource EnumToDescriptionStringConverter}}"
    IsChecked="{Binding BitRate,
    Converter={StaticResource EnumToBoolConverter},
    ConverterParameter=Sixteen}" VerticalContentAlignment="Center" />
```

Next, we see that we have also hardcoded the Sixteen enumeration member to the ConverterParameter property, so we'll need to change that in our data template too. Our first attempt might be to simply data bind the whole data context from the data template, which in our case, is one of the enumeration instances:

```
<RadioButton Content="{Binding .,
Converter={StaticResource EnumToDescriptionStringConverter}}"
IsChecked="{Binding BitRate,
Converter={StaticResource EnumToBoolConverter},
ConverterParameter={Binding}}" VerticalContentAlignment="Center" />
```

However, if we do this and run the application, we will receive the following exception:

A 'Binding' cannot be set on the 'ConverterParameter' property of type 'Binding'. A 'Binding' can only be set on a DependencyProperty of a DependencyObject.

Unfortunately, we cannot data bind to the ConverterParameter property, as it was not declared as a Dependency Property. As we cannot data bind to this property from within our data template and no longer use the EnumToBoolConverter class to specify the selected enumeration instance, this will complicate our example somewhat.

One trick that we can use is to utilize the SelectedItem property of the ListBoxItem class to hold the value of our selected enumeration member instead. We can achieve this by data binding this property to the IsChecked property of each RadioButton using a RelativeSource.FindAncestor binding in our DataTemplate:

```
<RadioButton Content="{Binding .,
Converter={StaticResource EnumToDescriptionStringConverter}}"
IsChecked="{Binding IsSelected,
RelativeSource={RelativeSource AncestorType={x:Type ListBoxItem}},
FallbackValue=False}" VerticalContentAlignment="Center" />
```

Note that each data item in a collection control will be implicitly wrapped in a UI container element. In our case, we'll use a ListBox control and so our enumeration instances will be wrapped in ListBoxItem elements, but if we had chosen a ComboBox for example, then our items' containers would be ComboBoxItem elements. We'll find out more about this in the next chapter, but for now, let's continue looking at this example.

So, now we have data bound the Content property of the RadioButton to the description of each member from the DescriptionAttribute attribute declared in the enumeration and the IsChecked property to the IsSelected property of the ListBoxItem element. However, we have lost the connection to our selected enumeration property from the View Model.

In order to restore this connection, we can data bind the BitRate property to the SelectedItem property of the ListBox control. The WPF Framework implicitly connects this property with the IsSelected property of each ListBoxItem element and so our connection between the BitRate property and the IsChecked property of each button is now restored. Let's see the updated XAML:

```
<UserControl x:Class="CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.BitRateView"</pre>
 xmlns="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml/presentation"
 xmlns:x="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml"
 xmlns:Converters="clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters;
   assembly=CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters"
 xmlns:Enums="clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Enums;
   assembly=CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels">
 <UserControl.Resources>
    <Converters:EnumToBoolConverter x:Key="EnumToBoolConverter" />
 </UserControl.Resources>
 <GroupBox Header="Audio Quality" FontSize="14" Margin="20"
   HorizontalAlignment="Left" VerticalAlignment="Top" Padding="5">
   <ListBox ItemsSource="{Binding BitRates}"
     SelectedItem="{Binding BitRate}">
     <ListBox.ItemTemplate>
        <DataTemplate DataType="{x:Type Enums:BitRate}">
          <RadioButton Content="{Binding ., Converter={StaticResource
            EnumToDescriptionStringConverter}}"
            IsChecked="{Binding IsSelected,
            RelativeSource={RelativeSource
            AncestorType={x:Type ListBoxItem}}, FallbackValue=False}"
            VerticalContentAlignment="Center" />
        </DataTemplate>
      </ListBox.ItemTemplate>
   </ListBox>
 </GroupBox>
</UserControl>
```

To update our earlier example, we need to add the new Enums XAML namespace prefix, so that we can specify our BitRate enumeration type in the data template. Next, we need to update the content of our GroupBox element. Now we're using a ListBox control so that we can take advantage of its item selection capabilities.

We data bind our BitRates collection to the ItemsSource property and our selected BitRate property to the SelectedItem property of the ListBox. The one problem with this method is that as we're now using a ListBox element in our example, we can see it and its contained ListBoxItem objects. This is not how radio buttons are typically displayed:

16 bits 24 bits
○ 24 bits
0 - 1 - 1 - 1
◯ 32 bits

It's not a terrible problem and it can be easily fixed by declaring a few styles. We'll return to this example in the following chapter and demonstrate how we can style the ListBox element and its items to completely hide their use from the end users.

Summary

We've covered a lot of important information in this chapter, from examining the binding path syntax mini-language to exploring a number of different binding scenarios. We've investigated the plethora of options that we're afforded when declaring our own Dependency Properties and looked into the creation of Attached Properties, using some interesting examples. Finally, we examined the finer details of data templating and explored a number of ways of data binding to enumerations.

In the next chapter, we'll have an in-depth look at the various UI elements in the WPF Framework and their most relevant properties. We'll investigate when to customize them and when we need to create our own controls. We'll then explore the various ways of modifying existing controls in WPF and finally, take a detailed look at how to create our own custom controls.

5 Using the Right Controls for the Job

In this chapter, we'll first consider the existing controls that **Windows Presentation Foundation** (**WPF**) offers us and look at how we can use them to create the layouts that we require. We'll investigate the many ways that we can modify these controls to avoid the need to create new controls.

We'll examine the various levels of functionality that are built into the existing controls and then discover how to best declare our own controls when required. We'll take an in-depth look at the various options that we have and determine when it's best to use each one. Let's jump straight in and take a look at the various layout controls.

Investigating the built-in controls

There is a wide range of controls included in .NET Framework. They cover most common scenarios and it is rare that we will need to create our own controls in a typical form-based application. All of the UI controls tend to have their functionality built up from a large number of common base classes.

All controls will share the same core-level base classes that provide the core-level functionalities and then a number of derived framework-level classes that provide the functionality that is associated with the WPF Framework, such as data binding, styling, and templating. Let's take a look at an example.

Inheriting framework abilities

As with the base classes in our application framework, the built-in WPF controls also have an inheritance hierarchy, with each successive base class offering some additional functionality. Let's look at the Button class as an example. Here is the inheritance hierarchy of the Button control:

```
System.Object
System.Windows.Threading.DispatcherObject
System.Windows.DependencyObject
System.Windows.Media.Visual
System.Windows.UIElement
System.Windows.FrameworkElement
System.Windows.Controls.Control
System.Windows.Controls.ContentControl
System.Windows.Controls.Primitives.ButtonBase
System.Windows.Controls.Button
```

As with every object in .NET Framework, we start with the Object class, which provides low-level services to all classes. These include object comparison, finalization, and the ability to output a customizable string representation of each object.

Next is the DispatcherObject class, which provides each object with thread affinity and associates them with a Dispatcher object. The Dispatcher class manages a prioritized queue of work items for individual threads. Only the thread that the associated Dispatcher object was created on can access each DispatcherObject directly and this enables derived classes to enforce thread safety.

After the DispatcherObject class, we have the DependencyObject class, which enables all derived classes to use the WPF property system and declare Dependency Properties. The GetValue and SetValue methods that we call to access and set their values are also provided by the DependencyObject class.

Next up is the Visual class, which has the primary role of providing rendering support. All elements that are displayed in the UI will extend the Visual class. In addition to rendering each object, it also calculates their bounding box and provides support for hit testing, clipping, and transformations.

Extending the Visual class is the UIElement class, which provides a number of core services to all of its derived classes. These include the event and user input systems and the ability to determine the element's layout appearance and rendering behavior.

Following on from that is the FrameworkElement class, which provides the first framework-level members, building upon the foundation of the core-level classes that it extends. It is the FrameworkElement class that enables data binding through the DataContext property and styling through the Style property.

It also provides events that relate to an object's lifetime, an upgrade of the core-level layout system to a full layout system and improved support for animations, among other things. This is typically the lowest-level class that we might want to extend if we were creating our own basic elements, as it enables derived classes to partake in the majority of the WPF UI capabilities.

The Control class extends the FrameworkElement class and is the base class for most of the WPF UI elements. It provides appearance templating through the use of its ControlTemplate functionality and a host of appearance-related properties. These include coloring properties, such as Background, Foreground, and BorderBrush, along with alignment and typeface properties.

Extending the Control class is the ContentControl class, which enables controls to have one object of any CLR type as its content. This means that we can either set data objects or UI elements as the content, although we may need to provide a DataTemplate for the data objects if they are of a custom type.

The final class in the long line of parent classes that the Button class extends is the ButtonBase class. In fact, this is the base class for all buttons in WPF and it adds useful functionality for buttons. This includes automatically converting certain keyboard events to mouse events, so that users can interact with the buttons without using a mouse.

The Button class itself adds little to its inherited members with only three related bool properties; two that specify whether a button is the default button and one that specifies whether the button is a cancel button. We'll see an example of this shortly. It has an additional two protected overridden methods that get called when the button is clicked or when an automation peer is created for it.

While WPF enables us to modify existing controls to such a degree that we rarely need to create our own, it is important to be aware of this inheritance hierarchy so that we can extend the appropriate and most lightweight base class that fulfills our requirements when we need to.

For example, if we wanted to create our own custom button, it would typically make more sense to extend the ButtonBase class, rather than the Button class, and if we wanted to create a totally unique control, we could extend the FrameworkElement class. Now that we have a good understanding of the make-up of the available controls, let's see how they are displayed by the WPF layout system.

Laying it on the line

In WPF, the layout system is responsible for attaining the sizes of each element to be displayed, positioning them on screen, and then drawing them. As controls can be contained within other controls, the layout system works recursively, with each child control's overall position being determined by the position of its parent panel control.

The layout system first measures each child in each panel in what is known as a measure pass. During this pass, each panel calls the Measure method of each child element and they specify how much space they would ideally like to have; this determines the UIElement.DesiredSize property value. Note that this is not necessarily how much space they will be given.

After the measure pass comes the arrange pass, when each panel calls the Arrange method of each child element. During this pass, the panels generate the bounding boxes of each of their child elements, dependent upon their DesiredSize values. The layout system will adjust these sizes to add any required margins or additional adjustments that may be needed.

It returns a value to the input parameter of the panels' ArrangeOverride method and each panel performs its own specific layout behavior before returning the possibly adjusted value. The layout system performs any remaining required adjustments before returning execution to the panel and completing the layout process.

We need to be careful when developing our applications to ensure that we do not unnecessarily trigger additional passes of the layout system, as this can lead to poor performance. This can occur when adding or removing items in a collection, applying transforms on the elements, or by calling the UIElement.UpdateLayout method, which forces a new layout pass.

Containing controls

The existing controls can mostly be split into two main categories: those that provide layout support for other controls and those that make up the visible UI, and are arranged in it by the first category of controls. The first category of controls are of course panels and they provide a variety of ways to arrange their child controls in the UI.

Some provide resizing capabilities, while others don't, and some are more efficient than others, so it's important to use the right panel for the job at hand. Additionally, different panels offer different layout behaviors, so it is good to know what the available panels are and what they each offer us in terms of layout.

All panels extend the abstract Panel class, and that extends the FrameworkElement class so it has all of the members and functionality of that class. However, it doesn't extend the Control class and so it cannot inherit its properties. It therefore adds its own Background property to enable users to color the gaps between the panel's various items.

The Panel class also provides a Children property that represents the items in each panel, although we do not typically interact with this property unless creating a custom panel. Instead, we can populate this collection by simply declaring our child elements directly within the panel element in XAML.

We are able to do this because the Panel class specifies the Children property in a ContentPropertyAttribute attribute in its class definition. While the Content property of a ContentControl normally enables us to add a single item of content, we are able to add multiple items into panels because their Children property, which is set as the content, is a collection.

Another Panel class property that we might need to use is the IsItemsHost property, which specifies whether a panel is to be used as a container for the items of an ItemsControl element, or not. The default value is false, so it makes no sense to explicitly set this property to false. In fact, it is only ever required in a very particular situation.

That situation is when we are replacing the default panel of an ItemsControl, or one of its derived classes, such as a ListBox, in a ControlTemplate. By setting this property to true on a panel element in a ControlTemplate, we are telling WPF to place the generated collection elements in the panel. Let's see a quick example of this:

```
<ItemsControl ItemsSource="{Binding Users}">
<ItemsControl.Template>
<ControlTemplate TargetType="{x:Type ItemsControl}">
<StackPanel Orientation="Horizontal" IsItemsHost="True" />
```

```
</ControlTemplate>
</ItemsControl.Template>
</ItemsControl>
```

In this simple example, we are replacing the default internal items panel of the ItemsControl element with a horizontal StackPanel. Note that this is a permanent replacement and no one can make further changes to this without providing a new ControlTemplate. There is however a far easier way to achieve the same result and we saw an example of this in Chapter 4, *Becoming Proficient with Data Binding*:

In this alternative example, we simply provide a new ItemsPanelTemplate for the ItemsControl through its ItemsPanel property. Using this code, the internal panel can still be easily changed without the need to provide a new ControlTemplate and so when we don't want other users to be able to swap out the inner panel, we use the first method, otherwise, we use this method.

The Panel class also declares a ZIndex Attached Property, which can be used by child elements to specify a layered order within the panel. Child elements with higher values will appear above, or in front of, elements with lower values, although this property is ignored in panels that do not overlap their children. We'll see an example of this in the next section, so let's now focus on the panels that derive from the Panel class and what they offer us.

Canvas

The Canvas class enables us to explicitly position child elements using combinations of the Canvas.Top, Canvas.Left, Canvas.Bottom, and Canvas.Right Attached Properties. This is vaguely similar to the old Windows Forms system of control placement.

However, when using WPF, we don't typically layout UI controls in a Canvas. Instead, we tend to use them more for displaying shapes, constructing graphs, showing animations, or drawing applications. Take the following example:

```
<Canvas Width="256" Height="109" Background="Black">
<Canvas.Resources>
<Style TargetType="{x:Type Ellipse}">
<Setter Property="Width" Value="50" />
```

```
<Setter Property="Height" Value="50" />
     <Setter Property="Stroke" Value="Black" />
     <Setter Property="StrokeThickness" Value="3" />
   </Style>
 </Canvas.Resources>
 <Canvas Canvas.Left="3" Canvas.Top="3" Background="Orange"
   Width="123.5" Height="50">
   <Ellipse Canvas.Top="25" Canvas.Left="25" Fill="Cyan" />
 </Canvas>
 <Canvas Canvas.Left="129.5" Canvas.Top="3" Background="Orange"
   Width="123.5" Height="50" Panel.ZIndex="1" />
 <Canvas Canvas.Left="3" Canvas.Top="56" Background="Red" Width="250"
   Height="50" ClipToBounds="True">
   <Ellipse Canvas.Top="-25" Canvas.Left="175" Fill="Lime" />
 </Canvas>
 <Ellipse Canvas.Top="29.5" Canvas.Left="103" Fill="Yellow" />
</Canvas>
```

This example demonstrates a number of important points, so let's first see the visual output of this code before discussing it:



The top-left rectangle is the output from one canvas, and the top-right and bottom ones are from two other canvas instances. They are all contained within a parent canvas element with a black background. The three inner canvases are spaced to give the effect that they each have a border. They have been declared in the order of top-left, top-right, bottom, and the last element to be declared is, the middle circle. The left circle is being drawn in the top-left canvas and we can see where it is overlapping the canvas' apparent bottom border, which shows that it is not being clipped by its parent canvas. However, it is being clipped by the lower canvas element and this demonstrates that UI elements that are declared later will be displayed over the top of earlier declared elements.

Nevertheless, the second canvas to be declared is clipping the middle circle, which was the last declared element. This demonstrates that setting the Panel.ZIndex property on an element to any positive number will position that element above all others that have not explicitly set this property. The default value for this property is zero, so an element that has this property set to 1 will be rendered on top of all elements that have not explicitly set a value for it.

The next element to be declared is the bottom rectangle and the right circle is declared within it. Now, as this element is declared after the top canvases, you might expect that the right circle would overlap the upper-right canvas. While this would normally be the case, this won't happen with our example for two reasons.

The first, as we've just found out, is because the upper-right panel has a higher ZIndex property value than the lower panel and the second reason is because we have set the UIElement.ClipToBounds property to true, which is used by the Canvas panel to determine whether it should clip the visual content of any children that may lie outside the bounds of the panel.

This is commonly used with animations, to enable a visual to be hidden out of the panel bounds and then slid into view in reaction to some event. We can tell that the right circle has been clipped by its parent panel because we can see its apparent top border, which is outside its bounds.

The last element to be declared is the middle circle and we can see that, apart from the overlapping canvas element with the higher ZIndex property value, it overlaps all of the other elements. Note that the Canvas panel does not perform any kind of resizing on its children, so it is not typically used for generating form type UI.

DockPanel

The DockPanel class is primarily used in the top levels of the control hierarchy to lay out the top-level controls. It provides us with the ability to dock controls to various parts of the screen, for example, a menu docked at the top, a context menu on the left, a status bar at the bottom and our main View content control in the remainder of the screen:



This layout shown in the preceding diagram can be easily achieved with just the following XAML:

```
<DockPanel>
 <DockPanel.Resources>
   <Style TargetType="{x:Type TextBlock}">
     <Setter Property="HorizontalAlignment" Value="Center" />
     <Setter Property="VerticalAlignment" Value="Center" />
     <Setter Property="FontSize" Value="14" />
   </Style>
   <Style TargetType="{x:Type Border}">
     <Setter Property="BorderBrush" Value="Black" />
     <Setter Property="BorderThickness" Value="1" />
   </Style>
 </DockPanel.Resources>
 <Border Padding="0,3" DockPanel.Dock="Top">
   <TextBlock Text="Menu Bar" />
 </Border>
 <Border Padding="0,3" DockPanel.Dock="Bottom">
   <TextBlock Text="Status Bar" />
 </Border>
 <Border Width="100" DockPanel.Dock="Left">
   <TextBlock Text="Context Menu" TextWrapping="Wrap" />
 </Border>
 <Border>
   <TextBlock Text="View" />
 </Border>
</DockPanel>
```

We specify where we want each element within the panel to be docked using the DockPanel.Dock Attached Property. We can specify the left, right, top, and bottom of the panel. The remaining space is normally filled by the last child that does not explicitly set one of the Dock property. However, if that is not the behavior that we want, then we can set the LastChildFill property to false.

The DockPanel will automatically resize itself to fit its content unless its dimensions are specified, either explicitly using the Width and Height properties, or implicitly by a parent panel. If it and its children both have dimensions specified for them, there is a chance that certain children will not be provided with enough space and not be displayed correctly, as the last child is the only child that can be resized by the DockPanel. It should also be noted that this panel does not overlap its child elements.

Also note that the order that the children are declared in will affect the space and position that they are each provided with. For example, if we wanted the menu bar to fill the top of the screen, the context menu to take the remaining left side, and the View and the status bar to take the remaining space, we could just declare the context menu before the status bar:

This slight change would result in the following layout:



Grid

The Grid panel is by far the most commonly used when it comes to laying out typical UI controls. It is the most versatile and enables us to perform a number of tricks to end up with the layout that we require. It offers a flexible row- and column-based layout system that we can use to build UIs with a fluid layout. Fluid layouts are able to react and change size when users resize their application windows.

The Grid is one of the few panels that can resize all of its child elements depending on the space available, which makes it one of the most performance-intensive panels. Therefore, if we don't need the functionality that it provides, we should use a more performant panel, such as a Canvas or StackPanel.

The children of a Grid panel can each set their Margin property to be laid out using absolute coordinates, in a similar fashion to the Canvas panel. However, this should be avoided wherever possible, because that will break the fluidity of our UI. Instead, we typically define our desired layout using the grid's RowDefinitions and ColumnDefinitions collections and the Grid.Row and Grid.Column Attached Properties.

While we can again hard code exact widths and heights for our rows and columns, we usually try to avoid doing so for the same reason. Instead, we generally take advantage of the grid's sizing behavior and declare our rows and columns, predominantly using one of two values.

The first is the Auto value, which takes its size from its content and the second is the default * star-sized value, which takes all of the remaining space. Typically, we set all columns or rows to Auto except the one(s) that contain(s) the most important data, which is/are set to *.

Note that if we have more than one star-sized column, then the space is normally divided equally between them. However, if we need unequal divisions of the remaining space, then we can specify a multiplier number with the asterisk, which will multiply the proportion of space that that row or column will be provided with. Let's see an example to help to clarify this:

```
<Grid TextElement.FontSize="14" Width="300" Margin="10">
  <Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
    <ColumnDefinition Width="2.5*" />
    <ColumnDefinition />
    <ColumnDefinition />
  </Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
  <Grid.RowDefinitions>
    <RowDefinition />
    <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
 </Grid.RowDefinitions>
  <TextBlock Grid.ColumnSpan="3" HorizontalAlignment="Center"
   VerticalAlignment="Center" Text="Are you sure you want to continue?"
   Margin="40" />
 <Button Grid.Row="1" Grid.Column="1" Content="OK" IsDefault="True"
Height="26" Margin="0,0,2.5,0" />
 <Button Grid.Row="1" Grid.Column="2" Content="Cancel" IsCancel="True"
Height="26" Margin="2.5,0,0,0" />
</Grid>
```

This example demonstrates a number of points, so let's see the rendered output before continuing:



Here, we have a very basic confirmation dialog control. It is formed with a Grid panel with three columns and two rows. Note that a single star-sizing is used as the default width and height values for the ColumnDefinition and RowDefinition elements respectively; we do not need to explicitly set them and can simply declare empty elements. Also note that star-sizing will only work when the Grid panel has some size set on it, as we have done here.

Therefore, in our example, the second and third columns and the first row will use starsizing and take all of the remaining space. The first column also uses star-sizing, however, it specifies a multiplier value of 2.5. As such, it will be provided with two and a half times the amount of space that the other two columns will each have.

Note that this first column is only used to push the buttons in the other two columns to the correct position. While the TextBlock element is declared in the first column, it does not only reside in that column, because it has also specified the Grid.ColumnSpan Attached Property, which allows it to spread out across multiple columns. The Grid.RowSpan Attached Property does the same for rows.

The Grid.Row and Grid.Column Attached Properties are used by each element to specify which cell they should be rendered in. However, the default value for these properties is zero and so, when we want to declare an element within the first column or row of the panel, we can omit the setting of these properties, as has been done for the TextBlock in our example.

The **OK** button has been declared in the second row and column and sets the IsDefault key to true, which enables users to invoke it by pressing the *Enter* key on their keyboards. It is also responsible for the blue border on the button and we can use this property to style the default button differently in our own templates. The **Cancel** button sits next to it in the third column and sets the IsCancel property to true, which enables the users to select it by pressing the *Esc* key on their keyboards.

Note that we could have set the lower <code>RowDefinition.Height</code> property to 26 instead of setting that on each button explicitly and the end result would have been the same, as the <code>Auto</code> value would be calculated from their height anyway. Also, note that the <code>Margin</code> property has been set on a few elements here for spacing purposes only, rather than for absolute positioning purposes.

There are two other useful properties declared by the Grid class. The first is the ShowGridLines property, which as you can imagine, shows the borders of the rows and columns in the panel when set to true. While not really required for simple layouts as in the previous example, this can be useful while developing more complicated layouts. However, due to its poor performance, this feature should never be utilized in production XAML:

```
<Grid TextElement.FontSize="14" Width="300" Margin="10"
ShowGridLines="True">
...
</Grid>
```

Let's see what this looks like with visible grid lines now:



The other useful property is the IsSharedSizeScope Attached Property, which enables us to share sizing information between two or more Grid panels. We can achieve this by setting this property to true on a parent panel and then specifying the SharedSizeGroup property on the relevant ColumnDefinition and/or RowDefinition elements of the inner Grid panels.

There are a few conditions that we need to adhere to in order to get this to work and the first relates to scope. The IsSharedSizeScope property needs to be set on a parent element, but if that parent element is within a resource template and the definition elements that specify the SharedSizeGroup property are outside that template then it will not work. It will, however, work in the opposite direction.

The other point to be aware of is that star-sizing is not respected when sharing sizing information. In these cases, the star values of any definition elements will be read as Auto, so we do not typically set the SharedSizeGroup property on our star-sized column. However, if we set it on the other columns, then we will be left with our desired layout. Let's see an example of this:

```
<Grid TextElement.FontSize="14" Margin="10" IsSharedSizeScope="True">
  <Grid.RowDefinitions>
    <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
    <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
    <RowDefinition />
  </Grid.RowDefinitions>
  <Grid TextElement.FontWeight="SemiBold" Margin="0,0,0,3"</pre>
    ShowGridLines="True">
    <Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
      <ColumnDefinition Width="Auto" SharedSizeGroup="Name" />
      <ColumnDefinition />
      <ColumnDefinition Width="Auto" SharedSizeGroup="Age" />
    </Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
    <TextBlock Text="Name" />
    <TextBlock Grid.Column="1" Text="Comments" Margin="10,0" />
    <TextBlock Grid.Column="2" Text="Age" />
  </Grid>
  <Separator Grid.Row="1" />
  <ItemsControl Grid.Row="2" ItemsSource="{Binding Users}">
    <ItemsControl.ItemTemplate>
      <DataTemplate DataType="{x:Type DataModels:User}">
        <Grid ShowGridLines="True">
          <Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
            <ColumnDefinition Width="Auto" SharedSizeGroup="Name" />
            <ColumnDefinition />
            <ColumnDefinition Width="Auto" SharedSizeGroup="Age" />
          </Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
          <TextBlock Text="{Binding Name}" />
          <TextBlock Grid.Column="1" Text="Star-sized column takes all
            remaining space" Margin="10,0" />
          <TextBlock Grid.Column="2" Text="{Binding Age}" />
        </Grid>
      </DataTemplate>
    </ItemsControl.ItemTemplate>
  </ItemsControl>
</Grid>
```

In this example, we have an ItemsControl that is data bound to a slightly edited version of our Users collection from our earlier examples. Previously, all of the user names were of a similar length, so one has been edited to demonstrate this point more clearly. The ShowGridLines property has also been set to true on the inner panels for the same reason.

In the example, we first set the IsSharedSizeScope Attached Property to true on the parent Grid panel and then apply the SharedSizeGroup property to the definitions of the inner Grid controls, which are declared inside the outer panel and within the DataTemplate element. Let's see the rendered output of this code before continuing:

P Mastering Windows Presentation Foundation - Sherid –				
Name	Comments	Age		
James Smith Robert Johnson Maria Garcia	Star-sized column takes all remaining space Star-sized column takes all remaining space Star-sized column takes all remaining space	25 53 32		

Note that we have provided the same number of columns and group names for the columns inside and outside of the DataTemplate element, which is essential for this functionality to work. Also note that we have not set the SharedSizeGroup property on the middle column, which is star-sized.

Grouping just the other two columns will have the same visual effect as grouping all three, but without losing the star-sizing on the middle column. However, let's see what would happen if we also set the SharedSizeGroup property on the middle column definitions:

```
<ColumnDefinition SharedSizeGroup="Comments" />
```

As expected, we have lost the star-sizing on our middle column and the remaining space has now been applied to the last column:

P Mastering Windows Presentation Foundation - Sherid			
Name	Comments	Age	
James Smith Robert Johnson Maria Garcia	Star-sized column takes all remaining space Star-sized column takes all remaining space Star-sized column takes all remaining space	25 53 32	

The Grid panel within the template will be rendered for each item in the collection and so this will actually result in several panels, each with the same group names and therefore, also column spacing. It is important that we set the IsSharedSizeScope property to true on the Grid panel that is the common parent to all of the inner panels that we wish to share sizing information between.

StackPanel

The StackPanel is one of the WPF panels that only provides limited resizing abilities to its child items. It will automatically set the HorizontalAlignment and VerticalAlignment properties of each of its children to Stretch, as long as they don't have explicit sizes specified. In these cases alone, the child elements will be stretched to fit the size of the containing panel. This can be easily demonstrated as follows:

```
<Border Background="Black" Padding="5">
  <Border.Resources>
    <Style TargetType="{x:Type TextBlock}">
      <Setter Property="Padding" Value="5" />
      <Setter Property="Background" Value="Yellow" />
      <Setter Property="TextAlignment" Value="Center" />
    </Style>
  </Border.Resources>
  <StackPanel TextElement.FontSize="14">
    <TextBlock Text="Stretched Horizontally" />
    <TextBlock Text="With Margin" Margin="20" />
    <TextBlock Text="Centered Horizontally"
      HorizontalAlignment="Center" />
    <Border BorderBrush="Cyan" BorderThickness="1" Margin="0,5,0,0"</pre>
      Padding="5" SnapsToDevicePixels="True">
      <StackPanel Orientation="Horizontal">
        <TextBlock Text="Stretched Vertically" />
        <TextBlock Text="With Margin" Margin="20" />
        <TextBlock Text="Centered Vertically"
          VerticalAlignment="Center" />
      </StackPanel>
    </Border>
  </StackPanel>
</Border>
```

This panel literally lays each child element out one after the other, vertically by default, or horizontally when its Orientation property is set to Horizontal. Our example uses both orientations, so let's take a quick look at its output before continuing:



Our whole example is wrapped in a Border element with a black background. In its Resources section, we declared a few style properties for the TextBlock elements in our example. Inside the border, we declare our first StackPanel control, with its default vertical orientation. In this first panel, we have three TextBlock elements and another StackPanel wrapped in a border.

The first TextBlock element is automatically stretched to fit the width of the panel. The second adds a margin, but would otherwise also be stretched across the width of the panel. The third, however, has its HorizontalAlignment property explicitly set to Center and so it is not stretched to fit by the panel.

The inner panel has three TextBlock elements declared inside it and has its Orientation property set to Horizontal. Its children are therefore laid out horizontally. Its border is colored, so that it is easier to see its bounds. Note the use of the SnapsToDevicePixels property set on it.

As WPF uses device-independent pixel settings, thin straight lines can sometimes lie across individual pixel boundaries and appear anti-aliased. Setting this property to true will force the element to be rendered exactly in line with the physical pixels, using device-specific pixel settings and forming a clearer, sharper line.

The first TextBlock element in the lower panel is automatically stretched to fit the height of the panel. As with the elements in the upper panel, the second adds a margin, but would otherwise also be stretched across the height of the panel. The third, however, has its VerticalAlignment property explicitly set to Center and so it is not stretched vertically to fit by the panel.

As a side note, we have used the hexadecimal entity to add a new line in some of our text strings. This could also have been achieved using the <code>TextBlock.TextWrapping</code> property and hard coding a <code>Width</code> for each element, but this way is obviously far simpler.

UniformGrid

The UniformGrid panel is a lightweight panel that provides a simple way to create a grid of items, where each item is of the same size. We can set its Row and Column properties to specify how many rows and columns we want our grid to have. If we do not set one or both of these properties, the panel will implicitly set them for us, depending upon the available space it has and the size of its children.

It also provides us with a FirstColumn property that will affect the column that the first child item will be rendered in. For example, if we set this property to 2 then the first child will be rendered in the third column. This is perfect for a calendar control, so let's take a look at how we might create the following output using the UniformGrid:

РМа	astering	Window	s Pre	_		×
Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

As you can see, a calendar control often needs to have blank spaces in the first few columns and so the FirstColumn property achieves this requirement simply. Let's see the XAML that defines this calendar example:

```
<StackPanel TextElement.FontSize="14" Background="White">
  <UniformGrid Columns="7" Rows="1">
    <UniformGrid.Resources>
      <Style TargetType="{x:Type TextBlock}">
        <Setter Property="Height" Value="35" />
        <Setter Property="HorizontalAlignment" Value="Center" />
        <Setter Property="Padding" Value="0,5,0,0" />
      </Style>
    </UniformGrid.Resources>
    <TextBlock Text="Mon" />
    <TextBlock Text="Tue" />
    <TextBlock Text="Wed" />
    <TextBlock Text="Thu" />
    <TextBlock Text="Fri" />
    <TextBlock Text="Sat" />
    <TextBlock Text="Sun" />
  </UniformGrid>
  <ItemsControl ItemsSource="{Binding Days}" Background="Black"</pre>
   Padding="0,0,1,1">
    <ItemsControl.ItemsPanel>
      <ItemsPanelTemplate>
        <UniformGrid Columns="7" FirstColumn="2" />
      </ItemsPanelTemplate>
    </ItemsControl.ItemsPanel>
    <ItemsControl.ItemTemplate>
      <DataTemplate>
        <Border BorderBrush="Black" BorderThickness="1,1,0,0"
          Background="White">
          <TextBlock Text="{Binding}" Height="35"
            HorizontalAlignment="Center" Padding="0,7.5,0,0" />
        </Border>
      </DataTemplate>
    </ItemsControl.ItemTemplate>
  </ItemsControl>
</StackPanel>
```

We start with a StackPanel that is used to stack one UniformGrid panel directly above an ItemsControl that uses another one as its ItemsPanel and specifies a font size to use within the control. The top UniformGrid panel declares a single row of seven columns and some basic TextBlock styles. It has seven child TextBlock items that output the names of the days in a week.

The ItemsControl element has its Background property set to Black to black out days not in the current month, and its Padding set to make the background appear like a border to the right and bottom of the calendar. The top and left borders come from the individual cells in the UniformGrid panel. The ItemsControl.ItemsSource property is data bound to a Days property in our View Model, so let's take a look at that now:

```
private List<int> days = Enumerable.Range(1, 31).ToList();
...
public List<int> Days
{
  get { return days; }
  set { days = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
}
```

Note the use of the Enumerable.Range method to populate the collection. It provides a simple way to generate a contiguous sequence of integers from the supplied start and length input parameters. As a LINQ method, it is implemented using deferred execution and the actual values are not generated until actually accessed.

The second UniformGrid panel, which is set as the ItemsControl.ItemsPanel, only specifies that it should have seven columns, but leaves the number of rows to be calculated from the number of data bound items. Note also that we have hard coded a value of 2 to the FirstColumn property, although in a proper control, we would typically data bind the value for the relevant month to it instead.

Finally, we use a DataTemplate to define what each day on the calendar should look like. Note that we do not need to specify a value for its DataType property in this example, because we are data binding to the whole data source object, which in this case is just an integer. Let's now move on to investigate the WrapPanel panel.

WrapPanel

The WrapPanel panel is similar to StackPanel, except that it will stack its children in both directions by default. It starts by laying out the child items horizontally and when it runs out of space on the first row, it automatically wraps the next item onto a new row and continues to lay out the remaining controls. It repeats this process using as many rows as are required, until all of the items are rendered.

However, it also provides an Orientation property like StackPanel, and this will affect its layout behavior. If the Orientation property is changed from the default value of Horizontal to Vertical, then the panel's child items will be laid out vertically, from top to bottom until there is no more room in the first column. The items will then wrap to the next column and will continue in this way until all of the items have been rendered.

This panel also declares ItemHeight and ItemWidth properties that enable it to restrict items' dimensions and to produce a layout behavior similar to the UniformGrid panel. Note that the values will not actually resize each child item, but merely restrict the available space that they are provided with in the panel. Let's see an example of this:

```
<WrapPanel ItemHeight="50" Width="150" TextElement.FontSize="14">
 <WrapPanel.Resources>
   <Style TargetType="{x:Type Button}">
     <Setter Property="Width" Value="50" />
   </Style>
 </WrapPanel.Resources>
 <Button Content="7" />
 <Button Content="8" />
 <Button Content="9" />
 <Button Content="4" />
 <Button Content="5" />
 <Button Content="6" />
 <Button Content="1" />
 <Button Content="2" />
 <Button Content="3" />
 <Button Content="0" Width="100" />
 <Button Content="." />
</WrapPanel>
```

Note that while similar to the output of a UniformGrid panel, the output of this example could not actually be achieved with that panel, because one of the child items is a different size to the others. Let's see the visual output of this example:

7	8	9
4	5	6
1	2	3
(

We first declare the WrapPanel and specify that each child should only be provided with a height of 50 pixels, while the panel itself should be 150 pixels wide. In the Resources section, we set the width of each button to be 50 pixels wide, therefore enabling three buttons to sit next to each other on each row, before wrapping items to the next row.

Next, we simply define the eleven buttons that make up the panel's children, specifying that the zero button should be twice as wide as the others. Note that this would not have worked if we had set the ItemWidth property to 50 pixels, along with the ItemHeight property. In that case, we would have seen half of the zero button, with the other half covered by the period button and a blank space where the period button currently is.

Providing custom layout behavior

When the layout behavior of the built-in panels do not meet our requirements, we can easily define a new panel with custom layout behavior. All we need to do is to declare a class that extends the Panel class and to override its MeasureOverride and ArrangeOverride methods.

In the MeasureOverride method, we simply call the Measure method on each child item from the Children collection, passing in a Size element set to double.PositiveInfinity. This is equivalent to saying "set your DesriredSize property as if you had all of the space that you could possibly need" to each child item.

In the ArrangeOverride method, we use the newly determined DesriredSize property value of each child item to calculate its required position and call its Arrange method to render it in that position. Let's look at an example of a custom panel that positions its items equally around the circumference of a circle:

```
using System;
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Controls;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Panels
{
    public class CircumferencePanel : Panel
    {
        public Thickness Padding { get; set; }
        protected override Size MeasureOverride(Size availableSize)
        {
            foreach (UIElement element in Children)
            {
            element.Measure(
```
}

```
new Size(double.PositiveInfinity, double.PositiveInfinity));
   }
   return availableSize;
  }
 protected override Size ArrangeOverride (Size finalSize)
   if (Children.Count == 0) return finalSize;
   double currentAngle = 90 * (Math.PI / 180);
   double radiansPerElement =
      (360 / Children.Count) * (Math.PI / 180.0);
   double radiusX = finalSize.Width / 2.0 - Padding.Left;
   double radiusY = finalSize.Height / 2.0 - Padding.Top;
   foreach (UIElement element in Children)
    {
     Point childPoint = new Point(Math.Cos(currentAngle) * radiusX,
       -Math.Sin(currentAngle) * radiusY);
     Point centeredChildPoint = new Point(childPoint.X +
       finalSize.Width / 2 - element.DesiredSize.Width / 2, childPoint.Y
       + finalSize.Height / 2 - element.DesiredSize.Height / 2);
     Rect boundingBox =
       new Rect(centeredChildPoint, element.DesiredSize);
     element.Arrange(boundingBox);
     currentAngle -= radiansPerElement;
   }
   return finalSize;
 }
}
```

In our CircumferencePanel class, we first declare our own Padding property of type Thickness, which will be used to enable the users of the panel to lengthen or shorten the radius of the circle and therefore, adjust the position of the rendered items within the panel. The MeasureOverride method is a simple affair, as previously explained.

In the ArrangeOverride method, we calculate the relevant angles to position the child items with, depending upon how many of them there are. We take the value of our Padding property into consideration when calculating the *X* and *Y* radiuses, so that users of our custom panel will be better able to control the position of the rendered items.

For each child item in the panel's Children collection, we first calculate the point on the circle where it should be displayed. We then offset that value using the value of the element's DesiredSize property, so that the bounding box of each item is centered on that point.

We then create the element's bounding box using a Rect element, with the offset point and the element's DesiredSize property, and pass that to its Arrange method to render it. After each element is rendered, the current angle is changed for the next item. Remember that we can utilize this panel by adding a XAML namespace for the Panels CLR namespace and setting the ItemsPanel property of an ItemsControl or one of its derived classes:

```
xmlns:Panels="clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Panels;
assembly=CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views"
...
<ItemsControl ItemsSource="{Binding Hours}" TextElement.FontSize="24"
Width="200" Height="200">
<ItemsControl.ItemsPanel>
<ItemsControl.ItemsPanel>
<ItemsPanelTemplate>
</ItemsPanelTemplate>
</ItemsControl.ItemsPanel>
</ItemsControl.ItemsPanel><//itemsControl.ItemsPanel><//itemsControl.ItemsPanel><//itemsControl.ItemsPanel><//itemsControl.ItemsPanel><//itemsControl.ItemsPanel>
```

Given some suitable data, we could use this panel to display the numbers on a clock control, for example. Let's see the Hours property that the ItemsSource property of our example ItemsControl is data bound to:

```
private List<int> hours = new List<int>() { 12 };
public List<int> Hours
{
   get { return hours; }
   set { hours = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
}
...
hours.AddRange(Enumerable.Range(1, 11));
```

As the hour numerals must start with **12** and then go back to **1**, we declare the collection with the **12** element initially. At some later stage, possibly during construction, we then add the remaining numbers to the collection and this is what it looks like when using our new panel:



This concludes our coverage of the main panels that are available in WPF. While we don't have the space to have an in-depth look at every other WPF control, we'll find tips and tricks for a number of them throughout this book. Instead, let's now focus on a few essential controls and what they can do for us.

Content controls

While this control is not often used directly, one use for it is to render a single data item according to a particular template. In fact, we often use a ContentControl to display our View Models and use a DataTemplate object that renders the associated View. Alternatively, we might use some form of ItemsControl to display a group of items and a ContentControl to display the selected item.

As we found out earlier, when looking at the inheritance hierarchy of the Button control, the ContentControl class extends the Control class and adds the ability for derived classes to contain any single CLR object. Note that if we need to specify more than a single object of content, we can use a single panel object that contains further objects:

```
<Button Width="80" Height="30" TextElement.FontSize="14">

<StackPanel Orientation="Horizontal">

<Rectangle Fill="Cyan" Stroke="Black" StrokeThickness="1" Width="16"

Height="16" />

<TextBlock Text="Cyan" Margin="5,0,0,0" />

</StackPanel>

</Button>
```



We can specify this content through the use of the Content property. However, the ContentControl class specifies the Content property in a ContentPropertyAttribute attribute in its class definition and this enables us to set the content by simply declaring the child element directly within the control in the XAML. This attribute is used by the XAML processor when it processes XAML child elements.

If the content is of type string, then we can use the ContentStringFormat property to specify a particular format for it. Otherwise, we can use the ContentTemplate property to specify a DataTemplate to use while rendering the content. Alternatively, the ContentTemplateSelector property is of type DataTemplateSelector and also enables us to select a DataTemplate, but based upon some custom condition that we may have. All derived classes have access to these properties in order to shape the output of their content.

However, this control is also able to display many primitive types without us having to specify a custom template. Let's move on to the next section now, where we'll find out exactly how it manages to accomplish this.

Presenting content

In WPF, there is a special element that is essential but often little understood. The ContentPresenter class basically presents content, as its name suggests. It is actually used internally within ContentControl objects to present their content.

That is its sole job and it should not be used for other purposes. The only time that we should declare these elements is within a ControlTemplate of a ContentControl element or one of its many derived classes. In these cases, we declare them where we want the actual content to appear.

Note that specifying the TargetType property on a ControlTemplate when using a ContentPresenter will result in its Content property being implicitly data bound to the Content property of the relevant ContentControl element. We are however free to data bind it explicitly to whatever we like:

```
<ControlTemplate x:Key="ButtonTemplate" TargetType="{x:Type Button}">
<ContentPresenter Content="{TemplateBinding ToolTip}" />
</ControlTemplate>
```

The ContentTemplate and ContentTemplateSelector properties both mirror those of the ContentControl class and also enable us to select a DataTemplate based upon a custom condition. Like the Content property, both of these properties will also be implicitly data bound to the properties of the same names in the templated parent if the TargetType property of the ControlTemplate has been set.

This usually saves us from having to explicitly data bind these properties, although there are a few controls where the names of the relevant properties do not match up. In these cases, we can use the ContentSource property as a shortcut to data bind the Content, ContentTemplate, and ContentTemplateSelector properties.

If we set this property to Header, for example, the Framework will look for a property named Header on the ContentControl object to implicitly data bind to the Content property of the presenter. Likewise, it will look for properties named HeaderTemplate and HeaderTemplateSelector to implicitly data bind to the ContentTemplate and ContentTemplateSelector properties.

This is primarily used in a ControlTemplate for a HeaderedContentControl element or one of its derived classes:

```
<ControlTemplate x:Key="TabItemTemplate" TargetType="{x:Type TabItem}">
<StackPanel>
<ContentPresenter ContentSource="Header" />
<ContentPresenter ContentSource="Content" />
</StackPanel>
</ControlTemplate>
```

There are specific rules that determine what the ContentPresenter will display. If the ContentTemplate or ContentTemplateSelector property is set, then the data object specified by the Content property will have the resulting data template applied to it. Likewise, if a data template of the relevant type is found within the scope of the ContentPresenter element, it will be applied.

If the content object is a UI element, or one is returned from a type converter, then the element is displayed directly. If the object is a string, or a string is returned from a type converter, then it will be set as the Text property of a TextBlock control and that will be displayed. Likewise, all other objects simply have the ToString method called on them and then this output is rendered in a standard TextBlock at runtime.

Items controls

We've already seen a fair number of examples of the ItemsControl class, but we'll now take a closer look at this control. In the simplest terms, an ItemsControl class contains a variable number of ContentPresenter elements and enables us to display a collection of items. It is the base class for most common collection controls, such as the ListBox, ComboBox, and TreeView controls.

Each of these derived classes adds a specific look and set of capabilities, such as a border and the notion of a selected item. If we do not require these additional features and simply want to display a number of items, then we should just use the <code>ltemsControl</code>, because it is more efficient than its derived classes.

When using the **Model-View-ViewModel** (**MVVM**) pattern, we typically data bind a collection that implements the IEnumerable interface from our View Model to the ItemsControl.ItemsSource property. However, there is also an Items property that will reflect the items in the data bound collection.

To clarify this further, either property can be used to populate the collection of items to display. However, only one can be used at a time, so if you have data bound a collection to the ItemsSource property, then you cannot add items using the Items property. In this case, the Items collection will become read-only.

If we need to display a collection of items that don't implement the <code>IEnumerable</code> interface, then we will need to add them using the <code>Items</code> property. Note that the <code>Items</code> property is implicitly used when items are declared as the content of an <code>ItemsControl</code> element in XAML. However, when using MVVM, we generally use the <code>ItemsSource</code> property.

When displaying items in an ItemsControl, each item in the collection will implicitly be wrapped in a ContentPresenter container element. The type of container element will depend upon the type of collection control used. For example, a ComboBox would wrap its items in ComboBoxItem elements.

The ItemContainerStyle and ItemContainerStyleSelector properties enable us to provide a style for these container items. We must ensure that the styles that we provide are targeted to the correct type of container control. For example, if we were using a ListBox, then we would need to provide a style targeting the ListBoxItem type, as in the following example.

Note that we can explicitly declare these container items, although there is little point in doing so, as it will otherwise be done for us. Furthermore, when using MVVM, we do not typically work with UI elements, preferring to work with data objects in the View Models and data bind to the ItemsSource property instead.

As we have already seen, the ItemsControl class has an ItemsPanel property of type ItemsPanelTemplate that enables us to change the type of panel that the collection control uses to layout its items. When we want to customize the template of an ItemsControl, we have two choices regarding how we render the control's child items:

```
<ControlTemplate x:Key="Template1" TargetType="{x:Type ItemsControl}">
<StackPanel Orientation="Horizontal" IsItemsHost="True" />
</ControlTemplate>
```

We already saw an example of the preceding method in the previous section. In this way, we specify the actual items panel itself and set the IsItemsHost property to true on it to indicate that it is indeed to be used as the control's items panel. Using the alternative method, we need to declare an ItemsPresenter element, which specifies where the actual items panel will be rendered. Note that this element will be replaced by the actual items panel being used at runtime:

```
<ControlTemplate x:Key="Template2" TargetType="{x:Type ItemsControl}">
<ItemsPresenter />
</ControlTemplate>
```

As with the ContentControl class, the ItemsControl class also provides properties that enable us to shape its data items. The ItemTemplate and ItemTemplateSelector properties let us apply a data template for each item. However, if we just need a simple textual output, there are alternative methods where we can avoid the need to define a data template at all.

We can use the DisplayMemberPath property to specify the name of the property from the object to display the value. Alternatively, we can set the ItemStringFormat property to format the output as a string, or as we saw earlier, just provide some meaningful output from the class' ToString method of the data object.

Another interesting property is the AlternationCount property, which enables us to style alternating containers differently. We can set it to any number and the alternating sequence will repeat after that many items have been rendered. As a simple example, let's use a ListBox because the ListBoxItem controls that will be wrapped around our items have appearance properties that we can alternate:

```
<ListBox ItemsSource="{Binding Users}" AlternationCount="3">
  <ListBox.ItemContainerStyle>
    <Style TargetType="{x:Type ListBoxItem}">
      <Setter Property="FontSize" Value="14" />
      <Setter Property="Foreground" Value="White" />
      <Setter Property="Padding" Value="5" />
      <Style.Triggers>
        <Trigger Property="ListBox.AlternationIndex" Value="0">
          <Setter Property="Background" Value="Red" />
        </Trigger>
        <Trigger Property="ListBox.AlternationIndex" Value="1">
          <Setter Property="Background" Value="Green" />
        </Trigger>
        <Trigger Property="ListBox.AlternationIndex" Value="2">
          <Setter Property="Background" Value="Blue" />
        </Trigger>
      </Style.Triggers>
    </Style>
  </ListBox.ItemContainerStyle>
</ListBox>
```

Here, we set the AlternationCount property to 3, so we can have three different styles for our items and this pattern will be repeated for all three further items. We make a style for the item containers using the ItemContainerStyle property.

In this style, we use some simple triggers to change the color of the container background, depending on the value of the AlternationIndex property. Notice that the AlternationCount property starts at 0, so the first item will have a red background, the second will have green, the third will have blue, then the pattern will repeat and the fourth will have red, and so on.

Alternatively, we could have declared an AlternationConverter instance for each property that we wanted to alter and data bind them to the AlternationIndex property and the converter. We could create the same visual output using this XAML instead:

```
<ListBox ItemsSource="{Binding Users}" AlternationCount="3">
<ListBox.Resources>
<AlternationConverter x:Key="BackgroundConverter">
<SolidColorBrush>Red</SolidColorBrush>
<SolidColorBrush>Green</SolidColorBrush>
```

```
<SolidColorBrush>Blue</SolidColorBrush>
</AlternationConverter>
</ListBox.Resources>
<ListBox.ItemContainerStyle>
<Style TargetType="{x:Type ListBoxItem}">
<Setter Property="FontSize" Value="14" />
<Setter Property="Foreground" Value="White" />
<Setter Property="Foreground" Value="S" />
<Setter Property="Background"
Value="{Binding (ItemsControl.AlternationIndex),
RelativeSource={RelativeSource Self},
Converter={StaticResource BackgroundConverter}}" />
</Style>
</ListBox.ItemContainerStyle>
</ListBox>
```

The AlternationConverter class works by simply returning the item from its collection that relates to the specified AlternationIndex value, where the first item is returned for index zero. Note that we need to include the parenthesis around the data bound class and property name because it is an Attached Property and we need to use a

RelativeSource.Self binding because the property is set on the item container object itself. Let's see the output of these two code examples:



There is one more useful property that the ItemsControl class provides and that is the GroupStyle property, which is used to display the child items in groups. To group items in the UI, we need to accomplish a few simple tasks. We first need to define XAML namespaces for our Converters project and the ComponentModel CLR namespace:

```
xmlns:ComponentModel="clr-
namespace:System.ComponentModel;assembly=WindowsBase"
xmlns:Converters="clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters;
assembly=CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters"
```

Next, we need to data bind a CollectionViewSource instance with one or more PropertyGroupDescription elements to our Users collection from the previous example. We then need to set that as the ItemsSource value for the ItemsControl and then set up its GroupStyle. Let's see the StringToFirstLetterConverter converter and CollectionViewSource object that we need to declare in the local Resources section:

```
<Converters:StringToFirstLetterConverter
x:Key="StringToFirstLetterConverter" />
<CollectionViewSource x:Key="GroupedUsers" Source="{Binding MoreUsers}">
<CollectionViewSource.GroupDescriptions>
<PropertyGroupDescription PropertyName="Name"
Converter="{StaticResource StringToFirstLetterConverter}" />
</CollectionViewSource.GroupDescriptions>
<CollectionViewSource.SortDescriptions>
<CollectionViewSource.SortDescriptions>
</CollectionViewSource.SortDescriptions>
</CollectionViewSource.SortDescriptions>
</CollectionViewSource.SortDescriptions>
</CollectionViewSource.SortDescriptions>
```

We specify the property that we want to use to group items by using the PropertyName property of the PropertyGroupDescription element. Note that in our case, we only have a few User objects, and so there would be no groups if we simply grouped by name. Therefore, we added a converter to return the first letter from each name to group on and specified it using the Converter property.

We then added a basic SortDescription element to the

CollectionViewSource.SortDescriptions collection in order to sort the User objects. We specified the Name property in the PropertyName property of the SortDescription element so that the User objects will be sorted by name. Let's see the StringToFirstLetterConverter class now:

```
using System;
using System.Globalization;
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Data;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters
{
  [ValueConversion(typeof(string), typeof(string))]
  public class StringToFirstLetterConverter : IValueConverter
  {
    public object Convert(object value, Type targetType, object parameter,
        CultureInfo culture)
        {
            if (value == null) return DependencyProperty.UnsetValue;
```

```
string stringValue = value.ToString();
if (stringValue.Length < 1) return DependencyProperty.UnsetValue;
return stringValue[0];
}
public object ConvertBack(object value, Type targetType,
object parameter, CultureInfo culture)
{
return DependencyProperty.UnsetValue;
}
}
```

In this converter, we specify the data types that are involved in the implementation of the converter in the ValueConversion attribute, even though they are the same type. In the Convert method, we check the validity of our value input parameter and return the DependencyProperty.UnsetValue value if it is null. We then call the ToString method on it and if it is an empty string, we return

the DependencyProperty.UnsetValue value. For all valid string values, we simply return the first letter.

As we do not need (or would not be able) to convert anything back using this converter, the ConvertBack method simply returns the DependencyProperty.UnsetValue value. By attaching this converter to the PropertyGroupDescription element, we are now able to group by the first letter of each name. Let's now see how we can declare the GroupStyle object:

```
<ItemsControl ItemsSource="{Binding Source={StaticResource GroupedUsers}}"</pre>
  Background="White" FontSize="14">
  <ItemsControl.GroupStyle>
    <GroupStyle>
      <GroupStyle.HeaderTemplate>
        <DataTemplate>
          <TextBlock Text="{Binding Name,
            Converter={StaticResource StringToFirstLetterConverter}}"
            Background="Black" Foreground="White" FontWeight="Bold"
            Padding="5,4" />
        </DataTemplate>
      </GroupStyle.HeaderTemplate>
    </GroupStyle>
  </ItemsControl.GroupStyle>
  <ItemsControl.ItemTemplate>
    <DataTemplate DataType="{x:Type DataModels:User}">
      <TextBlock Text="{Binding Name}" Foreground="Black"
        Padding="0,2" />
    </DataTemplate>
```

```
</ItemsControl.ItemTemplate> </ItemsControl>
```

Note that we need to use the Binding. Source property to access the

CollectionViewSource object named GroupedUsers from the local Resources section. We then declare the data template that defines what each group header will look like in the HeaderTemplate property. Here we make use of the StringToFirstLetterConverter instance that has also been declared in a suitable resource collection and set a few basic style properties.

Next, we specify a second data template, but one that defines what the items in each group should look like. We provide a very simple template that merely spaces the elements slightly and sets a few style properties. Let's see the output of this example:



Adorners

An adorner is a special kind of class that is rendered above all UI controls, in what is known as an adorner layer. Adorner elements in this layer will always be rendered on top of the normal WPF controls, regardless of their Panel.ZIndex property setting. Each adorner is bound to an element of type UIElement and independently rendered in a position that is relative to the adorned element.

The purpose of the adorner is to provide certain visual cues to the application user. For example, we could use an adorner to display a visual representation of UI elements that are being dragged in a drag and drop operation. Alternatively, we could use an adorner to add handles to a UI control to enable users to resize the element.

As the adorner is added to the adorner layer, it is the adorner layer that is the parent of the adorner, rather than the adorned element. In order to create a custom adorner, we need to declare a class that extends the Adorner class.

When creating a custom adorner, we need to be aware that we are responsible for writing the code to render its visuals. However, there are a few different ways to construct our adorner graphics; we can use the OnRender or OnRenderSizeChanged methods and a drawing context to draw basic lines and shapes, or we can use the ArrangeOverride method to arrange .NET controls.

Adorners receive events like other .NET controls, although if we don't need to handle them, we can arrange for them to be passed straight through to the adorned element. In these cases, we can set the IsHitTestVisible property to false and this will enable pass-through hit-testing of the adorned element. Let's look at an example of a resizing adorner that lets us resize shapes on a canvas.

Before we investigate the adorner class, let's first see how we can use it. Adorners need to be initialized in code, and so a good place to do this is in the UserControl.Loaded method, when we can be certain that the canvas and its items will have been initialized. Note that as adorners are purely UI related, initializing them in the control's code behind does not present any conflict when using MVVM:

```
public AdornerView()
{
    InitializeComponent();
    Loaded += View_Loaded;
}
....
private void View_Loaded(object sender, RoutedEventArgs e)
{
    AdornerLayer adornerLayer = AdornerLayer.GetAdornerLayer(Canvas);
    foreach (UIElement uiElement in Canvas.Children)
    {
        adornerLayer.Add(new ResizeAdorner(uiElement));
    }
}
```

We access the adorner layer for the canvas that we will add the adorners to using the AdornerLayer.GetAdornerLayer method, passing in the canvas as the Visual input parameter. In this example, we attach an instance of our ResizeAdorner to each element in the canvas' Children collection and then add it to the adorner layer.

Now, we just need a Canvas panel named Canvas and some shapes to resize:

```
<Canvas Name="Canvas">

<Rectangle Canvas.Top="50" Canvas.Left="50" Fill="Lime"

Stroke="Black" StrokeThickness="3" Width="150" Height="50" />

<Rectangle Canvas.Top="25" Canvas.Left="250" Fill="Yellow"

Stroke="Black" StrokeThickness="3" Width="100" Height="150" />

</Canvas>
```

Let's now see the code in our ResizeAdorner class:

```
using System;
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Controls;
using System.Windows.Controls.Primitives;
using System.Windows.Documents;
using System.Windows.Input;
using System.Windows.Media;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Adorners
{
  public class ResizeAdorner : Adorner
  {
    private VisualCollection visualChildren;
    private Thumb top, left, bottom, right;
    public ResizeAdorner(UIElement adornedElement) : base(adornedElement)
    {
      visualChildren = new VisualCollection(this);
      top = InitializeThumb(Cursors.SizeNS, Top_DragDelta);
      left = InitializeThumb(Cursors.SizeWE, Left_DragDelta);
      bottom = InitializeThumb(Cursors.SizeNS, Bottom_DragDelta);
      right = InitializeThumb(Cursors.SizeWE, Right_DragDelta);
    }
    private Thumb InitializeThumb (Cursor cursor,
      DragDeltaEventHandler eventHandler)
    {
      Thumb thumb = new Thumb();
      thumb.BorderBrush = Brushes.Black;
      thumb.BorderThickness = new Thickness(1);
      thumb.Cursor = cursor;
      thumb.DragDelta += eventHandler;
      thumb.Height = thumb.Width = 6.0;
      visualChildren.Add(thumb);
      return thumb;
    ļ
```

```
private void Top_DragDelta(object sender, DragDeltaEventArgs e)
 FrameworkElement adornedElement = (FrameworkElement)AdornedElement;
  adornedElement.Height =
    Math.Max(adornedElement.Height - e.VerticalChange, 6);
  Canvas.SetTop(adornedElement,
    Canvas.GetTop(adornedElement) + e.VerticalChange);
}
private void Left_DragDelta(object sender, DragDeltaEventArgs e)
{
  FrameworkElement adornedElement = (FrameworkElement)AdornedElement;
  adornedElement.Width =
    Math.Max(adornedElement.Width - e.HorizontalChange, 6);
  Canvas.SetLeft(adornedElement,
    Canvas.GetLeft(adornedElement) + e.HorizontalChange);
}
private void Bottom_DragDelta(object sender, DragDeltaEventArgs e)
  FrameworkElement adornedElement = (FrameworkElement)AdornedElement;
  adornedElement.Height =
    Math.Max(adornedElement.Height + e.VerticalChange, 6);
}
private void Right_DragDelta(object sender, DragDeltaEventArgs e)
{
 FrameworkElement adornedElement = (FrameworkElement)AdornedElement;
  adornedElement.Width =
    Math.Max(adornedElement.Width + e.HorizontalChange, 6);
}
protected override void OnRender (DrawingContext drawingContext)
{
  SolidColorBrush brush = new SolidColorBrush(Colors.Transparent);
  Pen pen = new Pen(new SolidColorBrush(Colors.DeepSkyBlue), 1.0);
  drawingContext.DrawRectangle(brush, pen,
    new Rect(-2, -2, AdornedElement.DesiredSize.Width + 4,
    AdornedElement.DesiredSize.Height + 4));
}
protected override Size ArrangeOverride (Size finalSize)
{
  top.Arrange(
    new Rect (AdornedElement.DesiredSize.Width / 2 - 3, -8, 6, 6));
  left.Arrange(
    new Rect(-8, AdornedElement.DesiredSize.Height / 2 - 3, 6, 6));
  bottom.Arrange(new Rect(AdornedElement.DesiredSize.Width / 2 - 3,
```

}

```
AdornedElement.DesiredSize.Height + 2, 6, 6));
right.Arrange(new Rect(AdornedElement.DesiredSize.Width + 2,
AdornedElement.DesiredSize.Height / 2 - 3, 6, 6));
return finalSize;
}
protected override int VisualChildrenCount
{
get { return visualChildren.Count; }
}
protected override Visual GetVisualChild(int index)
{
return visualChildren[index];
}
```

Note that we have declared the Adorners namespace within the Views project, as this is the only place that it will be used. Inside the class, we declare the VisualCollection object that will contain the visuals that we want to render and then the visuals themselves, in the shape of Thumb controls.

We've chosen Thumb elements because they have built-in functionality that we want to take advantage of. They provide a DragDelta event that we will use to register the users' mouse movements when they drag each Thumb. These controls are normally used internally in the Slider and ScrollBar controls to enable users to alter values, so they're perfect for our purposes here.

We initialize these objects in the constructor, specifying a custom cursor and a different DragDelta event handler for each Thumb control. In these separate event handlers, we use the HorizontalChange or VerticalChange properties of the DragDeltaEventArgs object to specify the distance and direction of the mouse movement that triggered the event.

We use these values to move and/or resize the adorned element by the appropriate amount and direction. Note that we use the Math.Max method and the value 6 in our example to ensure that the adorned element cannot be resized smaller than the size of each Thumb element and the Stroke size of each adorned element.

After the four DragDelta event handlers, we find two different ways to render our adorner visuals. In the first method, we use the DrawingContext object that is passed into the OnRender method by the base class to manually draw shapes. This is somewhat similar to the way that we used to draw in the Control.Paint event handler methods when using Windows.Forms.

In this overridden method, we draw a rectangle that surrounds our element and is four pixels bigger than it in both dimensions. Note that we define a transparent background for the drawing brush, as we only want to see the rectangle border. Remember that adorner graphics are rendered on top of the adorned element, but we do not want to cover it.

In the ArrangeOverride method, we use .NET Framework to render our Visual elements using their Arrange methods, as we would in a custom panel. Note that we could just as easily render our rectangle border in this method using a Rectangle element; the OnRender method was used in this example merely as a demonstration.

In this method, we simply arrange each Visual element at the relevant position and size in turn. Calculating the appropriate positions can be achieved simply by dividing the width or height of each adorned element in half and subtracting half of the width or height of each thumb element.

Finally, we get to the protected overridden <code>VisualChildrenCount</code> property and <code>GetVisualChild</code> method. The <code>Adorner</code> class extends the <code>FrameworkElement</code> class and that will normally return either zero or one from the <code>VisualChildrenCount</code> property, as each instance is normally represented by either no visual, or a single rendered visual.

In our case and other situations when a derived class has multiple visuals to render, it is a requirement of the layout system that the correct number of visuals is specified. For example, if we always returned the value 2 from this property, then only two of our thumbs would be rendered on screen.

Likewise, we also need to return the correct item from our visual collection when requested to from the GetVisualChild method. If, for example, we always returned the first visual from our collection, then only that visual would be rendered, as the same visual cannot be rendered more than once. Let's see what our adorners look like when rendered above each of our shapes:



Modifying existing controls

When we find that the wide range of existing controls doesn't quite meet our needs, we might think that we need to create some new ones, as we would with other technologies. When using other UI languages, this might be the case, but with WPF, this is not necessarily true, as it provides a number of ways to modify the existing controls to suit our requirements.

As we found out earlier, all classes that extend the FrameworkElement class have access to the framework's styling capabilities and those that extend the Control class can have their appearance totally changed through their ControlTemplate property. All of the existing WPF controls extend these base cases, and so possess these abilities.

In addition to these capabilities that enable us to change the look of the pre-existing WPF controls, we are also able to leverage the power of Attached Properties to add additional functionality to them too. In this section, we will investigate these different ways of modifying the existing controls.

Styling

Setting the various properties of a control is the simplest way to alter its look and enables us to make either minor or more dramatic changes to it. As most UI elements extend the Control class, they mostly share the same properties that affect their appearance and alignment. When defining styles for controls, we should specify their type in the TargetType property, as this helps the compiler to verify that the properties that we are setting actually exist in the class:

```
<Button Content="Go">

<Button.Style>

<Style TargetType="{x:Type Button}">

<Setter Property="Foreground" Value="Green" />

<Setter Property="Background" Value="White" />

</Style>

</Button.Style>

</Button>
```

Failing to do so will result in the compiler stating that the member is not recognized or is not accessible. In these cases, we will need to specify the class type as well, in the format ClassName.PropertyName:

```
<Button Content="Go">
<Button.Style>
<Style>
```

```
<Setter Property="Button.Foreground" Value="Green" />
<Setter Property="Button.Background" Value="White" />
</Style>
</Button.Style>
</Button>
```

One really useful property that the Style class declares is the BasedOn property. Using this property, we can base our styles on other styles and this enables us to create a number of incrementally different versions. Let's highlight this with an example:

```
<Style x:Key="TextBoxStyle" TargetType="{x:Type TextBox}">
    <Setter Property="SnapsToDevicePixels" Value="True" />
    <Setter Property="Margin" Value="0,0,0,5" />
    <Setter Property="Padding" Value="1.5,2" />
    <Setter Property="TextWrapping" Value="Wrap" />
    </Style>
<Style x:Key="ReadOnlyTextBoxStyle" TargetType="{x:Type TextBox}"
    BasedOn="{StaticResource TextBoxStyle">
        Setter Property="IsReadOnly" Value="True" />
        <Setter Property="ReadOnly" Value="TargetType="{x:Type TextBox}"
        Setter Property="IsReadOnly" Value="True" />
        <Setter Property="IsReadOnly" Value="True" />
        <Setter Property="IsReadOnly" Value="True" />
        <Setter Property="Cursor" Value="Arrow" />
        </Style>
```

Here, we define a simple style for the textboxes in our application. We name it TextBoxStyle and then reference it in the BasedOn property of the second style. This means that all of the property setters and triggers declared in the first style will also apply to the bottom style. In the second style, we add a few further setters to make the applied textbox read-only.

One last point to note is that if we wanted to base a style on the default style of a control, we can use the value that we normally enter into the TargetType property as the key to identify the style that we want to base the new style on:

```
<Style x:Key="ExtendedTextBoxStyle" TargetType="{x:Type TextBox}"
BasedOn="{StaticResource {x:Type TextBox}}">
...
</Style>
```

Let's now move on to take a deeper look into resources.

Being resourceful

Styles are most often declared in the various Resources dictionaries of the application, along with various templates, application colors, and brushes. The Resources property is of type ResourceDictionary and declared in the FrameworkElement class and so virtually all UI elements inherit it and can therefore host our styles and other resources.

Although the Resources property is of type ResourceDictionary, we do not need to explicitly declare this element:

```
<Application.Resources>
<ResourceDictionary>
<!-- Add resources here -->
</ResourceDictionary>
</Application.Resources>
```

While there are some occasions when we do need to explicitly declare the ResourceDictionary, it will be implicitly declared for us if we do not:

```
<Application.Resources>
  <!-- Add Resources here -->
</Application.Resources>
```

Every resource in each collection must have a key that uniquely identifies them. We use the x:Key directive to explicitly set this key, however, it can also be set implicitly as well. When we declare styles in any Resources section, we can specify the TargetType value alone, without setting the x:Key directive, in which case the style will be implicitly applied to all elements of the correct type that are in the scope of the style:

```
<Resources>

<Style TargetType="{x:Type Button}">

<Setter Property="Foreground" Value="Green" />

<Setter Property="Background" Value="White" />

</Style>

</Resources>
```

In this case, the value for the x:Key directive is implicitly set to {x:Type Button}. Alternatively, we can set the x:Key directive explicitly, so that the style must also be applied explicitly:

```
<Resources>

<Style x:Key="ButtonStyle">

<Setter Property="Button.Foreground" Value="Green" />

<Setter Property="Button.Background" Value="White" />

</Style>

</Resources>
```

. . .

```
<Button Style="{StaticResource ButtonStyle}" Content="Go" />
```

Styles can have both values set as well, as shown in the following code:

```
<Resources>
<Style x:Key="ButtonStyle" TargetType="{x:Type Button}">
<Setter Property="Foreground" Value="Green" />
<Setter Property="Background" Value="White" />
</Style>
</Resources>
```

But a compilation error will be thrown if neither value is set:

```
<Resources>

<Style>

<Setter Property="Foreground" Value="Green" />

<Setter Property="Background" Value="White" />

</Style>

</Resources>
```

The preceding XAML would result in the following compilation error:

```
The member "Foreground" is not recognized or is not accessible.
The member "Background" is not recognized or is not accessible.
```

When a StaticResource with a specific key is requested, the lookup process first looks in the local control; if it has a style and that style has a resource dictionary, it checks that first; if there is no item with a matching key, it next looks in the resource collection of the control itself.

If there is still no match, the lookup process checks the resource dictionaries of each successive parent control until it reaches the MainWindow.xaml file. If it still does not find a match, then it will look in the application Resources section in the App.xaml file.

StaticResource lookups occur once upon initialization and will suit our requirements for most of the time. When using a StaticResource to reference one resource that is to be used within another resource, the resource being used must be declared beforehand. That is to say that a StaticResource lookup from one resource cannot reference another resource that is declared after it in the resource dictionary:

```
<Style TargetType="{x:Type Button}">
<Setter Property="Foreground" Value="{StaticResource RedBrush}" />
</Style>
<SolidColorBrush x:Key="RedBrush" Color="Red" />
```

The preceding XAML would result in the following error:

The resource "RedBrush" could not be resolved.

Simply moving the declaration of the brush before the style would clear this error and get the application running again. However, there are certain situations when using a StaticResource to reference a resource isn't suitable. For example, we might need our styles to update during runtime in response to some programmatic or user interaction, such as a changing of the computer theme.

In these cases, we can use a DynamicResource to reference our resources and can rest assured that our styles will update when the relevant resources are changed. Note that the resource value is not looked up until it is actually requested, so this is perfect for resources that will not be ready until after the application starts. Note the following altered example:

```
<Style TargetType="{x:Type Button}">
<Setter Property="Foreground" Value="{DynamicResource RedBrush}" />
</Style>
<SolidColorBrush x:Key="RedBrush" Color="Red" />
```

In this case, there will be no compilation error, as the DynamicResource will retrieve the value whenever it is set. While it's great to have this ability, it's important not to abuse it, as using the DynamicResource will negatively affect performance. This is because they repeatedly lookup the value each time it is requested, whether the values have changed or not. For this reason, we should only ever use a DynamicResource if we really need to.

One final point about resource styles to mention here relates to scope. While this topic has been mentioned elsewhere in this book, it is outlined again here as it is essential to understand the resource lookup procedure. Application resources that are declared in the App.xaml file are available application-wide, so this is a great place to declare our common styles.

However, this is one of the furthest removed places that we can declare our styles, ignoring external resource dictionaries and theme styles. In general, the rule is that given a resource identifier conflict, the most local resources override those that are declared further away. Therefore, we can define our default styles in the application resources but retain the ability to override them locally.

Conversely, locally declared styles without an x:Key directive will be implicitly applied locally, but will not be applied to elements of the relevant type that are declared externally. We can, therefore, declare implicit styles in the Resources section of a panel for example and they will only be applied to elements of the relative type within the panel.

Merging resources

If we have a large application and our application resources are becoming overcrowded, we have the option of splitting our default colors, brushes, styles, templates, and other resources into different files. In addition to organizational and maintenance benefits, this also enables our main resource files to be shared amongst our other applications, and so this promotes reusability too.

In order to do this, we first need one or more additional resource files. We can add an additional resource file using Visual Studio, by right-clicking on the relevant project and selecting the **Add** option and then the **Resource Dictionary...** option. Upon executing this command, we will be provided with a file like this:

```
<ResourceDictionary

xmlns="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml/presentation"

xmlns:x="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml">

</ResourceDictionary>
```

This is one of the occasions when we do need to explicitly declare the ResourceDictionary element. Once we have transferred our styles or other resources to this file, we can merge it into our main application resources file like this:

```
<Application.Resources>
  <ResourceDictionary>
   <!-- Add Resources here... -->
   <ResourceDictionary.MergedDictionaries>
        <ResourceDictionary Source="Default Styles.xaml" />
        <ResourceDictionary.MergedDictionaries>
        </ResourceDictionary.MergedDictionaries>
        <!-- ... or add resources here, but not in both locations -->
        </ResourceDictionary>
    </Application.Resources>
```

Note that we do not specify the x: Key directive for this resource dictionary. In fact, if we did specify this value on the dictionary, we would receive a compilation error:

The "Key" attribute can only be used on an element that is contained in "IDictionary".

Note also that we can set the ResourceDictionary.MergedDictionaries value either above or below our locally declared resources, but not anywhere in the middle of them. Within this property, we can declare another ResourceDictionary element for each external resource file that we want to merge and specify its location using a Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) in the Source property.

If our external resource files reside in our startup project with our App.xaml file, we can reference them with relative paths, as shown in the preceding example. Otherwise, we will need to use the Pack URI notation. To reference a resource file from a referenced assembly, we would need to use the following format:

pack://application:,,/ReferencedAssembly;component/ResourceFile.xaml

In our case, assuming that we had some resource files in a folder named Styles in a separate project, or other referenced assembly, we would merge the file using the following path:

```
<ResourceDictionary
Source="pack://application:,,,/CompanyName.ApplicationName.Resources;
component/Styles/Control Styles.xaml" />
```

When merging resource files, it is important to understand how naming conflicts will be resolved. Although the x:Key directives that we set on our resources must each be unique within their declared resource dictionary, it is perfectly legal to have duplicated key values within separate resource files. As such, there is an order of priority that will be followed in these cases. Let's see an example.

Imagine that we have the aforementioned referenced resource file in a separate project and in that file, we have this resource:

<SolidColorBrush x:Key="Brush" Color="Red" />

Note that we would need to add a reference to the System.Xaml assembly in that project in order to avoid errors. Now imagine that we also have the locally declared Default Styles.xaml resource file that was referenced in the previous example and in that file, we have this resource:

```
<SolidColorBrush x:Key="Brush" Color="Blue" />
```

Let's add a Default Styles 2.xaml resource file with this resource in it:

<SolidColorBrush x:Key="Brush" Color="Orange" />

Now, let's say that we merge all of these resource files and add this additional resource in our application resource file:

```
<Application.Resources>
  <ResourceDictionary>
   <ResourceDictionary.MergedDictionaries>
        <ResourceDictionary Source="Default Styles.xaml" />
        <ResourceDictionary Source="Default Styles 2.xaml" />
        <ResourceDictionary Source="pack://application:,,/</pre>
```

```
CompanyName.ApplicationName.Resources;
component/Styles/Control Styles.xaml" />
</ResourceDictionary.MergedDictionaries>
<SolidColorBrush x:Key="Brush" Color="Green" />
...
</ResourceDictionary>
</Application.Resources>
```

Finally, let's imagine that we have this in the XAML of one of our Views:

```
<Button Content="Go">

<Button.Resources>

<SolidColorBrush x:Key="Brush" Color="Cyan" />

</Button.Resources>

<Button.Style>

<Style TargetType="{x:Type Button}">

<Setter Property="Foreground" Value="{StaticResource Brush}" />

</Style>

</Button.Style>

</Button>
```

Also, let's assume that we have this in the local resources of that file:

```
<UserControl.Resources>
<SolidColorBrush x:Key="Brush" Color="Purple" />
</UserControl.Resources>
```

When running the application, our button text will be cyan, because the main rule of resource scope is that the highest priority resource that will be used will always be the most locally declared resource. If we removed or commented out the local brush declaration, the button text would then become purple when the application was next run.

If we removed the local purple brush resource from the control's Resources section, the application resources would be searched next in an attempt to resolve the Brush resource key. The next general rule is that the latest declared resource will be resolved. In this way, the button text would then become green, because of the locally declared resource in the App.xaml file, which would override the values from the merged dictionaries.

However, if this green brush resource was removed, an interesting thing would happen. Given the recently stated rules, we might expect that the button text would then be set to red by the Control Styles.xaml resource file from the referenced assembly. Instead, it will be set to orange by the resource in the Default Styles 2.xaml file.

This is the result of a combination of the two rules together. The two locally declared resource files have a higher priority than the resource file from the referenced assembly because they have been declared more locally than it. The second of the two locally declared resource files takes precedence over the first because it was declared after the first.

If we removed the reference to the second of the locally declared resource files, the text would then be set to blue by the resource in the Default Styles.xaml file. If we then removed the reference to this file, we would finally see the red button text that would be set by the Control Styles.xaml file from the referenced assembly.

Triggering changes

In WPF, we have a number of Trigger classes that enable us to modify controls, albeit most commonly, just temporarily. All of them extend the TriggerBase base class and therefore inherit its EnterActions and ExitActions properties. These two properties enable us to specify one or more TriggerAction objects to apply when the trigger becomes active and/or inactive respectively.

While most trigger types also contain a Setters property that we can use to define one or more property setters that should occur when a certain condition is met, the EventTrigger class does not. Instead, it provides an Actions property that enables us to set one or more TriggerAction objects to be applied when the trigger becomes active.

Furthermore, unlike the other triggers, the EventTrigger class has no concept of state termination. This means that the action applied by the EventTrigger will not be undone when the triggering condition is no longer true. If you hadn't already guessed this, the conditions that trigger the EventTrigger instances are events, or RoutedEvent objects more specifically. Let's investigate this type of trigger first with a simple example that we saw in the Chapter 4, *Becoming Proficient with Data Binding*:

```
<Rectangle Width="300" Height="300" Fill="Orange">

<Rectangle.Triggers>

<EventTrigger RoutedEvent="Loaded">

<BeginStoryboard>

<Storyboard Storyboard.TargetProperty="Width">

<DoubleAnimation Duration="0:0:1" To="50" AutoReverse="True"

RepeatBehavior="Forever" />

</Storyboard>

</BeginStoryboard>

</Rectangle.Triggers>

</Rectangle>
```

In this example, the trigger condition is met when the FrameworkElement.Loaded event is raised. The action that is applied is the start of the declared animation. Note that the BeginStoryboard class actually extends the TriggerAction class and this explains how we are able to declare it within the trigger. This action will be implicitly added into the TriggerActionCollection of the EventTrigger object, although we could have explicitly set it as follows:

```
<EventTrigger RoutedEvent="Loaded">
<EventTrigger.Actions>
<BeginStoryboard>
<Storyboard Storyboard.TargetProperty="Width">
<DoubleAnimation Duration="0:0:1" To="50" AutoReverse="True"
RepeatBehavior="Forever" />
</Storyboard>
</BeginStoryboard>
</EventTrigger.Actions>
</EventTrigger>
```

In addition to the EventTrigger class, there are also Trigger, DataTrigger, MultiTrigger and MultiDataTrigger classes that enable us to set properties or control animations when a certain condition, or multiple conditions in the case of the multi triggers, are met. Each has its own merits, but apart from the EventTrigger class, which can be used in any trigger collection, there are some restrictions on where we can use them.

Each control that extends the FrameworkElement class has a Triggers property of type TriggerCollection, that enable us to specify our triggers. However, if you've ever tried to declare a trigger there, then you're probably aware that we are only allowed to define triggers of type EventTrigger there.

However, there are further trigger collections that we can use to declare our other types of triggers. When defining a ControlTemplate, we have access to the ControlTemplate.Triggers collection. For all other requirements, we can declare our other triggers in the Style.Triggers collection. Remember that triggers defined in styles have a higher priority than those declared in templates.

Let's now take a look at the remaining types of triggers and what they can do for us. We start with the most simple, the Trigger class. Note that anything that the property trigger can do, the DataTrigger class can also do. However, the property trigger syntax is simpler and does not involve data binding and so it is more efficient.

There are, however, a few requirements to using a property trigger and they are as follows. The relevant property must be a Dependency Property. Unlike the EventTrigger class, the other triggers do not specify actions to be applied when the trigger condition is met, but property setters instead.

We are able to specify one or more Setter objects within each Trigger object and they will also be implicitly added to the trigger's Setters property collection if we do not explicitly specify it. Note that also unlike the EventTrigger class, all other triggers will return the original property value when the trigger condition is no longer satisfied. Let's look at a simple example:

```
<Button Content="Go">

<Button.Style>

<Style TargetType="{x:Type Button}">

<Setter Property="Foreground" Value="Black" />

<Style.Triggers>

<Trigger Property="IsMouseOver" Value="True">

<Setter Property="IsMouseOver" Value="Red" />

</Trigger>

</Style.Triggers>

</Style.Triggers>

</Style>

</Button.Style>

</Button>
```

Here we have a button that will change the color of its text when the user mouse s over it. Unlike the EventTrigger however, its text color will return to its previously set color when the mouse is no longer over the button. Note also that property triggers use the properties of the controls that they are declared in for their conditions, as they have no way of specifying any other target.

As previously mentioned, the DataTrigger class can also perform this same binding. Let's see what that might look like:

```
<Button Content="Go">

<Button.Style>

<Style TargetType="{x:Type Button}">

<Setter Property="Foreground" Value="Black" />

<Style.Triggers>

<DataTrigger Binding="{Binding IsMouseOver,

RelativeSource={RelativeSource Self}}" Value="True">

<Setter Property="Foreground" Value="Red" />

</DataTrigger>

</Style.Triggers>

</Style.Triggers>

</Button.Style>
```

As you can see, when using a DataTrigger, instead of setting the Property property of the Trigger class, we need to set the Binding property instead. In order to achieve the same functionality as the property trigger, we also need to specify the RelativeSource.Self enumeration member to set the binding source to the control that is declaring the trigger.

The general rule of thumb is that when we are able to use a simple property trigger that uses a property of the host control in its condition, we should use the Trigger class. When we need to use a property of another control, or a data object in our trigger condition, we should use a DataTrigger. Let's look at an interesting practical example now:

```
<Style x:Key="TextBoxStyle" TargetType="{x:Type TextBox}">

<Style.Triggers>

<DataTrigger Binding="{Binding DataContext.IsEditable,

RelativeSource={RelativeSource AncestorType={x:Type UserControl}},

FallbackValue=True}" Value="False">

<Setter Property="IsReadOnly" Value="True" />

</DataTrigger>

</Style.Triggers>

</Style>
```

In this style, we added a DataTrigger element that data binds to an IsEditable property that we could declare in a View Model class, that would determine whether the users could edit the data in the controls on screen or not. This would assume that an instance of the View Model was correctly set as the UserControl.DataContext property.

If the value of the IsEditable property was false, then the TextBox.IsReadOnly property would be set to true and the control would become un-editable. Using this technique, we could make all of the controls in a form editable or un-editable by setting this property from the View Model.

The triggers that we have looked at so far have all used a single condition to trigger their actions or property changes. However, there are occasionally situations when we might need more than a single condition to trigger our property changes. For example, in one situation, we might want one particular style, and in another situation, we might want a different look. Let's see an example:

```
<Style x:Key="ButtonStyle" TargetType="{x:Type Button}">

<Setter Property="Foreground" Value="Black" />

<Style.Triggers>

<Trigger Property="IsMouseOver" Value="True">

<Setter Property="Foreground" Value="Red" />

</Trigger>

<MultiTrigger>

<MultiTrigger.Conditions>
```

```
<Condition Property="IsFocused" Value="True" />
<Condition Property="IsMouseOver" Value="True" />
</MultiTrigger.Conditions>
<Setter Property="Foreground" Value="Green" />
</MultiTrigger>
</Style.Triggers>
</Style>
```

In this example, we have two triggers. The first will change the button text to red when the mouse is over it. The second will change the button text to green if the mouse is over it *and* the button is focused.

Note that we had to declare the two triggers in this order, as triggers are applied from top to bottom. Had we swapped their order, then the text would never change to green because the single trigger would always override the value set by the first one.

We can specify as many Condition elements as we need within the Conditions collection and as many setters as we need within the MultiTrigger element itself. However, every condition must return true in order for the setters or other trigger actions to be applied.

The same can be said for the last trigger type to be introduced here, the MultiDataTrigger. The difference between this trigger and the previous one is the same as that between the property trigger and the data trigger. That is, the data and multi-data triggers have a much wider range of target sources, while triggers and multi triggers only work with properties of the local control:

```
<StackPanel>
  <CheckBox Name="ShowErrors" Content="Show Errors" Margin="0,0,0,10" />
 <TextBlock>
   <TextBlock.Style>
      <Style TargetType="{x:Type TextBlock}">
        <Setter Property="Text" Value="No Errors" />
        <Style.Triggers>
          <MultiDataTrigger>
            <MultiDataTrigger.Conditions>
              <Condition Binding="{Binding IsValid}" Value="False" />
              <Condition Binding="{Binding IsChecked,
                ElementName=ShowErrors}" Value="True" />
            </MultiDataTrigger.Conditions>
            <MultiDataTrigger.Setters>
              <Setter Property="Text" Value="{Binding ErrorList}" />
            </MultiDataTrigger.Setters>
          </MultiDataTrigger>
        </Style.Triggers>
      </Style>
    </TextBlock.Style>
```

```
</TextBlock>
...
</StackPanel>
```

This example demonstrates the wider reach of the MultiDataTrigger class, due to its access to the wide range of binding sources. We have a Show Errors checkbox, a No Errors textblock, and let's say, some other form fields that are not displayed here. One of the conditions of this trigger uses the ElementName property to set the binding source to the checkbox and requires it to be checked.

The other condition binds to an IsValid property from our View Model that would be set to true if there were no validation errors. The idea is that when the checkbox is checked and there are validation errors, the Text property of the TextBlock element will be data bound to another View Model property named ErrorList, which could output a description of the validation errors.

Also note that in this example, we explicitly declared the Setters collection property and defined our setter within it. However, that is optional and we could have implicitly added the setter to the same collection without declaring the collection, as shown in the previous MultiTrigger example.

Before moving onto the next topic, let's take a moment to investigate the EnterActions and ExitActions properties of the TriggerBase class that enable us to specify one or more TriggerAction objects to apply when the trigger becomes active and/or inactive respectively.

Note that we cannot specify style setters in these collections, as they are not TriggerAction objects; setters can be added to the Setters collection. Instead, we use these properties to start animations when the trigger becomes active and/or inactive. To do that, we need to add a BeginStoryboard element, which extends the TriggerAction class. Let's see an example:

```
<TextBox Width="200" Height="28">

<TextBox.Style>

<Style TargetType="{x:Type TextBox}">

<Setter Property="Opacity" Value="0.25" />

<Style.Triggers>

<Trigger Property="IsMouseOver" Value="True">

<Trigger.EnterActions>

<BeginStoryboard>

<Storyboard Storyboard.TargetProperty="Opacity">

<DoubleAnimation Duration="0:0:0.25" To="1.0" />

</Storyboard>

</BeginStoryboard>
```

```
</Trigger.EnterActions>
<Trigger.ExitActions>
<BeginStoryboard>
<Storyboard Storyboard.TargetProperty="Opacity">
<DoubleAnimation Duration="0:0:0.25" To="0.25" />
</Storyboard>
</BeginStoryboard>
</Trigger.ExitActions>
</Trigger>
</Style.Triggers>
</Style>
</TextBox.Style>
</TextBox>
```

In this example, the Trigger condition relates to the IsMouseOver property of the TextBox control. Note that declaring our animations in the EnterActions and ExitActions properties when using the IsMouseOver property is effectively the same as having two EventTrigger elements, one for the MouseEnter event and one for MouseLeave event.

In this example, the animation in the EnterActions collection will start as the user's mouse cursor enters the control and the animation in the ExitActions collection will start as the user's mouse cursor leaves the control.

We'll thoroughly cover animations later, in Chapter 7, *Mastering Practical Animations*, but in short, the animation that starts as the user's mouse cursor enters the control will fade in the control from being almost transparent to being opaque.

The other animation will return the TextBox control to an almost transparent state when the user's mouse cursor leaves the control. This creates a nice effect when a mouse is dragged over a number of controls with this style. Now that we have a good understanding of triggers, let's move on to find other ways of customizing the standard .NET controls.

Templating controls

While we can greatly vary the look of each control using styles alone, there are occasionally situations when we need to alter their template to achieve our goal. For example, there is no direct way to change the background color of a button through styles alone. In these situations, we need to alter the control's default template.

All UI elements that extend the Control class provide access to its Template property. This property is of type ControlTemplate and enables us to completely replace the originally declared template that defines the normal look of the control. We saw a simple example in the Chapter 4, *Becoming Proficient with Data Binding*, but let's now have a look at another example:

```
<Button Content="Go" Width="100" HorizontalAlignment="Center">

<Button.Template>

<ControlTemplate TargetType="{x:Type Button}">

<Grid>

<Ellipse Fill="Orange" Stroke="Black" StrokeThickness="3"

Height="{Binding ActualWidth,

RelativeSource={RelativeSource Self}}" />

<ContentPresenter HorizontalAlignment="Center"

VerticalAlignment="Center" TextElement.FontSize="18"

TextElement.FontWeight="Bold" />

</Grid>

</ControlTemplate>

</Button.Template>
```

Here, we have a button that we have altered to look like a circle. It is very basic, as we have not bothered to define any mouseover or click effects, but it shows that there is nothing scary about overriding the default template of a control and that it is simple to achieve:



Note that the ContentPresenter element is declared after the Ellipse element because the ellipse is not a content control and cannot have another element set as its content. This results in the content being drawn on top of the ellipse. A side effect of this is that we therefore need to add a panel inside the template, to enable us to provide more than a single piece of content. Also note that as with styles, we need to specify the TargetType property of the template. To clarify this a little, we need to specify it if we want to data bind to any properties of the control, or if the template contains a ContentPresenter element. Omitting this declaration will not raise a compilation error in the latter case, but the content will simply not appear in our templated control. It is therefore good practice to always set this property to the appropriate type.

However, unlike styles, if we declared a ControlTemplate and set its TargetType property in a Resources collection without specifying the x:Key directive, it would not be implicitly applied to all buttons in the application. In this case, we would receive a compilation error:

Each dictionary entry must have an associated key.

Instead, we need to set the x:Key directive and explicitly apply the template to the Template property of the control. If we want our template to be applied to every control of that type then we need to set it in the default style for that type. In this case, we need to *not* set the x:Key directive of the style, so that it will be implicitly applied:

```
<ControlTemplate x:Key="ButtonTemplate" TargetType="{x:Type Button}">
...
</ControlTemplate>
<Style TargetType="{x:Type Button}">
<Setter Property="Template" Value="{StaticResource ButtonTemplate}" />
</Style>
```

Note that we would not typically hard code property values as we did in this template example, unless we did not want the users of our framework to be able to set their own colors on our templated controls. More often than not, we would make proper use of the TemplateBinding class to apply the values set from outside the control to the inner controls defined within our template:

```
<Button Content="Go" Width="100" HorizontalAlignment="Center"
Background="Orange" HorizontalContentAlignment="Center"
VerticalContentAlignment="Center" FontSize="18">
<Button.Template>
<ControlTemplate TargetType="{x:Type Button}">
<Grid>
<Ellipse Fill="{TemplateBinding Background}"
Stroke="{TemplateBinding Foreground}" StrokeThickness="3"
Height="{Binding ActualWidth,
RelativeSource={RelativeSource Self}}" />
<ContentPresenter HorizontalAlignment="{TemplateBinding
HorizontalContentAlignment}"
VerticalAlignment="{TemplateBinding
```

[239]

```
VerticalContentAlignment}"
TextElement.FontWeight="{TemplateBinding FontWeight}"
TextElement.FontSize="{TemplateBinding FontSize}" />
</Grid>
</ControlTemplate>
</Button.Template>
</Button>
```

While this example is now far more verbose, it is also more practical and would enable users to set their own button properties. Setting this template in a default style would make the templated control far more reusable. Note that now, the hard coded values are made on the button control itself, with the exception of the StrokeThickness property.

There is no suitable property on the Button class that we could use to expose this inner control property. If this was a problem for us, we could expose the value of that property in a custom Attached Property and data bind to it on the button as follows:

```
<Button Attached:ButtonProperties.StrokeThickness="3" ... />
```

And we could do the following inside the control template:

```
<Ellipse StrokeThickness=
"{Binding (Attached:ButtonProperties.StrokeThickness)}" ... />
```

However, even though we have improved our template, there are certain elements defined in the default templates that affect the way their containing controls look or work. If we remove these elements, as we have done in the preceding example, we will break that default functionality. For example, our example button no longer has focusing or interaction effects.

Sometimes, we may only need to slightly adjust the original template, in which case, we would typically start with the default ControlTemplate and then make our slight adjustment to it. If we had done this with our button example and simply replaced the visual aspects, then we could have retained the original interactivity with it.

In days gone by, it could be quite difficult to find the default control templates for the various controls. We would previously need to try and track them down on the docs.microsoft.com website, or use Blend; now, however, we can use Visual Studio to provide it for us.

In the WPF designer, select the relevant control, or click on it with the mouse in a XAML file. With the relevant control selected or focused, press the *F*4 key on your keyboard to open the **Properties** window. Next, open the **Miscellaneous** category to find the **Template** property, or type Template in the search field at the top of the **Properties** window.

Click on the little square to the right of the **Template** value field and select the **Convert to New Resource...** item in the template options tooltip. In the popup dialog window that appears, name the new ControlTemplate to be added and decide where you want it to be defined:

Create ControlTemplate Resource			?	×	
Name (K	eγ)				
0	• TextBoxControlTemplate				
• A					
Define in O Application					
• т	his document				
• R	lesource dictionary				
			ОК	Cance	el

Once you have entered the required details, click the **OK** button to create a copy of the default template of your selected control in your desired location. As an example, let's take a look at the default control template of the TextBox control:

```
<ControlTemplate TargetType="{x:Type TextBox}">
 <Border Name="border" BorderBrush="{TemplateBinding BorderBrush}"
   BorderThickness="{TemplateBinding BorderThickness}"
   Background="{TemplateBinding Background}"
   SnapsToDevicePixels="True">
   <ScrollViewer Name="PART_ContentHost" Focusable="False"</pre>
     HorizontalScrollBarVisibility="Hidden"
     VerticalScrollBarVisibility="Hidden" />
  </Border>
 <ControlTemplate.Triggers>
   <Trigger Property="IsEnabled" Value="False">
     <Setter Property="Opacity" TargetName="border" Value="0.56" />
   </Trigger>
   <Trigger Property="IsMouseOver" Value="True">
     <Setter Property="BorderBrush" TargetName="border"
       Value="#FF7EB4EA" />
   </Trigger>
   <Trigger Property="IsKeyboardFocused" Value="True">
     <Setter Property="BorderBrush" TargetName="border"
       Value="#FF569DE5" />
   </Trigger>
  </ControlTemplate.Triggers>
</ControlTemplate>
```
As we can see, most of the properties set on the inner controls have been exposed to the TextBox control through the use of the TemplateBinding class. At the end of the template are the triggers that react to various states, such as focus, mouseover, and enabled states.

However, inside the Border element, we see a ScrollViewer named PART_ContentHost. The fact that this is named with the PART_ prefix specifies that this control is required within this template. All named parts of each UI element will be listed on the [ControlType] Styles and Templates pages on docs.microsoft.com.

This named part control is required in the textbox because when the textbox is initialized, it programmatically adds the TextBoxView and CaretElement objects into the ScrollViewer object and these are the predominant elements that make up the textbox's functionality.

These specially named elements also need to be registered within the declaring class and we'll find out more about that later in the chapter as well. It is therefore important that we include these named controls in our custom templates if we want to keep the existing functionality.

Note that we will not receive any compilation errors or even trace warnings if we do not include these named controls, and we are free to leave them out if we do not require their relevant functionality. This following example, while hardly functional, it still perfectly valid:

```
<TextBox Text="Hidden Text Box">

<TextBox.Template>

<ControlTemplate TargetType="{x:Type TextBox}">

<ControlTemplate TargetType="{remplateBinding Text}" />

</ControlTemplate>

</TextBox.Template>

</TextBox>
```

Although this TextBox control will indeed display the specified text value, it will have no containing box like a normal TextBox element would. What will happen when this template is rendered is that the ContentPresenter element will see a string and default to displaying it in a TextBlock element.

Its Text property will still be data bound to the Text property of our TextBox control and so, when focused, it will still behave like a normal TextBox element and enable us to enter text. Of course, we won't see when it's focused because we didn't add any triggers to make that happen, and there won't be a caret as the CaretElement object will no longer be added.

Instead, if we simply supply the required named control, even without anything else, we'll still regain most of the original functionality:

```
<TextBox Name="Text" Text="Does this work?">

<TextBox.Template>

<ControlTemplate TargetType="{x:Type TextBox}">

<ScrollViewer Margin="0" Name="PART_ContentHost" />

</ControlTemplate>

</TextBox.Template>

</TextBox>
```

Now, when we run our application, we have the caret and text cursor when the mouse is over the TextBox control, and so we have regained more of the functionality, but not the look. However usually, the best option is to keep as much of the original template as we can and only change the parts that we really need to.

Attaching properties

When using WPF, we have one further tool at our disposal to enable us to manipulate the built-in controls and avoid the need to create new ones. We are, of course, discussing Attached Properties, so let's extend an example that we started looking at in Chapter 4, *Becoming Proficient with Data Binding*.

In order to create a button that will enable us to set a second tooltip message to display when the control is disabled, we'll need to declare two Attached Properties. One will hold the disabled tooltip message and the other will be the previously mentioned read-only property that temporarily holds onto the original tooltip value. Let's look at our full ButtonProperties class now:

```
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Controls;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Attached
{
    public class ButtonProperties : DependencyObject
    {
        private static readonly DependencyPropertyKey
        originalToolTipPropertyKey =
        DependencyProperty.RegisterAttachedReadOnly("OriginalToolTip",
        typeof(string), typeof(ButtonProperties),
        new FrameworkPropertyMetadata(default(string)));
    public static readonly DependencyProperty OriginalToolTipProperty =
        originalToolTipPropertyKey.DependencyProperty;
```

}

```
public static string GetOriginalToolTip(
  DependencyObject dependencyObject)
{
  return
    (string)dependencyObject.GetValue(OriginalToolTipProperty);
}
public static DependencyProperty DisabledToolTipProperty =
  DependencyProperty.RegisterAttached("DisabledToolTip",
  typeof(string), typeof(ButtonProperties),
  new UIPropertyMetadata(string.Empty, OnDisabledToolTipChanged));
public static string GetDisabledToolTip(
  DependencyObject dependencyObject)
{
  return (string)dependencyObject.GetValue(
    DisabledToolTipProperty);
}
public static void SetDisabledToolTip(
 DependencyObject dependencyObject, string value)
{
  dependencyObject.SetValue(DisabledToolTipProperty, value);
}
private static void OnDisabledToolTipChanged(DependencyObject
  dependencyObject, DependencyPropertyChangedEventArgs e)
{
  Button button = dependencyObject as Button;
  ToolTipService.SetShowOnDisabled(button, true);
  if (e.OldValue == null && e.NewValue != null)
   button.IsEnabledChanged += Button_IsEnabledChanged;
  else if (e.OldValue != null && e.NewValue == null)
    button.IsEnabledChanged -= Button_IsEnabledChanged;
}
private static void Button_IsEnabledChanged(object sender,
  DependencyPropertyChangedEventArgs e)
{
  Button button = sender as Button;
  if (GetOriginalToolTip(button) == null)
    button.SetValue(originalToolTipPropertyKey,
    button.ToolTip.ToString());
  button.ToolTip = (bool)e.NewValue ?
    GetOriginalToolTip(button) : GetDisabledToolTip(button);
}
```

As with all Attached Properties, we start with a class that extends the DependencyObject class. In this class, we first declare the read-only originalToolTipPropertyKey field using the RegisterAttachedReadOnly method and the OriginalToolTipProperty property and its associated CLR getter.

Next, we use the RegisterAttached method to register the DisabledToolTip property that will hold the value of the tooltip to be displayed when the control is disabled. We then see its CLR getter and setter methods and its all-important PropertyChangedCallback handling method.

In the OnDisabledToolTipChanged method, we first cast the dependencyObject input parameter to its actual type of Button. We then use it to set the ToolTipService.SetShowOnDisabled Attached Property to true, which is required because we want the button's tooltip to be displayed when the button is disabled. The default value is false, so our Attached Property would not work without this step.

Next, we determine whether we need to attach or detach the Button_IsEnabledChanged event-handling method depending on the NewValue and OldValue property values of the DependencyPropertyChangedEventArgs object. If the old value is null, then the property has not been set before and we need to attach the handler; if the new value is null, then we need to detach the handler.

In the Button_IsEnabledChanged event-handling method, we first cast the sender input parameter to the Button type. We then use it to access the OriginalToolTip property and if it is null, we set it with the current value from the control's normal ToolTip property. Note that we need to pass the originalToolTipPropertyKey field into the SetValue method, as it is a read-only property.

Finally, we utilize the e.NewValue property value to determine whether to set the original tooltip or the disabled tooltip into the control's normal ToolTip property. Therefore, if the control is enabled, the e.NewValue property value will be true and the original tooltip will be returned; if the button is disabled, the disabled tooltip will be displayed. We could use this Attached Property as follows:

```
<Button Content="Save" Attached:ButtonProperties.DisabledToolTip="You must
correct validation errors before saving" ToolTip="Saves the user" />
```

As can be seen from this simple example, Attached Properties enable us to easily add new functionality to the existing suite of UI controls. This again highlights how versatile WPF is and demonstrates that we often have no need to create completely new controls.

Combining controls

When we need to arrange a number of existing controls in a particular way, we typically use a UserControl object. This is why we normally use this type of control to build our Views. However, when we need to build a reusable control, such as an address control, we tend to separate these from our Views, by declaring them in a Controls folder and namespace within our Views project.

When declaring these reusable controls, it is customary to define Dependency Properties in the code behind and as long as there is no business-related functionality in the control, it is also OK to use the code behind to handle events. If the control is business-related, then we can use a View Model as we do with normal Views. Let's take a look at an example of an address control:

```
<UserControl x:Class=
  "CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls.AddressControl"
 xmlns="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml/presentation"
 xmlns:x="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml"
 xmlns:Controls=
    "clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls">
 <Grid>
    <Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
      <ColumnDefinition Width="Auto" SharedSizeGroup="Label" />
      <ColumnDefinition />
   </Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
    <Grid.RowDefinitions>
      <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
     <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
     <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
      <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
      <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
    </Grid.RowDefinitions>
   <TextBlock Text="House/Street" />
   <TextBox Grid.Column="1" Text="{Binding Address.HouseAndStreet,
     RelativeSource={RelativeSource
     AncestorType={x:Type Controls:AddressControl}}}" />
    <TextBlock Grid.Row="1" Text="Town" />
    <TextBox Grid.Row="1" Grid.Column="1"
     Text="{Binding Address.Town, RelativeSource={RelativeSource
     AncestorType={x:Type Controls:AddressControl}}}" />
   <TextBlock Grid.Row="2" Text="City" />
   <TextBox Grid.Row="2" Grid.Column="1"
     Text="{Binding Address.City, RelativeSource={RelativeSource
     AncestorType={x:Type Controls:AddressControl}}}" />
   <TextBlock Grid.Row="3" Text="Post Code" />
    <TextBox Grid.Row="3" Grid.Column="1"
```

```
Text="{Binding Address.PostCode, RelativeSource={RelativeSource
AncestorType={x:Type Controls:AddressControl}}}" />
<TextBlock Grid.Row="4" Text="Country" />
<TextBox Grid.Row="4" Grid.Column="1"
Text="{Binding Address.Country, RelativeSource={RelativeSource
AncestorType={x:Type Controls:AddressControl}}}" />
</Grid>
</UserControl>
```

In this example, we declare this class within the Controls namespace and set up a XAML namespace prefix for it. We then see the Grid panel that is used to layout the address controls and notice that the SharedSizeGroup property is set on the ColumnDefinition element that defines the label column. This will enable the column sizes within this control to be shared with externally declared controls.

We then see all of the TextBlock and TextBox controls that are data bound to the control's address fields. There's not much to note here except that the data bound properties are all accessed through a RelativeSource binding to an Address Dependency Property that is declared in the code behind file of the AddressControl.

Remember that it's fine to do this when using MVVM as long as we are not encapsulating any business rules here. Our control merely enables the users to input or add address information, which will be used by various Views and View Models. Let's see this property now:

```
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Controls;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls
{
  public partial class AddressControl : UserControl
  {
    public AddressControl()
    {
      InitializeComponent();
    }
    public static readonly DependencyProperty AddressProperty =
      DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(Address),
      typeof(Address), typeof(AddressControl),
      new PropertyMetadata(new Address()));
    public Address Address
    {
      get { return (Address)GetValue(AddressProperty); }
```

```
set { SetValue(AddressProperty, value); }
}
```

This is a very simple control with just one Dependency Property. We can see that the Address property is of type Address, so let's have a quick look at that class next:

```
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels
{
  public class Address : BaseDataModel
  {
    private string houseAndStreet, town, city, postCode, country;
    public string HouseAndStreet
    ł
     get { return houseAndStreet; }
      set { if (houseAndStreet != value) { houseAndStreet = value;
        NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
    }
    public string Town
    {
     get { return town; }
      set { if (town != value) { town = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
    }
    public string City
    {
      get { return city; }
      set { if (city != value) { city = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
    }
    public string PostCode
    {
      qet { return postCode; }
      set { if (postCode != value) { postCode = value;
        NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
    }
    public string Country
    {
      get { return country; }
      set { if (country != value) { country = value;
        NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
    }
    public override string ToString()
```

```
{
    return $"{HouseAndStreet}, {Town}, {City}, {PostCode}, {Country}";
    }
}
```

Again, we have a very simple class that is primarily made up from the address related properties. Note the use of the String Interpolation in the overridden ToString method to output a useful display of the class contents. Now we've seen the control, let's take a look at how we can use it in our application. We can edit a View that we saw earlier, so let's see the updated UserView XAML now:

```
<Grid TextElement.FontSize="14" Grid.IsSharedSizeScope="True" Margin="10">
  <Grid.Resources>
    <Style TargetType="{x:Type TextBlock}">
      <Setter Property="HorizontalAlignment" Value="Right" />
      <Setter Property="VerticalAlignment" Value="Center" />
      <Setter Property="Margin" Value="0,0,5,5" />
    </Style>
    <Style TargetType="{x:Type TextBox}">
      <Setter Property="VerticalAlignment" Value="Center" />
      <Setter Property="Margin" Value="0,0,0,5" />
    </Style>
  </Grid.Resources>
  <Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
    <ColumnDefinition Width="Auto" SharedSizeGroup="Label" />
    <ColumnDefinition />
  </Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
  <Grid.RowDefinitions>
    <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
    <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
    <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
  </Grid.RowDefinitions>
  <TextBlock Text="Name" />
  <TextBox Grid.Column="1" Text="{Binding User.Name}" />
 <TextBlock Grid.Row="1" Text="Age" />
 <TextBox Grid.Row="1" Grid.Column="1" Text="{Binding User.Age}" />
  <Controls:AddressControl Grid.Row="2" Grid.ColumnSpan="2"</pre>
   Address="{Binding User.Address}" />
</Grid>
```

In this example, we can see the use of the Grid.IsSharedSizeScope property on the outermost Grid panel. Remember that the SharedSizeGroup property was set in the AddressControl XAML, although without this setting on the outer Grid, it does nothing by itself.

Looking at the outer panel's column definitions, we can see that we have also set the SharedSizeGroup property to the same value of Label on the left column so that the two panels' columns will be aligned.

We can skip over the two styles that are declared in the panel's Resources section as in a proper application, these would most likely reside in the application resources file. In the remainder of the View, we simply have a couple of rows of user properties and then AddressControl.

This code assumes that we have declared an Address property of type Address in our User class and populated it with suitable values in the UserViewModel class. Note how we data bind the Address property of the User class to the Address property of the control, rather than setting the DataContext property. As the control's internal controls are data bound using RelativeSource bindings, which specify their own binding source, they do not require any DataContext to be set. In fact, doing so in this example would stop it from working.

Creating custom controls

When using WPF, we can generally create the UI that we want using the many techniques already discussed in this book. However, in the cases where we require a totally unique control with both a custom drawn appearance and custom functionality, then we may need to declare a custom control.

Developing custom controls is very different than creating UserControl elements and it can take some time to master this. To start with, we will need to add a new project of type **WPF Custom Control Library** to declare them in. Also, instead of having a XAML page and a code behind file, we only have the code file. At this point, you may be wondering where we define what our control should look like.

In fact, when defining a custom control, we declare our XAML in a separate file named Generic.xaml, which is added by Visual Studio when we add our controls project. To clarify, the XAML for all of the custom controls that we declare in this project will go into this file. This does not relate to controls that extend the UserControl class and we should not declare those in this project.

This Generic.xaml file gets added into a folder named Themes in the root directory of our **WPF Custom Control Library** project, as this is where the Framework will look for the default styles of our custom controls. As such, we must declare the UI design of our control in a ControlTemplate and set it to the Template property in a style that targets the type of our control in this file.

The style must be applied to all instances of our control and so the style is defined with the TargetType set, but without the x:Key directive. If you remember, this will ensure that it is implicitly applied to all instances of our control that don't have an alternative template explicitly applied.

A further difference is that we cannot directly reference any of the controls that are defined within the style in the Generic.xaml file. If you recall, when we provided a new template for the built-in controls, we were under no obligation to provide the same controls that were originally used. Therefore, if we tried to access a control from our original template that had been replaced, it would cause an error.

Instead, we generally need to access them by overriding the FrameworkElement.OnApplyTemplate method, which is raised once a template has been
applied to an instance of our control. In this method, we should expect that our required
control(s) will be missing and ensure that no errors occur if that is the case.

Let's look at a simple example of a custom control that creates a meter that can be used to monitor CPU activity, RAM usage, audio loudness, or any other regularly changing value. We'll first need to create a new project of type **WPF Custom Control Library** and rename the CustomControll.cs class that Visual Studio adds for us to Meter.cs.

Note that we can only add a custom control to a project of this type and that when the project is added, Visual Studio will also add our Themes folder and Generic.xaml file, with a style for our control already declared inside it. Let's see the code in the Meter.cs file:

```
using System;
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Controls;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.CustomControls
{
  public class Meter : Control
  {
    static Meter()
    {
      DefaultStyleKeyProperty.OverrideMetadata(typeof(Meter),
        new FrameworkPropertyMetadata(typeof(Meter)));
    }
    public static readonly DependencyProperty ValueProperty =
      DependencyProperty.Register (nameof (Value),
      typeof(double), typeof(Meter),
      new PropertyMetadata(0.0, OnValueChanged, CoerceValue));
```

}

```
private static object CoerceValue (DependencyObject dependencyObject,
    object value)
  {
    return Math.Min(Math.Max((double)value, 0.0), 1.0);
  ł
  private static void OnValueChanged (DependencyObject dependencyObject,
    DependencyPropertyChangedEventArgs e)
  ł
    Meter meter = (Meter) dependencyObject;
   meter.SetClipRect(meter);
  }
  public double Value
  {
    get { return (double)GetValue(ValueProperty); }
    set { SetValue(ValueProperty, value); }
  }
  public static readonly DependencyPropertyKey clipRectPropertyKey =
    DependencyProperty.RegisterReadOnly(nameof(ClipRect), typeof(Rect),
    typeof(Meter), new PropertyMetadata(new Rect()));
  public static readonly DependencyProperty ClipRectProperty =
    clipRectPropertyKey.DependencyProperty;
  public Rect ClipRect
  {
    get { return (Rect)GetValue(ClipRectProperty); }
    private set { SetValue(clipRectPropertyKey, value); }
  }
  public override void OnApplyTemplate()
  {
    SetClipRect(this);
  }
  private void SetClipRect(Meter meter)
  {
    double barSize = meter.Value * meter.Height;
    meter.ClipRect =
      new Rect(0, meter.Height - barSize, meter.Width, barSize);
  }
}
```

This is a relatively small class, with only two Dependency Properties and their associated CLR property wrappers and callback handlers. Of particular note is the class's static constructor and the use of the DefaultStyleKeyProperty.OverrideMetadata method.

This is also added by Visual Studio when adding the class and is required to override the type-specific metadata of the DefaultStyleKey Dependency Property when we derive a custom class from the FrameworkElement class.

Specifically, this key is used by the Framework to find the default theme style for our control and so, by passing the type of our class into the OverrideMetadata method, we are telling the Framework to look for a default style for this type in our Themes folder.

If you remember, the theme styles are the last place that the Framework will look for the style of a specific type and declaring styles just about anywhere else in the application will override the default styles defined here.

The first Dependency Property is the main Value property of the control and this is used to determine the size of the visible meter bar. This property defines a default value of 0.0 and attaches the CoerceValue and OnValueChanged callback handlers.

In the CoerceValue handling method, we ensure that the output value always remains between 0.0 and 1.0, as that is the scale that we will be using. In the OnValueChanged handler, we update the value of the other Dependency Property, ClipRect, dependent upon the input value.

To do this, we first cast the dependencyObject input parameter to our Meter type and then pass that instance to the SetClipRect method. In this method, we calculate the relative size of the meter bar and define the Rect element for the ClipRect Dependency Property accordingly.

Next, we see the CLR property wrapper for the Value Dependency Property and then the declaration of the ClipRect Dependency Property. Note that we declare it using a DependencyPropertyKey element, thus making it a read-only property, because it is only for internal use and has no value in being exposed publicly. The actual ClipRect Dependency Property comes from this key element.

After this, we see the CLR property wrapper for the ClipRect Dependency Property and then we come to the aforementioned OnApplyTemplate method. In our case, the purpose of overriding this method is because often, data bound values will be set before the control's template has been applied and so we would not be able to correctly set the size of the meter bar from those values. Therefore, when the template has been applied and the control has been arranged and sized, we call the SetClipRect method in order to set the Rect element for the ClipRect Dependency Property to the appropriate value. Before this point in time, the Height and Weight properties of the meter instance will be double.NaN (where *NaN* is short for *Not a Number*) and cannot be used to size the Rect element correctly.

When this method is called, we can rest assured that the Height and Weight properties of the meter instance will have valid values. Note that had we needed to access any elements from our template, we could have called the FrameworkTemplate.FindName method from this method, on the ControlTemplate object that is specified by our control's Template property.

If we had named a Rectangle element in our XAML PART_Rectangle, we could access it from the OnApplyTemplate method like this:

```
Rectangle rectangle = Template.FindName("PART_Rectangle", this) as
Rectangle;
if (rectangle != null)
{
    // Do something with rectangle
}
```

Note that we always need to check for null, because the applied template may be a custom template that does not contain the Rectangle element at all. Note also that when we require the existence of a particular element in the template, we can decorate our custom control class declaration with a TemplatePartAttribute, that specifies the details of the required control:

```
[TemplatePart(Name = "PART_Rectangle", Type = typeof(Rectangle))]
public class Meter : Control
{
    ...
}
```

This will not enforce anything and will not raise any compilation errors if the named part is not included in a custom template, but it will be used in documentation and by various XAML tools. It helps users of our custom controls to find out which elements are required when they provide custom templates. Now that we've seen the inner workings of this control, let's take a look at the XAML of the default style of our control in the Generic.xaml file to see how the ClipRect property is used:

```
<ResourceDictionary
 xmlns="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml/presentation"
 xmlns:x="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml"
 xmlns:CustomControls=
    "clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.CustomControls">
 <Style TargetType="{x:Type CustomControls:Meter}">
   <Setter Property="Template">
      <Setter.Value>
        <ControlTemplate TargetType="{x:Type
          CustomControls:Meter}">
          <ControlTemplate.Resources>
            <LinearGradientBrush x:Key="ScaleColors"
              StartPoint="0,1" EndPoint="0,0">
              <GradientStop Color="LightGreen" />
              <GradientStop Color="Yellow" Offset="0.5" />
              <GradientStop Color="Orange" Offset="0.75" />
              <GradientStop Color="Red" Offset="1.0" />
            </LinearGradientBrush>
          </ControlTemplate.Resources>
          <Border Background="{TemplateBinding Background}"
            BorderBrush="{TemplateBinding BorderBrush}"
            BorderThickness="{TemplateBinding BorderThickness}"
            SnapsToDevicePixels="True">
            <Border.ToolTip>
              <TextBlock Text="{Binding Value, StringFormat={}{0:P0}}" />
            </Border.ToolTip>
            <Rectangle Fill="{StaticResource ScaleColors}"
              HorizontalAlignment="Stretch" VerticalAlignment="Stretch"
              SnapsToDevicePixels="True" Name="PART_Rectangle">
              <Rectangle.Clip>
                <RectangleGeometry Rect="{Binding ClipRect,
                  RelativeSource={RelativeSource
                  AncestorType={x:Type CustomControls:Meter}} />
              </Rectangle.Clip>
            </Rectangle>
          </Border>
        </ControlTemplate>
      </Setter.Value>
   </Setter>
  </Style>
</ResourceDictionary>
```

When each custom control class is created in a **WPF Custom Control Library** project, Visual Studio adds an almost empty default style that sets a basic ControlTemplate and targets the type of the class into the Generic.xaml file. We just need to define our custom XAML within this template.

We start by declaring the ScaleColors gradient brush resource within the template. Note that the default value for the Offset property of a GradientStop element is 0 and so we can omit the setting of this property if that is the value that we want it set to. Therefore, when we see a declared GradientStop, like the one with the Color property set to LightGreen, we know its Offset property is set to 0.

Our meter control is basically made up of a Border element that surrounds a Rectangle element. We use TemplateBinding elements to data bind the Background, BorderBrush, and BorderThickness properties of the Border element and set its SnapsToDevicePixels property to True to avoid aliasing.

This enables users of the control to specify the border and background colors of the internal Border element of the meter control from outside the control. We could just as easily have exposed an additional brush property to replace the ScaleColors resource and enable users to define their own meter scale brush.

Note that we couldn't use a TemplateBinding to data bind the Value property in the ToolTip element. This is not because we don't have access to it through the template, but because we need to use the Binding.StringFormat property and the P format specifier to transform our double property value to a percentage value.

If you remember, a TemplateBinding is a lightweight binding and does not offer this functionality. While it is beneficial to use it when we can, this example highlights the fact that we cannot use it in every circumstance.

Finally, we come to the all-important Rectangle element that is responsible for displaying the actual meter bar of our control. The ScaleColors brush resource is used here to paint the background of the rectangle. We set the SnapsToDevicePixels property to true on this element to ensure that the level that it displays is accurate and well-defined.

The magic in this control is formed by the use of the UIElement.Clip property. Essentially, this enables us to provide any type of Geometry element to alter the shape and size of the visible portion of a UI element. The geometry shape that we assign here will specify the visible portion of the control. In our case, we declare a RectangleGeometry class, whose size and location are specified by its Rect property. We therefore data bind our ClipRect Dependency Property to this Rect property, so that the sizes calculated from the incoming data values are represented by this RectangleGeometry instance, and therefore the visible part of the Rectangle element.

Note that we do this so that the gradient that is painted on the meter bar remains constant and does not change with the height of the bar as its value changes. If we had simply painted the background of the rectangle with the brush resource and adjusted its height, the background gradient would move with the size of the meter bar and spoil the effect.

Therefore, the whole rectangle is always painted with the gradient brush and we simply use its Clip property to just display the appropriate part of it. In order to use it in one of our Views, we'd first need to specify the CustomControls XAML namespace prefix:

```
xmlns:CustomControls="clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.
CustomControls;assembly=CompanyName.ApplicationName.CustomControls"
```

We could then declare a number of them, data bind some appropriate properties to their Value property, and set styles for them, just like any other control:

```
<StackPanel Orientation="Horizontal" HorizontalAlignment="Center">

<StackPanel.Resources>

<Style TargetType="{x:Type CustomControls:Meter}">

<Setter Property="Background" Value="Black" />

<Setter Property="BorderBrush" Value="Black" />

<Setter Property="BorderThickness" Value="2" />

<Setter Property="HorizontalAlignment" Value="Center" />

<Setter Property="Width" Value="20" />

<Setter Property="Height" Value="100" />

</Style>

</StackPanel.Resources>

<CustomControls:Meter Value="{Binding CpuActivity}" />

<CustomControls:Meter Value="{Binding DiskActivity}" Margin="10,0" />

</StackPanel>
```

Given some valid properties to data bind to, the preceding example would produce an output similar to the following:



Summary

In this chapter, we've investigated the rich inheritance hierarchy of the built-in WPF controls, determining which abilities come from which base classes, and have seen how each control is laid out by their containing panels. We've examined the differences between the different panels and understand that some work better in certain conditions than others.

We've also uncovered the mysteries of the ContentControl and ItemsControl elements and now have a good understanding of ContentPresenter and ItemsPresenter objects. We moved on to discover a wide variety of ways for us to customize the built-in controls. Finally, we considered how best to make our own controls.

In the next chapter, we will further investigate the built-in controls, paying particular attention to the polymorphic ability of derived classes to override base class methods. We will introduce a number of examples that each highlight certain problems, and demonstrate how to overcome them each in turn by extending the built-in controls and overriding particular base class methods.

Adapting the Built-In Controls

The .NET Framework comes with a plethora of built-in controls that cover most real-world scenarios. And when we need something slightly different, we have seen that we can utilize the WPF styling and/or templating systems to adapt them to our requirements. However, there are also further ways of adjusting the built-in controls to suit our needs.

Each .NET control has a number of methods, each named with the prefix On, for example, OnInitialized, or OnApplyTemplate. These are protected methods, that can be overridden in any custom class that extends a .NET control. They are called at certain points in the control's lifetime and enable us to change the default behavior of each control.

They enable us to do things as simple as starting a process as soon as a control has been initialized, or accessing a named control from a custom ControlTemplate, once it has been applied. But they can also be used to completely change the default behavior, or the look and feel of the control. In this chapter, we will investigate these methods and give examples of how they can be utilized to our advantage.

We will then examine further ways of customizing the built-in controls, by adjusting their default ControlTemplate and leveraging new uses from them, while maintaining or extending their existing functionality. In this chapter, we consider the built-in controls merely as a starting point for our requirements, and learn how to build upon them, keeping what we need and changing what we don't.

Inspecting protected methods

Each .NET control has several methods that enable developers that extend that control to either interact with, or alter its functionality. Note that these are not events, but protected methods, that are called at specific points throughout the control's lifetime. As we have already seen in Chapter 5, *Using the Right Controls for the Job*, each .NET control extends a number of base classes, with each providing certain additional functionality.

In a similar way, each base class also provides a number of these protected methods, that enable us to interact with the control internally. In this chapter, we will also show how we can create our own methods that enable developers that extend our own control classes to adapt or extend their functionality.

Let's first take a look at the protected methods of the Window class:

```
protected override Size ArrangeOverride (Size arrangeBounds);
protected override Size MeasureOverride (Size availableSize);
protected virtual void OnActivated (EventArgs e);
protected virtual void OnClosed (EventArgs e);
protected virtual void OnClosing(CancelEventArgs e);
protected override void OnContentChanged(object oldContent, object
newContent);
protected virtual void OnContentRendered(EventArgs e);
protected override AutomationPeer OnCreateAutomationPeer();
protected virtual void OnDeactivated (EventArgs e);
protected virtual void OnLocationChanged (EventArgs e);
protected override void
    OnManipulationBoundaryFeedback (ManipulationBoundaryFeedbackEventArgs
e);
protected virtual void OnSourceInitialized(EventArgs e);
protected virtual void OnStateChanged(EventArgs e);
protected internal sealed override void
    OnVisualParentChanged(DependencyObject oldParent);
```

You may notice that they are all marked with either the virtual or override keywords, indicating that they can be overridden in extending classes. Apart from the ArrangeOverride and MeasureOverride methods, that we discovered in Chapter 5, Using the Right Controls for the Job, you should see that their names all start with the prefix On. This signifies that they are called upon some action having taken place.

For example, the OnActivated method is called when the Window becomes the active window on the computer, while the OnDeactivated method is called when the Window loses focus. These methods are usually used together to pause and resume animations, or other processes, while the Window is not in focus.

As expected, the OnClosed method is called upon the Window being closed and gives us a chance to dispose of any resources, or to save user preferences before closing the application. Conversely, The OnClosing method is called before the Window is closed and gives us a chance to cancel the close operation.

Therefore, the OnClosing method would be a good method from which to display a dialog, asking the user to confirm the close operation. Let's take a quick look at how we might achieve this in a class that extends the Window class:

```
using System.ComponentModel;
using System.Windows;
...
protected override void OnClosing(CancelEventArgs e)
{
    base.OnClosing(e);
    MessageBoxResult result = MessageBox.Show("Are you sure you want to
    close?",
        "Close Confirmation", MessageBoxButton.OKCancel,
MessageBoxImage.Question);
    e.Cancel = result == MessageBoxResult.Cancel;
}
```

In this simple example, we override the OnClosing method and in it, we first call the base class method, to ensure that any base class routines are run as expected. We then display a message box to the user, asking them to confirm their close operation.

With the resulting value attained from the user via the message box buttons, we set the Cancel property of the CancelEventArgs object that is passed into the method. If the returned value is Cancel, the Cancel property is set to true and the close operation is canceled, otherwise, it is set to false and the application is closed.

Returning to the Window class now, we see the OnLocationChanged method, which is called whenever the Window is moved or resized in a manner that moves its top left corner. We could use this method to save the last position of the Window, so that it could be returned there the next time the user opened their application. However, this operation is more typically performed upon the user closing the application.

The OnSourceInitialized method is called after the window source is created, but before it is shown and the OnStateChanged method is called when the WindowState property is changed. So you see, these methods provide us with opportunities to perform actions at specific points throughout each control's lifetime.

Each base class adds its own collection of these protected methods for us to take advantage of, and ones of interest are overridden in the extending classes. Looking at the Window class declaration, we see that it extends the ContentControl class. Notice that its OnContentChanged method is marked with the override keyword.

This is because this method, which is actually declared in the <code>ContentControl</code> class, has been overridden in the <code>Window</code> class so that it could add its own code after the base class functionality has been executed. Let's have a look at the source code for this method from the <code>Window</code> class. The comments in the source code have been removed for brevity:

```
protected override void OnContentChanged(object oldContent, object
newContent)
{
    base.OnContentChanged(oldContent, newContent);
    SetIWindowService();
    if (IsLoaded == true)
    ł
        PostContentRendered();
    }
    else
    {
        if (_postContentRenderedFromLoadedHandler == false)
        {
            this.Loaded += new RoutedEventHandler(LoadedHandler);
            _postContentRenderedFromLoadedHandler = true;
        }
    }
}
```

The method starts by calling the base class version of the method, which is always a good practice unless we want to stop the existing functionality from being performed. Next, it calls the SetIWindowService method, which just sets the Window object to the IWindowServiceProperty Dependency Property, and then it checks if the Window has passed the loading stage or not.

If it has, then it calls the PostContentRendered method, which basically invokes the OnContentRendered method using the Dispatcher object. Otherwise, if the __postContentRenderedFromLoadedHandler variable is false, it attaches an event handler to the Loaded event and sets the variable to true, to ensure that it is not attached more than once.

Returning to our investigation now, we see that the Window class adds protected methods relating to the Window and the ContentControl class adds protected methods relating to the content of the control. Let's see the protected methods of the ContentControl class now:

```
protected virtual void AddChild(object value);
protected virtual void AddText(string text);
protected virtual void OnContentChanged(object oldContent, object
```

```
newContent);
protected virtual void OnContentStringFormatChanged(string
oldContentStringFormat, string newContentStringFormat);
protected virtual void OnContentTemplateChanged(DataTemplate
oldContentTemplate, DataTemplate newContentTemplate);
protected virtual void
OnContentTemplateSelectorChanged(DataTemplateSelector
oldContentTemplateSelector, DataTemplateSelector
newContentTemplateSelector);
```

Apart from the first two methods, which can be used to add a specified object or text string to the ContentControl element, the remaining four methods are all called in response to a change in the content, or the format of the content of the control.

Moving on now, the ContentControl class extends the Control class, which introduces the concept of the ControlTemplate. As such, it provides a protected OnTemplateChanged method, which is called when the ControlTemplate value is changed:

```
protected override Size ArrangeOverride(Size arrangeBounds);
protected override Size MeasureOverride(Size constraint);
protected virtual void OnMouseDoubleClick(MouseButtonEventArgs e);
protected virtual void OnPreviewMouseDoubleClick(MouseButtonEventArgs e);
protected virtual void OnTemplateChanged(ControlTemplate oldTemplate,
ControlTemplate newTemplate);
```

The Control class extends the FrameworkElement class, which provides framework-level methods and events. These include a mouse, keyboard, stylus, touch, and focus-related protected methods, along with several others:

```
protected virtual Size ArrangeOverride(Size finalSize);
protected override Geometry GetLayoutClip(Size layoutSlotSize);
protected override Visual GetVisualChild(int index);
protected virtual Size MeasureOverride(Size availableSize);
protected virtual void OnContextMenuClosing(ContextMenuEventArgs e);
protected virtual void OnContextMenuOpening(ContextMenuEventArgs e);
protected override void OnGotFocus(RoutedEventArgs e);
protected virtual void OnInitialized(EventArgs e);
protected override void
OnPropertyChanged(DependencyPropertyChangedEventArgs e);
protected virtual void OnToolTipClosing(ToolTipEventArgs e);
```

Perhaps by now you will have noticed that many of these method names relate closely to the names of events raised by each class. In fact, there is a .NET Framework programming guideline for having protected virtual methods that raise events, to allow derived classes to override the event invocation behavior and we'll see an example of this later in this chapter.

When overriding these methods, we are therefore required to call the base class method in order to raise the corresponding event. When in doubt, it's usually best to call the base class version of the method to ensure that default functionality is not lost. However, it's good practice to view the base class method source code on the www.referencesource.microsoft.com website, to check if we need to call it or not.

You may be wondering what the difference between handling the events and overriding the related protected methods is and there are a few answers to this, depending upon the method in question. The first thing to point out is that in order to override a protected method, we need to declare a subclass of the class that declares the method.

So, assuming that we already have a class that extends a base class, what are the differences? For some methods, such as the OnClosing method that we explored, there is little difference. We could implement the same functionality in an event handler that is attached to the Closing event, although without the call to the base class method. In fact, this is the only real difference.

When overriding the OnClosing method, we are in control of when or if the base class method is called. When handling the event, we have no control over this. So, if we need to perform some action before the base class routine is executed or if we want to stop it from executing, then we will need to override the OnClosing method.

So, the appearance of the OnClosing method is there, purely for convenience, for us to be able to alter the default behavior of the Closing event. Other methods, however, such as the OnContextMenuClosing method, introduce a way for us to perform class-wide handling for the related events.

Sometimes though, we have no alternative to overriding these protected methods. Typically, these types of methods do not start with the prefix On and do not relate to any event. Occasionally, to perform a particular operation, we may need to extend a class, just so that we can provide a new implementation for one of these methods.

Let's look at an example using the GetLayoutClip method from the FrameworkElement class that we just saw.

Clipping the layout

By default, the TextBlock class clips its textual content at its bounding rectangle, so that text does not leak out of it. Clipping is the process of cutting off a portion of the visible output of a control. *But what about if we want the text to extend its bounds?*

There is a property named Clip, that we typically use to adjust the visible portion of controls. However, this can only reduce what is already visible. It cannot increase the rendering space available to the control. Before we continue with our example, let's take a short detour to investigate this property.

The Clip property, which is defined in the UIElement class, takes a Geometry object as its value. The object that we pass it can be created from any of the classes that extend the Geometry class, including the CombinedGeometry class. Therefore, the clipped object can be made into any shape. Let's view a simple example:

```
<Rectangle Fill="Salmon" Width="150" Height="100" RadiusX="25"
RadiusY="50">
<Rectangle.Clip>
<EllipseGeometry Center="150,50" RadiusX="150" RadiusY="50" />
</Rectangle.Clip>
</Rectangle>
```

Here, we use an EllipseGeometry object to make a Rectangle element appear as a small bullet shape. It works by displaying all of the image pixels from the Rectangle element that lies within the oval boundary of the EllipseGeometry object and hiding all those that lie outside the boundary. Let's take a look at the visual output of this code:



Returning to our previous example, the TextBlock class also clips its content in a similar way, but with a rectangle the size of the control, instead of an off-centered oval. Rather than using the Clip property, which provides the user with the same ability to clip the control as the other controls offer, it uses a protected method to ask for the Geometry object to use in the clipping process.

We could indeed return any geometric shape from this method, but it would not have the same visual effect as passing the shape to the Clip property would. For our example, we don't want to restrict the visible size of the control, but instead, remove the clipped area at the bounds of the control.

If we knew exactly what size we wanted to set the clipped range at, we could return a Geometry object of that size from the GetLayoutClip method. However, for our purposes, and to enable any of our custom TextBlock objects to leak endless text out of their bounds, we can simply return null from this method. Let's look at the difference between the two.

First, we create our BoundlessTextBlock class by extending the TextBlock class. Probably, one of the easiest ways to do this in **Visual Studio** is to add a WPF User Control object into our **Controls** folder and then simply replace the word UserControl with the word TextBlock in both the XAML file and its associated code behind file. Failure to change both will result in a design-time error that complains that **Partial declarations of** 'BoundlessTextBlock' **must not specify different base classes**:

```
<TextBlock
x:Class="CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls.BoundlessTextBlock"
xmlns="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml/presentation"
xmlns:x="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml" />
```

As can be seen from this example, our XAML file can be left remarkably empty, and for our requirements, we only need to override the single GetLayoutClip method in the code behind file. In this first example, we will return an EllipseGeometry object with the same size as the text block that will be used in the user interface:

```
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Controls;
using System.Windows.Media;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls
{
    public partial class BoundlessTextBlock : TextBlock
    {
        public BoundlessTextBlock()
        {
            InitializeComponent();
        }
        protected override Geometry GetLayoutClip(Size layoutSlotSize)
        {
            return new EllipseGeometry(new Rect(new Size(150, 22)));
        }
```

} }

Let's see how we can use our new class. First, we need to define a XAML Namespace that maps to the CLR namespace where we saved the class. Next, for demonstration purposes, we wrap our BoundlessTextBlock object in a Border object, so that we can see its natural bounds:

```
xmlns:Controls="clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls"
...
<Border BorderBrush="Black" BorderThickness="1"
HorizontalAlignment="Center"
VerticalAlignment="Center" SnapsToDevicePixels="True">
    <Controls:BoundlessTextBlock Text="Can you see what has happened?"
    Background="Aqua" FontSize="14" Width="150" Height="22" />
</Border>
```

Let's take a look at the visual output from this example:



As you can see, the visual output from our BoundlessTextBlock object has been restricted to display only the pixels that lie within the EllipseGeometry object that was returned from the GetLayoutClip method. But what will happen if we return an EllipseGeometry object that is larger than our custom text block? Let's find out, by returning this object instead:

```
return new EllipseGeometry(new Rect(new Size(205, 22)));
```

Now, looking at the visual output of our BoundlessTextBlock object, we can see that the content of our custom text block now extends beyond its bounds, thanks to the Border object and the blue background:

an you see what has happened

So, we can see that the clipping that is applied using the Geometry object that is returned from the GetLayoutClip method is not only unaffected by the control's natural bounds, but in fact, can directly alter them. Returning to our original idea on this subject, if we want to totally remove the clipping at the control's bounding edges, we can simply return null from this method instead:

```
protected override Geometry GetLayoutClip(Size layoutSlotSize)
{
   return null;
}
```

Let's see the result of this change now:



As you can see, the text now reaches right out of the boundary of the containing TextBlock object, and continues until the end of the text value. Note that it would extend as long as the text string requires, if given enough space by its parent control(s).

Let's look at another example of extending these classes to alter their functionality now.

Altering default behavior

The developers of the ItemsControl class gave it a particular default behavior. They thought that any objects that extended the UIElement class would have their own UI container and so, should be displayed directly, rather than allowing them to be templated in the usual way.

There is a method named IsItemItsOwnContainer in the ItemsControl class, which is called by the **WPF** Framework, to determine if an item in the Items collection is its own item container or not. Let's first take a look at the source code of this method:

```
public bool IsItemItsOwnContainer(object item)
{
   return IsItemItsOwnContainerOverride(item);
}
```

Note that internally, this method just calls the IsItemItsOwnContainerOverride method, returning its value unchanged. Let's take a look at the source code of that method now:

```
protected virtual bool IsItemItsOwnContainerOverride(object item)
{
   return (item is UIElement);
}
```

Here, we see two things: The first is the default implementation that was just mentioned, where true is returned for all items that extend the UIElement class, and false for all other types. The second is that this method is marked as virtual, so we are able to extend this class and override the method to return a different value.

Let's now look at the crucial part of the ItemsControl class source code (without the comments), where our overridden method would be used. This excerpt is from the GetContainerForItem method:

```
DependencyObject container;
if (IsItemItsOwnContainerOverride(item))
  container = item as DependencyObject;
else
  container = GetContainerForItemOverride();
```

With the default implementation, we see that UIElement items are cast to the type of DependencyObject, and set as the container, while a new container is created for items of all other types. Before overriding this method, let's see what effect the default behavior has, using an example.

The aim of this example is to render a little hollow circle for each item in a collection. Think of a slide show, where these circles would represent the slides, or a page numbering or linking system. We, therefore, need a collection control containing some items and a DataTemplate, with which to define the circles. Let's see the collection control with the items on their own first:

```
<UserControl
x:Class="CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.ForcedContainerItemsControlView"
xmlns="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml/presentation"
xmlns:x="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml"
Height="175" Width="287">
        <Grid>
        <Grid>
        <Grid.Resources>
        <ItemsPanelTemplate x:Key="HorizontalPanelTemplate">
        <StackPanel Orientation="Horizontal" />
        </ItemsPanelTemplate>
```

```
<Style TargetType="{x:Type Rectangle}">
        <Setter Property="Width" Value="75" />
        <Setter Property="Height" Value="75" />
        <Setter Property="RadiusX" Value="15" />
        <Setter Property="RadiusY" Value="15" />
      </Style>
    </Grid.Resources>
    <Grid.RowDefinitions>
      <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
      <RowDefinition />
    </Grid.RowDefinitions>
    <ListBox Name="ListBox" Height="105" Margin="20,20,20,0"</pre>
      ItemsPanel="{StaticResource HorizontalPanelTemplate}">
      <Rectangle Fill="Red" />
      <Rectangle Fill="Orange" />
      <Rectangle Fill="Green" />
    </ListBox>
  </Grid>
</UserControl>
```

We start with the resources, where we have declared an ItemsPanelTemplate, that is set to an instance of a StackPanel, with its Orientation property set to Horizontal. This will make the panel's items appear horizontally. We then added a basic Style, in which we set our common properties for the Rectangle class.

In the markup, we have a Grid panel with two rows. In the first row, we have a ListBox named ListBox, with three colored Rectangle objects declared within its Items collection. Its ItemsPanel property is set to the ItemsPanelTemplate instance that we declared in the control's Resources section. The second row is currently empty, but let's see the visual output so far:



So far, so good. We can see our three rounded rectangles in the ListBox control. Now, let's add a DataTemplate into the Resources section and an ItemsControl element into the second row of the Grid panel, declaring it directly underneath the ListBox XAML:

```
<DataTemplate x:Key="EllipseDataTemplate" DataType="{x:Type UIElement}">
   <Ellipse Width="16" Height="16"
    Stroke="Gray" StrokeThickness="2" Margin="4" />
   </DataTemplate>
    ...
   <ItemsControl Grid.Row="1" ItemsSource="{Binding Items,
    ElementName=ListBox}"
    ItemsPanel="{StaticResource HorizontalPanelTemplate}"
    ItemTemplate="{StaticResource EllipseDataTemplate}"
    HorizontalAlignment="Center" />
```

Note that this ItemsControl element has its ItemsSource property data bound to the Items property from the ListBox, using an ElementName binding. Like the ListBox control, it also arranges its items horizontally, using the ItemsPanelTemplate resource. It also applies the new DataTemplate element that we just added into the Resources section.

In this DataTemplate, we define a hollow gray Ellipse element to be rendered for each item in the collection, specifying its dimensions, spacing and stroke settings. Let's take a look at the visual output of our example now:



As you can see, we have some unexpected results. Instead of rendering the small gray ellipses that we defined in the DataTemplate, the items in the ItemsControl display the actual items from the ListBox. Even worse than that, as each UI element can only be displayed in one location at any given point in time, the original items no longer even appear in the ListBox.

You may see an ArgumentException being thrown regarding this issue:

Must disconnect the specified child from current parent Visual before attaching to new parent Visual.

But why haven't these objects been rendered as hollow circles in the second ListBox, according to our DataTemplate? Do you remember the IsItemItsOwnContainerOverride method that we investigated? Well, that is the reason.

The objects that are data-bound to the ItemsControl's ItemsSource property extend the UIElement class, and so the ItemsControl class uses them as their own containers, rather than creating a new container and applying the item template to them.

So, how do we change this default behavior? That's right, we need to extend the ItemsControl class and override the IsItemItsOwnContainerOverride method to always return false. In this way, a new container will always be created and the item template will always be applied. Let's see how this would look in a new class:

```
using System.Windows.Controls;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls
{
    public class ForcedContainerItemsControl : ItemsControl
    {
    protected override bool IsItemItsOwnContainerOverride(object item)
        {
        return false;
        }
    }
}
```

Here we have the very simple <code>ForcedContainerItemsControl</code> class, with its single overridden method, that always returns <code>false</code>. We need to do nothing else in this class, as we are happy to use the default behavior of the <code>ItemsControl</code> class for everything else.

All that remains is for us to use our new class in our example. We start by adding a XAML Namespace for our Controls CLR Namespace:

xmlns:Controls="clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls"

Next, we replace the ItemsControl XAML with the following:

```
<Controls:ForcedContainerItemsControl Grid.Row="1"
ItemsSource="{Binding Items, ElementName=ListBox}"
ItemsPanel="{StaticResource HorizontalPanelTemplate}"
ItemTemplate="{StaticResource EllipseDataTemplate}"
HorizontalAlignment="Center" Height="32" />
```

Let's see the new visual output now:



Now, we see what we were originally expecting to see: a little hollow circle rendered for each item in the collection. The items in our custom ItemsControl have now all been generated a new container and had our template applied to them as expected.

But what if we need to make use of the selected item in this example? The ItemsControl class has no concept of a selected item, so in this case, we would need to use a ListBox control in the second row of the Grid panel.

However, note that the ListBox class has also overridden the IsItemItsOwnContainerOverride method, so that it does not suffer from this same problem.

In fact, it will only use an item as a container if it is actually the correct container for this class; a ListBoxItem. Let's see its overridden method:

```
protected override bool IsItemItsOwnContainerOverride(object item)
{
   return (item is ListBoxItem);
}
```

Therefore, if we need access to the SelectedItem property from the ListBox class, then we do not need to create our own extended class to override this method, and can instead use their standard implementation. To get the same visual output however, we would need some styles to hide the ListBox's border and selected item highlights. Let's see a basic example of this:

```
<Style x:Key="HiddenListBoxItems" TargetType="{x:Type ListBoxItem}">
  <Setter Property="Template">
    <Setter.Value>
      <ControlTemplate TargetType="{x:Type ListBoxItem}">
        <ContentPresenter />
      </ControlTemplate>
    </Setter.Value>
 </Setter>
</Style>
<Style x:Key="HiddenListBox" TargetType="{x:Type ListBox}">
  <Setter Property="Template">
    <Setter.Value>
      <ControlTemplate TargetType="{x:Type ListBox}">
        <ScrollViewer>
          <ItemsPresenter SnapsToDevicePixels="{TemplateBinding</pre>
            SnapsToDevicePixels}" />
        </ScrollViewer>
      </ControlTemplate>
    </Setter.Value>
  </Setter>
</Style>
```

We would also need to update our EllipseDataTemplate template to include a trigger to highlight the small Ellipse object when its related item is selected in the top ListBox control:

```
<DataTemplate x:Key="EllipseDataTemplate" DataType="{x:Type UIElement}">
   <Ellipse Width="16" Height="16" Stroke="Gray" StrokeThickness="2"
   Margin="8">
   <Ellipse.Style>
      <Style TargetType="{x:Type Ellipse}">
      <Setter Property="Fill" Value="Transparent" />
      <Style.Triggers>
```

And finally, we'll need to replace our ForcedContainerItemsControl element with a standard ListBox and apply our styles to it and its containers:

```
<ListBox Grid.Row="1" ItemsSource="{Binding Items, ElementName=ListBox}"
ItemsPanel="{StaticResource HorizontalPanelTemplate}"
ItemTemplate="{StaticResource EllipseDataTemplate}"
SelectedItem="{Binding SelectedItem, ElementName=ListBox}"
Style="{StaticResource HiddenListBox}"
ItemContainerStyle="{StaticResource HiddenListBoxItems}"
HorizontalAlignment="Center" />
```

When we run the application now, we see that the small hollow Ellipse objects become filled when their related item is selected in the top ListBox:



So, we've seen how we can override these protected methods to change the default behavior of the built-in controls. Let's now take a look at how we can build these protected methods into our own custom classes, so that they can affect the natural flow of a piece of our control's functionality.

Creating overridable methods

The first thing that we need to do is to define either an abstract or a virtual method in our base class. Note that the class would need to be abstract in order to declare an abstract method. Which one we chose will depend on if we want to leave the implementation up to the developers that use our code, or if we have some implementation that we need to put in the method ourselves.

Let's look at an example to clarify this. Here, we see a method from an abstract BaseDragDropManager class. It handles the PreviewMouseMove event on controls that are used as drag and drop sources, for example, on a ListBox from which an item is being dragged:

```
private void DragSourcePreviewMouseMove(object sender, MouseEventArgs e)
{
    if (_isMouseDown && IsConfirmedDrag(e.GetPosition(sender as ListBox)))
    {
        __isMouseDown = false;
        OnDragSourcePreviewMouseMove(sender, e);
        if (e.Handled) return;
        OnDragStart(sender as UIElement);
    }
}
protected virtual void
    OnDragSourcePreviewMouseMove(object sender, MouseEventArgs e) { }
protected abstract void OnDragStart(UIElement uiElement);
```

In this example, the DragSourcePreviewMouseMove method first performs a check to verify that a drag operation has been initiated by the user. It then calls the OnDragSourcePreviewMouseMove method, which is marked as virtual, which makes overriding it in derived classes optional.

The next line of the DragSourcePreviewMouseMove method checks the Handled property of the MouseEventArgs input parameter and if it has been set to true in the derived class, it returns execution to the caller, instead of continuing with the drag and drop operation. If the event has not been handled, then the OnDragStart method is called.

This is the crucial bit that links the possible input from the derived classes. The only reason to override the OnDragSourcePreviewMouseMove method in an extending class is to set the Handled property of the MouseEventArgs input parameter to true and stop the drag and drop operation from starting, perhaps according to some information that the extending class has.

Conversely, the OnDragStart method is marked as abstract, requiring it to be overridden in all derived classes. This is the method that prepares the data for the drag and drop process, and is required to call the StartDrag method of the base class to start the operation, passing the prepared data.

In this particular example, our virtual method is left empty in the base class and there is no need to call it from the overridden method. More typically, the base class would contain a default implementation, which could be overridden in derived classes, but may require a call to the base class, in order to retain its functionality.

For example, a .NET Framework programming guideline exists for raising base class events from derived classes. Ordinarily, derived classes cannot raise base class events and any attempts to do so will be met with a compilation error:

```
The event ClassName.EventName can only appear on the left hand side of += or -= (except when used from within the type ClassName)
```

The solution to this problem from the guidelines is to wrap the invocation of these events in a protected method in the base class, so it can be called or overridden in derived classes. Let's add a custom EventArgs class and an event into our AddressControl control that demonstrates this guideline:

```
public class AddressEventArgs : EventArgs
{
  public AddressEventArgs (Address oldAddress, Address newAddress)
    OldAddress = oldAddress;
    NewAddress = newAddress;
  }
  public Address OldAddress { get; }
  public Address NewAddress { get; }
ļ
. . .
public event EventHandler<AddressEventArgs> AddressChanged;
. . .
public virtual Address Address
{
  get { return (Address)GetValue(AddressProperty); }
  set
  {
```
```
if (!Address.Equals(value))
{
    Address oldAddress = Address;
    SetValue(AddressProperty, value);
    OnAddressChanged(new AddressEventArgs(oldAddress, value));
    }
}
...
protected virtual void OnAddressChanged(AddressEventArgs e)
{
    AddressChanged?.Invoke(this, e);
}
```

First, we create a custom EventArgs class for our event. Then, we declare an event named AddressChanged and a protected virtual method that raises it, using the null conditional operator. This can be called directly from derived classes to raise the event, but also overridden, to add to or to stop the base class implementation from executing.

Finally, we update our Address property to call the invocation method, passing in the required previous and current Address objects. Note that we now also mark this property as virtual, so that derived classes can override it as well, to fully control how, when and if the event should be raised.

This is a far more preferable solution to declaring a virtual event and overriding it in a derived class, as the compiler does not always handle this situation as expected, due to some complicated event overriding rules, and we cannot always be certain which version of the event a subscriber will actually by subscribing to.

Now that we have a better understanding of these protected methods, let's take a look at what other kinds of things we can do by overriding them in derived classes. We will use an extended example that raises a number of problems, that we can fix by overriding a number of these protected base class methods.

Tailoring to attain our requirements

Let's imagine that we want to create an application that displays tabular data. This doesn't initially sound very complicated, but it is actually a very good example with which to demonstrate how to adapt the built-in .NET controls to fulfill our requirements. As we progress through this example, we will come across several potential problems and find out how to overcome each one in turn.

For this extended example, we will create a Spreadsheet control. As always, when creating new controls, we look at the existing controls, to see if any of them can provide us with a good starting point. The first control that springs to mind is the Grid panel, as it has rows, columns and therefore also cells, but the creation of all of the RowDefinition and ColumnDefinition objects could be cumbersome or problematic.

There is also the UniformGrid panel, but as its name suggests, all of its cells are uniform, or the same size as each other, but this is not always the case in spreadsheets. We could potentially use an ItemsControl object and a custom DataTemplate to draw the borders and contents of each cell manually, *but could there be a better starting point*?

How about the DataGrid *control*? It has rows, columns, and cells, and even draws the grid lines between the cells for us. It also has the concept of a selected cell, which could be useful if we wanted users to interact with our spreadsheet control. It has no numbers, letters, or selected cell markers in the grid axes, but we can extend the control to add these, so it seems like the best candidate for the job.

The first thing that we need to do is to create a new class that extends the DataGrid class. As we saw earlier in this chapter, we can do this by adding a UserControl to our project and replacing the word UserControl with the word DataGrid in both the XAML file and its code behind file. Failure to change both will result in a design-time error that complains about mismatched classes.

Let's take a look at our new Spreadsheet class:

```
using System.Windows.Controls;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls
{
    public partial class Spreadsheet : DataGrid
    {
        public Spreadsheet()
        {
            InitializeComponent();
        }
    }
}
```

The code behind is a simple affair, currently with no custom code in it. The XAML however, has a number of important properties set in it, so let's see that now:

```
<DataGrid x:Class="CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls.Spreadsheet"
    xmlns="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml/presentation"
    xmlns:x="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml"
    AutoGenerateColumns="False" SelectionUnit="Cell" SelectionMode="Single"</pre>
```

```
IsReadOnly="True" RowHeight="20" RowHeaderWidth="26"
ColumnHeaderHeight="26"
  CanUserAddRows="False" CanUserDeleteRows="False"
CanUserReorderColumns="False"
  CanUserResizeColumns="False" CanUserResizeRows="False"
  HorizontalGridLinesBrush="{DynamicResource GridlinesBrush}"
  VerticalGridLinesBrush="{DynamicResource GridlinesBrush}"
  BorderBrush="{DynamicResource BorderBrush}">
  <DataGrid.Resources>
    <Color x:Key="BackgroundColor">#FFE6E6E6</Color>
    <Color x:Key="BorderColor">#FF9999999</Color>
    <SolidColorBrush x:Key="BackgroundBrush" Color="{StaticResource</pre>
BackgroundColor}" />
    <SolidColorBrush x:Key="BorderBrush" Color="{StaticResource
BorderColor}" />
    <SolidColorBrush x:Key="SelectedBackgroundBrush" Color="#FFD2D2D2" />
    <SolidColorBrush x:Key="GridlinesBrush" Color="#FFD4D4D4" />
    <SolidColorBrush x:Key="SelectionBrush" Color="#FF217346" />
  </DataGrid.Resources>
</DataGrid>
```

For this implementation, we set the AutoGenerateColumns property to False, because we will be programmatically creating the columns of our spreadsheet control. In order to approximate a spreadsheet control, we also need to restrict the selection possibilities of our custom DataGrid.

As such, we set the SelectionUnit property to Cell, so that users select just the cell that they click on, rather than the whole row, which is the default selection behavior. In addition, to simplify this example, we also set the SelectionMode property to Single, the IsReadOnly property to True and the RowHeight property to 20.

Our row and column headers will both be 26 pixels each, so we set the RowHeaderWidth and ColumnHeaderHeight properties to 26. Note that we could set the row and column header dimensions in their relative styles instead, but we will need to reference these properties later, so it is important that we set them here. The next five properties, prefixed with CanUser, have also been set to False, to further shorten this example.

We then set both of the HorizontalGridLinesBrush and VerticalGridLinesBrush properties to the GridlinesBrush brush from the Resources section and the BorderBrush property to the BorderBrush brush. Note that we need to use a DynamicResource markup extension in these cases, because these brushes are defined after the DataGrid declaration, along with the rest of the resources, and the XAML parser would not be able to locate them with a standard StaticResource markup extension.

Also, note that it is essential that we remove the empty Grid panel that Visual Studio adds into each new UserControl. The reason is that any elements declared inside the DataGrid control are determined to be its items and we cannot simultaneously use both its Items property and its ItemsSource property, which we intend on using. If we use them both, we'll see this exception being thrown at runtime:

```
System.InvalidOperationException: 'Items collection must be empty before using ItemsSource.'
```

Let's move on now, to investigate how we can display data in our spreadsheet.

Populating with Data

In order to get some data into our Spreadsheet control, we will need a class to represent each cell in the spreadsheet. Let's take a look at a basic Cell class now:

```
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels
{
  public class Cell : BaseDataModel
  {
    private string address = string.Empty, content = string.Empty;
    private double width = 0;
    public Cell(string address, string content, double width)
      Address = address;
      Content = content;
      Width = width;
    }
    public string Address
    {
      get { return address; }
      set { if (address != value) { address = value;
        NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
    }
    public string Content
    {
      get { return content; }
      set { if (content != value) { content = value;
        NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
    ļ
    public double Width
```

```
{
   get { return width; }
   set { if (width != value) { width = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
}
public override string ToString()
{
   return $"{Address}: {Content}";
  }
}
```

This is a very straight forward class, with just three properties, a constructor to populate those properties, and an overridden <code>ToString</code> method. As usual, we extend our <code>BaseDataModel</code> class to provide us with access to the <code>INotifyPropertyChanged</code> interface. Note that in a real spreadsheet-based application, we would have many more properties in this class, to enable us to style and format the content appropriately.

Let's now move on, to create our SpreadsheetViewModel and SpreadsheetView classes. In the SpreadsheetViewModel class, we populate a DataTable with some basic example data and we data bind that to our new Spreadsheet control in the SpreadsheetView class:

```
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels;
using System.Data;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels
{
  public class SpreadsheetViewModel : BaseViewModel
  {
    private DataRowCollection dataRowCollection = null;
    public SpreadsheetViewModel()
    {
      Cell[] Cells = new Cell[9];
      Cells[0] = new Cell("A1", "", 64);
      Cells[1] = new Cell("B1", "", 96);
      Cells[2] = new Cell("C1", "", 64);
      Cells[3] = new Cell("A2", "", 64);
      Cells[4] = new Cell("B2", "Hello World", 96);
      Cells[5] = new Cell("C2", "", 64);
      Cells[6] = new Cell("A3", "", 64);
      Cells[7] = new Cell("B3", "", 96);
      Cells[8] = new Cell("C3", "", 64);
      DataTable table = new DataTable();
```

[282]

}

```
table.Columns.Add("A", typeof(Cell));
table.Columns.Add("B", typeof(Cell));
table.Columns.Add("C", typeof(Cell));
table.Rows.Add(Cells[0], Cells[1], Cells[2]);
table.Rows.Add(Cells[3], Cells[4], Cells[5]);
table.Rows.Add(Cells[6], Cells[7], Cells[8]);
Rows = table.Rows;
}
public DataRowCollection Rows
{
get { return dataRowCollection; }
set { if (dataRowCollection != value) { dataRowCollection = value;
NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
}
```

In this very simple View Model, we declare a single property of type DataRowCollection, to contain our spreadsheet data. Using this type enables us to easily populate our spreadsheet from a DataTable object, which we may have loaded from a database, or generated from an XML file, for example.

In the constructor, we programmatically initialize and populate a DataTable with example Cell objects and set its Rows property value to our Rows property. Let's see how this Rows property is data-bound to our Spreadsheet control in the SpreadsheetView class now:

Once again, this is a very simple class, with nothing other than a XAML Namespace declaration for our Controls project and one of our Spreadsheet controls, with its ItemsSource property data bound to the Rows property of our View Model. The code behind is even more bare, with no custom code in it at all. Also, remember to link our View and View Model together, using whichever method you prefer.

Before we can see any data in our Spreadsheet control, however, we will need to declare a DataTemplate to define how each cell should be rendered and programmatically set up our columns, in relation to the data-bound items. Let's declare the required XAML Namespace in the XAML file and add the DataTemplate into the Resources section of our Spreadsheet control first:

```
xmlns:DataModels="clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels;
assembly=CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels"
...
<DataTemplate x:Key="CellTemplate" DataType="{x:Type DataModels:Cell}">
<TextBlock Text="CellTemplate" DataType="{x:Type DataModels:Cell}">
<TextBlock Text="{Binding Content}" HorizontalAlignment="Center"
VerticalAlignment="Center" />
</DataTemplate>
```

Here, we have a horizontally centered TextBlock control, to output the contents of each cell. In a real-world application, we'd surround it with a Border element, to color the background of each cell and data bind to many more properties, to enable us to set different style and formatting settings for each cell. For this example, however, we'll keep it simple.

Returning to the subject of column generation now, remember that we do not know how many columns there will be in the incoming data, so we need to find a place to set them up programmatically. For this, we return to the protected base class methods.

Looking through the protected methods of the DataGrid class, we see a good candidate: the OnItemsSourceChanged method. This method will be called each time the ItemsSource value changes, so it's an ideal place to initialize our spreadsheet columns when the data source changes.

But our items are DataRow objects, with each Cell object being in a different location in its ItemArray collection. We need a way to use the array syntax to data bind each Cell, but the built-in column types don't have this functionality. As such, we will need to create a custom one and the DataGridTemplateColumn class is the best place to start.

We can override this class to add a property named Binding of type Binding and use it to set the binding on the UI element that is generated for each cell. Looking through the protected methods in the DataGridTemplateColumn class, we find the GenerateElement method, which generates these UI elements. Let's see this new DataGridBoundTemplateColumn class now:

```
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Controls;
using System.Windows.Data;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls
```

```
{
  public class DataGridBoundTemplateColumn : DataGridTemplateColumn
  {
    public Binding Binding { get; set; }
    protected override FrameworkElement GenerateElement(DataGridCell cell,
        object dataItem)
    {
        FrameworkElement element = base.GenerateElement(cell, dataItem);
        if (Binding != null)
            element.SetBinding(ContentPresenter.ContentProperty, Binding);
        return element;
    }
}
```

This is another simple class and, we start by extending the DataGridTemplateColumn class and declaring the aforementioned Binding property. We then override the GenerateElement method and in it, first call the base class implementation to generate the FrameworkElement object that relates to the current cell, passing the input parameters through unchanged.

If the Binding property is not null, we then call the SetBinding method on the element, specifying the ContentPresenter.ContentProperty Dependency Property as the binding target and passing the Binding object from the Binding property through to connect with it. We end by simply returning the generated element.

Now, let's return to the code behind of our Spreadsheet class, where we need to use our new DataGridBoundTemplateColumn class:

```
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels;
using System.Collections;
using System.Data;
using System.Linq;
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Controls;
using System.Windows.Data;
...
protected override void OnItemsSourceChanged(IEnumerable oldValue,
IEnumerable newValue)
{
    if (!(newValue is DataRowCollection rows) || rows.Count == 0) return;
    Cell[] cells = rows[0].ItemArray.Cast<Cell>().ToArray();
    Columns.Clear();
```

```
DataTemplate cellTemplate = (DataTemplate)FindResource("CellTemplate");
  for (int i = 0; i < cells.Length; i++)</pre>
  {
    DataGridBoundTemplateColumn column = new DataGridBoundTemplateColumn
    {
      Header = GetColumnName(i + 1),
      CellTemplate = cellTemplate,
      Binding = new Binding($"[{i}]"),
      Width = cells[i].Width
    };
    Columns.Add(column);
  }
}
private string GetColumnName(int index)
    if (index <= 26) return ((char)(index + 64)).ToString();
    if (index % 26 == 0)
      return string.Concat(GetColumnName(index / 26 - 1), "Z");
    return string.Concat(GetColumnName(index / 26),
      GetColumnName(index % 26));
}
```

As mentioned previously, we override the OnItemsSourceChanged method to initialize our spreadsheet columns each time the data source changes. In it, we use C# 6.0 Pattern Matching to verify that the newValue input parameter is not null and is of type DataRowCollection, before also checking that the collection has one or more rows in it.

If the DataRowCollection object is valid, then we cast the items in the ItemArray collection of its first row to an array of our custom type Cell. We only need to use the first row, because here, we are just setting up the columns, not the data. We then clear the columns of our spreadsheet control and find the DataTemplate named CellTemplate from the control's Resources section.

Next, we iterate through the Cell objects in the array, adding a new DataGridBoundTemplateColumn element to the spreadsheet's Columns collection for each one. Each column element is initialized with a Header, taken from the GetColumnName method, the CellTemplate DataTemplate, the Width from the Cell object, and a Binding object.

Note that the Binding path is set to \$"[{i}]", which would translate to "[0]" for the first item for example, and represents the standard indexing notation. This would result in the binding path being set to the first item in each row of the data-bound collection, or put another way, each cell in the first column of our data source.

If the input value in the GetColumnName method is between 1 and 26, we add 64 to it, before casting it to a char and then calling the ToString method on the result. The capital A character has the integer value of 65 in the ASCII table and so, this code has the effect of turning the index of the first 26 columns into the letters A to Z.

If the input value is more than 26 and is also an exact multiple of 26, then we return the string concatenation of a recursive call to the GetColumnName method, passing in the factor of the input value when it is divided by 26, with 1 subtracted from it, and the letter Z.

If none of the *if* conditions are met, we return the result of two more recursive calls: the first passed value represents the factor of the input value when it is divided by 26 and the second represents the remainder of the input value when it is divided by 26.

In plain English, the first line outputs letters A to Z, while the second handles column identities that contain more than a single letter and end in the letter Z, and the third line handles all of the rest. Let's see what we have when running the application so far:



Progressing toward the Target

So, what changes do we need to make to the turn this DataGrid control into something that looks more like a spreadsheet? We need to style it accordingly and to populate the row headers with numbers that identify each row. We also need to highlight the relevant row and column headers when a cell is selected and can implement an animated selection rectangle to highlight the selected cell, instead of using the default highlighting shown in the image.

First, let's populate the row headers with numbers. There are several ways to achieve this, but I prefer to simply ask each row what its index is in a converter class and connect it to the row header via a data binding. Let's see this converter now:

```
using System;
using System.Globalization;
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Controls;
```

```
using System.Windows.Data;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters
{
[ValueConversion(typeof(DataGridRow), typeof(int))]
  public class DataGridRowToRowNumberConverter : IValueConverter
    public object Convert(object value, Type targetType, object parameter,
      CultureInfo culture)
    {
      if (value is DataGridRow dataGridRow)
        return dataGridRow.GetIndex() + 1;
      return DependencyProperty.UnsetValue;
    }
    public object ConvertBack(object value, Type targetType,
      object parameter, CultureInfo culture)
    {
      throw new NotImplementedException();
    }
  }
}
```

This is another simple class and, as usual, we start by specifying the data types involved in the converter in the ValueConversion attribute. In this case, our input will be DataRow objects and our output will be their integer row numbers. In the Convert method, we use Pattern Matching from C# 6.0 as a shortcut to validate that our input value is not null and is of the appropriate type and if suitable, to cast it to that type.

If the input is valid, we call the GetIndex method on the pre-cast dataGridRow variable, remembering to add 1 to the zero-based method result, before returning it from the converter. For all other input values, we return the DependencyProperty.UnsetValue value. As we will not need to convert any values in the other direction, we leave the ConvertBack method unimplemented.

Let's see how we use this converter class now. First, we need to set up a XAML Namespace for our Converters CLR Namespace and create an instance of it in the control's Resources section:

```
xmlns:Converters="clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters;
    assembly=CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters"
...
<Converters:DataGridRowToRowNumberConverter
    x:Key="DataGridRowToRowNumberConverter" />
```

We are then able to use it in a data binding on the Text property of a TextBlock element in the DataTemplate, that is applied to the RowHeaderTemplate property in our custom DataGrid:

```
<DataGrid.RowHeaderTemplate>
<DataTemplate>
<TextBlock Text="{Binding Path = .,
        RelativeSource={RelativeSource AncestorType={x:Type DataGridRow}},
        Converter={StaticResource DataGridRowToRowNumberConverter}}" />
</DataTemplate>
</DataGrid.RowHeaderTemplate>
```

Note that the binding path is set to ., which as you may remember, sets it to the whole binding object. The RelativeSource binding sets the binding source to the first ancestor of the TextBlock of type DataGridRow, and so we pass the whole DataGridRow object through to the binding and therefore, also to the converter, as required.

Also, note that we must declare this RowHeaderTemplate property below the Resources section in the XAML file. Failure to do this will result in the following runtime error:

Cannot find resource named 'DataGridRowToRowNumberConverter'. Resource names are case sensitive.

Whereas sometimes we can fix these "reference not found" errors by using a DynamicResource markup extension instead of a StaticResource markup extension, it won't work in this case. This is because we can only use them on a DependencyProperty of a DependencyObject and the Converter property is not a DependencyProperty and the Binding class is not a DependencyObject.

Let's see what our spreadsheet looks like now:



As can be seen from the preceding image, we clearly need to add some styling to fix some issues and make it look more like a typical spreadsheet:

```
<!--Default Selection Colors-->
<SolidColorBrush
 x:Key="{x:Static SystemColors.HighlightBrushKey}" Color="Transparent" />
<SolidColorBrush
 x:Key="{x:Static SystemColors.HighlightTextBrushKey}" Color="Black" />
<SolidColorBrush
 x:Key="{x:Static DataGrid.FocusBorderBrushKey}" Color="Transparent" />
<SolidColorBrush x:Key="{x:Static
  SystemColors.InactiveSelectionHighLightBrushKey}" Color="Transparent" />
<LinearGradientBrush x:Key="HorizontalBorderGradient" StartPoint="0,0"
 EndPoint="0,1">
  <GradientStop Color="{StaticResource BackgroundColor}" />
  <GradientStop Color="{StaticResource BorderColor}" Offset="1" />
</LinearGradientBrush>
<LinearGradientBrush x:Key="VerticalBorderGradient" StartPoint="0,0"</pre>
 EndPoint="1,0">
  <GradientStop Color="{StaticResource BackgroundColor}" />
  <GradientStop Color="{StaticResource BorderColor}" Offset="1" />
</LinearGradientBrush>
<LinearGradientBrush x:Key="DiagonalBorderGradient" StartPoint="0.2,0"
 EndPoint="1,1">
 <GradientStop Color="{StaticResource BackgroundColor}" Offset="0.45" />
  <GradientStop Color="{StaticResource BorderColor}" Offset="1" />
</LinearGradientBrush>
<Style TargetType="{x:Type DataGridRowHeader}">
  <Setter Property="Background"
   Value="{StaticResource BackgroundBrush}" />
  <Setter Property="BorderThickness" Value="0,0,1,1" />
  <Setter Property="BorderBrush"
   Value="{StaticResource VerticalBorderGradient}" />
  <Setter Property="Padding" Value="4,0" />
  <Setter Property="HorizontalContentAlignment" Value="Center" />
  <Setter Property="FontSize" Value="13" />
</Style>
<Style TargetType="{x:Type DataGridColumnHeader}">
  <Setter Property="Background"
   Value="{StaticResource BackgroundBrush}" />
  <Setter Property="BorderThickness" Value="0,0,1,1" />
  <Setter Property="BorderBrush"
   Value="{StaticResource HorizontalBorderGradient}" />
  <Setter Property="Padding" Value="0" />
  <Setter Property="HorizontalContentAlignment" Value="Center" />
```

```
[290] —
```

```
<Setter Property="FontSize" Value="13" /> </Style>
```

The only thing to note here are the first four SolidColorBrush objects that we declared. They are used by the .NET Framework to set the default selection colors for a number of the built-in controls. We can use them to change the default blue background and white text shown in the previous image. There are many more of these default colors to be found in the SystemColors class, so it's worth familiarizing yourself with them.

Let's see what our spreadsheet looks like now:



Now, our Spreadsheet control is starting to look more like a typical spreadsheet application, but we have no highlighting for our selected cell anymore. You may also notice that the row headers are not center-aligned horizontally, as our style suggests they should be.

This happens because, unlike the default ControlTemplate for the DataGridColumnHeader class, the default ControlTemplate for the DataGridRowHeader class does not map the HorizontalContentAlignment property to the HorizontalAlignment property on any internal elements within the template.

This might at first seem like an oversight on Microsoft's part, but it is actually because, in the default ControlTemplate, each DataGridRowHeader object has an additional control that displays the validation error to the right of the header content. With this extra control taking up the limited space, there is not enough space to horizontally center the row header.

To fix this problem, we will need to alter the default <code>ControlTemplate</code>, to remove the control that displays the error template. Co-incidentally, we will also need to alter this template to be able to highlight the selected cell in the row header. Likewise, to highlight the selected cell in the column header, we will need to adjust the default <code>ControlTemplate</code> for the <code>DataGridColumnHeader</code> class.

Highlighting the selection

Let's now move onto the task of highlighting the selected cell. Here, we will find out what is required to create a selection rectangle around the selected cell, that smoothly animates from selection to selection. But before that, let's investigate how we can also indicate which cell is selected in the axes of our spreadsheet control.

Indicating in the Axes

In order to highlight the currently selected cell in the row and column headers, we will need to update the two relating default ControlTemplate objects, as just described. But before we do that, we will need to declare two new IValueConverter classes as well. Let's look at the row header converter class first:

```
using System;
using System.Data;
using System.Globalization;
using System.Linq;
using System.Windows.Data;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters
{
  public class DataGridRowHeaderSelectionMultiConverter :
    IMultiValueConverter
  {
    public object Convert(object[] values, Type targetType,
      object parameter, CultureInfo culture)
    {
      if (values == null || values.Count() != 2 ||
        !(values[0] is DataRow selectedDataRow) ||
        !(values[1] is DataRow dataRowToCompare)) return false;
      return selectedDataRow.Equals(dataRowToCompare);
    }
    public object[] ConvertBack(object value, Type[] targetTypes,
      object parameter, CultureInfo culture)
    {
      throw new NotImplementedException();
    }
  }
}
```

For this converter, we extend the IMultiValueConverter interface, and in the Convert method, we first validate our values input parameter. If it is null, contains more or less than two objects, or if either of the contained objects are not non-null DataRow objects, we return false. If the input parameter is valid, we use C# 6.0 Pattern Matching to cast the two contained objects to the DataRow type.

The first represents the DataRow object that contains the currently selected cell and the second comes from the DataRow object to compare. We return true if the selected DataRow object equals the currently compared DataRow object and false for all others. You can think of this as each row header asking the converter in turn, if it is in the same DataRow object as the currently selected cell. The ConvertBack method is unrequired for our example and so, is left unimplemented.

Let's now investigate the changes that we need to make to the default ControlTemplate for the DataGridRowHeader class. As described in Chapter 5, Using the Right Controls for the Job, in the Modifying Existing Controls section, we can create a copy of the default ControlTemplate from the **Properties** panel in Visual Studio. Note that if we do not already have a control of the correct type in our XAML file, we can simply declare one temporarily, select it, then continue with the process of template extraction as described, remembering to delete it afterwards.

As this template is quite long, we won't show it all here, instead highlighting just the areas that we need to change. The entire code will be available in the separate downloadable code bundle that comes with this book. Before we can use this template however, we need to add a reference to the PresentationFramework.Aero assembly to our project and a XAML Namespace for the Microsoft.Windows.Themes CLR Namespace:

```
xmlns:Themes="clr-namespace:Microsoft.Windows.Themes;
assembly=PresentationFramework.Aero"
```

Next, we need to add an instance of our new converter class into our spreadsheet control's Resources section:

```
<Converters:DataGridRowHeaderSelectionMultiConverter
x:Key="DataGridRowHeaderSelectionMultiConverter" />
```

Now, let's see the template:

```
<ControlTemplate x:Key="DataGridRowHeaderControlTemplate"
TargetType="{x:Type DataGridRowHeader}">
<Grid>
<Themes:DataGridHeaderBorder Name="Border"
IsHitTestVisible="False" ... >
<ContentPresenter ... HorizontalAlignment="{TemplateBinding
```

[293]

```
HorizontalContentAlignment}" />
    </Themes:DataGridHeaderBorder>
    <Rectangle Name="ColorSelectionBar" Fill="Transparent"
      IsHitTestVisible="False" VerticalAlignment="Stretch"
      HorizontalAlignment="Right" Width="2" Margin="0,-1,0,0" />
  </Grid>
  <ControlTemplate.Triggers>
    <DataTrigger Value="True">
      <DataTrigger.Binding>
        <MultiBinding Converter="{StaticResource
          DataGridRowHeaderSelectionMultiConverter}">
          <Binding Path="CurrentCell.Item" RelativeSource="{RelativeSource</pre>
            AncestorType={x:Type DataGrid}}" />
          <Binding />
        </MultiBinding>
      </DataTrigger.Binding>
      <Setter Property="Foreground"
        Value="{StaticResource SelectionBrush}" />
      <Setter TargetName="ColorSelectionBar" Property="Fill"
        Value="{StaticResource SelectionBrush}" />
      <Setter TargetName="Border" Property="Background"
        Value="{StaticResource SelectedBackgroundBrush}" />
    </DataTrigger>
  </ControlTemplate.Triggers>
</ControlTemplate>
```

In order to apply the template, we'll need to add another Setter element into our Style for the DataGridRowHeader class, making sure that the template is declared before it in the XAML:

```
<Setter Property="Template"
Value ="{StaticResource DataGridRowHeaderControlTemplate}" />
```

After attaining a copy of the default ControlTemplate for the DataGridRowHeader class, we first named the DataGridHeaderBorder element Border, so that we could refer to it from the template's Triggers collection. We also set its IsHitTestVisible property to False to prevent selection from the row headers.

We then removed the control that displayed the validation error template and connected the HorizontalAlignment property of the internal ContentPresenter element with the HorizontalContentAlignment property of the parent DataGridRowHeader object via a TemplateBinding element, so that our style will actually center the header content, as previously expected. Next, we added a new Rectangle element, named ColorSelectionBar, and a DataTrigger object. The Rectangle element has its Fill property set to Transparent, so that it cannot initially be seen, and its IsHitTestVisible property set to False, to prevent users from being able to interact with it.

We set its VerticalAlignment property to Stretch, so that it spans the full height of the row header, and its HorizontalAlignment property to Right, to ensure that it lies to the right of the header, out of the way of the row indicator. Finally, we set its top margin to -1, in order to extend it over the top border of the header, as it already extends over the bottom border by one pixel.

We then added a DataTrigger object into the Triggers collection, using a MultiBinding object to define its conditions. We assigned our DataGridRowHeaderSelectionMultiConverter instance to the Converter property of the MultiBinding object.

Note that the MultiBinding object has two bindings: one is the DataRow object that relates to the CurrentCell property of the DataGrid control and the other is set directly to the DataRow object that the template is applied to.

When the converter returns true, we paint the ColorSelectionBar element and the header foreground with our SelectionBrush brush and the Border element, which represents the background of the row header, with the SelectedBackgroundBrush brush. This results in the row header of the selected cell being highlighted each time a cell is selected.

Let's now do the same for the column headers, starting with a look at the required DataGridColumnHeaderSelectionMultiConverter class:

```
using System;
using System.Globalization;
using System.Linq;
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Data;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters
{
    public class DataGridColumnHeaderSelectionMultiConverter :
        IMultiValueConverter
        {
            public object Convert(object[] values, Type targetType,
                object parameter, CultureInfo culture)
            {
                 if (values == null || values.Count() != 2 ||
```

[295]

}

```
values.Any(v => v == null || v == DependencyProperty.UnsetValue))
return false;
string selectedColumnHeader = values[0].ToString();
string columnHeaderToCompare = values[1].ToString();
return selectedColumnHeader.Equals(columnHeaderToCompare);
}
public object[] ConvertBack(object value, Type[] targetTypes,
object parameter, CultureInfo culture)
{
    throw new NotImplementedException();
}
```

We again extend the IMultiValueConverter interface, and in the Convert method, we start by checking the input values to ensure their validity for this converter. We validate that the values input parameter is not null and that it contains two non-null values, that are also not unset values. If any of these checks fail, we return false.

If the values input parameter is valid, we call the object.ToString method on the two objects contained within it. The first value represents the text in the selected column header and the second represents the text in the column header to compare.

Every column header will call this converter in turn and if the column header to compare equals the selected column header, that signifies that it is the column that contains the selected cell and we return true, otherwise we return false. As the ConvertBack method is unrequired for this example, it is left unimplemented.

Before we alter the default ControlTemplate for the DataGridColumnHeader class, we will need to add a reference to our new converter class into our spreadsheet control's Resources section:

```
<Converters:DataGridColumnHeaderSelectionMultiConverter
x:Key="DataGridColumnHeaderSelectionMultiConverter" />
```

Now, let's see the edited template:

```
<ControlTemplate x:Key="DataGridColumnHeaderControlTemplate"
TargetType="{x:Type DataGridColumnHeader}">
<Grid>
<Themes:DataGridHeaderBorder Name="Border" ... />
<Rectangle Name="ColorSelectionBar" Fill="Transparent"
IsHitTestVisible="False" HorizontalAlignment="Stretch"
VerticalAlignment="Bottom" Height="2" Margin="-1,0,0,0" />
...
```

```
</Grid>
  <ControlTemplate.Triggers>
    <DataTrigger Value="True">
      <DataTrigger.Binding>
        <MultiBinding Converter="{StaticResource
          DataGridColumnHeaderSelectionMultiConverter}">
          <Binding Path="CurrentCell.Column.Header" RelativeSource="{
            RelativeSource AncestorType={x:Type DataGrid}}" />
          <Binding Path="Content" RelativeSource="{RelativeSource Self}" />
        </MultiBinding>
      </DataTrigger.Binding>
      <Setter Property="Foreground"
        Value="{StaticResource SelectionBrush}" />
      <Setter TargetName="ColorSelectionBar" Property="Fill"
        Value="{StaticResource SelectionBrush}" />
      <Setter TargetName="Border" Property="Background"
        Value="{StaticResource SelectedBackgroundBrush}" />
    </DataTrigger>
  </ControlTemplate.Triggers>
</ControlTemplate>
```

Starting with the default ControlTemplate for the DataGridColumnHeader class, we again added a single UI element and a single DataTrigger object, with which to control its visibility, as we did with our custom DataGridRowHeader ControlTemplate. However, this template requires less alteration, as its header is already centered and we do not need to remove any elements.

Once again, the Rectangle object named ColorSelectionBar is the new element. Note that we set its Fill property to Transparent, so that it cannot initially be seen. Remember that there is a column header on every column and we don't want them all to be highlighted at once.

We set the Rectangle element's IsHitTestVisible property to False, to prevent users from being able to interact with it. We set its HorizontalAlignment property to Stretch, so that it spans the full width of the column header, regardless of its size, and its VerticalAlignment property to Bottom to ensure that it lies at the bottom of the header, leaving space for the column identifier.

We set its left margin to -1, in order to extend it over the left border of the header, as it already extends over the right border by one pixel. In the Triggers section of the ControlTemplate, we added a DataTrigger object, with a MultiBinding object that has a reference to our DataGridColumnHeaderSelectionMultiConverter class set to its Converter property.

Note that we have two Binding elements connected to it: one is set to the Header property of the currently selected Column object and the other is set directly to the Content property of the DataGridColumnHeader class. Each column header will call the converter in turn and if you remember, the column that contains the selected cell will result in the converter returning true.

When the MultiBinding object that is connected to this converter returns true, the DataTrigger Setter fills the header foreground and the ColorSelectionBar rectangle with the SelectionBrush resource and the background of the header with the SelectedBackground resource, highlighting the column header of the currently selected cell.

In order to apply this ControlTemplate object, we'll also need to add a Setter element into our Style for the DataGridColumnHeader class, making sure that the template declaration is before the Style declaration in the XAML:

```
<Setter Property="Template"
Value ="{StaticResource DataGridColumnHeaderControlTemplate}" />
```

As you can see, we have made minimal changes to the two default ControlTemplate objects, yet we have managed to adapt them to our purposes. In this way, we are able to manipulate the built-in .NET controls, to further extend their original usefulness. Let's see the visual output from this latest addition to our code:



We now have a grid that is starting to look more like a typical spreadsheet application. Let's continue and add cell selection highlights for the users.

Emphasizing the Selection

All that is left for us to do now is to implement the selection rectangle and the style for the Select All button in the top left corner of the control. We can accomplish both tasks by adjusting the default ControlTemplate for the DataGrid class. Let's break this down into steps. First, we need to add a ControlTemplate for the Select All button into our Resources section:

```
<ControlTemplate x:Key="SelectAllButtonControlTemplate"

TargetType="{x:Type Button}">

<Border BorderThickness="0,0,1,1" BorderBrush="{StaticResource

DiagonalBorderGradient}" Background="{StaticResource BackgroundBrush}">

<Polygon Fill="#FFB3B3B3" Points="0,12 12,12 12,0"

HorizontalAlignment="Right" VerticalAlignment="Bottom"

Stretch="Uniform" Margin="10,3,3,3" />

</Border>

</ControlTemplate>
```

Here, we have another very simple template, where we replace the default definition of a Button control with a basic triangle. It contains a Border element, that draws its right and bottom borders with the DiagonalBorderGradient brush that we added to the spreadsheet control's resources. It also paints the background of the Button control with our BackgroundBrush resource.

Within the Border element, we declare a Polygon shape, which we fill with a gray brush. Its shape is determined by the values declared in its Points property, so it begins at 0, 12, continues to 12, 12, and 12, 0, before returning to 0, 12. Plotting these values on a graph would show a triangle and that is the shape that this Polygon element will render.

We align it to the bottom right of the Border element and set its Stretch property to Uniform to ensure that its aspect ratio is maintained throughout any changes of its size. Finally, we set its Margin property to space it away from the Border element's edge.

Next, we need to apply the SelectAllButtonControlTemplate template to the Select All button and add a transparent Canvas element into the ControlTemplate for the ScrollViewer object that appears inside the default ControlTemplate for the DataGrid class. Let's extract this from the default template and declare it in our Resources section too:

```
<ControlTemplate x:Key="ScrollViewerControlTemplate"
TargetType="{x:Type ScrollViewer}">
<Grid>
...
<Button Command="ApplicationCommands.SelectAll"
```

```
Focusable="False" Width="26" Height="26"
Template="{StaticResource SelectAllButtonControlTemplate}" />
...
<ScrollContentPresenter x:Name="PART_ScrollContentPresenter" ... />
<Border Grid.Row="1" Grid.Column="1" ClipToBounds="True"
BorderThickness="0" IsHitTestVisible="False" Margin="-2">
<Canvas Name="SelectionRectangleCanvas" Background="{x:Null}"
IsHitTestVisible="False" RenderTransformOrigin="0.5,0.5"
Margin="2" />
</Border>
<ScrollBar x:Name="PART_VerticalScrollBar" ... />
...
</Grid>
```

We first set a width and height of 26 pixels on the Select All button, in line with the dimensions of our row and column headers. We then apply our ControlTemplate from the Resources section to it. We also removed the Visibility binding from the default template, as we won't be needing that in our example. Note that this button has no action in our example and is purely decorative.

Next, we added the transparent Canvas control, that will display the selection rectangle, within a Border element. Note that we must add it after the required PART_ScrollContentPresenter named part, to ensure that the selection rectangle will appear above the cells in the Z plane. Also, notice that we must wrap it in an invisible Border element, so that we can clip its bounds. Try removing the ClipToBounds property and resize the control to be smaller as an experiment to see what happens.

We set the Margin property on the Border element to be -2 in all directions, so that it can display the selection rectangle over and just outside the bounds of each cell. We, therefore, need to set the Margin property on the Canvas that draws the rectangle to 2 in all directions, to compensate for the border's negative margin.

We name the Canvas element, so that we can access it from the code behind, and set its Background property to null, which is slightly cheaper than setting it to Transparent. We then set the IsHitTestVisible property to False, to make it invisible to the users and their mouse cursors and center the origin of the render transform, which we will use to update the position of the Canvas element each time the containing ScrollViewer object is moved. Let's see our simplified ControlTemplate for the DataGrid class now:

```
<ControlTemplate x:Key="DataGridControlTemplate"

TargetType="{x:Type DataGrid}">

<Border ... >

<ScrollViewer x:Name="DG_ScrollViewer" Focusable="False"

CanContentScroll="False"

Template="{StaticResource ScrollViewerControlTemplate}">

<ItemsPresenter

SnapsToDevicePixels="{TemplateBinding SnapsToDevicePixels}" />

</ScrollViewer>

</ControlTemplate>
```

We made a few changes to the default ControlTemplate for the DataGrid control. The first was to set the CanContentScroll property to False on the ScrollViewer element named DG_ScrollViewer, to make it scroll in physical units (pixels) instead of logical units (rows). The only other change was to replace its inline ControlTemplate object with a reference to the custom template that we added into the Resources section.

We must also remember to assign this custom ControlTemplate object to our spreadsheet control. This can be achieved in the class declaration:

```
<DataGrid
x:Class="CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls.Spreadsheet" ...
Template="{DynamicResource DataGridControlTemplate}">
...
</DataGrid>
```

Now, let's see our Spreadsheet control again, with all the latest changes:



We can see that the job is nearly complete. We now have the XAML all set up to display the selection rectangle, but we still need to programmatically position and animate it. First, we'll need to attain a reference to the Scrollviewer from our custom DataGrid template.

We can achieve this by overriding another method from the DataGrid base class. The OnApplyTemplate method is called whenever a ControlTemplate is applied, so it's an ideal location to access the elements contained within it:

```
private ScrollViewer scrollViewer;
....
public override void OnApplyTemplate()
{
  scrollViewer = Template.FindName("DG_ScrollViewer", this) as
  ScrollViewer;
}
```

In this method, we call the FindName method on the Spreadsheet control's template, passing in the name of our ScrollViewer object and a reference to the spreadsheet, as the templated parent. We then cast the returned object to a ScrollViewer, using the as operator keyword, to avoid exceptions being thrown.

Note that as this spreadsheet example is quite long, we have omitted the usual null checks, with regards to accessing the internal controls from the ControlTemplate elements. In a real-world application, these checks should always be implemented, as we can never be sure that our required elements will be in the template, because it may have been changed.

Next, we need a reference to the Canvas panel that we will draw our selection rectangle on:

```
private Canvas selectionRectangleCanvas;
....
private void SpreadsheetScrollViewer_ScrollChanged(object sender,
    ScrollChangedEventArgs e)
{
    if (selectionRectangleCanvas == null) GetCanvasReference();
}
private void GetCanvasReference()
{
    ControlTemplate scrollViewerControlTemplate = scrollViewer.Template;
    selectionRectangleCanvas = scrollViewerControlTemplate.
        FindName("SelectionRectangleCanvas", scrollViewer) as Canvas;
    selectionRectangleCanvas.RenderTransform = new TranslateTransform();
}
```

In the SpreadsheetScrollViewer_ScrollChanged event handler, we start by checking if the selectionRectangleCanvas private variable is null. If it is, we call the GetCanvasReference method, to attain a reference to it and to assign it to a private member variable.

In the GetCanvasReference method, we access the ControlTemplate object from the Template property of the ScrollViewer element that we previously stored a reference to. We call the FindName method on it, passing in the name of our Canvas object and a reference to the ScrollViewer element, as its templated parent.

We then assign the returned object, cast to the Canvas type, to the private selectionRectangleCanvas member variable and set a new TranslateTransform object to its RenderTransform property. We will use this to update the position of the Canvas element each time the containing ScrollViewer object's viewport is moved, and this will ensure that the selection rectangle will be scrolled, along with the spreadsheet.

Note that we attain a reference to the Canvas element from this event handler only in an attempt to shorten this example. A far better solution would be to extend the ScrollViewer class and declare a TemplateChanged event, that passed a reference of the new template in a custom EventArgs class.

We could raise it from an overridden OnApplyTemplate method, as we did to access our ScrollViewer reference, and subscribe to it from our Spreadsheet class. The problem with our current implementation is that the ScrollChanged event is raised many times and each time, we check if we already have the reference and so a lot of CPU cycles will be wasted when scrolling.

Returning to the current implementation now, let's assign our event handler for the ScrollChanged event to the ScrollViewer in our custom template for the DataGrid class:

```
<ScrollViewer x:Name="DG_ScrollViewer" ...
ScrollChanged="SpreadsheetScrollViewer_ScrollChanged">
```

Let's now investigate the code that is used to draw and animate the selection rectangle:

```
using System;
using System.Windows.Media;
using System.Windows.Media.Animation;
using System.Windows.Shapes;
...
private Rectangle selectionRectangle;
```

```
private bool isSelectionRectangleInitialized = false;
. . .
private void UpdateSelectionRectangle(Point startPosition,
  Point endPosition)
{
  TimeSpan duration = TimeSpan.FromMilliseconds(150);
  if (!isSelectionRectangleInitialized)
    InitializeSelectionRectangle(startPosition, endPosition);
  else
  {
    selectionRectangle.BeginAnimation(WidthProperty, new DoubleAnimation(
      endPosition.X - startPosition.X, duration), HandoffBehavior.Compose);
    selectionRectangle.BeginAnimation(HeightProperty, new DoubleAnimation(
      endPosition.Y - startPosition.Y, duration), HandoffBehavior.Compose);
  }
  TranslateTransform translateTransform =
    selectionRectangle.RenderTransform as TranslateTransform;
  translateTransform.BeginAnimation(TranslateTransform.XProperty,
    new DoubleAnimation(startPosition.X - RowHeaderWidth +
    scrollViewer.HorizontalOffset, duration), HandoffBehavior.Compose);
  translateTransform.BeginAnimation(TranslateTransform.YProperty,
    new DoubleAnimation(startPosition.Y - ColumnHeaderHeight +
    scrollViewer.VerticalOffset, duration), HandoffBehavior.Compose);
}
private void InitializeSelectionRectangle(Point startPosition,
  Point endPosition)
{
  selectionRectangle = new Rectangle();
  selectionRectangle.Width = endPosition.X - startPosition.X;
  selectionRectangle.Height = endPosition.Y - startPosition.Y;
  selectionRectangle.Stroke =
    new SolidColorBrush(Color.FromRgb(33, 115, 70));
  selectionRectangle.StrokeThickness = 2;
  selectionRectangle.RenderTransform = new TranslateTransform();
  Canvas.SetTop(selectionRectangle, 0); // row and column header
  Canvas.SetLeft(selectionRectangle, 0);
  selectionRectangleCanvas.Children.Add(selectionRectangle);
  isSelectionRectangleInitialized = true;
}
```

In the UpdateSelectionRectangle method, we first declare a duration of 150 ms to use in our animations and check if the selection rectangle has been initialized or not. If it hasn't, we call the InitializeSelectionRectangle method, passing the startPosition and endPosition input parameters through. Let's examine this method before continuing.

In the InitializeSelectionRectangle method, we initialize the SelectionRectangle element, with dimensions calculated from the two Point input parameters and default values for its stroke. We assign a new TranslateTransform object to its RenderTransform property, to enable its position to be manipulated in code.

We then use the SetTop and SetLeft Attached Properties of the Canvas class to position the rectangle in the top left corner of the Canvas panel, that we added into our custom ControlTemplate for the ScrollViewer class.

We end by adding the SelectionRectangle element into the Children collection of the selectionRectangleCanvas panel and setting the isSelectionRectangleInitialized variable to true, to ensure that this initialization code is only called once.

Returning to the UpdateSelectionRectangle method now, if the selection rectangle has already been initialized, then we animate its size, from the size of the previous cell to the size of the newly selected cell, using the startPosition and endPosition input parameters.

We call the BeginAnimation method on the SelectionRectangle element for both its WidthProperty and HeightProperty dependency properties, so that the dimensions of the rectangle will smoothly animate from the size of the previously selected cell to the size of the new one.

Next, we access the TranslateTransform instance from the RenderTransform property of the SelectionRectangle element and call the BeginAnimation method on it, for both the Xproperty and Yproperty Dependency Properties. This is what animates the position of the selection rectangle on the Canvas that we added into the ScrollViewer element's template.

To calculate the horizontal position, we subtract the value of the <code>RowHeaderWidth</code> property, that we set earlier in the XAML class declaration, from the X property value of the <code>startPosition</code> input parameter and then add the value of the <code>HorizontalOffset</code> property of the <code>ScrollViewer</code> element.

Likewise, the vertical position is calculated from the Y property value of the startPosition input parameter, with the value of the ColumnHeaderHeight property subtracted from it and the value of the VerticalOffset property of the ScrollViewer element added to it.

All four animations share the same duration, that we declared at the start, so that they morph the dimensions and position of our selection rectangle in unison. They also all set a HandoffBehavior value of Compose, which basically provides smoother joins between consecutive animations. We'll discover more about this in Chapter 7, Mastering Practical Animations, but for now, we'll keep it simple.

So, our UpdateSelectionRectangle method is responsible for animating the selection rectangle between the previous and current cell selections, *but where is it called from*? That's right... we're going to call it from yet another overridden protected base class method.

Looking through the protected base class methods of the DataGrid class, we find the OnSelectedCellsChanged method, which is called each time a user selects a new cell in our spreadsheet control, so it's the perfect candidate. Let's take a look at its implementation now:

```
protected override void
  OnSelectedCellsChanged(SelectedCellsChangedEventArgs e)
{
  // base.OnSelectedCellsChanged(e);
  if (e.AddedCells != null && e.AddedCells.Count == 1)
    DataGridCellInfo cellInfo = e.AddedCells[0];
    if (!cellInfo.IsValid) return;
    FrameworkElement cellContent =
      cellInfo.Column.GetCellContent(cellInfo.Item);
    if (cellContent == null) return;
    DataGridCell dataGridCell = (DataGridCell)cellContent.Parent;
    if (dataGridCell == null) return;
    Point relativePoint =
      dataGridCell.TransformToAncestor(this).Transform(new Point(0, 0));
    Point startPosition =
      new Point(relativePoint.X - 3, relativePoint.Y - 3);
    Point endPosition =
      new Point(relativePoint.X + dataGridCell.ActualWidth,
      relativePoint.Y + dataGridCell.ActualHeight);
    UpdateSelectionRectangle(startPosition, endPosition);
  }
}
```

Note that the base class version of this method is responsible for raising the SelectedCellsChanged event, so if we need that to happen, we should call it from this method. If we are ever in doubt if to call the base class version of a method that we're overriding, it's generally safer to do so, as we might lose some required functionality that it provides otherwise. As we do not require this event in this example however, we can safely omit the call to the base class method.

In our overridden OnSelectedCellsChanged method, we check that the AddedCells property of the SelectedCellsChangedEventArgs input parameter contains exactly one item. Note that in this example, it should only ever contain a single item, because we set the SelectionMode property to Single on our spreadsheet control, but it is always good practice to validate these things.

We then extract the single DataGridCellInfo object from the AddedCells property and return execution from the method if it is invalid. If it is valid, we call the GetCellContent method on its Column property, passing in its Item property, to access the cell content as a FrameworkElement object. This could benefit from a little more explanation.

The Column property contains the DataGridBoundTemplateColumn element that relates to the selected cell and likewise, the Item property holds the DataRow object that contains the selected cell. The returned FrameworkElement object represents the content of the DataGridCell element, which in our case is a ContentPresenter object.

Any UI elements that we declare in the DataTemplate element that is applied to the DataGridBoundTemplateColumn. The CellTemplate property can be accessed through this ContentPresenter object, by walking the visual tree. In our case, that is a simple TextBlock element. Returning to our code now, if this cell content is null, we return execution from the method.

If the cell content is valid, we cast its Parent property value to its actual type of DataGridCell. If this DataGridCell object is null, we also return execution from the method. If it is valid, we call its TransformToAncestor method, followed by the Transform method, to find its onscreen position, relative to the spreadsheet control.

We then use the relative position to create the start point, or the top left corner, of the rectangle, by subtracting 3 pixels in each axis. This ensures that the rectangle will sit just outside the cell contents, overlapping it slightly.

Similarly, we also use the relative position to create the endpoint, or the bottom right corner, of the rectangle, by adding the actual dimensions of the DataGridCell object to it. Finally, we call the UpdateSelectionRectangle method, to draw the selection rectangle, passing the calculated start and endpoints through.

Now, our selection rectangle is working and smoothly animates from one selected cell to the next. However, on a bigger spreadsheet, you might notice that it won't scroll in line with the spreadsheet itself. This is because there is not yet a connection between its position and the horizontal and vertical offsets of the ScrollViewer that it is defined inside.

To address this issue, we will need to update the positional information on the TranslateTransform object, from the Canvas element that the selection rectangle is drawn on, each time the spreadsheet control is scrolled. Let's see how we do this, by adding further code into our SpreadsheetScrollViewer_ScrollChanged event handler now:

```
private void SpreadsheetScrollViewer_ScrollChanged(object sender,
    ScrollChangedEventArgs e)
{
    if (selectionRectangleCanvas == null) GetCanvasReference();
    TranslateTransform selectionRectangleCanvasTransform =
        selectionRectangleCanvas.RenderTransform as TranslateTransform;
    selectionRectangleCanvas.RenderTransform = new TranslateTransform(
        selectionRectangleCanvasTransform.X - e.HorizontalChange,
        selectionRectangleCanvasTransform.Y - e.VerticalChange);
}
```

Skipping over the existing code that attained the reference to our selection rectangle Canvas panel, we access the TranslateTransform element, that we declared in the GetCanvasReference method, from its RenderTransform property. We then create a new TranslateTransform object, with the values coming from the original one, plus the distance scrolled in either direction, and set it back to the RenderTransform property.

Note that we have to do this because the TranslateTransform element is immutable and cannot be altered. Therefore, we need to replace it with a new element instead of just updating its property values. Any attempts to modify it will result in a runtime exception being thrown:

System.InvalidOperationException: 'Cannot set a property on object 'System.Windows.Media.TranslateTransform' because it is in a read-only state.'

Let's take a final look at the visual output of our spreadsheet control now:



Of course, we could continue to improve our spreadsheet control, perhaps by adding event handlers to detect changes to the size of the rows and columns when users resize them and update the selection rectangle accordingly. We could extend the Cell class, to add style and format properties, to style each cell and format the content.

We could add a formula bar or an alternative information panel to display formulas or further information from the cells when clicked on. We could implement multi-cell selection, or enable users to edit cell contents. But either way, hopefully, this extended example has now provided you with enough understanding to be able to undertake these kinds of advanced projects successfully yourself.

Summary

In this chapter, we further investigated the built-in controls, paying particular attention to the polymorphic ability to override base class methods in derived classes. We first examined examples from the .NET Framework source code, before moving on to create our own examples that highlight this ability.

We continued, introducing extended examples, to help to fully understand the benefits that can be gained from using this method. Through these examples, we highlighted a number of problems, and learned how to overcome them each in turn, by extending the built-in controls and overriding particular base class methods.

In the next chapter, we will take a thorough look at the WPF animation system and discover how we can utilize it in everyday applications. We'll also find out a number of techniques to fine-tune animations to get that perfect effect and discover how we can build animation functionality right into our application framework.

7 Mastering Practical Animations

WPF offers a wide range of animation possibilities, from the simple to the really quite complex. In this chapter, we will thoroughly explore the WPF property animation system, yet focus primarily on those parts that can be suitably applied to real-world business applications. We'll investigate how to control running animations in real time and predominantly concentrate on XAML-based syntax. We'll then see how we can build animations right into our application framework.

In WPF, animations are created by repeatedly altering individual property values at regular intervals. Animations are comprised of a number of components: we need a timing system, an animation object that is responsible for updating the values of a particular type of object and a suitable property to animate.

In order to be able to animate a property, it must be a Dependency Property of a DependencyObject and its type must implement the IAnimatable interface. As most UI controls extend the DependencyObject class, this enables us to animate the properties of most controls.

Furthermore, an animation object for the relevant type of property must exist. In WPF, the animation objects also double up as the timing system, as they extend the Timeline class. Before investigating the various animation objects, let's first examine the timing system.

Investigating timelines

Animations require some kind of timing mechanism that is responsible for updating the relevant property values at the right time. In WPF, this timing mechanism is catered for by the abstract Timeline class, which in short, represents a period of time. All of the available animation classes extend this class and add their own animation functionality.

When a Timeline class is used for animations, an internal copy is made and frozen, so that it is immutable. Additionally, a Clock object is created to preserve the runtime timing state of the Timeline object and is responsible for the actual timing of the animated property updates. The Timeline object itself does little other than define the relevant period of time.

The Clock object will be automatically created for us when we define a Storyboard object, or call one of the Animatable.BeginAnimation methods. Note that we do not typically need to concern ourselves with these Clock objects directly, but it can be helpful to know about them in order to understand the bigger picture.

There are a number of different types of Timeline objects, from the AnimationTimeline class to the TimelineGroup and ParallelTimeline classes. However, for animation purposes, we predominantly utilize the Storyboard class, which extends the ParallelTimeline and the TimelineGroup classes and adds animation-targeting properties and methods for controlling the timeline. Let's first investigate the main properties of the base Timeline class.

The Duration property specifies the time that is represented by the associated Timeline object. However, a timeline can have repetitions, so a more accurate description of the Duration property might be that it specifies the time of a single iteration of the associated Timeline object.

The duration property is of type Duration, which contains a TimeSpan property that contains the actual time that specifies the value of the duration. However, WPF includes a type converter that enables us to specify this TimeSpan value in XAML in the following formats, where the square brackets highlight optional segments:

```
Duration="[Days.]Hours:Minutes:Seconds[.FractionalSeconds]"
Duration="[Days.]Hours:Minutes"
```

However, the Duration structure also accepts other values in addition to the TimeSpan duration. There is a value of Automatic, which is the default value for component timelines that contain other timelines. In these cases, this value simply means that the parent timeline's duration will be as long as the longest duration of its children timelines. There is little purpose for us to explicitly use this value.

However, there is one further value that is very useful to us. The Duration structure also defines a Forever property that represents an infinite period of time. We can use this value to make an animation continue indefinitely, or more accurately, as long as its related View is being displayed:

```
Duration="Forever"
```

A Timeline object will stop playing when it reaches the end of its duration. If it has any child timelines associated with it, then they will also stop playing at this point. However, the natural duration of a timeline can be extended or shortened using other properties, as we will see shortly.

Some timelines, such as the ParallelTimeline and Storyboard classes, are able to contain other timelines and can affect their durations by setting their own values for the Duration property, which will override those set by the child timelines. Let's alter an earlier animation example from Chapter 5, *Using the Right Controls for the Job* to demonstrate this:

```
<Rectangle Width="0" Height="0" Fill="Orange">

<Rectangle.Triggers>

<EventTrigger RoutedEvent="Loaded">

<BeginStoryboard>

<Storyboard Duration="0:0:2.5">

<DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetProperty="Width" To="300.0"

Duration="0:0:2.5" />

<DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetProperty="Height" To="300.0"

Duration="0:0:5" />

</Storyboard>

</Rectangle.Triggers>

</Rectangle>
```

In this preceding example, we have a Rectangle object with its dimensions initially set to zero. The Storyboard object contains two separate animation objects that will animate its dimensions from zero to three hundred pixels. The animation object that will animate the rectangle's width has a duration of two and a half seconds, while the animation object that will animate the will animate the height has a duration of five seconds.

However, the containing Storyboard object has a duration of two and a half seconds and so this will stop the timelines of the two child animation objects after two and a half seconds, regardless of their declared durations. The result of this will be that after the animation is complete, our Rectangle object will appear as a rectangle, instead of a square with equal height and width values.

If we had changed the duration of the storyboard to match that of the longer child animation, or changed that animation duration to match that of the shorter child animation, then our animated shape would end as a square, rather than as a rectangle. Another way to adjust the assigned duration of an animation element is to set its AutoReverse property. In effect, setting this property to True will usually double the length of time that is specified by the Duration property, as the timeline will play in reverse after it has completed its normal forwards iteration. Let's alter the storyboard from the previous example to demonstrate this:

```
<Storyboard Duration="0:0:5">

<DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetProperty="Width" To="300.0"

Duration="0:0:2.5" AutoReverse="True" />

<DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetProperty="Height" To="300.0"

Duration="0:0:5" />

</Storyboard>
```

Now, both child timelines will have the same overall duration, as the first, previously shorter, timeline has effectively been doubled in length. However, this will result in the first timeline animating the width of the rectangle to three hundred pixels and then back to zero, so it will be invisible when the animations have completed. Also note that we had to set the parent storyboard duration to five seconds in order to see the difference in the child timelines.

Note again that properties set on timelines that contain other timelines will affect the values of those properties on the child timelines. As such, setting the AutoReverse property to True on the parent timeline (the Storyboard object) will double the total length of time that the child animations will run for; in our case, using the following example, the rectangle will now be animated for ten seconds in total:

```
<Storyboard Duration="0:0:5" AutoReverse="True">

<DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetProperty="Width" To="300.0"

Duration="0:0:2.5" AutoReverse="True" />

<DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetProperty="Height" To="300.0"

Duration="0:0:5" />

</Storyboard>
```

The RepeatBehavior property is of type RepeatBehavior and can also affect the overall duration of a timeline. Unlike the AutoReverse property, it can also shorten the overall duration as well as lengthen it. Using the RepeatBehavior property, we can specify the value in a number of ways using different behaviors.
The most simple is to provide a count of how many times we would like to multiply the original duration of the timeline. A pre-existing XAML type converter enables us to set the repeat count in XAML by specifying an x after the count, as can be seen in the following example. Note that we can also specify numbers with decimal places here, including values less than one:

```
<Storyboard Duration="0:0:5" AutoReverse="True" RepeatBehavior="2x">
   <DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetProperty="Width" To="300.0"
    Duration="0:0:2.5" AutoReverse="True" />
   <DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetProperty="Height" To="300.0"
    Duration="0:0:5" />
</Storyboard>
```

In this example, the normal duration would be five seconds, but the AutoReverse property is set to True and so that duration is doubled. However, the RepeatBehavior property is set to 2x and this will multiply the doubled ten seconds to twenty seconds. This multiplier value of two will be stored in the Count property of the RepeatBehavior structure.

An alternative to using the count option is to simply set the duration that we would like the animation to last for. The same XAML syntax that is used to set the Duration property can also be used to set the RepeatBehavior property. Similarly, the RepeatBehavior structure also defines a Forever property that represents an infinite period of time and we can use this value to make an animation continue indefinitely.

One further property that can affect the duration of an animation is the SpeedRatio property. This value is multiplied by the other related duration properties and so can both speed up and slow down the associated timeline. Let's update our example again to help to explain this property now:

```
<Storyboard Duration="0:0:5" AutoReverse="True" SpeedRatio="0.5">

<DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetProperty="Width" To="300.0"

Duration="0:0:2.5" AutoReverse="True" />

<DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetProperty="Height" To="300.0"

Duration="0:0:5" SpeedRatio="2" />

</Storyboard>
```

Again, the normal duration here would be five seconds and the AutoReverse property is set to True, so the duration is doubled. However, the SpeedRatio property is set to 0.5 and so the doubled duration is again doubled to twenty seconds. Note that a SpeedRatio value of 0.5 represents half the normal speed and therefore twice the normal duration.

The second child timeline also sets the SpeedRatio property, but it is set to 2 and so its speed is doubled and its duration halved. As its specified duration is twice that of its sibling timeline and its speed is now twice as fast, this has the effect of re-synchronizing the two child animations, so that the two dimensions now grow together, as a square, rather than as a rectangle.

There are two more speed-related properties that we can use to fine-tune our animations: the AccelerationRatio and DecelerationRatio properties. These properties adjust the proportion of time that the related animation takes to speed up and slow down respectively. While this effect can be subtle at times, it can also give our animations that professional touch when used correctly.

Acceptable values for both of these properties exist between zero and one. If both properties are used together, then the total sum of their values must still remain between zero and one. Failure to adhere to this rule will result in the following exception being thrown at runtime:

The sum of AccelerationRatio and DecelerationRatio must be less than or equal to one.

Entering values outside the acceptable range on either of these properties individually will also result in an error, although doing this will cause a compilation error instead:

Property value must be between 0.0 and 1.0.

Let's look at an example that highlights the difference between the different values of these two properties:

```
<StackPanel Margin="20">
 <StackPanel.Triggers>
   <EventTrigger RoutedEvent="Loaded">
      <BeginStoryboard>
        <Storyboard RepeatBehavior="Forever" Duration="0:0:1.5"</pre>
          SpeedRatio="0.5" Storyboard.TargetProperty="Width">
          <DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetName="RectangleA"
            AccelerationRatio="1.0" From="0" To="300" />
          <DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetName="RectangleB"
            AccelerationRatio="0.8" DecelerationRatio="0.2"
            From="0" To="300" />
          <DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetName="RectangleC"
            AccelerationRatio="0.6" DecelerationRatio="0.4"
            From="0" To="300" />
          <DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetName="RectangleD"
            AccelerationRatio="0.5" DecelerationRatio="0.5"
            From="0" To="300" />
          <DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetName="RectangleE"
```

```
AccelerationRatio="0.4" DecelerationRatio="0.6"
            From="0" To="300" />
          <DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetName="RectangleF"
            AccelerationRatio="0.2" DecelerationRatio="0.8"
            From="0" To="300" />
          <DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetName="RectangleG"
            DecelerationRatio="1.0" From="0" To="300" />
        </Storyboard>
     </BeginStoryboard>
   </EventTrigger>
 </StackPanel.Triggers>
 <Rectangle Name="RectangleA" Fill="#FF0000" Height="30" />
 <Rectangle Name="RectangleB" Fill="#D5002B" Height="30" />
 <Rectangle Name="RectangleC" Fill="#AB0055" Height="30" />
 <Rectangle Name="RectangleD" Fill="#800080" Height="30" />
 <Rectangle Name="RectangleE" Fill="#5500AB" Height="30" />
 <Rectangle Name="RectangleF" Fill="#2B00D5" Height="30" />
 <Rectangle Name="RectangleG" Fill="#0000FF" Height="30" />
</StackPanel>
```

This code defines a number of Rectangle objects in a StackPanel control, each with its own associated DoubleAnimation element, that increases its width from zero to three hundred pixels over one and a half seconds.

Here, we've used the Storyboard.TargetName and Storyboard.TargetProperty properties to target the rectangles from a single EventTrigger to reduce the amount of code in the preceding example. We'll cover these Attached Properties in detail shortly, but for now, we'll just say that they are used to specify the target element and property to animate.

Each animation targets a different rectangle and has different values set for the AccelerationRatio and DecelerationRatio properties. The top rectangle's animation has its AccelerationRatio property set to 1.0 and the animation for the bottom rectangle has its DecelerationRatio property set to 1.0.

The animations for the rectangles in between have varying values. The higher the rectangle, the higher the values for the AccelerationRatio property and the lower the values for the DecelerationRatio property and the lower the rectangle, the lower the values of the AccelerationRatio property and the higher the values for the DecelerationRatio property and the higher the values for the DecelerationRatio property.

When this example is run, we can clearly see the differences between the various ratio values. At one point near the start of each iteration, we can see that the top rectangles that are animated with higher AccelerationRatio values have not grown in size as much as the lower rectangles that are animated with higher DecelerationRatio values; however, all rectangles reach 300 pixels at approximately the same time:



Another useful property in the Timeline class is the BeginTime property. As the name suggests, it sets the time to begin the animation; it can be thought of as a delay time that delays the start of its animation with relation to parent and sibling timelines.

The default value of this property is zero seconds and when it is set with a positive value, the delay occurs just once at the start of the timeline and is not affected by other properties that may be set on it. It is often used to delay the start of one or more animations until another animation has completed. Let's adjust our earlier example again to demonstrate this:

```
<Rectangle Width="0" Height="1" Fill="Orange">
<Rectangle.Triggers>
<EventTrigger RoutedEvent="Loaded">
<BeginStoryboard>
```

```
<Storyboard>

<DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetProperty="Width" To="300.0"

Duration="0:0:2" />

<DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetProperty="Height" To="300.0"

Duration="0:0:2" BeginTime="0:0:2" />

<DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetProperty="Width" To="0.0"

Duration="0:0:2" BeginTime="0:0:4" />

<DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetProperty="Height" To="0.0"

Duration="0:0:2" BeginTime="0:0:4" />

</BeginStoryboard>

</BeginStoryboard>

</Rectangle.Triggers>

</Rectangle>
```

In this example, we have a single pixel high rectangle with a width that grows outward until it is three hundred pixels wide and then grows vertically until it is three hundred pixels high. At that point, its dimensions equally reduce in size until the shape shrinks to nothing.

This is achieved by delaying the last three animations while the width-increasing animation runs and then delaying the last two animations while the height-increasing animation runs. The BeginTime properties of the last two animations are set to the same value, so that they both start and run in synchronization with each other.

The last really useful timeline property is the FillBehavior property, which specifies what should happen to the data bound property value when the timeline reaches the end of its total duration, or its fill period. This property is of type FillBehavior and has just two values.

If we set this property to a value of HoldEnd, the data bound property value will remain at the final value that was reached just before the animation ended. Conversely, if we set this property to a value of Stop, which is the default value, the data bound property value will revert to the value that the property originally had before the animation started. Let's illustrate this with a simple example:

```
<StackPanel Margin="20">

<StackPanel.Triggers>

<EventTrigger RoutedEvent="Loaded">

<BeginStoryboard>

<Storyboard Duration="0:0:1.5" SpeedRatio="0.5"

Storyboard.TargetProperty="Opacity">

<DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetName="RectangleA" To="0.0"

FillBehavior="HoldEnd" />

<DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetName="RectangleB" To="0.0"

FillBehavior="Stop" />
```

```
</torpboard>

</BeginStoryboard>

</EventTrigger>

</StackPanel.Triggers>

<Rectangle Name="RectangleA" Fill="Orange" Height="100"

HorizontalAlignment="Stretch" Margin="0,0,0,20" />

<Rectangle Name="RectangleB" Fill="Orange" Height="100"

HorizontalAlignment="Stretch" />

</StackPanel>
```

In this example, the difference between the two FillBehavior enumeration instances is clearly demonstrated. We have two rectangles of identical size that have identical timelines set up to animate their Opacity property values, with the exception of their FillBehavior property values.

Both rectangles fade from being opaque to being invisible in the same amount of time, but once the two timelines are complete, the rectangle with the FillBehavior property set to Stop immediately becomes visible again, as it was prior to the start of the animation, while the other with the FillBehavior property set to HoldEnd remains invisible, as it was at the end of the animation.

While this covers the main properties that are exposed by the Timeline class directly, there are a few more properties that are declared by many of the animation classes that extend the Timeline class and are essential to fully understand. They are the From, By and To properties, which specify the start and end points of the animations.

Because the animation classes generate property values, there are different types of animation classes for different property types. For example, the animation class that generates Point values is called the PointAnimation class and all of the normal animation classes follow the same naming pattern, using the name of the related type in the form of <TypeName>Animation, for example ColorAnimation.

The normal animation classes, often referred to as the From, By and To animations, usually require two values to be specified, although one of these can sometimes be implicitly provided. The relevant property will then be animated along a path of automatically interpolated values between the two specified values.

It is most common to provide a starting value using the From property and an ending value using the To property. However, we can also specify a single starting, ending, or offset value and the second value will be taken from the current value of the animated property. We can set the offset value using the By property and this represents the exact amount the property value will change over the duration.

Specifying values for these different properties can have dramatically different effects on the resulting animations. Using the From property alone will start the animation at the desired value and will animate the property until it reaches the property's base value.

Using the To property alone will start animating the property from its current value and end at the specified value. Using only the By property will animate the property from its current value until the sum of that value with the specified offset amount has been reached.

Combinations of the three properties can be used to target just the right range of property values. Setting the From and By properties will start the animations from the value specified by the From property and animate the property until the offset specified by the By property has been reached.

Setting the From and To properties together will start the animations from the value specified by the From property and animate the property until the value specified by the To property. As the By and To properties both specify the ending value of the animation, the value specified by the By property will be ignored if they are both set on an animation element.

While these more common animations use one or two of the From, By, and To properties together to specify the range of values of the related property to be animated, there is another way to specify the target values. Let's now take a look at key-frame animations.

Introducing key-frames

Key-frame animations enable us to do a number of things that we cannot do with the From, By, and To animations. Unlike those animations, with key-frame animations, we are able to specify more than two target values and animate objects in discrete steps that cannot normally be animated. As such, there are more <TypeName>AnimationUsingKeyFrames classes than <TypeName>Animation classes, for

```
example: RectAnimationUsingKeyFrames, SizeAnimationUsingKeyFrames.
```

Each <TypeName>AnimationUsingKeyFrames class has a KeyFrames property that we populate with key-frames to specify various values that must be passed during the animation. Each key-frame has a KeyTime and a Value property to specify the value and the relative time that it should be reached.

If no key-frame is declared with a key time of zero seconds, the animation will start from the relevant property's current value. The animation will order the key-frames by the values of their KeyTime property, rather than the order that they were declared in, and will create transitions between the various values according to their interpolation methods, which we'll find out about momentarily.

Note that the KeyTime property is of type KeyTime and this enables us to set it using types of values, other than TimeSpan values. We are also able to specify percentage values, which determine the percentage of the specified animation duration that each key-frame will be allotted. Note that we need to use cumulative values, so that the final key-frame key time value will always be 100%.

Alternatively, there are a number of special values that we can use. When we want an animation with a constant velocity, regardless of the specified values, we can specify the Paced value for each of the key-frames. This takes the change between each key-frame's value into consideration before spacing them across the duration of the parent timeline and creating a smooth, even transition.

In contrast to this method, we can also specify the Uniform value for each key-frame, which basically spaces the key-frames out evenly across the duration of the parent animation. To do this, it simply counts the number of key-frames and divides that number by the total duration length, so that each key-frame will last for the same amount of time.

There are different kinds of key-frames for different

<TypeName>AnimationUsingKeyFrames classes and there are also different kinds of interpolation methods used. The naming convention of these key-frames follows the format, <InterpolationMethod><TypeName>KeyFrame, for example: LinearDoubleKeyFrame.

There are three kinds of interpolation methods. The first is Discrete, which performs no interpolation and simply jumps from one value to another. This method is useful for setting bool or object values.

The next method is Linear, which performs a linear interpolation between the key-frame's value and the previous key-frame's value. This means that the animation will appear smooth, but speed up and slow down if your key-frame times are not evenly spaced out.

The last and most complicated interpolation method is Spline, but it also provides the user with the most control over the animation timing. It adds a further property named KeySpline, which enables us to specify two control points on a Bezier curve that extends from 0.0,0.0 to 1.0,1.0. The first control point affects the first half of the curve, while the second point affects the second half.

Using these two control points, we can adjust the speed of the animation over its duration. As an example, using the first control point set to 0.0, 1.0 and the second set to 1.0, 0.0 will cause maximum distortion to the original linear curve and result in an animation that will quickly accelerate, before slowing almost to a stop in the middle and then dramatically speeding up again at the end.

With these two points, we can have full control over the speed of value change between each pair of key-frame values. This type of interpolation is most useful when attempting to create animations that are more realistic looking. Note that we are free to mix and match key-frames with different interpolation methods within each key-frame animation.

As an example, let's say that we wanted to animate a Point element. In this case we'd need to use the PointAnimationUsingKeyFrames class and would then have a choice of key-frame classes that represent the different interpolation methods. With this example, we could use any combination of the DiscretePointKeyFrame, LinearPointKeyFrame, and SplinePointKeyFrame classes.

Note that, as the KeyFrames property is set as the name input parameter in the ContentPropertyAttribute attribute that forms part of the declared class signature in each of the <TypeName>AnimationUsingKeyFrames classes, we do not need to explicitly declare this property in XAML and can declare the various key-frames directly inside these elements as shown in the following code:

```
<Ellipse Width="100" Height="100" Stroke="Black" StrokeThickness="3">
  <Ellipse.Fill>
    <RadialGradientBrush>
      <GradientStop Color="Yellow" Offset="0" />
      <GradientStop Color="Orange" Offset="1" />
    </RadialGradientBrush>
  </Ellipse.Fill>
  <Ellipse.Triggers>
    <EventTrigger RoutedEvent="Loaded">
      <BeginStoryboard>
        <Storyboard RepeatBehavior="Forever"
          Storyboard.TargetProperty="Fill.GradientOrigin">
          <PointAnimationUsingKeyFrames>
            <DiscretePointKeyFrame Value="0.5, 0.5" KeyTime="0:0:0" />
            <LinearPointKeyFrame Value="1.0, 1.0" KeyTime="0:0:2" />
            <SplinePointKeyFrame KeySpline="0,0.25 0.75,0" Value="1.0, 0.0"</pre>
              KeyTime="0:0:4" />
            <LinearPointKeyFrame Value="0.0, 0.0" KeyTime="0:0:5" />
            <SplinePointKeyFrame KeySpline="0,0.75 0.25,0" Value="0.5, 0.5"</pre>
              KeyTime="0:0:8" />
          </PointAnimationUsingKeyFrames>
        </Storyboard>
```

```
</BeginStoryboard>
</EventTrigger>
</Ellipse.Triggers>
</Ellipse>
```

In this example, we declare an Ellipse shape with its Fill property set to an instance of the RadialGradientBrush class. The brush has a yellow center and is orange around the edges. Note that these brushes have a property named GradientOrigin that specifies the center point of the gradient and defaults to the point 0.5, 0.5. In this example, we animate this property, which has a similar effect to moving a light source around a 3D ball:



We use an EventTrigger with the Loaded event to start our animation and set the RepeatBehavior property to Forever on the associated storyboard. As mentioned, we set the TargetProperty property to the GradientOrigin property of the brush that is set as the Fill property.

Inside the storyboard, we declare a PointAnimationUsingKeyFrames element and directly inside it, we declare a number of various

<InterpolationMethod><Type>KeyFrame objects. As mentioned, we do not need to explicitly declare the KeyFrames property in order to declare these key-frame elements within it.

Note that the DiscretePointKeyFrame element that is used here is entirely optional and would not change anything if removed. This is because the point 0.5, 0.5 is both the starting value of the animation and default value of the gradient brush and also the ending value of the animation. Furthermore, if we omit a zero time key-frame, one will be implicitly added for us with this value.

Next, we declare a LinearPointKeyFrame element, that will animate the gradient origin from the point 0.5, 0.5 to the point 1.0, 1.0 in a linear, even fashion. Following that, we have a SplinePointKeyFrame element that will animate the gradient origin from the previous point to the point 1.0, 0.0. Note the KeySpline property that adjusts the speed of the animation as it progresses.

From there, we use another LinearPointKeyFrame element to smoothly and evenly transition to the point 0.0,0.0 over one second. Finally, we use a second SplinePointKeyFrame element to animate the gradient origin back to the center of the circle and its starting position, taking the last three seconds of the total duration.

When this example is run, we can clearly see it animating the gradient origin point evenly during the periods of the two LinearPointKeyFrame elements and changing the speed during the periods of the two SplinePointKeyFrame elements.

Telling stories

While the various animation classes that extend the Timeline class can be used to animate control properties directly in code, in order to declare and trigger animations using XAML alone, we need to use the Storyboard class. This is what is known as a container timeline, as it extends the abstract TimelineGroup class that enables it to contain child timelines.

Another container timeline class that the Storyboard class extends is the ParallelTimeline class and these classes enable us to group child timelines and to set properties on them as a group. When creating more complex animations, if all we need to do is to delay the start of a group of child timelines, we should use the ParallelTimeline class rather than the Storyboard class, as it is more efficient.

We could rewrite our earlier BeginTime example to use a ParallelTimeline element to delay the start of our last two timelines. Let's see what that might look like:

```
<Storyboard>
<DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetProperty="Width" To="300.0"
Duration="0:0:2" />
<DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetProperty="Height" To="300.0"
Duration="0:0:2" BeginTime="0:0:2" />
<ParallelTimeline BeginTime="0:0:4">
<DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetProperty="Width" To="0.0"
Duration="0:0:2" />
<DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetProperty="Height" To="0.0"
Duration="0:0:2" />
<DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetProperty="Height" To="0.0"</pre>
```

</Storyboard>

As the Storyboard class is a Timeline object, it also has the same properties as the various animation objects. One additional property that it inherits from the ParallelTimeline class is the SlipBehavior property. This property is only really useful when we want to synchronize an animation timeline with the playback of a MediaTimeline element, but it's worth knowing about.

This property is of the enumeration type SlipBehavior and it only has two members. A value of Grow specifies that we do not need our animation timelines to be synchronized with our media timeline(s) and is the default value of this property.

Conversely, a value of Slip indicates that we want our animation timelines to slip, either forwards or backwards, whenever necessary in order to keep them in sync with the playing media. If the media takes time to load when using this setting, then the animation timelines within the storyboard will wait until the media is ready and continue at that point.

In addition to the properties that have been inherited from the various base classes, the Storyboard class also declares three important Attached Properties that are essential for targeting animations to individual UI elements and/or their properties.

The Storyboard.Target Attached Property specifies the UI control that should be animated, although setting this property alone is not enough, as it does not specify the target property. This property is of type object, although it can only be used with objects of type DependencyObject.

In order to use this property, we need to specify a binding path that references the target UI control. If the target element extends the FrameworkElement or FrameworkContentElement classes, then one way would be to name the target element and to use an ElementName binding to reference it:

```
Storyboard.Target="{Binding ElementName=TargetControlName}"
```

Most UI elements extend one of these two classes that declare the Name property. However, if we provide a name for the target control, then there is a simpler way to target it. Instead of using the Storyboard.Target property, we could use the Storyboard.TargetName Attached Property to specify the target element using just their declared name, without any binding:

```
Storyboard.TargetName="TargetControlName"
```

We do not always need to specify this property value, as on occasion, the target element can be worked out implicitly. If the relevant storyboard was started with a BeginStoryboard element, the UI element that declared it will be targeted. Additionally, if the relevant storyboard is a child of another timeline, then the target of the parent timeline will be inherited.

The most important property that the Storyboard class declares is the TargetProperty Attached Property. We use this property to specify which property we want to animate on the target element. Note that in order for this to work, the target property must be a Dependency Property.

Occasionally, we may want to target objects that do not extend either of the framework classes mentioned earlier; in WPF, we are also able to target freezable classes that extend the Freezable class. In order to target one of these classes in XAML, we need to specify the name of the object using the x:Name directive instead, as they have no Name property.

As a side note, WPF classes that declare their own Name property actually map the name value through to the x:Name directive, which is part of the XAML specification. In these cases, we are free to use either of these to register a name for an element, but we must not set both.

Note that unnamed elements can still be referenced by our animations, although they need to be indirectly referenced. Instead of referencing them directly, we need to specify the name of the parent property or freezable object and then chain properties in the TargetProperty Attached Property until we reach the desired element. We used this method in the last example of the previous section:

```
Storyboard.TargetProperty="Fill.GradientOrigin"
```

In this case, we reference the Fill property, which is of type RadialGradientBrush, and then we chain to the GradientOrigin property of the brush from there. Note that if we had used an instance of the SolidColorBrush class here instead, this reference would fail, because there is no GradientOrigin property in that brush. However, while the animation would fail to work, this would not cause any errors to be raised.

Controlling storyboards

In order to start a storyboard in XAML, we need to use a BeginStoryboard element. This class extends the TriggerAction class and if you remember, that is the type that we need to use in the TriggerActionCollection of the EventTrigger class and the TriggerBase.EnterActions and TriggerBase.ExitActions properties.

We specify the storyboard to use with the BeginStoryboard element by setting it to the Storyboard property in code. When using XAML, the Storyboard property is implicitly set to the storyboard that is declared within the BeginStoryboard element.

The BeginStoryboard action is responsible for connecting the animation timelines with the animation targets and their targeted properties and is also responsible for starting the various animation timelines within its storyboard. It does this by calling the Begin method of the associated Storyboard object, once its parent's trigger condition has been met.

If an already running storyboard is asked to begin again, either indirectly, using a BeginStoryboard action, or directly, using the Begin method, what happens will depend upon the value set by the HandoffBehavior property.

This property is of the enumeration type HandoffBehavior and has two values. The default value is SnapshotAndReplace and this will renew the internal clocks and essentially have the effect of replacing one copy of the timeline with another. The other value is more interesting: the Compose value will retain the original clocks when restarting the animation and append the new animation after the current one, performing some interpolation between them, resulting in a smoother join.

One problem with this method is that the retained clocks will continue to use system resources and this can end in memory problems if not handled correctly. However, this method produces much smoother and more natural and fluid animations that can be worth the extra resources. This is best demonstrated with a small example:

```
<Canvas>
  <Rectangle Canvas.Top="200" Canvas.Left="25" Width="100" Height="100"
   Fill="Orange" Stroke="Black" StrokeThickness="3">
   <Rectangle.Style>
      <Style TargetType="{x:Type Rectangle}">
        <Style.Triggers>
          <Trigger Property="IsMouseOver" Value="True">
            <Trigger.EnterActions>
              <BeginStoryboard>
                <Storyboard>
                  <DoubleAnimation Duration="0:0:2"
                    Storyboard.TargetProperty="(Canvas.Top)" To="0" />
                </Storyboard>
              </BeginStoryboard>
            </Trigger.EnterActions>
            <Trigger.ExitActions>
              <BeginStoryboard>
                <Storyboard>
                  <DoubleAnimation Duration="0:0:2"
                    Storyboard.TargetProperty="(Canvas.Top)" To="200" />
```

```
</Storyboard>
              </BeginStoryboard>
            </Trigger.ExitActions>
          </Trigger>
        </Style.Triggers>
      </Style>
    </Rectangle.Style>
  </Rectangle>
  <Rectangle Canvas.Top="200" Canvas.Left="150" Width="100" Height="100"
    Fill="Orange" Stroke="Black" StrokeThickness="3">
    <Rectangle.Style>
      <Style TargetType="{x:Type Rectangle}">
        <Style.Triggers>
          <Trigger Property="IsMouseOver" Value="True">
            <Trigger.EnterActions>
              <BeginStoryboard>
                <Storyboard>
                  <DoubleAnimation Duration="0:0:2"
                    Storyboard.TargetProperty="(Canvas.Top)" To="0" />
                </Storyboard>
              </BeginStoryboard>
            </Trigger.EnterActions>
            <Trigger.ExitActions>
              <BeginStoryboard HandoffBehavior="Compose">
                <Storyboard>
                  <DoubleAnimation Duration="0:0:2"
                    Storyboard.TargetProperty="(Canvas.Top)" To="200" />
                </Storyboard>
              </BeginStoryboard>
            </Trigger.ExitActions>
          </Trigger>
        </Style.Triggers>
      </Style>
    </Rectangle.Style>
 </Rectangle>
</Canvas>
```

In this example, we have two rectangles, each with its own animation. The only difference between them is that the BeginStoryboard element that starts the animation for the right rectangle has a HandoffBehavior of Compose, while the other uses the default value of SnapshotAndReplace.

When the example is run, each rectangle will move upwards when the mouse cursor is placed over it and move back downwards when the cursor is moved away from it. If we keep the mouse cursor within the bounds of each rectangle, moving it up to the top of the screen with the rectangle and then move the cursor away to let the rectangle fall, the two animations will appear identical.

However, if we move the mouse cursor from side to side across the two rectangles, we will start to see a difference between the two animations. We'll see that as the cursor enters the bounds of each rectangle, they each start their upwards movement. But once the cursor leaves the rectangle bounds, we see the difference.

The rectangle on the left, with the default value of SnapshotAndReplace, will stop moving up and immediately begin its downwards animation, while the other rectangle will continue to move upwards for a short time before commencing its downwards animation. This results in a much smoother, more natural looking transition between the two animations.

The difference between these two handoff behaviors though, is most clearly demonstrated by simply placing the mouse cursor on one of the rectangles and leaving it there. Doing this to the rectangle on the left will cause the rectangle to move upwards until the mouse cursor is no longer within its bounds and then it will immediately begin to move downwards again.

However, as the mouse cursor will then be within the bounds of the rectangle again, it will begin the upwards animation once more. This will cause the rectangle to move away from the mouse cursor again and so we will end with a repetitive loop of this behavior and it will result in what looks like a quick shaking, or stuttering, of the rectangle just above the position of the mouse.

On the other hand, the rectangle on the right, with the HandoffBehavior of Compose, will move upwards until the mouse cursor is no longer within its bounds, but will then continue to move upwards for a short time before starting to move downwards again. Once more, this creates a far smoother animation and will result in the rectangle bouncing gently above the mouse cursor, in sharp contrast to the other, stuttering rectangle.

There are several related TriggerAction derived classes that are suffixed with the word Storyboard and enable us to control various aspects of the related Storyboard element. By specifying the Name property value of the BeginStoryboard element in the BeginStoryboardName property of the other actions, we are able to further control the running storyboard.

We can use the PauseStoryboard element to pause a running storyboard and the ResumeStoryboard to resume a paused storyboard. The PauseStoryboard element does nothing if the related storyboard is not running and, similarly, the ResumeStoryboard action does nothing if the related storyboard is not already paused. Therefore, a storyboard cannot be started with a ResumeStoryboard trigger action.

The StopStoryboard action will stop a running storyboard, but does nothing if the related storyboard is not already running. Finally, there is a RemoveStoryboard trigger action that will remove a storyboard when its parent's trigger condition has been met. As storyboards consume resources, we should remove them when they are no longer required.

For example, if we use an EventTrigger with the Loaded event to start a timeline that has its RepeatBehavior property set to Forever, then we should use another EventTrigger element with a RemoveStoryboard action in the Unloaded event to remove the storyboard. This is somewhat analogous to calling the Dispose method on an IDisposable implementation.

Note that it is essential to remove a storyboard that was started by a BeginStoryboard action with its HandoffBehavior property set to Compose, as it could end with many internal clocks being instantiated, but not disposed of. Removing the storyboard will also result in the internally used clocks being disposed of. Let's see a practical example of how we might use these elements:

```
<StackPanel TextElement.FontSize="14">
  <TextBox Text="{Binding Name, UpdateSourceTrigger=PropertyChanged}"
    Margin="20">
    <TextBox.Effect>
      <DropShadowEffect Color="Red" ShadowDepth="0" BlurRadius="0"</pre>
        Opacity="0.5" />
    </TextBox.Effect>
    <TextBox.Style>
      <Style TargetType="{x:Type TextBox}">
        <Style.Triggers>
          <DataTrigger Binding="{Binding IsValid}" Value="False">
            <DataTrigger.EnterActions>
              <BeginStoryboard Name="GlowStoryboard">
                <Storyboard RepeatBehavior="Forever">
                  <DoubleAnimation Storyboard.
                    TargetProperty="Effect.(DropShadowEffect.BlurRadius)"
                    To="25" Duration="0:0:1.0" AutoReverse="True" />
                </Storyboard>
              </BeginStoryboard>
            </DataTrigger.EnterActions>
          </DataTrigger>
          <MultiDataTrigger>
            <MultiDataTrigger.Conditions>
              <Condition Binding="{Binding IsValid}" Value="False" />
              <Condition Binding="{Binding IsFocused,
                RelativeSource={RelativeSource Self}}" Value="True" />
            </MultiDataTrigger.Conditions>
            <MultiDataTrigger.EnterActions>
              <PauseStoryboard BeginStoryboardName="GlowStoryboard" />
```

```
</MultiDataTrigger.EnterActions>
          </MultiDataTrigger>
          <Trigger Property="IsFocused" Value="True">
            <Trigger.EnterActions>
              <PauseStoryboard BeginStoryboardName="GlowStoryboard" />
            </Trigger.EnterActions>
            <Trigger.ExitActions>
              <ResumeStoryboard BeginStoryboardName="GlowStoryboard" />
            </Trigger.ExitActions>
          </Trigger>
          <DataTrigger Binding="{Binding IsValid}" Value="True">
            <DataTrigger.EnterActions>
              <StopStoryboard BeginStoryboardName="GlowStoryboard" />
            </DataTrigger.EnterActions>
          </DataTrigger>
          <EventTrigger RoutedEvent="Unloaded">
            <EventTrigger.Actions>
              <RemoveStoryboard BeginStoryboardName="GlowStoryboard" />
            </EventTrigger.Actions>
          </EventTrigger>
        </Style.Triggers>
      </Style>
   </TextBox.Style>
 </TextBox>
 <TextBox Margin="20 0" />
</StackPanel>
```

This example has two textboxes, with the lower one existing solely to enable us to remove focus from the first one. The first textbox is data bound to a Name property in our View Model. Let's imagine that we have some validation code that will update a property named IsValid when the Name property is changed. We'll cover validation in depth in Chapter 9, *Implementing Responsive Data Validation*, but for now, let's keep it simple:

```
private string name = string.Empty;
private bool isValid = false;
....
public string Name
{
  get { return name; }
  set
  {
    if (name != value)
      {
      name = value;
      NotifyPropertyChanged();
```

```
IsValid = name.Length > 2;
}
public bool IsValid
{
get { return isValid; }
set { if (isValid != value) { isValid = value;
NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
```

Here, we simply verify that the Name property has a value that has three or more characters in it. The basic idea in this example is that we have an animation that highlights the fact that a particular form field requires a valid value.

It could be a shaking, or growing and shrinking of the form field, or the animation of an adjacent element, but in our case, we have used a DropShadowEffect element to create a glowing effect around it.

In the Triggers collection of our style, we have declared a number of triggers. The first one is a DataTrigger and it data binds to the IsValid property in the View Model and uses the BeginStoryboard trigger action element named GlowStoryboard to make the glowing effect around the textbox grow and shrink when the property value is false.

While animations are great at attracting the eye, they can also be quite distracting. Skipping over the MultiDataTrigger momentarily, our animation will therefore be paused when the textbox is focused, so that the user can enter the details without distraction. We achieve this by declaring a PauseStoryboard action in the trigger with the condition that the IsFocused property is true.

Using the EnterActions collection of the trigger ensures that the PauseStoryboard action is run as the IsFocused property is set to true. Declaring the ResumeStoryboard action in the ExitActions collection of the trigger ensures that it will be run as the IsFocused property is set to false, or in other words, when the control loses focus.

When the user has entered a value, our View Model validates whether the provided value is indeed valid and, if so, it sets the IsValid property to true. In our example, we just verify that the entered string contains three or more characters in order for it to be valid. Setting the UpdateSourceTrigger property to PropertyChanged on the binding ensures this validation occurs on each keystroke.

Our example uses a DataTrigger to data bind to this property and when it is true, it triggers the StopStoryboard action, which stops the storyboard from running any further. As the FillBehavior property of our storyboard is not explicitly set, it will default to the Stop value and the animated property value will return to the original value that it had prior to being animated.

However, what should happen if the user entered three or more characters and then deleted them? The data trigger would trigger the StopStoryboard action and the storyboard would be stopped. As they deleted the characters and the IsValid property would be set to false and the condition of the first DataTrigger would then trigger the initial BeginStoryboard action to start the storyboard again.

But this would occur while the focus was still on the textbox and while the animation on the effect should not be running. It is for this reason that we declared the MultiDataTrigger element that we skipped over earlier. In this trigger, we have two conditions. One is that the IsFocused property should be true and for this alone, we could have used a MultiTrigger instead.

However, the other condition requires that we data bind to the IsValid property from the View Model and for that, we need to use the MultiDataTrigger element. So, this trigger will run its PauseStoryboard action when the textbox is focused and as soon as the data bound value becomes invalid, or in other words, as the user deletes the third character.

The triggers are evaluated from top to bottom in the declared order in the XAML and as the user deletes the third character, the first trigger begins the animation. The MultiDataTrigger has to be declared after the first trigger, so that the storyboard will be started before it pauses it. In this case, the glow effect will start again once the user has moved focus from the first textbox as required.

Finally, this example demonstrates how we can use a RemoveStoryboard trigger action to remove the storyboard when it is no longer needed, freeing up its resources. The usual way to do this is by utilizing an EventTrigger in the Unloaded event of the relevant control.

While these are the only trigger action elements that control the running state of their associated storyboard elements, there are a further three actions that can control other aspects of, or set other properties of the storyboard.

The SetStoryboardSpeedRatio trigger action can set the SpeedRatio of the associated storyboard. We specify the desired ratio in its SpeedRatio property and this value will be applied when the action's related trigger condition is met. Note that this element can only work on a storyboard that has already been started, although it can work at any time after this point.

The SkipStoryboardToFill trigger action will move the current position of a storyboard to its fill period, if it has one. Remember that the FillBehavior property determines what should happen during the fill period. If the storyboard has child timelines, then their positions will also be forwarded to their fill periods at this point.

Last, but not least, there is a SeekStoryboard trigger action, which enables us to move the current position of storyboard to a location, relative to the position specified by the Origin property, which has a begin time of zero seconds by default. When declaring the SeekStoryboard action, we specify the desired seek position in the Offset property and optionally set the Origin property.

The Offset property is of type TimeSpan and we can use the time notation highlighted earlier to specify its value in XAML. The Origin property is of type TimeSeekOrigin and we can specify one of two values.

The first is the default value of BeginTime, which places the origin at the start of the timeline, while the second is Duration, which places it at the end of a single iteration of the timeline's natural duration. Note that the various speed ratio values are not taken into consideration when seeking through a timeline's duration.

That completes our look at the range of trigger actions that we can use to control our storyboards. Each of these trigger actions have corresponding methods in the Storyboard class that they call when their related trigger conditions are met.

Easing functions

When declaring animations with WPF, we are able to utilize a powerful capability that helps us to define more specialized animations. While we normally provide a start and end value for our animations and let WPF interpolate the intermediate values, there is a way that we can affect this interpolation process.

There are a number of mathematical functions that provide complex animation paths and are known as easing functions. For example, these can accurately replicate the movement of a spring, or the bounce of a ball.

We can simply declare the appropriate easing function within the EasingFunction property of the animation. Each easing function extends the EasingFunctionBase class and has its own specific properties. For example, the BounceEase element provides Bounces and Bounciness properties, while the ElasticEase class declare the Oscillations and Springiness properties.

All easing functions inherit the EasingMode property from the base class. This property is of the enumeration type EasingMode and gives us three options. The EaseIn option follows the normal mathematical formula associated with each easing function. The EaseOut option uses the inverse of the mathematical formula.

The EaseInOut option uses the standard formula for the first half and the inverse formula for the second half. While not strictly true, this can be somewhat thought of as EaseIn affects the start of the animation, EaseOut affects the end of the animation, and EaseInOut affects both the start and the end of the animation. Let's see an example of a bouncing ball animation to demonstrate this ability:

```
<Canvas>
  <Ellipse Width="50" Height="50" Fill="Orange" Stroke="Black"</pre>
    StrokeThickness="3">
    <Ellipse.Triggers>
      <EventTrigger RoutedEvent="Loaded">
        <BeginStoryboard>
          <Storyboard RepeatBehavior="Forever">
            <Storyboard Storyboard.TargetProperty="(Canvas.Top)">
              <DoubleAnimation Duration="00:00:3" From="0" To="200">
                <DoubleAnimation.EasingFunction>
                  <BounceEase EasingMode="EaseOut" Bounces="10"
                    Bounciness="1.5" />
                </DoubleAnimation.EasingFunction>
              </DoubleAnimation>
            </Storyboard>
            <Storyboard Storyboard.TargetProperty="(Canvas.Left)">
              <DoubleAnimation Duration="00:00:3.5" From="0" To="200"</pre>
                DecelerationRatio="0.2" />
            </Storyboard>
          </Storyboard>
        </BeginStoryboard>
      </EventTrigger>
    </Ellipse.Triggers>
  </Ellipse>
  <Line Canvas.Top="250" Canvas.Left="25" X1="0" Y1="1.5" X2="225" Y2="1.5"
    Stroke="Black" StrokeThickness="3" />
</Canvas>
```

Here, we have a Canvas panel that contains two shapes: an ellipse and a line. The line is simply to give the impression of the ground. The Ellipse element defines some basic appearance properties and then an EventTrigger element that starts our eased animation when the shape object is loaded. We have an outer Storyboard element that is set to repeat forever and contains two inner storyboards.

The first of these inner storyboards targets the Canvas. Top Attached Property using the Storyboard.TargetProperty, while the second targets its Canvas.Left Attached Property. Note that we do not need to specify the Storyboard.Target property value here, as the storyboard resides within the target element, which will be implicitly set as the target for us. Also, remember that we need to wrap the Attached Property name with its class name in brackets for this to work.

The first storyboard is responsible for the vertical movement of our ball and so this is the animation that we want to use the BounceEase function with. In order to utilize this functionality, we simply declare the BounceEase object within the DoubleAnimation.EasingFunction property and set the desired property values.

The Bounces property determines how many times the ball should bounce, or rebound off the lower extent of the animation. Note that this does not include the final half-bounce that this easing function will perform. The Bounciness property specifies how bouncy the ball is. Strangely, the higher this value is, the less bouncy the ball will be. Also note that this value must be positive.

As physics determines that the horizontal velocity of the ball should remain constant for the most part, we do not need to apply an easing function to the second animation. Instead, we have added a small value for its DecelerationRatio property, which nicely simulates the sideways friction on the ball.

As can be seen, it is very easy to take advantage of these mathematical formulae to greatly increase the movement of our animations. While there is not enough space in this book for us to cover all of these easing functions, it is well worth investigating them yourselves. Let's take a look at another example, to see how we can simulate the movement of a spring using the ElasticEase class:

```
<
```

In this example, we have a thin Rectangle element that simulates the movement of a coiled spring using an ElasticEase function. The Oscillations property specifies the number of times that the rectangle will grow and shrink over the lifetime of the animation effect and the Springiness property determines the stiffness of the spring, where larger values equal more springiness.

While the two demonstrated easing functions are rather specialized and unsuitable to use in many cases, the vast majority of the remaining functions are all variations on standard circular, or exponential curves, or curves that use the formula $f(t) = t^n$, where *n* is either determined by the exact easing function used, or by the Power property of the PowerEase function.

For example, the QuadraticEase function uses the formula $f(t) = t^2$, the CubicEase function uses the formula $f(t) = t^3$, the QuarticEase function uses the formula $f(t) = t^4$, the QuinticEase function uses the formula $f(t) = t^5$, while the PowerEase function uses the formula $f(t) = t^n$, where *n* is determined by its Power property.

Apart from these variations of the standard acceleration/deceleration curve, there is one final useful easing function named BackEase. This has the effect of overshooting its starting or ending From or To values, dependent upon the value of the EasingMode property, and then reversing back to it. This is one of the more usable easing functions, so let's see an example of a TextBox element sliding on screen:

```
<Canvas ClipToBounds="True">

<TextBox Canvas.Top="50" Canvas.Left="-150" Width="150" Height="25">

<TextBox.Triggers>

<EventTrigger RoutedEvent="Loaded">

<BeginStoryboard>

<Storyboard Storyboard.TargetProperty="(Canvas.Left)"

Duration="00:00:2" RepeatBehavior="Forever">

<DoubleAnimation Duration="00:00:1" From="-150" To="50">

<DoubleAnimation Duration="00:00:1" From="-150" To="50">

<BackEase EasingMode="EaseOut" Amplitude="0.75" />
```

```
</DoubleAnimation.EasingFunction>
</DoubleAnimation>
</Storyboard>
</BeginStoryboard>
</EventTrigger>
</TextBox.Triggers>
</Canvas>
```

In this example, we start with a Canvas object that has its ClipToBounds property set to true. This ensures that elements that are outside the bounds of the canvas will not be visible. Inside the canvas, we have declared a TextBox control that is initially placed totally outside the bounds of the canvas and so it will be invisible.

When the control is loaded, the EventTrigger element will start the animation that targets the Canvas.Left Attached Property. Note that the duration on the storyboard is one second longer than the duration on the animation and so the storyboard will wait for one second after the animation has completed before restarting. This gives us time to appreciate the effect of the applied easing function.

The animation will slide the textbox to its ending position from its initial off-screen position. By using the BackEase function, the textbox will slightly slide past its ending position and then reverse back into it. The amount past its ending position that it will slide to is determined by the value of its Amplitude property, with higher values extending the overshoot distance.

While we have only discussed using these easing functions with From, By and To animations so far, it is also possible to use them with key-frame animations as well. There are a number of classes that follow the Easing<Type>KeyFrame naming convention, such as the EasingColorKeyFrame class. These classes have an EasingFunction property that enables us to specify which function to use:

```
<TextBlock Text="The operation was successful" Margin="20">

<TextBlock.Triggers>

<EventTrigger RoutedEvent="Loaded">

<BeginStoryboard>

<Storyboard Storyboard.TargetProperty="FontSize">

<DoubleAnimationUsingKeyFrames Duration="00:00:2.5">

<DoubleAnimationUsingKeyFrames Duration="00:00:2.5">

<DoubleAnimationUsingKeyFrames Duration="00:00:2.5">

<DoubleAnimationUsingKeyFrames Duration="00:00:2.5">

<DoubleAnimationUsingKeyFrames Duration="00:00:2.5">

<DoubleAnimationUsingKeyFrames Duration="00:00:2.5">

<CoupleSectedDoubleKeyFrame KeyTime="0:0:0" Value="8" />

<EasingDoubleKeyFrame KeyTime="0:0:1" Value="36">

<EasingDoubleKeyFrame EasingFunction>

</EasingDoubleKeyFrame.EasingFunction>

</EasingDoubleKeyFrame>
```

```
<EasingDoubleKeyFrame KeyTime="0:0:2" Value="8">
              <EasingDoubleKeyFrame.EasingFunction>
                <ElasticEase EasingMode="EaseIn" Oscillations="2"
                  Springiness="1.5" />
              </EasingDoubleKeyFrame.EasingFunction>
            </EasingDoubleKeyFrame>
            <EasingDoubleKeyFrame KeyTime="0:0:2.5" Value="36">
              <EasingDoubleKeyFrame.EasingFunction>
                <BackEase EasingMode="EaseOut" Amplitude="2" />
              </EasingDoubleKeyFrame.EasingFunction>
            </EasingDoubleKeyFrame>
          </DoubleAnimationUsingKeyFrames>
        </Storyboard>
      </BeginStoryboard>
   </EventTrigger>
 </TextBlock.Triggers>
</TextBlock>
```

In this example, we animate the size of the text in a TextBlock element using a number of key-frames. This creates the kind of transition effect that we might see on lines of text in Microsoft PowerPoint presentations and could be suitable to use in an application that presents textual information to the user.

We start by targeting the FontSize property and specifying a total duration of two and a half seconds. Our first key-frame simply sets our starting font size at zero seconds and so we can use a DiscreteDoubleKeyFrame for that. The second key-frame is an EasingDoubleKeyFrame element with a BounceEase easing function and a duration, or key time, of one second.

Following that, we have another EasingDoubleKeyFrame element that lasts for one second, but this one uses an ElasticEase function. Finally, we finish with one further EasingDoubleKeyFrame element with a BackEase easing function and a duration of half a second. Note that we have used small values for the Bounces and Oscillations properties, to keep the animation more usable.

Using these easing functions with key-frames enable us to chain any number of them together to create more complicated animated effects. However, it is easy to go overboard and create effects that are too much, as can be seen by increasing the values set for the Bounces and Oscillations properties in this example. In reality, even the modest values used here could be considered to be too much for practical use.

Animating along a path

There is one further method of animating property values in WPF. Using PathFigure and PathSegment objects, we can construct a PathGeometry object and then animate a property value according to the X, Y and/or rotation angle values of the path.

As this method is primarily used for animating objects along a complex path and therefore not aimed at typical business applications, we will cover only the basics of this functionality here. As with the other kinds of animation classes, there are different path animation types that manipulate different CLR types. Path animation classes follow the naming convention <Type>AnimationUsingPath.

Each <Type>AnimationUsingPath class has a PathGeometry property that we can use to specify a path to animate along, using an object of type PathGeometry. In order to take advantage of the ability to animate the path X and Y values in addition to the rotation angle, we need to use a MatrixTransform element. Let's see an example of this:

```
<TextBlock Margin="100,125" Text="Hello World" FontSize="18">
  <TextBlock.RenderTransform>
    <MatrixTransform x:Name="MatrixTransform">
      <MatrixTransform.Matrix>
        <Matrix />
      </MatrixTransform.Matrix>
    </MatrixTransform>
  </TextBlock.RenderTransform>
  <TextBlock.Triggers>
    <EventTrigger RoutedEvent="TextBlock.Loaded">
      <BeginStoryboard>
        <Storyboard>
          <MatrixAnimationUsingPath
            Storyboard.TargetName="MatrixTransform"
            Storyboard.TargetProperty="Matrix" Duration="0:0:4"
            RepeatBehavior="Forever" DoesRotateWithTangent="True">
            <MatrixAnimationUsingPath.PathGeometry>
              <PathGeometry>
                <PathFigure StartPoint="49.99,49.99">
                  <ArcSegment Point="50,50" Size="50,50"</pre>
                    SweepDirection="Clockwise" IsLargeArc="True" />
                </PathFigure>
              </PathGeometry>
            </MatrixAnimationUsingPath.PathGeometry>
          </MatrixAnimationUsingPath>
        </Storyboard>
      </BeginStoryboard>
    </EventTrigger>
  </TextBlock.Triggers>
```

</TextBlock>

In this example, we animate a TextBlock element around a circular path using a MatrixAnimationUsingPath element. The circular path is defined by a single ArcSegment element within a single PathFigure element. We set the PathFigure.StartPoint property value to almost match the ArcSegment.Point value so that the two ends of the ellipse meet.

In order to animate the rotation of the text element from the MatrixAnimationUsingPath element, we need to set its DoesRotateWithTangent property to true. If this property was set to false, or simply omitted, then the text element would still be animated in a circular motion, but it would no longer rotate in line with the tangent of the circular path, instead remaining upright.

In addition to the MatrixAnimationUsingPath class, we can also use either of the DoubleAnimationUsingPath or PointAnimationUsingPath classes to animate objects on a path. However, rather than providing examples for these alternative methods, let's now move on to find out how we can include every day animations in our application framework.

Creating everyday animations

After covering the wide range of animations that WPF provides, we can see that many of them were designed to enable us to perform animations that emulate real-world situations, rather than to animate form fields in a standard business application. As such, some of the techniques discussed in this chapter are inappropriate for use in our application framework.

However, this does not mean that we cannot create animations to use in our everyday applications. As long as we remember that less is more when it comes to animations in business applications, we can certainly build simple animations into our application framework. One of the best ways to encapsulate these basic animations in our framework is to write one or more custom-animated panels. Let's look at a simple example of an animated StackPanel:

```
using System;
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Controls;
using System.Windows.Media;
using System.Windows.Media.Animation;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Panels
```

```
{
 public class AnimatedStackPanel : Panel
  {
   public static DependencyProperty OrientationProperty =
      DependencyProperty.Register (nameof (Orientation),
      typeof(Orientation), typeof(AnimatedStackPanel),
      new PropertyMetadata(Orientation.Vertical));
   public Orientation Orientation
    {
     get { return (Orientation)GetValue(OrientationProperty); }
      set { SetValue(OrientationProperty, value); }
    }
   protected override Size MeasureOverride (Size availableSize)
    {
      double x = 0, y = 0;
      foreach (UIElement child in Children)
      {
        child.Measure(availableSize);
        if (Orientation == Orientation.Horizontal)
        {
          x += child.DesiredSize.Width;
          y = Math.Max(y, child.DesiredSize.Height);
        }
        else
        {
          x = Math.Max(x, child.DesiredSize.Width);
          y += child.DesiredSize.Height;
        }
      }
      return new Size(x, y);
    ļ
   protected override Size ArrangeOverride (Size finalSize)
      Point endPosition = new Point();
      foreach (UIElement child in Children)
      {
        if (Orientation == Orientation.Horizontal)
        {
          child.Arrange(new Rect(-child.DesiredSize.Width, 0,
            child.DesiredSize.Width, finalSize.Height));
          endPosition.X += child.DesiredSize.Width;
        }
        else
        {
          child.Arrange(new Rect(0, -child.DesiredSize.Height,
```

}

```
finalSize.Width, child.DesiredSize.Height));
        endPosition.Y += child.DesiredSize.Height;
      }
     AnimatePosition(child, endPosition,
        TimeSpan.FromMilliseconds(300));
    }
   return finalSize;
  }
 private void AnimatePosition(UIElement child, Point endPosition,
   TimeSpan animationDuration)
  {
   if (Orientation == Orientation. Vertical)
     GetTranslateTransform(child).BeginAnimation(
     TranslateTransform.YProperty,
     new DoubleAnimation(endPosition.Y, animationDuration));
   else GetTranslateTransform(child).BeginAnimation(
     TranslateTransform.XProperty,
     new DoubleAnimation(endPosition.X, animationDuration));
  }
 private TranslateTransform GetTranslateTransform(UIElement child)
   return child.RenderTransform as TranslateTransform ??
     AddTranslateTransform(child);
  }
 private TranslateTransform AddTranslateTransform(UIElement child)
 {
   TranslateTransform translateTransform = new TranslateTransform();
   child.RenderTransform = translateTransform;
   return translateTransform;
}
```

As with all custom panels, we just need to provide the implementation for the MeasureOverride and ArrangeOverride methods. However, in our case, we want to recreate the functionality of the original StackPanel control and so we have also declared an Orientation Dependency Property of type System.Windows.Controls.Orientation, with a default value of Vertical.

In the MeasureOverride method, we iterate through each of the panel's children, calling their Measure method, passing in the availableSize input parameter. Note that this sets their DesiredSize property, which will be set to a size of 0, 0 until this point.

After calling the Measure method on each child, we are able to use their DesiredSize property values to calculate the total size required to properly display the rendered items, depending on the value of the Orientation property.

If the Orientation property is set to Vertical, we use the Math.Max method to ensure that we keep account of the size of the widest element and if it is set to Horizontal, then we use it to find the height of the tallest element. Once each child has been measured and the overall required size of the panel has been calculated, we return this size value from the MeasureOverride method.

In the ArrangeOverride method, we again iterate through the collection of children, but this time we call the Arrange method on each child, positioning each just outside the bounds of the panel, which will be the starting point of their animations.

If the Orientation property is set to Horizontal, we position the children one child's width to the left of the origin point and set their height to the height of the panel. If the Orientation property is set to Vertical, we position them one child's height above the origin point and set their width to the width of the panel.

This has the effect of stretching each item across the height or width of the panel, depending upon the value of the Orientation property, as neatly aligned items with uniform dimensions look tidier and more professional than items with uneven edges. In this way, we can build these kinds of decisions right into our framework controls.

Next, we calculate the desired end position of each child after animation with the endPosition variable and then call the AnimatePosition method, passing in the child, the end position and the duration of the animation. We end the method by returning the unchanged finalSize input parameter.

In the AnimatePosition method, we call the GetTranslateTransform method to get the TranslateTransform object that we will use to move each child across the panel. If the Orientation property is set to Vertical, we animate the TranslateTransform.YProperty property to the value of the endPosition.Y property, otherwise we animate the TranslateTransform.XProperty property to the value of the endPosition.X property.

In order to animate these property values, we use the <code>BeginAnimation</code> method on the <code>UIElement</code> object with the property to be added. There are two overloads of this method, but we are using one that accepts the key of the Dependency Property to animate and the animation object. The other overload enables us to specify the <code>HandoffBehavior</code> to use with the animation.

For our animation, we are using a DoubleAnimation, with a constructor that accepts the To value and the duration of the animation, although there are several other overloads that we could have used, had we needed to specify further properties, such as the From and FillBehavior values.

In order to animate the movement of the items in the panel, we need to ensure that they have a TranslateTransform element applied to the RenderTransform property of the container item of each child. Remember that different ItemsControl classes will use different container items, for example, a ListBox control will use ListBoxItem container elements.

Therefore, if an item does not already have a TranslateTransform element applied, we must add one. Once each element has a TranslateTransform element, we can use its X and Y properties to move the item.

In the GetTranslateTransform method, we simply return the existing TranslateTransform element from the RenderTransform property of each child if one exists, or call the AddTranslateTransform method to return a new one otherwise. In the AddTranslateTransform method, we just initialize a new TranslateTransform element and set it to the RenderTransform property of the child input parameter, before returning it.

We've now created a basic animated panel and with just around seventy lines of code. The developers that use our application framework can now animate the entry of items in any ItemsControl, or any of its derived collection controls, by simply specifying it in a ItemsPanelTemplate as the ItemsPanel value:

```
xmlns:Panels="clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Panels"
...
<ListBox ItemsSource="{Binding Users}">
        <ListBox.ItemsPanel>
        <ItemsPanelTemplate>
        <Panels:AnimatedStackPanel />
        </ItemsPanelTemplate>
        </ListBox.ItemsPanel>
        </ListBox.ItemsPanel><//ListBox.ItemsPanel><//ListBox/</pre>
```

However, our panel currently only provides one type of animation, albeit in two possible directions, and only works as new items are added. Animating objects' exit is somewhat trickier, because they are normally removed immediately from the panel's Children collection when the Remove method is called on the data bound collection.

In order to accomplish working exit animations, we'll need to implement a number of things. We'll need to update our data Model classes to provide them with new properties to identify which stage of the animation that they're currently in and new events to raise when the current status changes.

We'll need an IAnimatable interface and an Animatable class that provides the implementation for each data Model. Let's first see the interface:

```
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Interfaces
{
   public interface IAnimatable
   {
     Animatable Animatable { get; set; }
   }
}
```

Note that there is already an Animatable class and an IAnimatable interface defined in the System.Windows.Media.Animation namespace. While it can be unwise to create classes and interfaces with the same names as existing ones, for the limited purposes of this book, we will use these names and be mindful to prevent conflicts.

Now let's move on, to see the implementation of our Animatable class:

```
using System;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Enums;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Interfaces;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels
{
  public class Animatable
  {
    private AdditionStatus additionStatus = AdditionStatus.ReadyToAnimate;
    private RemovalStatus removalStatus = RemovalStatus.None;
    private TransitionStatus transitionStatus = TransitionStatus.None;
    private IAnimatable owner;
    public Animatable(IAnimatable owner)
    {
      Owner = owner;
    }
    public Animatable() { }
    public event EventHandler<EventArgs> OnRemovalStatusChanged;
    public event EventHandler<EventArgs> OnTransitionStatusChanged;
    public IAnimatable Owner
```

}

```
{
    get { return owner; }
    set { owner = value; }
  }
  public AdditionStatus AdditionStatus
  {
    get { return additionStatus; }
    set { additionStatus = value; }
  }
  public TransitionStatus TransitionStatus
    get { return transitionStatus; }
    set
    {
      transitionStatus = value;
      OnTransitionStatusChanged?.Invoke(this, new EventArgs());
    }
  }
  public RemovalStatus RemovalStatus
    get { return removalStatus; }
    set
    {
      removalStatus = value;
      OnRemovalStatusChanged?.Invoke(this, new EventArgs());
    }
  }
}
```

This class needs little explanation, other than to note that the

OnTransitionStatusChanged and OnRemovalStatusChanged events get raised when the values of the TransitionStatus and RemovalStatus properties are changed respectively and that the class passes itself in as the sender input parameter in each case. Let's see the three new enumeration classes that are used in our Animatable class:

```
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Enums
{
    public enum AdditionStatus
    {
        None = -1, ReadyToAnimate = 0, DoNotAnimate = 1, Added = 2
    }
    public enum TransitionStatus
```

```
{
    None = -1, ReadyToAnimate = 0, AnimationComplete = 1
}
public enum RemovalStatus
{
    None = -1, ReadyToAnimate = 0, ReadyToRemove = 1
}
```

We then need to implement this interface in each data Model class that we want to animate:

```
public class User : ... , IAnimatable
{
  private Animatable animatable;
  . . .
  public User(Guid id, string name, int age)
  {
    Animatable = new Animatable(this);
    . . .
  }
  public Animatable Animatable
  {
    get { return animatable; }
    set { animatable = value; }
  }
  . . .
}
```

The next thing that we need to do is to stop the Remove method from actually removing each item when called. We'll need to update our BaseCollection<T> class, or add a new BaseAnimatableCollection<T> class, so that it triggers the animation instead of removing the item directly. Here is a cut down example showing one way that we might do this:

```
using System;
using System.Collections.Generic;
using System.ComponentModel;
using System.Linq;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Enums;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Interfaces;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Collections {
```

```
public class BaseAnimatableCollection<T> : BaseCollection<T>
  where T : class, IAnimatable, INotifyPropertyChanged, new()
{
  private bool isAnimatable = true;
  public BaseAnimatableCollection(IEnumerable<T> collection)
  {
    foreach (T item in collection) Add(item);
  }
  . . .
  public bool IsAnimatable
  {
   get { return isAnimatable; }
   set { isAnimatable = value; }
  }
  public new int Count => IsAnimatable ?
    this.Count(i => i.Animatable.RemovalStatus == RemovalStatus.None) :
    this.Count();
  public new void Add(T item)
  {
    item.Animatable.OnRemovalStatusChanged +=
      Item_OnRemovalStatusChanged;
    item.Animatable.AdditionStatus = AdditionStatus.ReadyToAnimate;
    base.Add(item);
  }
  public new virtual void Add(IEnumerable<T> collection)
  {
    foreach (T item in collection) Add(item);
  }
  public new virtual void Add(params T[] items)
  {
    Add(items as IEnumerable<T>);
  }
  public new void Insert(int index, T item)
  {
    item.Animatable.OnRemovalStatusChanged +=
      Item_OnRemovalStatusChanged;
    item.Animatable.AdditionStatus = AdditionStatus.ReadyToAnimate;
    base.Insert(index, item);
  }
```
}

```
protected override void ClearItems()
  {
    foreach (T item in this) item.Animatable.OnRemovalStatusChanged -=
      Item_OnRemovalStatusChanged;
    base.ClearItems();
  }
 public new bool Remove(T item)
  {
    item.Animatable.RemovalStatus = RemovalStatus.ReadyToAnimate;
   return true;
  }
 public void Item_OnRemovalStatusChanged(object sender, EventArgs e)
    Animatable animatable = (Animatable) sender;
    if (animatable.RemovalStatus == RemovalStatus.ReadyToRemove ||
      (animatable.RemovalStatus == RemovalStatus.ReadyToAnimate &&
      !IsAnimatable))
    {
      base.Remove(animatable.Owner as T);
      animatable.RemovalStatus = RemovalStatus.None;
    }
  }
}
```

Bear in mind that this is a basic example that could be improved in many ways, such as adding checks for null, enabling addition, removal and insertion capabilities that do not trigger animations and adding other useful properties.

In this class, we start by specifying that the generic T type parameter must implement the IAnimatable interface. As with our other base collection classes, we ensure that all added and inserted items call a new Add method that attaches our animation related handlers. We show an example of this in the constructor, but skip the other constructor declarations to save space.

We then declare an IsAnimatable property that we can use to make this collection work without animation. This property is used in the overridden (or new) Count property, to ensure that items that are due to be removed are not included in the count of the collection's children.

In the new Add method, we attach a reference of our Item_OnRemovalStatusChanged handler to the OnRemovalStatusChanged event of the Animatable object of the item being added. We then set the AdditionStatus property of the Animatable object to the ReadyToAnimate member to signal that the object is ready to begin its entrance animation.

As this base collection is extending another base class, we need to remember to call its Add method, passing in the item, so that it can attach its own handler for the item's PropertyChanged event. The other Add overloads enable multiple items to be added to the collection, but both internally call the first Add method. The Insert method does the same as the first Add method.

The ClearItems method iterates through each item in the collection, detaching the reference to the Item_OnRemovalStatusChanged handler from each before calling the ClearItems method of the base class. As it is, this method could be reserved for removing all items from the collection without animation, but it would be easy to call the Remove method with each item to include animations.

The Remove method in this class enables us to animate the exit of each item; it doesn't actually remove the item from the collection, but instead sets the RemovalStatus property of the item's Animatable object to the ReadyToAnimate member to signal that the object is ready to begin its exit animation. It then returns true from the method to signify successful removal of the item.

Finally, we get to the Item_OnRemovalStatusChanged event handler, which is the next major part in enabling exit animations. In it, we cast the sender input parameter to an instance of our Animatable class. Remember that it passes itself as the sender parameter when raising the event.

We then check whether the RemovalStatus property of the Animatable instance is set to the ReadyToRemove member, or both its RemovalStatus property is set to ReadyToAnimate and the collection is not animatable. If either condition is true, we finally call the Remove method of the base class to actually remove the item from the collection and set the RemovalStatus property to None.

In this way, when the collection is set to be not animatable and the Remove method is called, the item is immediately removed and the Animatable object's RemovalStatus property is set to the None member in the Item_OnRemovalStatusChanged handler. If you remember, the OnRemovalStatusChanged event gets raised when the RemovalStatus property value is changed.

However, we're still missing part of this puzzle. *What sets the* Animatable *object's* RemovalStatus *property to the* ReadyToRemove *member to remove each item?* We will need to update our animated panel to accomplish this task, and to do this, it will need to maintain a collection of the elements that need to be removed and signal the collection to remove them once their exit animations complete:

```
private List<UIElement> elementsToBeRemoved = new List<UIElement>();
```

We can use the Storyboard.Completed event to notify us when the animation is complete and then signal to remove the item at that point, by setting the Animatable object's RemovalStatus property to the ReadyToRemove member. Let's take a look at the required changes to our animated panel. First, we need to add the following using declarations:

```
using System.Collections.Generic;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Enums;
using Animatable = CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Animatable;
using IAnimatable =
   CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Interfaces.IAnimatable;
```

Next, we need to replace the call to the AnimatePosition method from the original ArrangeOverride method with the following line:

```
BeginAnimations(child, finalSize, endPosition);
```

We then need to add the following additional methods after the ArrangeOverride method:

```
private void BeginAnimations (UIElement child, Size finalSize,
  Point endPosition)
{
  FrameworkElement frameworkChild = (FrameworkElement)child;
  if (frameworkChild.DataContext is IAnimatable)
  £
    Animatable animatable =
      ((IAnimatable)frameworkChild.DataContext).Animatable;
    animatable.OnRemovalStatusChanged -= Item_OnRemovalStatusChanged;
    animatable.OnRemovalStatusChanged += Item_OnRemovalStatusChanged;
    if (animatable.AdditionStatus == AdditionStatus.DoNotAnimate)
    ł
      child.Arrange(new Rect(endPosition.X, endPosition.Y,
        frameworkChild.ActualWidth, frameworkChild.ActualHeight));
    }
    else if (animatable.AdditionStatus == AdditionStatus.ReadyToAnimate)
    Ł
      AnimateEntry(child, endPosition);
```

```
animatable.AdditionStatus = AdditionStatus.Added;
      animatable.TransitionStatus = TransitionStatus.ReadyToAnimate;
    }
    else if (animatable.RemovalStatus == RemovalStatus.ReadyToAnimate)
      AnimateExit(child, endPosition, finalSize);
    else if (animatable.TransitionStatus ==
      TransitionStatus.ReadyToAnimate)
      AnimateTransition(child, endPosition);
  }
l
private void Item_OnRemovalStatusChanged(object sender, EventArgs e)
  if (((Animatable)sender).RemovalStatus == RemovalStatus.ReadyToAnimate)
    InvalidateArrange();
}
private void AnimateEntry (UIElement child, Point endPosition)
{
 AnimatePosition(child, endPosition, TimeSpan.FromMilliseconds(300));
ļ
private void AnimateTransition(UIElement child, Point endPosition)
{
  AnimatePosition(child, endPosition, TimeSpan.FromMilliseconds(300));
ļ
private void AnimateExit (UIElement child, Point startPosition,
  Size finalSize)
{
  SetZIndex(child, 100);
  Point endPosition =
    new Point(startPosition.X + finalSize.Width, startPosition.Y);
  AnimatePosition(child, startPosition, endPosition,
    TimeSpan.FromMilliseconds(300), RemovalAnimation Completed);
  elementsToBeRemoved.Add(child);
}
private void AnimatePosition (UIElement child, Point startPosition,
 Point endPosition, TimeSpan animationDuration,
  EventHandler animationCompletedHandler)
{
  if (startPosition.X != endPosition.X)
    DoubleAnimation xAnimation = new DoubleAnimation(startPosition.X,
      endPosition.X, animationDuration);
    xAnimation.AccelerationRatio = 1.0;
    if (animationCompletedHandler != null)
```

```
xAnimation.Completed += animationCompletedHandler;
    GetTranslateTransform(child).BeginAnimation(
      TranslateTransform.XProperty, xAnimation);
  }
  if (startPosition.Y != endPosition.Y)
  {
    DoubleAnimation yAnimation = new DoubleAnimation(startPosition.Y,
      endPosition.Y, animationDuration);
    yAnimation.AccelerationRatio = 1.0;
    if (startPosition.X == endPosition.X && animationCompletedHandler !=
      null) yAnimation.Completed += animationCompletedHandler;
    GetTranslateTransform(child).BeginAnimation(
      TranslateTransform.YProperty, yAnimation);
  }
}
private void RemovalAnimation_Completed(object sender, EventArgs e)
  for (int index = elementsToBeRemoved.Count - 1; index >= 0; index--)
  {
    FrameworkElement frameworkElement =
      elementsToBeRemoved[index] as FrameworkElement;
    if (frameworkElement.DataContext is IAnimatable)
    {
      ((IAnimatable)frameworkElement.DataContext).Animatable.RemovalStatus
        = RemovalStatus.ReadyToRemove;
      elementsToBeRemoved.Remove(frameworkElement);
    }
  }
}
```

Let's examine this new code. First, we have the BeginAnimations method, in which we cast the container control to a FrameworkElement, so that we can access its DataContext property. Our data object is accessed from this property and we cast it to an IAnimatable instance, so that we can access the Animatable object via its Animatable property.

We then remove our Item_OnRemovalStatusChanged event handler from the OnRemovalStatusChanged event before re-attaching it, to ensure that only a single handler is attached, regardless of how many times each child passes through this method.

If the AdditionStatus property is set to DoNotAnimate, we arrange the item at its end position immediately and without animation, while if it is set to ReadyToAnimate, we call the AnimateEntry method and then set the AdditionStatus property to Added. Finally, if the RemovalStatus property is set to ReadyToAnimate, we call the AnimateExit method.

In the Item_OnRemovalStatusChanged event handler, we call the panel's InvalidateArrange method if the RemovalStatus property is set to ReadyToAnimate. This is another essential part of the exit animation strategy and it requests the layout system to call the ArrangeOverride method, thereby triggering the starting of the exit animation(s).

Remember that the OnRemovalStatusChanged event gets raised when the value of the RemovalStatus property is changed. Also recall that the RemovalStatus property is set to the ReadyToAnimate member in the Remove method of the BaseAnimatableCollection<T> class. That raises the event and this event handler starts the animations in response.

The AnimateEntry method simply calls the original, unchanged AnimatePosition method from our first animated panel attempt. The AnimateExit method takes an additional startPosition input parameter, which represents the current position of each item within the panel.

We start by setting the Panel.SetZIndex Attached Property to a value of 100 for each child, to ensure that their animated departure is rendered above, or over the top of, the remaining items. We then calculate the end position of the animation using the start position and the size of the panel.

Next, we call an overload of the AnimatePosition method, passing in our child, start and end positions, animation duration and an event handler as parameters. After the child item's position animation has been started, the child is added to the elementsToBeRemoved collection.

In the AnimatePosition method, we first check that our start and end positions are different, before creating and starting our DoubleAnimation objects. If the X values are different and the event handler input parameter is not null, then we attach it to the Completed event of the xAnimation object before starting its animation.

If the Y values are different and the event handler input parameter is not null and the event handler was not already attached to the xAnimation object, then we attach it to the Completed event of the yAnimation object before starting its animation. Note that we only need to attach one handler to this event, because we only have one object to remove from the collection.

Also note that we set the AccelerationRatio property to 1.0 in this overload, so that the item accelerates off screen. However, in a business application framework, we would want to keep our animation properties in sync and so, we would probably set the AccelerationRatio property to 1.0 on the animation objects in the original AnimatePosition method as well.

The last piece of the puzzle is the RemovalAnimation_Completed event handling method. This method gets called when the exit animation has completed and iterates through the elementsToBeRemoved collection. If any element to remove implements the IAnimatable interface, its Animatable object's RemovalStatus property is set to the ReadyToRemove member.

If you remember, this raises the OnRemovalStatusChanged event, which is handled by the Item_OnRemovalStatusChanged event handler in the BaseAnimatableCollection class. In that method, the Animatable object's RemovalStatus property is checked for the ReadyToRemove member and if found, the owning item is actually removed from the collection.

And so, to summarize; the Remove method of the animation collection is called, but instead of removing the item, it sets a property on it, which raises an event that is handled by the animated panel; the panel then starts the exit animation and when completed, it raises an event that is handled by the collection class and results in the item actually being removed from the collection.

While this animated panel is entirely usable as it is, there are many ways that it could be further improved. One important thing that we could do would be to extract all of the properties and animation code from this class and put them into a base AnimatedPanel class. In this way, we could reuse this class when creating other types of animated panel, such as an AnimatedWrapPanel.

We could then further extend the base class by exposing additional animation properties, so that users of our panel could have more control over the animations that it provides. For example, we could declare <code>VerticalContentAlignment</code> and <code>HorizontalContentAlignment</code> properties to dictate how our panel items should be aligned in the panel.

Additionally, we could add EntryAnimationDirection and ExitAnimationDirection properties to specify which direction to animate our panel items as they are added and removed from the panel. We could also enable different types of animation, such as fading or spinning, by animating the Opacity property, or the Angle property of a RotationTransform element.

Furthermore, we could add EntryAnimationDuration and ExitAnimationDuration properties to specify the length of time that each animation should take, rather than hardcoding values directly into our panel. There really is no limit to what functionality that we can provide with our application framework panels, other than the limitations dictated by the end users' computer hardware.

Summary

In this chapter, we've investigated the variety of animation possibilities that WPF provides us with, primarily focusing on XAML and the more usable options. We've discovered the finer details of timelines and also explored how we can incorporate animation into our application framework, so that its users can easily leverage the power of animations without having to know anything about them.

In the next chapter, we will look at a number of ways that we can improve the overall look and feel of our applications, from providing consistent application styles and icons to examining a number of techniques for creating rich graphics.

8 Creating Visually Appealing User Interfaces

While adding form elements to a View is simple, it takes somewhat more to produce an application that looks visually appealing. Luckily, **Windows Presentation Foundation** (**WPF**) provides us with many features that can help us to achieve this goal, such as gradient brushes, rounded corners, opacity control, layered visuals, and animations.

In this chapter, we'll take a look at a number of ways of using these elements in order to greatly improve the visual aspect of our applications. We'll investigate solutions that are simple to implement, using style properties, and other solutions that will take more work, such as animations and custom controls.

Styling applications consistently

One of the easiest ways to make our applications stand out is to make them look unique. This can be achieved by defining custom styles for the controls that we use in it. However, if we decide to style our controls, it is essential that we style all of the controls that we use, as a half styled application can often look worse than an application that merely uses the default styles.

It is therefore absolutely essential that we design our application control styles consistently, in order to attain a professional look for our application. In this section, we'll discuss a number of tips and tricks to help us to implement these application styles.

Overriding default control styles

When providing custom styles for our application controls, this typically requires us to define a new ControlTemplate element for each of them. As these can often be very large, it is customary to declare them in a separate resource file and merge it with the application resources in the App.xaml file, as shown in Chapter 5, Using the Right Controls for the Job.

Before starting this task, we need to plan how we want our controls to look and then apply this same look to each control. Another mistake would be to customize different controls with different styles, as consistency is key to providing a professional look. For example, if we want our single-line textboxes to be a certain height, then we should also define our other controls to be the same height.

The custom styles that we declare for our controls can be part of our application framework. If we define them without naming them via the x:Key directive, they will be implicitly applied and so, the developers that utilize our application framework need not concern themselves with the look of each control, effectively freeing them up to concentrate on aggregating them into the various Views.

The first thing to do before starting to design our custom styles is to define a small range of colors that we will use in our application. Using too many colors in an application can make it look less professional, so we should choose a few shades of a small number of colors to use. There are a number of online tools that can help us to pick a color palette to use.

Once we have chosen our application colors, we should declare them, first, as Color objects in the App.xaml file, and then declare brush elements that use them, as most controls use brushes rather than colors. This has two benefits; using only these colors will promote consistency and if we ever need to change a color, we only need to change it in a single place:

```
<Color x:Key="ReadOnlyColor">#FF585858</Color>
...
<SolidColorBrush x:Key="ReadOnlyBrush"
Color="{StaticResource ReadOnlyColor}" />
```

It is often a good idea to also define multiple named styles for the most common types of controls. For example, having a Label style for TextBlock elements, that right aligns them and adds suitable margins, or a Heading style that sets a larger font size and heavier font weight. Providing the developers with a set of predefined styles helps to make the application look consistent.

When defining multiple named styles, it is common to reuse some of them in others. For example, if we have a default style for the TextBox control, we can base other style variations on it. Let's see some XAML examples:

```
<Style x:Key="TextBoxStyle" TargetType="{x:Type TextBox}">
  <Setter Property="SnapsToDevicePixels" Value="True" />
 <Setter Property="Margin" Value="0,0,0,5" />
 <Setter Property="Padding" Value="1.5,2" />
 <Setter Property="MinHeight" Value="25" />
 <Setter Property="TextWrapping" Value="Wrap" />
  . . .
</Style>
<Style x:Key="Max2LineTextBoxStyle" TargetType="{x:Type TextBox}"
 BasedOn="{StaticResource TextBoxStyle}">
 <Setter Property="MaxHeight" Value="44" />
 <Setter Property="VerticalScrollBarVisibility" Value="Auto" />
 <Setter Property="ToolTip"
   Value="{Binding Text, RelativeSource={RelativeSource Self}}" />
</Style>
<Style x:Key="Max3LineTextBoxStyle" TargetType="{x:Type TextBox}"
 BasedOn="{StaticResource Max2LineTextBoxStyle}">
 <Setter Property="MaxHeight" Value="64" />
</Style>
<Style x:Key="ReadOnlyTextBoxStyle" TargetType="{x:Type TextBox}"
 BasedOn="{StaticResource TextBoxStyle}">
 <Setter Property="Background" Value="{StaticResource ReadOnlyBrush}" />
 <Setter Property="IsReadOnly" Value="True" />
 <Setter Property="Cursor" Value="Arrow" />
</Style>
```

Here, the simplified TextBoxStyle style defines the majority of the properties for all TextBox controls. The Max2LineTextBoxStyle style inherits all of the property settings from this style and sets a few more that ensure that the vertical scrollbar can appear when required and enforce a maximum height for the control.

The Max3LineTextBoxStyle style extends the Max2LineTextBoxStyle style and so, inherits all of its property settings, as well as those of the TextBoxStyle style. It overrides the MaxHeight property that was set in the previous style. The ReadOnlyTextBoxStyle style also extends the TextBoxStyle style and sets properties to ensure that the control is read-only. Defining styles in this way ensures that controls in each View will remain consistent.

As well as defining default styles for our application controls, it is often also a good idea to provide default data template resources for each data Model in the application. In a similar way to the controls, predefining these data templates can result in improved consistency. We can also define a number of named templates to override the default ones with and use in different scenarios.

If there are a large number of data models in an application, it can be helpful to also declare their data templates in a separate resource file and merge it with the application resources in the App.xaml file, like to the default control templates. It is therefore not unusual to see multiple resource files being merged in the application resources file.

Using professional icons

One thing that can often be underestimated when developing applications is the overall impact that a consistent set of decent icons can have. Using mis-matched icons that have been sourced from a number of different places can really make an otherwise professional looking application look far less professional.

If you or your company cannot afford to or will not for any other reason buy a set of custom icons, all is not lost. Visual Studio has long since offered sets of professional icons in a number of different formats, that we can utilize in our applications free of charge. These are the actual icons that are used in Visual Studio, Office and other Microsoft applications, so many users will already be familiar with them.

In older versions of Visual Studio, such as the 2010, or even 2008 versions, the provided image libraries were installed with the application and could be found at one of the following paths:

- C:\Program Files\Microsoft Visual Studio 9.0\Common7\VS2008ImageLibrary\1033
- C:\Program Files\Microsoft Visual Studio 10.0\Common7\VS2010ImageLibrary\1033

Note that on a 64 bit machine, this path would change to the following:

• C:\Program Files (x86)\Microsoft Visual Studio 10.0\Common7\VS2010ImageLibrary\1033

However, Microsoft changed how the image libraries could be accessed in newer versions of Visual Studio, from the 2012 version onwards. In these later versions, the image libraries were no longer included in the installation of Visual Studio. Instead, we have to search for Visual Studio Image Library and manually download them from the **Microsoft** website.

The newer icon sets also contain searchable Adobe Reader files that list the contents of the icon sets and provide links to the relevant folders of each of the icons. Most of the icons are also included in multiple sizes and so the newer libraries are much larger than the previous ones.

A few examples of the 2010 icons can be seen in the following image:



The following image shows the same icons, but in the flat style introduced in 2015:



The following image shows how the flat style icons changed in 2017, for comparison:



Note that no image library was made available with Visual Studio 2019, so maybe this is a sign of things to come. However, the current collections of icons will cover most purposes.

Layering visuals

So far, we've just looked at simple redefinitions of the standard controls, by altering shapes, sizes, borders, and other common properties. However, we can do much more than that with WPF. Before continuing with this section, it is important to know that the more visuals each control is comprised of, the longer it will take to render them and so, this can negatively affect performance.

As such, it's important not to overdo the visual aspect of our controls if our application will be run on slow, old computers. Conversely, if we know that our end users will have plenty of RAM and/or graphics cards, then we can go the extra distance and develop visually stunning controls. Let's take a look at some techniques that we can use to improve the look of our controls.

Throwing shadows

One of the easiest ways to make our UI elements pop out of the screen is to add a shadow to them. Each control has an Effect property that is inherited from the UIElement class. We can set an object of type DropShadowEffect to this property to add a shadow to our controls.

However, we must be conservative with the settings that we use on the DropShadowEffect element because this effect can be easily overdone. We also do not want to apply this effect to every control, as that would spoil the overall effect. It is most useful when setting on a panel that contains other controls, or on a border that surrounds such a panel. Let's see a simple example of applying this effect:

```
<Button Content="Click Me" Width="140" Height="34" FontSize="18">

<Button.Effect>

<DropShadowEffect Color="Black" ShadowDepth="6" BlurRadius="6"

Direction="270" Opacity="0.5" />

</Button.Effect>

</Button>
```

Let's see what the output of this code looks like:



In this example, we have a standard button with a DropShadowEffect element that is set as its Effect property. As we'll see later in in this chapter, the DropShadowEffect class has a number of uses, but its primary use is to create shadow effects.

When using this element for shadow effects, we generally want to set its Color property to black and its Opacity property to a value that is at least semi-transparent for best, or most realistic, results. The ShadowDepth property dictates how far from the element the shadow should fall. Along with the BlurRadius property, this property is used to add a sense of height to the element.

The BlurRadius property spreads out the shadow area while also making it less dense. Like the ShadowDepth property, this property has a default value of five. The Direction property specifies which direction the shadow should fall in, with a value of zero degrees making the shadow fall to the right and increasing values moving the shadow angle anticlockwise.

Note that a value of 270 makes the shadow fall directly below the applied control and is often most suitable for use in business applications. Using this angle results in what appears to be an element that is hovering slightly above, or in front of, the screen, with a light source coming from above, which is the most natural direction for light to come from.

In contrast to this, an angle of 45 degrees for example, would place the shadow to the top right of the element and this would have the effect of telling the brain that there is a light source to the bottom left. However, this particular effect is unnatural looking and can detract from, rather than add to the styling of an application.

Declaring multiple borders

Another simple technique that we can use to make our controls stand out is to declare multiple Border elements for each control. By declaring one or more borders within an outer border, we can give our controls that professional look. We'll see how we can animate these borders differently when the user's mouse cursor is over the button later, but for now, let's see how we can create this effect:

```
<Grid Width="160" Height="68">

<Grid.Background>

<LinearGradientBrush StartPoint="0,0" EndPoint="1,1">

<GradientStop Color="Red" />

<GradientStop Color="Yellow" Offset="1" />

</LinearGradientBrush>

</Grid.Background>

<Button Content="Click Me" Width="120" Height="28" FontSize="14"
```

[364] -

```
Margin="20">
   <Button.Template>
     <ControlTemplate TargetType="{x:Type Button}">
        <Border BorderBrush="Black" BorderThickness="1"
         Background="#7FFFFFFF Padding="1" CornerRadius="5"
         SnapsToDevicePixels="True">
          <Border BorderBrush="#7F000000" BorderThickness="1"
            Background="White" CornerRadius="3.5"
            SnapsToDevicePixels="True">
            <ContentPresenter HorizontalAlignment="Center"
              VerticalAlignment="Center" />
          </Border>
       </Border>
      </ControlTemplate>
   </Button.Template>
 </Button>
</Grid>
```

In this example, we have declared a simple <code>ControlTemplate</code> element for our <code>Button</code> control to demonstrate the double border technique. Note that we would typically declare this template in the <code>Application.Resources</code> section of the <code>App.xaml</code> file, so that it could be reused, but we have declared it locally to save space here.

Note that we need to adjust the corner radius of the inner border to accurately fit within the outer border. If we had used the same size for both, they would not have correctly fit together. Also, we have set the SnapsToDevicePixels property to true on the two borders to ensure that they are not blurred by anti-aliasing artefacts.

One further point to note is that we have used #7FFFFFFF as the value for the background of the outer border and the border brush of the inner border. The alpha channel in this value is set to 7F, which equates to an opacity value of 0.5. This means that these elements will be partly transparent and so the colors from the background will partly show through the border edges.

We added our button into a Grid panel and set a LinearGradientBrush object as its background to demonstrate this semi-transparent effect. When rendered, our background gradient and button will look like the following image:



Reusing composite visuals

The next technique involves defining a particular motif that will be rendered in the background of our controls. This could be all or part of a company logo, a particular shape, or even just a simple, well-placed curve. This will form the bottom most level of our control visuals and can have additional levels of visuals on top. Let's take a look at one way in which we could implement such a design, starting with defining some resources:

```
<RadialGradientBrush x:Key="LayeredButtonBackgroundBrush" RadiusX="1.85"
 RadiusY="0.796" Center="1.018,-0.115" GradientOrigin="0.65,- 0.139">
 <GradientStop Color="#FFCACACD" />
  <GradientStop Color="#FF3B3D42" Offset="1" />
</RadialGradientBrush>
<LinearGradientBrush x:Key="LayeredButtonCurveBrush" StartPoint="0,0"</pre>
 EndPoint="1,1">
 <GradientStop Color="#FF747475" Offset="0" />
  <GradientStop Color="#FF3B3D42" Offset="1" />
</LinearGradientBrush>
<Grid x:Key="LayeredButtonBackgroundElements">
  <Rectangle Fill="{StaticResource LayeredButtonBackgroundBrush}" />
  <Path StrokeThickness="0"
   Fill="{StaticResource LayeredButtonCurveBrush}">
    <Path.Data>
      <CombinedGeometry GeometryCombineMode="Intersect">
        <CombinedGeometry.Geometry1>
          <EllipseGeometry Center="-20,50.7" RadiusX="185" RadiusY="46" />
        </CombinedGeometry.Geometry1>
        <CombinedGeometry.Geometry2>
          <RectangleGeometry Rect="0,0,106,24" />
        </CombinedGeometry.Geometry2>
      </CombinedGeometry>
    </Path.Data>
 </Path>
</Grid>
<VisualBrush x:Key="LayeredButtonBackground"
 Visual="{StaticResource LayeredButtonBackgroundElements}" />
```

There are a few elements to this design, so let's take a look at each one individually. We started by declaring a RadialGradientBrush element with the key LayeredButtonBackgroundBrush and a LinearGradientBrush with a key of LayeredButtonCurveBrush.

The RadiusX and RadiusY properties of the RadialGradientBrush element specify the X and Y radii of the outermost ellipse that encompasses the radial gradient, while the Center and GradientOrigin properties dictate the center and focal point of the radial gradient and enable us to position it precisely within our rectangle.

The LinearGradientBrush element has a StartPoint value of 0, 0 and an EndPoint value of 1, 1, which results in a diagonal gradient. With this particular design, the idea is to have a sharp contrast between the two gradients at the center and to somewhat blend them together at the edges.

Next, we declare a Grid panel with the key LayeredButtonBackgroundElements, which contains a Rectangle and a Path element. The rectangle is stretched to fill the panel by default and is painted with the LayeredButtonBackgroundBrush resource. The Path element is painted with the LayeredButtonCurveBrush resource.

The Data property of the Path object is where we define the shape of the path. There are a number of ways that we can specify the path data; however, in this example, we use a CombinedGeometry element with a GeometryCombineMode value of Intersect, which outputs a single shape that represents the intersection of the two specified geometry shapes.

Inside the CombinedGeometry element, we have the Geometry1 and Geometry2 properties, where we combine the two geometry shapes according to the Intersect mode specified by the GeometryCombineMode property.

Our first shape defines the curve in our design and comes from an EllipseGeometry element, using the Center property to position the ellipse and the RadiusX and RadiusY properties to shape it. The second shape is a rectangle that comes from a RectangleGeometry element and is defined by its Rect property.

The intersection of these two shapes is the result of this path and approximately covers the bottom section of our overall shape, up to the curve. The partly obscured rectangle element behind this completes the remainder of the overall shape.

The Visual property of the VisualBrush element with

the LayeredButtonBackground key is set to the LayeredButtonBackgroundElements panel, so any UI element that is painted with this brush will now have this design imprinted on it. Once we have added these resources to the Application.Resources section in the App.xaml file, we can use them through the VisualBrush element, as follows:

```
<Button Background="{StaticResource LayeredButtonBackground}" Width="200"
Height="40" SnapsToDevicePixels="True" />
```

This will render the gradients in the button background, like this:



In this example, we manually specify the reference to the visual brush to paint the Button object's background. However, setting the background in this way would require the developers that use our application framework to do this each time they add a button. A better solution would be to redesign the default button template so that the visual brush is automatically applied to each button. We'll see an example of this later in this chapter when we pull together a number of these techniques.

Reflecting light

Another technique involves adding a semi-opaque layer with a gradient that fades to transparency over the top of our controls to give the appearance of the reflection of a light source. This can easily be achieved using a simple Border element and a LinearGradientBrush instance. Let's look at how we can accomplish this:

```
<Button Content="Click Me" Width="140" Height="34" FontSize="18"
 Foreground="White" Margin="20">
 <Button.Template>
   <ControlTemplate TargetType="{x:Type Button}">
      <Border Background="#FF007767" CornerRadius="5"
        SnapsToDevicePixels="True">
        <Grid>
          <Rectangle RadiusX="4" RadiusY="4" Margin="1,1,1,7"
            SnapsToDevicePixels="True">
            <Rectangle.Fill>
              <LinearGradientBrush StartPoint="0,0" EndPoint="0,1">
                <GradientStop Color="#BFFFFFFF" />
                <GradientStop Color="#00FFFFFF" Offset="0.8" />
              </LinearGradientBrush>
            </Rectangle.Fill>
          </Rectangle>
          <ContentPresenter HorizontalAlignment="Center"
            VerticalAlignment="Center" />
        </Grid>
      </Border>
```

```
</ControlTemplate>
</Button.Template>
</Button>
```

When run, this example will produce a button that looks like this:



Let's examine this example. We start by declaring the Button element with a few style properties. Rather than defining a separate style or control template in a resources section, as we would in a real-world application, we again declare the template inline to save space here.

In the control template, we first declare a Border element with a jade green background and a CornerRadius value of 5. We again set the SnapsToDevicePixels property to true to ensure that the edges remain sharp.

Inside the border, we define two elements within a Grid panel. The first is the Rectangle element that produces the reflection effect and the second is the required ContentPresenter object. The rectangle uses a value of 4 in the RadiusX and RadiusY properties and sets the Margin property appropriately to ensure that there is a tiny gap around the edge of the reflection.

It also sets its SnapsToDevicePixels property to true to ensure that this tiny gap is not blurred. Note that the value for the bottom margin is 7, because we do not want the reflection effect to cover the bottom half of the button. The Fill property is where the reflection effect is actually created.

In the rectangle's Fill property, we define a vertical LinearGradientBrush element by setting both of the X values of the StartPoint and EndPoint properties and the StartPoint.Y property to 0 and the Endpoint.Y property to 1; plotting these points on a graph will produce a vertical line, and so this produces a vertical gradient.

In the GradientStops collection of the LinearGradientBrush object, we have defined two GradientStop elements. The first has an offset of zero and is set to a white color with a hexadecimal alpha channel value of BF, which approximates an opacity value of 0.7. The second has an offset of 0.8 and is set to a white color that has a hexadecimal alpha channel value of 00, which results in a completely transparent color and could be replaced with the Transparent color.

The resulting gradient, therefore, starts slightly transparent at the top and is fully transparent at the bottom, which, with the bottom margin and offset values, is actually around the middle of the button. As with our other examples, the ContentPresenter object is declared afterwards so that it is rendered on top of the reflection effect.

Creating glowing effects

Another effect that we can create for our controls is that of a glowing appearance, as if a light were shining outward from inside the control. We'll need another LinearGradientBrush instance and UI element to paint it on. A Rectangle element suits this role well, as it's very lightweight. We should define these resources in the application resources in the App.xaml file to enable every View to use them:

```
<TransformGroup x:Key="GlowTransformGroup">
 <ScaleTransform CenterX="0.5" CenterY="0.85" ScaleY="1.8" />
 <TranslateTransform Y="0.278" />
</TransformGroup>
<RadialGradientBrush x:Key="GreenGlow" Center="0.5,0.848"
 GradientOrigin="0.5,0.818" RadiusX="-1.424" RadiusY="-0.622"
 RelativeTransform="{StaticResource GlowTransformGroup}">
 <GradientStop Color="#CF65FF00" Offset="0.168" />
 <GradientStop Color="#4B65FF00" Offset="0.478" />
 <GradientStop Color="#0065FF00" Offset="1" />
</RadialGradientBrush>
<Style x:Key="GlowingButtonStyle" TargetType="{x:Type Button}">
  <Setter Property="SnapsToDevicePixels" Value="True" />
 <Setter Property="Template">
    <Setter.Value>
      <ControlTemplate TargetType="{x:Type Button}">
        <Border BorderBrush="White" BorderThickness="1"
          Background="DarkGray" CornerRadius="3">
          <Grid>
            <Rectangle IsHitTestVisible="False" RadiusX="2"
              RadiusY="2" Fill="{StaticResource GreenGlow}" />
            <ContentPresenter Content="{TemplateBinding Content}"
              HorizontalAlignment="Center" VerticalAlignment="Center" />
          </Grid>
```

We start off by declaring a TransformGroup element that enables us to group one or more transform objects together. Inside it, we define a ScaleTransform element that scales applied elements vertically by the default factor of 1 and horizontally by a factor of 1.8. We specify the center of this transformation using its CenterX and CenterY properties. Next, we declare a TranslateTransform element that moves applied elements downwards by a small amount.

After this, we define a RadialGradientBrush object that will represent the glow in our design. We use the RadiusX and RadiusY properties to shape the brush element and specify the Center and GradientOrigin properties to dictate the center and focal point of the radial gradient.

We then set the TransformGroup element to the RelativeTransform property of the brush to apply the transforms to it. Note that the three GradientStop elements all use the same R, G and B values, and just differ in the alpha channel, or opacity values.

Next, we declare the GlowingButtonStyle style for type Button, setting the SnapsToDevicePixels property to true, to keep its lines crisp and sharp. In the Template property, we define a ControlTemplate element with a white Border element that has slightly rounded corners.

Inside the border, we declare a Grid panel containing a Rectangle and a ContentPresenter element. Again, the RadiusX and RadiusY properties of the rectangle are set to a smaller value than that of the CornerRadius property of the parent border control to ensure that it fits evenly within it. Our RadialGradientBrush resource is assigned as the rectangle's Fill property.

The ContentPresenter object is centered to ensure that the content of the button will be rendered in its center. Returning to the Border element, we see a DropShadowEffect is declared within its Effect property. However, this element is not here to create a shadow effect; this class is multi-functional and can also render glowing effects as well as shadow effects.

The trick is to set its Color property to a color other than black and its BlurRadius property to a larger value than we would typically use when creating a shadow effect. In this particular case, we set the Direction property to 270 and the ShadowDepth property to 4 in order to position the glow effect toward the bottom of the border, where the light is supposed to be coming from.

Unfortunately, this effect does not translate to grayscale and paper well, so the glowing effect is somewhat lost when not viewed in color and on screen. For readers of the e-book version of this book, here is what the glowing effect from our example looks like:



Putting it all together

While these various effects can improve the look of our controls on their own, the biggest improvement can be found when amalgamating a number of them into a single design. In this next example, we'll do just that. We first need to add a few more resources to use:

```
<SolidColorBrush x:Key="TransparentWhite" Color="#7FFFFFFF" />
<SolidColorBrush x:Key="VeryTransparentWhite" Color="#3FFFFFFF" />
<SolidColorBrush x:Key="TransparentBlack" Color="#7F000000" />
<SolidColorBrush x:Key="VeryTransparentBlack" Color="#3F000000" />
<VisualBrush x:Key="SemiTransparentLayeredButtonBackground"
    Visual="{StaticResource LayeredButtonBackgroundElements}"
    Opacity="0.65" />
```

There isn't anything too complicated here. We simply have a number of colors defined with varying levels of transparency and a slightly transparent version of our visual brush that references our layered background elements. Let's move on to the encompassing style now:

```
<Style TargetType="{x:Type Button}">

<Setter Property="SnapsToDevicePixels" Value="True" />

<Setter Property="Cursor" Value="Hand" />

<Setter Property="Template">

<Setter Value>

<ControlTemplate TargetType="{x:Type Button}">

<Border CornerRadius="3"

BorderBrush="{StaticResource TransparentBlack}"

BorderThickness="1"
```

```
Background="{StaticResource TransparentWhite}">
          <Border Name="InnerBorder" CornerRadius="2"
            Background="{StaticResource LayeredButtonBackground}"
            Margin="1">
            <Grid>
              <Rectangle IsHitTestVisible="False" RadiusX="2"
                RadiusY="2" Fill="{StaticResource GreenGlow}" />
              <ContentPresenter Content="{TemplateBinding Content}"
                Margin="{TemplateBinding Padding}"
                HorizontalAlignment="{TemplateBinding
                HorizontalContentAlignment}"
                VerticalAlignment="{TemplateBinding
                VerticalContentAlignment}" />
            </Grid>
          </Border>
          <Border.Effect>
            <DropShadowEffect Color="Black" ShadowDepth="6"</pre>
              BlurRadius="6" Direction="270" Opacity="0.5" />
          </Border.Effect>
        </Border>
        <ControlTemplate.Triggers>
          <Trigger Property="IsMouseOver" Value="True">
            <Setter TargetName="InnerBorder"
              Property="Background" Value="{StaticResource
              SemiTransparentLayeredButtonBackground}" />
          </Trigger>
          <Trigger Property="IsPressed" Value="True">
            <Setter TargetName="InnerBorder" Property="Background"
              Value="{StaticResource LayeredButtonBackground}" />
          </Trigger>
        </ControlTemplate.Triggers>
      </ControlTemplate>
    </Setter.Value>
  </Setter>
</Style>
```

Looking at the example XAML, we can see that the <code>SnapsToDevicePixels</code> property is set to true, to avoid anti-aliasing artifacts blurring the edges of the button, and the <code>Cursor</code> property is set to display the pointing finger cursor when the user's mouse is over the button.

Within the control template, we see the two nested Border elements. Note that the outer border uses the TransparentBlack and TransparentWhite brush resources so that it is semi-transparent. Also, note that the white inner border actually comes from the background of the outer border rather than the inner border, which sets the Margin property to 1 to give the impression of an inner border. In this example, the inner border element is only responsible for displaying the layered button elements from the visual brush and has no displayed border of its own. Again, we have adjusted its CornerRadius property so that it fits neatly within the outer border. We can zoom in on the magnification level in the WPF designer to help us to decide what values we should use here.

Inside the inner border, we declare a Grid panel, so that we can add both the required ContentPresenter and the Rectangle element that is painted with the GreenGlow brush from the resources. Again, we set its IsHitTestVisible property to false, so that users cannot interact with it and set the RadiusX and RadiusY properties to match the CornerRadius value of the inner border.

We use TemplateBinding elements to map properties of the ContentPresenter object to suitable properties from the templated object so that setting properties on our button can affect its positioning and content. Next, we set the previously displayed DropShadowEffect element to the Effect property of the outer border and that sums up the contained UI elements in the template.

To make the template more useful, we have set some Trigger objects in the ControlTemplate.Triggers collection, that will add mouse over effects for our button. The first trigger targets the IsMouseOver property and sets the background of the inner border to the slightly more transparent version of the layered button elements visual brush when true.

The second trigger targets the IsPressed property and re-applies the original visual brush when the property is true. Note that these two triggers must be defined in this order, so that the one that targets the IsPressed property will override the other when both conditions are true. It is of course, a matter of taste, whether the button lights up or goes out when clicked, or perhaps even changes color.

Note that we omitted the x:Key directive on this style so that it will be implicitly applied to all Button elements that do not have a different style explicitly applied to them. We are, therefore, able to declare our Button elements without specifying the style, like the following code snippet:

```
<Button Content="Click Me" Width="200" Height="40" FontSize="20" Foreground="White" />
```

This results in the following visual output:



We could take this glowing idea further too, by defining a number of different color resources and using data triggers inside a data template to change the color of the glow to indicate different states of a data object. This enables us to provide further visual information to the users, in addition to the usual textual feedback methods.

For example, a blue glow on a data Model object could specify an unchanged object, while green could signify an object with valid changes and red could highlight an object in error. We'll see how we can implement this idea in the next chapter, but for now, let's continue looking at different ways to make our applications stand out from the crowd.

Moving away from the ordinary

The vast majority of business applications in general, look fairly ordinary, with various form pages containing banks of standard rectangular form fields. Visually appealing applications on the other hand, stand out from the crowd. Therefore, in order to create visually appealing applications, we need to move away from the ordinary.

Whether this means simply adding control templates with rounded corners for our controls or something more is up to you. There are many different ways that we can enhance the look of our controls and we'll take a look at a number of these ideas in this section. Let's start with a refection effect that is best suited for use with logos or startup and background images.

Casting reflections

All FrameworkElement-derived classes have a RenderTransform property that we can utilize to transform their rendered output in a variety of ways. A ScaleTransform element enables us to scale each object in both horizontal and vertical directions. One useful facet about the ScaleTransform object is that we can also scale negatively, and therefore reverse the visual output. One visually pleasing effect that we can create with this particular facet is a mirror image, or reflection, of the object. In order to enhance this effect, we can use an opacity mask to fade out the reflection as it recedes from the object. This can give the visual impression of an object being reflected on a shiny surface, as shown in the following image:



Let's see how we can achieve this result:

```
<StackPanel HorizontalAlignment="Center" VerticalAlignment="Center"</pre>
 Width="348">
  <TextBlock Name="TextBlock" FontFamily="Candara"
    Text="APPLICATION NAME" FontSize="40" FontWeight="Bold">
    <TextBlock.Foreground>
      <LinearGradientBrush StartPoint="0,0" EndPoint="1,0">
        <GradientStop Color="Orange" />
        <GradientStop Color="Red" Offset="0.5" />
        <GradientStop Color="Orange" Offset="1" />
      </LinearGradientBrush>
    </TextBlock.Foreground>
  </TextBlock>
  <Rectangle Height="31" Margin="0,-11.6,0,0">
    <Rectangle.Fill>
      <VisualBrush Visual="{Binding ElementName=TextBlock}">
        <VisualBrush.RelativeTransform>
          <ScaleTransform ScaleY="-1.0" CenterX="0.5" CenterY="0.5" />
        </VisualBrush.RelativeTransform>
      </VisualBrush>
    </Rectangle.Fill>
    <Rectangle.OpacityMask>
      <LinearGradientBrush StartPoint="0,0" EndPoint="0,1">
        <GradientStop Color="#DF000000" />
        <GradientStop Color="Transparent" Offset="0.8" />
      </LinearGradientBrush>
    </Rectangle.OpacityMask>
  </Rectangle>
</StackPanel>
```

In this example, we use a StackPanel object to position a TextBlock element above a Rectangle element. The text will be the object to reflect and the reflection will be generated in the rectangle. The panel's width is constrained to ensure that the reflection fits the text element exactly. We start by naming the TextBlock element and setting some typeface properties, along with the text to output.

We've set a LinearGradientBrush object as the color for the text to make it more interesting, although this plays no part in creating the reflection effect. Next, note that the Rectangle element is sized and positioned exactly to fit the size of the text from the TextBlock element. We can of course use this technique to reflect anything and are not restricted to just reflecting text elements.

The background of the rectangle is painted with a VisualBrush object, where the Visual property is data bound to the visual output of the TextBlock element, using the ElementName property. Note the RelativeTransform property of the VisualBrush object, enables us to transform the visual in some way and is set to an instance of the ScaleTransform class.

This is one of the most important constituents for creating this effect, as this element is what inverts the related visual in the vertical plane. Setting the ScaleY property to -1 will invert the visual vertically for us, while setting the ScaleX property to -1 would invert the visual horizontally. Note that we omit the ScaleX property here because we want it set at its default value of 1.

Next, we see the OpacityMask property, which lets us set a gradient brush to be mapped to the opacity of the rectangle. When the alpha channel of the brush is 1, the rectangle will be opaque, when it is 0, the rectangle will be transparent and when it is in between, the rectangle will be semi-transparent. This is the other essential part of this effect and creates the fade of the reflected image.

In our example, we have a vertical gradient that is almost solid black at the top and gets increasingly transparent until it reaches four fifths of the way down, where it becomes fully transparent. When set as the rectangle's <code>OpacityMask</code>, only the alpha channel values are used and this results in it being totally visible at the top and then fading to invisibility four fifths of the way down, as shown in the preceding image.

Exploring borderless windows

Using WPF, it is possible to create windows without borders, a title bar, and the standard minimize, restore and close buttons. It is also possible to create irregular shaped windows and windows with transparent areas that display whatever lies beneath. Although it would be somewhat unconventional to make our main application window borderless, we can still take advantage of this ability.

For example, we could create a borderless window for custom message boxes, or perhaps for extended tooltips, or any other popup control that provides information to the end user. Creating borderless windows can be achieved in a few simple steps. Let's start with the basics and assume that we're adding this to our existing application framework.

In this case, we've already got our MainWindow class and need to add an additional window. As we saw in Chapter 6, Adapting the Built-In Controls, we can do this by adding a new UserControl to our project and replacing the word UserControl with the word Window, in both the XAML file and its associated code behind file. Failure to change both will result in a design time error that complains about mismatched classes.

Alternatively, we can right click on the start up project and select **Add** and then **Window...**, and then cut and paste it wherever you want it to reside. Unfortunately, Visual Studio provides no other way to add a Window control into our other projects.

Once we have our Window object, all we need to do is to set its WindowStyle property to None and its AllowsTransparency property to true. This will result in the white background of our window appearing:

```
<Window

x:Class="CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls.BorderlessWindow"

xmlns="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml"

Height="100" Width="200" WindowStyle="None" AllowsTransparency="True">

</Window>

...

using System.Windows;

namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls

{

public partial class BorderlessWindow : Window

{

public BorderlessWindow()

{

InitializeComponent();

}
```

} } }

However, while this removes the default window chrome that we are all used to and provides us with a borderless window, it also removes the standard buttons, so we are unable to close, resize, or even move the window directly. Luckily, making our window moveable is a very simple matter. We just need to add the following line of code into our window's constructor after the InitializeComponent method is called:

```
MouseLeftButtonDown += (o, e) => DragMove();
```

This DragMove method is declared within the Window class and enables us to click and drag the window from anywhere within its bounds. We could easily recreate the normal window functionality of only being able to move the window from the title bar by adding our own title bar and attaching this anonymous event handler to that object's MouseLeftButtonDown event instead.

If we want our borderless window to be resizable, there is a ResizeMode property in the Window class that provides us with a few options. One value that we can use with our borderless window is the CanResizeWithGrip value. This option adds a so-called resize grip, specified by a triangular pattern of dots in the bottom right corner of the window, that users can resize the window with.

If we set the ResizeMode property to this value and set the background to a color that will contrast with this resize grip, we will end with this visual output:



However, we still have no way to close the window. For this, we could add our own button, or perhaps enable the window to be closed by pressing the escape *Esc* key or some other key on the keyboard. Either way, whatever the trigger, closing the window is a simple matter of calling the window's Close method.

Rather than implementing a replacement window chrome, which could be easily achieved with a few borders, let's focus on developing a borderless window with an irregular shape, that we could use to popup helpful information for the users. Ordinarily, we would need to set the window's background to transparent to hide it, but we will be replacing its control template, so we don't need to do this.

For this example, we don't need a resize grip either, so let's set the ResizeMode property to NoResize. We also have no need to move this callout window by mouse, so we don't need to add the anonymous event handler that calls the DragMove method.

As this window will only offer information to the user, we should also set a few other window properties. One important property to set is the ShowInTaskbar property, which specifies whether the application icon should appear in the Windows Taskbar or not. As this window will be an integral part of our main application, we set this property to false, so that its icon will be hidden.

Another useful property for this situation is the WindowStartupLocation property, which enables the window to be positioned using the Window.Top and Window.Left properties. In this way, the callout window can be programmatically positioned on screen anywhere that it is needed. Before continuing any further, let's see the code for this window:

```
<Window x:Class="CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls.CalloutWindow"
 xmlns="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml/presentation"
 xmlns:x="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml"
 xmlns:Controls=
    "clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls"
 WindowStartupLocation="Manual">
 <Window.Resources>
   <Style TargetType="{x:Type Controls:CalloutWindow}">
      <Setter Property="ShowInTaskbar" Value="False" />
     <Setter Property="WindowStyle" Value="None" />
     <Setter Property="AllowsTransparency" Value="True" />
      <Setter Property="ResizeMode" Value="NoResize" />
      <Setter Property="Template">
        <Setter.Value>
          <ControlTemplate TargetType="{x:Type Controls:CalloutWindow}">
            <Grid Margin="0,0,0,12">
              <Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
                <ColumnDefinition Width="*" />
                <ColumnDefinition Width="5*" />
              </Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
              <Path Grid.ColumnSpan="2"
                Fill="{TemplateBinding Background}"
                Stroke="{TemplateBinding BorderBrush}"
                StrokeThickness="2" Stretch="Fill">
```

```
<Path.Data>
                  <CombinedGeometry GeometryCombineMode="Union">
                    <CombinedGeometry.Geometry1>
                      <PathGeometry>
                        <PathFigure StartPoint="0,60">
                          <LineSegment Point="50,45" />
                          <LineSegment Point="50,75" />
                        </PathFigure>
                      </PathGeometry>
                    </CombinedGeometry.Geometry1>
                    <CombinedGeometry.Geometry2>
                      <RectangleGeometry RadiusX="20" RadiusY="20"
                        Rect="50,0,250,150" />
                    </CombinedGeometry.Geometry2>
                  </CombinedGeometry>
                </Path.Data>
              </Pat.h>
              <ContentPresenter Grid.Column="1"
                Content="{TemplateBinding Content}"
                HorizontalAlignment="{TemplateBinding
                HorizontalContentAlignment}"
                VerticalAlignment="{TemplateBinding
                VerticalContentAlignment}"
                Margin="{TemplateBinding Padding}">
                <ContentPresenter.Resources>
                  <Style TargetType="{x:Type TextBlock}">
                    <Setter Property="TextWrapping" Value="Wrap" />
                  </Style>
                </ContentPresenter.Resources>
              </ContentPresenter>
              <Grid.Effect>
                <DropShadowEffect Color="Black"
                  Direction="270" ShadowDepth="7" Opacity="0.3" />
              </Grid.Effect>
            </Grid>
          </ControlTemplate>
        </Setter.Value>
      </Setter>
    </Style>
  </Window.Resources>
</Window>
```

While this example is not overly long, there is a lot to discuss here. In order to clarify the situation somewhat, let's also see the code behind before we examine this code:

```
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Media;
```

```
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls
{
  public partial class CalloutWindow : Window
  {
    static CalloutWindow()
    {
      BorderBrushProperty.OverrideMetadata(typeof(CalloutWindow),
        new FrameworkPropertyMetadata(
        new SolidColorBrush(Color.FromArgb(255, 238, 156, 88))));
      HorizontalContentAlignmentProperty.OverrideMetadata(
        typeof(CalloutWindow),
        new FrameworkPropertyMetadata(HorizontalAlignment.Center));
      VerticalContentAlignmentProperty.OverrideMetadata(
        typeof(CalloutWindow),
        new FrameworkPropertyMetadata(VerticalAlignment.Center));
    }
    public CalloutWindow()
    {
      InitializeComponent();
    }
    public new static readonly DependencyProperty BackgroundProperty =
      DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(Background), typeof(Brush),
      typeof(CalloutWindow),
      new PropertyMetadata (new LinearGradientBrush (Colors.White,
      Color.FromArgb(255, 250, 191, 143), 90)));
    public new Brush Background
    {
      get { return (Brush)GetValue(BackgroundProperty); }
      set { SetValue(BackgroundProperty, value); }
    }
  }
}
```

This code-behind file is simpler than the XAML file, so let's quickly walk through it first. We added a static constructor in order to call the <code>OverrideMetadata</code> method on a few preexisting Dependency Properties. This enables us to override the default settings of these properties, and we do this in a static constructor because we want to run this code just once per class and because it is called before any other constructor or method in the class.

In this constructor, we override the metadata for the BorderBrush property, in order to set a default border color for our callout window. We do the same for both the HorizontalContentAlignment and VerticalContentAlignment properties to ensure that the window content will be centered by default. By doing this, we are re-using these existing properties. However, we can also totally replace the pre-existing properties. As an example, we've replaced the Background property to paint our callout background. In this case, we declare our own Background property, specified by the new keyword, and set its own default brush color. We then use that to paint the background of our callout shape, although we could just as easily add another setter into our style to reuse the original Background property.

Looking at the XAML code now, we can see the WindowStartupLocation property set in the Window declaration, followed by a style in the window's Resources section. In this style, we set the aforementioned properties and define the window's control template. Inside the ControlTemplate object, we define a Grid panel. We'll return to this later, but for now, note that there is a nine pixel margin set on the bottom of the panel.

Next, note that the panel has two star-sized ColumnDefinition elements declared, one with a width of * and another with a width of 5*. If we add these together, we end with a total width of six equal divisions. This means that the first column will be one sixth of the total width of the window and the second column will take up the remaining five sixths. We will soon see why this is set as it is.

Inside the Grid panel, we first declare the Path element that is used to define the shape of our callout. We set the Grid.ColumnSpan property on it to 2, to ensure that it takes all of the space of the parent window. Next, we set our new Background property to the Fill property, so that users of our window can set Background property and have that brush paint just the background of our path.

We also set the Stroke property of the Path element to the overridden BorderBrush property and although we didn't, we could have exposed the StrokeThickness property by declaring another Dependency Property. Note that we use TemplateBinding elements to access the properties of the window, as they are the most efficient in this particular case.

Take special note of the Path.Stretch property, which we have set to Fill and defines how the shape should fill the space that it is provided with. Using this Fill value specifies that the content should fill all of the available space, rather than preserve its originally defined aspect ratio. However, if we want to preserve the aspect ratio, then we can change this property to the Uniform value instead.

The most important part of the path is found in the Path.Data section. This defines the shape of the rendered path and like our layered background example, we utilize a CombinedGeometry element here to combine two separate geometries. Unlike the previous example, here we use a GeometryCombineMode value of Union, which renders the output of both geometry shapes together.

In the CombinedGeometry.Geometry1 element, we declare a PathGeometry object with a PathFigure element that has a starting point and two LineSegment elements. Together with the starting point, these two elements form the triangular section of our callout, that points to the area on the screen that our window's information relates to. Note that this triangle is fifty pixels wide in the path.

In the CombinedGeometry.Geometry2 element, we declare a RectangleGeometry object, with its size specified by the Rect property and the size of its rounded corners being specified by the RadiusX and RadiusY properties. The rectangle is positioned fifty pixels away from the left edge and its width is two hundred and fifty pixels wide.

The overall area taken up by the rectangle and the triangle is therefore three hundred pixels. One sixth of three hundred is fifty and this is how wide the triangle in our shape is. This explains why our first Grid column is set to take one sixth of the total space.

After the Path object, we declare the ContentPresenter element that is required to output the actual content of the window and set it to be in the second column of the panel. In short, this column is used to position the ContentPresenter element directly over the rectangular section of our shape, avoiding the triangular section.

In the ContentPresenter element, we data bind several positional properties to the relevant properties of the window using TemplateBinding elements. We also data bind its Content property to the Content property of the window using another TemplateBinding element.

Note that we could have declared our UI controls directly within the Window control. However, had we done that, then we would not be able to data bind to its Content property in this way, as setting it externally would replace all of our declared XAML controls, including the ContentPresenter object. By providing a new template, we are totally overriding the default behavior of the window.

Also note that we have declared a style in the Resources section of the ContentPresenter element. This style has been declared without the x:Key directive. This is so that it will be implicitly applied to all TextBlock objects within scope, specifically to affect the TextBlock objects that the ContentPresenter element will automatically generate for string values, while not affecting others.

The style sets the TextBlock.TextWrapping property to the Wrap member of the TextWrapping enumeration, which has the effect of wrapping long text lines onto the following lines. The default setting is NoWrap, which would result in long strings not being fully displayed in our window.

Finally, we come to the end of the XAML example and find a DropShadowEffect object set as the Effect property of the Grid panel. As with all shadow effects, we set the Color property to black and the Opacity property to a value less or equal to 0.5. The Direction property is set to 270, which produces a shadow that lies directly underneath our callout shape.

Note that we set the ShadowDepth property to a value of 7. *Now, do you remember the bottom margin that was set on the grid*? That was set to a value just above this value and was to ensure that enough space was left in the window to display our shadow underneath our callout shape. Without this, the shadow would sit outside the bounding box of the window and not be displayed.

If we had set a different value for the Direction property, then we would need to adjust the Grid panel's margin to ensure that it left enough space around the window to display the shadow in its new location. Let's now take a look at how we could use our new window:

```
CalloutWindow calloutWindow = new CalloutWindow();
calloutWindow.Width = 225;
calloutWindow.Height = 120;
calloutWindow.FontSize = 18;
calloutWindow.Padding = new Thickness(20);
calloutWindow.Content = "Please fill in the first line of your address.";
calloutWindow.Show();
```

Running this code from a suitable location would result in the following rendered output:



In our window-showing code, we set a string to the Content property of the window. However, this property is of type object, so we can add any object as its value. In the same way that we set our View Model instances to the Content property of a ContentControl earlier in this book, we can also do that with our window.
Given a suitable DataTemplate that defines some UI for a particular custom object type, we could set an instance of that object to our window's Content property and have the controls from that template rendered within our callout window, so we are not restricted to only using type string for content here. Let's use a previous example:

```
calloutWindow.DataContext = new UsersViewModel();
```

With a few slight adjustments to our calloutWindow dimension properties, we would see this:



Visualizing data

While there are a number of pre-existing graph controls and third party data visualization controls available in WPF, we can create our own relatively easily. Expressing data in textual terms alone, while generally acceptable, is not optimal. Breaking the norm in an application always makes that application stand out from the rest that strictly adheres to the standard.

As an example, imagine a simple situation, where we have a dashboard that visualizes the number of work tasks that have come in and the number that have been completed. We could just output the numbers in a big, bold font, but that would be the normal kind of output. *What about if we visualized each number as a shape, with its size being specified by the number*?

Let's reuse our layering techniques from earlier and design some visually appealing spheres, that grow in size depending upon a particular value. To do this, we can create another custom control, with a Value Dependency Property to data bind to. Let's first look at the code of the Sphere class:

```
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Controls;
using System.Windows.Media;
using System.Windows.Shapes;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.CustomControls.Enums;
using MediaColor = System.Windows.Media.Color;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.CustomControls
{
  [TemplatePart(Name = "PART_Background", Type = typeof(Ellipse))]
  [TemplatePart(Name = "PART_Glow", Type = typeof(Ellipse))]
  public class Sphere : Control
  {
    private RadialGradientBrush greenBackground =
      new RadialGradientBrush(new GradientStopCollection() {
      new GradientStop(MediaColor.FromRgb(0, 254, 0), 0),
      new GradientStop(MediaColor.FromRqb(1, 27, 0), 0.974) });
    private RadialGradientBrush greenGlow =
      new RadialGradientBrush(new GradientStopCollection() {
      new GradientStop(MediaColor.FromArgb(205, 67, 255, 46), 0),
      new GradientStop(MediaColor.FromArgb(102, 88, 254, 72), 0.426),
      new GradientStop(MediaColor.FromArgb(0, 44, 191, 32), 1) });
    private RadialGradientBrush redBackground =
      new RadialGradientBrush(new GradientStopCollection() {
      new GradientStop(MediaColor.FromRgb(254, 0, 0), 0),
      new GradientStop(MediaColor.FromRgb(27, 0, 0), 0.974) });
    private RadialGradientBrush redGlow =
      new RadialGradientBrush(new GradientStopCollection() {
      new GradientStop(MediaColor.FromArgb(205, 255, 46, 46), 0),
      new GradientStop(MediaColor.FromArgb(102, 254, 72, 72), 0.426),
      new GradientStop(MediaColor.FromArgb(0, 191, 32, 32), 1) });
    static Sphere()
    {
      DefaultStyleKeyProperty.OverrideMetadata(typeof(Sphere),
        new FrameworkPropertyMetadata(typeof(Sphere)));
    }
    public static readonly DependencyProperty ValueProperty =
      DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(Value), typeof(double),
      typeof(Sphere), new PropertyMetadata(50.0));
```

ļ

```
public double Value
  {
   get { return (double)GetValue(ValueProperty); }
    set { SetValue(ValueProperty, value); }
  }
 public static readonly DependencyProperty ColorProperty =
    DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(Color), typeof(SphereColor),
    typeof(Sphere), new PropertyMetadata(SphereColor.Green,
    OnColorChanged));
 public SphereColor Color
   get { return (SphereColor)GetValue(ColorProperty); }
    set { SetValue(ColorProperty, value); }
  }
 private static void OnColorChanged(DependencyObject
    dependencyObject, DependencyPropertyChangedEventArgs e)
  {
    ((Sphere)dependencyObject).SetEllipseColors();
  }
 public override void OnApplyTemplate()
  {
    SetEllipseColors();
  }
 private void SetEllipseColors()
  {
    Ellipse backgroundEllipse =
      GetTemplateChild("PART_Background") as Ellipse;
    Ellipse glowEllipse = GetTemplateChild("PART_Glow") as Ellipse;
    if (backgroundEllipse != null) backgroundEllipse.Fill =
      Color == SphereColor.Green ? greenBackground : redBackground;
    if (glowEllipse != null) glowEllipse.Fill =
      Color == SphereColor.Green ? greenGlow : redGlow;
  }
}
```

As this class will declare its own Color property, we start by adding a MediaColor using alias directive, which we'll just use as a shortcut to accessing the methods of the System.Windows.Media.Color class, when declaring the brushes that will be used in the Sphere class.

From the class declaration, we can see that there are two named parts specified in TemplatePartAttribute attributes. These specify that the two mentioned Ellipse elements are required in our control's template in the Generic.xaml file. Inside the class, we define a number of RadialGradientBrush resources to paint our spheres with.

In the static constructor, we call the OverrideMetadata method to let the Framework know where our control's default style is. We then see the declaration of the Value and Color Dependency Properties, with the Color property's related PropertyChangedCallback hander method.

In this OnColorChanged method, we cast the dependencyObject input parameter to an instance of our Sphere class and call its SetEllipseColors method. In that method, we use the FrameworkElement.GetTemplateChild method to access the two main Ellipse objects from our ControlTemplate element.

Remember that we must always check these objects for null, as our ControlTemplate could have been replaced with one that does not contain these ellipse elements. If they are not null, we set their Fill properties to one of our brush resources using the ternary operator and depending upon the value of our Color property.

One alternative for creating this functionality would be to declare a Dependency Property of type Brush to data bind to each ellipse's Fill property and to set the relevant brush resources to these properties, instead of accessing the XAML elements directly. Before viewing the control's default style, let's see the SphereColor enumeration that is used by the Color property:

```
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.CustomControls.Enums
{
    public enum SphereColor
    {
      Green, Red
    }
}
```

As you can see, this is a simple affair and could be easily extended. Note that this enumeration has been declared within the CustomControls namespace and project, so that the project is self-contained and can be reused in other applications without any external dependencies. Let's take a look at our control's default style from Generic.xaml now:

```
<Style TargetType="{x:Type CustomControls:Sphere}">
<Setter Property="Template">
<Setter.Value>
<ControlTemplate TargetType="{x:Type CustomControls:Sphere}">
```

```
<ControlTemplate.Resources>
          <DropShadowEffect x:Key="Shadow" BlurRadius="10"</pre>
           Direction="270" ShadowDepth="7" Opacity="0.5" />
          <LinearGradientBrush x:Key="Reflection"
           StartPoint="0,0" EndPoint="0,1">
           <GradientStop Color="#90FFFFFF" Offset="0.009" />
            <GradientStop Color="#2DFFFFFF" Offset="0.506" />
            <GradientStop Offset="0.991" />
          </LinearGradientBrush>
        </ControlTemplate.Resources>
        <Grid Height="{Binding Value,
         RelativeSource={RelativeSource TemplatedParent}}"
         Width="{Binding Value,
         RelativeSource TemplatedParent}}">
          <Grid.RowDefinitions>
            <RowDefinition Height="5*" />
            <RowDefinition Height="2*" />
          </Grid.RowDefinitions>
          <Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
            <ColumnDefinition Width="*" />
           <ColumnDefinition Width="8*" />
           <ColumnDefinition Width="*" />
          </Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
          <Ellipse Name="PART_Background" Grid.RowSpan="2"
           Grid.ColumnSpan="3" Stroke="#FF1B0000"
           Effect="{StaticResource Shadow}" />
          <Ellipse Name="PART_Glow" Grid.RowSpan="2"
           Grid.ColumnSpan="3" />
          <Ellipse Grid.Column="1" Margin="0,2,0,0"
           Fill="{StaticResource Reflection}" />
        </Grid>
      </ControlTemplate>
    </Setter.Value>
 </Setter>
</Style>
```

When looking at our control's default template, we can see some of resources defined in the ControlTemplate.Resources section. We first declare a DropShadowEffect element, similar to our previous uses of this class. Next, we define a vertical LinearGradientBrush element, to use as a light reflection layer, in a similar way to our earlier example.

Previously, we saw that the default value of the GradientStop.Offset property is zero and so, we can omit the setting of this property if that is the value that we need to use. In this brush resource, we see that the last GradientStop element has no Color value specified. This is because its default value of this property is Transparent and that is the value that we need to use here.

In the actual markup for our control, we declare three Ellipse objects within a Grid panel. Two of these elements are named and referenced in the control's code, while the third ellipse uses the brush from resources to create the "shine" on top of the other ellipses. The panel's size properties are data bound to the Value Dependency Property, using a TemplatedParent source.

Note that we have used the star-sizing capabilities of the Grid panel to both position and size our ellipse elements, with the exception of the two pixels in the top margin specified on the reflection ellipse. In this way, our control can be any size and the positioning of the various layers will remain visually correct. Note that we could not achieve this by hard coding exact margin values for each element.

Let's see how we could use this in a simple View:

```
<Grid TextElement.FontSize="28" TextElement.FontWeight="Bold" Margin="20">
 <Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
   <ColumnDefinition />
   <ColumnDefinition />
 </Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
 <Grid.RowDefinitions>
   <RowDefinition />
   <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
 </Grid.RowDefinitions>
 <CustomControls:Sphere Color="Red" Value="{Binding InCount}"
   VerticalAlignment="Bottom" />
 <CustomControls:Sphere Grid.Column="1" Value="{Binding OutCount}"
   VerticalAlignment="Bottom" />
 <TextBlock Grid.Row="1" Text="{Binding InCount}"
   HorizontalAlignment="Center" Margin="0,10,0,0" />
 <TextBlock Grid.Row="1" Grid.Column="1" Text="{Binding OutCount}"
   HorizontalAlignment="Center" Margin="0,10,0,0" />
</Grid>
```



This is how our example looks when rendered:

As you can see, WPF is very powerful and enables us to create completely original looking controls. However, we can also use it to recreate more commonly seen controls. As an example, let's see how we can create an alternative control to gauge how close we may be to our particular target value:



This example features a semi-circular arc, which is something that does not exist in a form that is usable from XAML, so we'll first create an Arc control to use internally within our Gauge control. Let's see how we can achieve this by adding a new custom control:

```
using System;
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Media;
using System.Windows.Shapes;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.CustomControls
{
  public class Arc : Shape
    public static readonly DependencyProperty StartAngleProperty =
      DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(StartAngle), typeof(double),
      typeof(Arc), new FrameworkPropertyMetadata(180.0,
      FrameworkPropertyMetadataOptions.AffectsRender));
    public double StartAngle
    {
      get { return (double)GetValue(StartAngleProperty); }
      set { SetValue(StartAngleProperty, value); }
    }
    public static readonly DependencyProperty EndAngleProperty =
      DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(EndAngle), typeof(double),
      typeof(Arc), new FrameworkPropertyMetadata(0.0,
      FrameworkPropertyMetadataOptions.AffectsRender));
    public double EndAngle
    {
      get { return (double)GetValue(EndAngleProperty); }
      set { SetValue(EndAngleProperty, value); }
    }
    protected override Geometry DefiningGeometry
      get { return GetArcGeometry(); }
    }
    private Geometry GetArcGeometry()
      Point startPoint = ConvertToPoint(Math.Min(StartAngle, EndAngle));
      Point endPoint = ConvertToPoint(Math.Max(StartAngle, EndAngle));
      Size arcSize = new Size (Math.Max(0, (RenderSize.Width -
        StrokeThickness) / 2), Math.Max(0, (RenderSize.Height -
        StrokeThickness) / 2));
      bool isLargeArc = Math.Abs(EndAngle - StartAngle) > 180;
```

}

```
StreamGeometry streamGeometry = new StreamGeometry();
  using (StreamGeometryContext context = streamGeometry.Open())
  {
    context.BeginFigure(startPoint, false, false);
    context.ArcTo(endPoint, arcSize, 0, isLargeArc,
      SweepDirection.Counterclockwise, true, false);
  }
  streamGeometry.Transform =
    new TranslateTransform(StrokeThickness / 2, StrokeThickness / 2);
  streamGeometry.Freeze();
  return streamGeometry;
}
private Point ConvertToPoint(double angleInDegrees)
  double angleInRadians = angleInDegrees * Math.PI / 180;
  double radiusX = (RenderSize.Width - StrokeThickness) / 2;
  double radiusY = (RenderSize.Height - StrokeThickness) / 2;
  return new Point(radiusX * Math.Cos(angleInRadians) + radiusX,
    radiusY * Math.Sin(-angleInRadians) + radiusY);
}
```

Note that we extend the Shape class when creating our Arc class. We do this because it provides us with a wide variety of stroke and fill properties and also the apparatus to render our custom shape from a Geometry object. Additionally, users of our Arc control will also be able to take advantage of the Shape class' transformation abilities through its Stretch and GeometryTransform properties.

To draw our arc, we will use the ArcTo method of the StreamGeometryContext class and with it, we need to specify exact Point values for its start and end. However, in order to reflect the correct value in the size of our arc, it is easier to define it using angle values for its start and end.

Therefore, we add StartAngle and EndAngle Dependency Properties to our Arc class. Note that these two properties are declared with

the FrameworkPropertyMetadataOptions.AffectsRender member. This notifies the Framework that changes to these properties need to cause a new rendering pass, so new values will be accurately represented in the control.

After these property declarations, we see the overridden DefiningGeometry property, that enables us to return a Geometry object that defines the shape to be rendered. We simply return the result from the GetArcGeometry method from this property.

In the GetArcGeometry method, we obtain the required start and end Point elements from the ConvertToPoint method, passing in the StartAngle and EndAngle property values. Note that we use the Min and Max methods of the Math class here to ensure that the start point is calculated from the smaller angle and the end point is calculated from the larger angle.

Our arc shape's fill will actually come from the geometric arc's stroke, so we will not be able to add a stroke to it. In WPF, the stroke of a shape with a thickness of one pixel will extend no further than the shape's bounding box. However, at the furthest point, strokes with larger thickness values are rendered so that their center remains on the line of the bounding box also therefore, half of it will extend outside the bounds of the element and half will be rendered within the bounds:



Therefore, we calculate the size of the arc by dividing the RenderSize value minus the StrokeThickness value by two. This will reduce the size of the arc so that it remains totally within the bounds of our control. We make use of the Math.Max method to ensure that the values that we pass to the Size class are never less than zero and avoid exceptions.

When using the ArcTo method, we need to specify a value that determines whether we want to connect our start and end points with a short arc or a long one. Our isLargeArc variable therefore determines whether the two specified angles would produce an arc of more than one hundred and eighty degrees or not.

Next, we create a StreamGeometry object and retrieve a StreamGeometryContext object from its Open method, with which to define our geometric shape. Note that we could equally use a PathGeometry object here, but as we do not need its data binding, animation, or other abilities, we use the more efficient StreamGeometry object instead.

We enter the arc's start point in the BeginFigure method and the remaining parameters in the ArcTo method. Note that we call these methods on our StreamGeometryContext object from within a using statement to ensure that it is closed and disposed of properly, once we are finished with it.

Next, we apply a TranslateTransform element to the Transform property of the StreamGeometry object in order to shift the arc so that it is fully contained within our control. Without this step, our arc would stick out of the bounding box of our control to the upper left, by the amount of half of the StrokeThickness property value.

Once we have finished manipulating our StreamGeometry object, we call its Freeze method, which makes it unmodifiable and rewards us with additional performance benefits. We'll find out more about this in Chapter 11, *Improving Application Performance*, but for now, let's continue looking through this example.

Finally, we get to the ConvertToPoint method, which converts the values of our two angle Dependency Properties into two-dimensional Point objects. Our first job is to convert each angle from degrees into radians, as the methods of the Math class that we need to use require radian values.

Next, we calculate the two radii of our arc using half of the RenderSize value minus the StrokeThickness property value, so that the size of the arc does not exceed the bounding box of our Arc control. Finally, we perform some basic trigonometry using the Math.Cos and Math.Sin methods when calculating the Point element to return.

That completes our simple Arc control and so now, we can utilize this new class in our Gauge control. We'll need to create another new custom control for it, so let's first see the properties and code in our new Gauge class:

```
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Controls;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.CustomControls
{
    public class Gauge : Control
    {
        static Gauge()
        {
            DefaultStyleKeyProperty.OverrideMetadata (typeof(Gauge),
                 new FrameworkPropertyMetadata(typeof(Gauge)));
        }
        public static readonly DependencyPropertyKey valueAnglePropertyKey =
            DependencyProperty.RegisterReadOnly(nameof(ValueAngle),
```

```
typeof(double), typeof(Gauge), new PropertyMetadata(180.0));
public static readonly DependencyProperty ValueAngleProperty =
  valueAnglePropertyKey.DependencyProperty;
public double ValueAngle
£
  get { return (double)GetValue(ValueAngleProperty); }
  private set { SetValue(valueAnglePropertyKey, value); }
}
public static readonly DependencyPropertyKey
 rotationAnglePropertyKey = DependencyProperty.RegisterReadOnly(
  nameof(RotationAngle), typeof(double), typeof(Gauge),
  new PropertyMetadata(180.0));
public static readonly DependencyProperty RotationAngleProperty =
  rotationAnglePropertyKey.DependencyProperty;
public double RotationAngle
{
  get { return (double)GetValue(RotationAngleProperty); }
  private set { SetValue(rotationAnglePropertyKey, value); }
}
public static readonly DependencyProperty ValueProperty =
  DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(Value), typeof(double),
  typeof(Gauge), new PropertyMetadata(0.0, OnValueChanged));
private static void OnValueChanged (DependencyObject
 dependencyObject, DependencyPropertyChangedEventArgs e)
{
  Gauge gauge = (Gauge)dependencyObject;
  if (gauge.MaximumValue == 0.0)
    gauge.ValueAngle = gauge.RotationAngle = 180.0;
  else if ((double)e.NewValue > gauge.MaximumValue)
  {
   gauge.ValueAngle = 0.0;
    gauge.RotationAngle = 360.0;
  }
  else
  {
    double scaledPercentageValue =
      ((double)e.NewValue / gauge.MaximumValue) * 180.0;
    gauge.ValueAngle = 180.0 - scaledPercentageValue;
    gauge.RotationAngle = 180.0 + scaledPercentageValue;
  }
}
```

}

```
public double Value
  {
    get { return (double)GetValue(ValueProperty); }
    set { SetValue(ValueProperty, value); }
  }
 public static readonly DependencyProperty MaximumValueProperty =
    DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(MaximumValue), typeof(double),
    typeof(Gauge), new PropertyMetadata(0.0));
 public double MaximumValue
    get { return (double)GetValue(MaximumValueProperty); }
    set { SetValue(MaximumValueProperty, value); }
  }
 public static readonly DependencyProperty TitleProperty =
    DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(Title), typeof(string),
    typeof(Gauge), new PropertyMetadata(string.Empty));
 public string Title
    get { return (string)GetValue(TitleProperty); }
    set { SetValue(TitleProperty, value); }
  }
}
```

As usual, we start by overriding the metadata of the DefaultStyleKeyProperty for our control type in the static constructor, to help the Framework find where its default style is defined. We then declare the internal, read-only ValueAngle and RotationAngle Dependency Properties and the regular public Value, MaximumValue, and Title Dependency Properties.

We declare a PropertyChangedCallback hander for the Value property, and, in that method, we first cast the dependencyObject input parameter to an instance of our Gauge class. If the value of the MaximumValue property is zero, then we simply set both of the ValueAngle and RotationAngle properties to 180.0, which results in the arc and needle being displayed in their start positions, on the left.

If the new value of the data bound Value property is more than the value of the MaximumValue property, then we make the arc and needle display in their end, or full, positions to the right. We do this by setting the ValueAngle property to 0.0 and the RotationAngle property to 360.0.

If the new value of the Value property is valid, then we calculate the scaledPercentageValue variable. We do this by first dividing the new value by the value of the MaximumValue property, to get the percentage of the maximum value. We then multiply that figure by 180.0, because our gauge covers a range of one hundred and eighty degrees.

We then subtract the scaledPercentageValue variable value from 180.0 for the ValueAngle property and add it to 180.0 for the RotationAngle property. This is because the ValueAngle property is used by our arc and needs to be between 180.0 and 0.0, and the RotationAngle property is used by our gauge needle and needs to be between 180.0 and 360.0.

This will soon be made clearer, so let's now see how we use these properties and the Arc control in our Gauge control's default style from the Generic.xaml file:

```
<Style TargetType="{x:Type CustomControls:Gauge}">
  <Setter Property="Template">
   <Setter.Value>
      <ControlTemplate TargetType="{x:Type CustomControls:Gauge}">
       <Grid Background="{Binding Background,
         RelativeSource TemplatedParent}}">
          <Grid Margin="{Binding Padding,
           RelativeSource={RelativeSource TemplatedParent}}">
            <Grid.RowDefinitions>
             <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
             <RowDefinition />
             <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
            </Grid.RowDefinitions>
            <TextBlock Text="{Binding Title,
             RelativeSource={RelativeSource TemplatedParent}}"
             HorizontalAlignment="Center" />
           <Canvas Grid.Row="1" Width="300" Height="150"
             HorizontalAlignment="Center" Margin="0,5">
             <CustomControls:Arc Width="300" Height="300"
               StrokeThickness="75" Stroke="#FF444444" />
             <CustomControls:Arc Width="300" Height="300"
               StrokeThickness="75" Stroke="OrangeRed" StartAngle="180"
               EndAngle="{Binding AngleValue,
               RelativeSource={RelativeSource TemplatedParent}}" />
             <Path Canvas.Left="150" Canvas.Top="140"
               Fill="White" StrokeThickness="5" Stroke="White"
               StrokeLineJoin="Round" Data="M0,0 L125,10, 0,20Z"
               Stretch="Fill" Width="125" Height="20">
               <Path.RenderTransform>
                  <RotateTransform Angle="{Binding RotationAngle,
                   RelativeSource={RelativeSource TemplatedParent}}"
```

```
CenterX="0" CenterY="10" />

</Path.RenderTransform>

</Path>

</Canvas>

<TextBlock Grid.Row="2" Text="{Binding Value, StringFormat=N0,

RelativeSource={RelativeSource TemplatedParent}}"

HorizontalAlignment="Center" FontWeight="Bold" />

</Grid>

</Grid>

</ControlTemplate>

</Setter.Value>

</Setter>
```

We start our default style as usual, by specifying the type of our control in both the style and the control template. Inside the template, we have two Grid panels and data bind the Background property of the outer panel and the Margin property of the inner panel to properties of our templated control, so that users can set them externally.

We then define three rows in our inner panel. The control's Title property is data bound to a horizontally centered TextBlock element in the first row. In the second row, we declare a horizontally centered Canvas panel that contains two of our new Arc controls and a Path object.

The first Arc control is gray and represents the background track that the Arc that represents our Gauge control's Value property sits on. The second Arc control is colored OrangeRed and displays the current value of our Gauge control's Value property, by data binding its EndAngle property to the AngleValue Dependency Property of the Gauge control.

Note that the angles in our Arc control follow the common Cartesian coordinate system, with an angle of zero degrees falling to the right and increasing values moving anticlockwise. Therefore, to draw a semi-circular arc from left to right, we start with an angle of 180 degrees and end at 0 degrees, as demonstrated by the background arc in our Gauge control.

Furthermore, our Arc controls have the same width and height values, but as we don't need their lower halves, we crop them using the height of the canvas panel. The Path object represents the gauge needle in our control and is painted white.

We set the StrokeLineJoin property to the Round value in order to curve the three corners, where the lines of the needle path meet. Note that the needle is positioned exactly half way across the width of the canvas and ten pixels above the bottom, to enable its center line to lie along the bottom of the canvas.

Rather than declaring PathFigure and LineSegment objects to define the needle, we have used the shorthand notation inline in the Data property. The M specifies that we should move to (or start from) point 0, 0, the L specifies that we want to draw a line to point 125, 10 and then from there to point 0, 20, and the Z means that we want to close the path by joining the first and last points.

We then set the width and height of the path to the same values that were declared within Data property. Now, the essential part of enabling this needle to point to the relevant position to reflect the data bound Value property, is the RotateTransform object that is applied to the path's RenderTransform property. Note that its center point is set to be the center of the bottom of the needle, as that is the point that we want to rotate from.

As the RotateTransform object rotates clockwise with increasing Angle values, we cannot reuse the AngleValue Dependency Property with it. Therefore, in this particular example, we define the needle pointing to the right and use a range of 180.0 to 360.0 degrees in the RotationAngle read-only Dependency Property with the transform object to match the position of the value arc.

At the end of the example, we see another horizontally centered TextBlock, element that outputs the current, unaltered value of the data bound Value Dependency Property. Note that we use the StringFormat value of NO to remove the decimal places from the value before displaying it.

That completes our new Gauge control and so, all we need to do now is see how we can use it:

```
<CustomControls:Gauge Width="400" Height="300"
MaximumValue="{Binding InCount}" Value="{Binding OutCount}"
Title="Support Tickets Cleared" Foreground="White" FontSize="34"
Padding="10" />
```

We could extend our new Gauge control to make it more usable in several ways. We could add a MinimumValue Dependency Property to enable its use with value ranges that do not start at zero, or we could expose further properties to enable users to color, size, or further customize the control. Alternatively, we could rewrite it to enable it to be any size, instead of hard coding sizes as we did previously.

Livening up the UI controls

In addition to making our UI controls look visually appealing, we can also "*liven them up*" by adding user interactivity in the form of mouse over effects. While most mouse over effects are created using Trigger and Setter objects, that immediately update the relevant style properties when the related trigger condition is met, we can alternatively use animations to produce these effects.

Having even subtle transitions between states, rather than instantly switching, can also provide a richer user experience. Let's reuse our initial double bordered example from earlier and add some mouse interactivity animations to it to demonstrate this point. We'll need to add a few more resources into a suitable resource collection and adjust a couple of our previously declared resources too:

```
<Color x:Key="TransparentWhiteColor">#7FFFFFF</Color><Color x:Key="TransparentBlackColor">#7F000000</Color>
```

Now that we have declared our semi-transparent Color resources, we can adjust our earlier brush resources to utilize them:

```
<SolidColorBrush x:Key="TransparentWhite"
Color="{StaticResource TransparentWhiteColor}" />
<SolidColorBrush x:Key="TransparentBlack"
Color="{StaticResource TransparentBlackColor}" />
```

Let's view our full example now:

```
<Grid Width="160" Height="68">
 <Grid.Background>
   <LinearGradientBrush StartPoint="0,0" EndPoint="1,1">
      <GradientStop Color="Red" />
     <GradientStop Color="Yellow" Offset="1" />
   </LinearGradientBrush>
 </Grid.Background>
  <Button Content="Click Me" Width="120" Height="28" FontSize="14"
   Margin="20">
   <Button.Template>
      <ControlTemplate TargetType="{x:Type Button}">
        <Border Name="OuterBorder"
          BorderBrush="{StaticResource TransparentBlack}"
         BorderThickness="1" Padding="1"
         Background="{StaticResource TransparentWhite}"
          CornerRadius="5" SnapsToDevicePixels="True">
          <Border Name="InnerBorder"
            BorderBrush="{StaticResource TransparentBlack}"
            BorderThickness="1" Background="White"
```

```
CornerRadius="3.5" SnapsToDevicePixels="True">
    <ContentPresenter HorizontalAlignment="Center"
     VerticalAlignment="Center" />
  </Border>
</Border>
<ControlTemplate.Triggers>
  <Trigger Property="IsMouseOver" Value="True">
    <Trigger.EnterActions>
      <BeginStoryboard>
        <Storyboard Storyboard.TargetName="OuterBorder"
          Storyboard.TargetProperty=
          "BorderBrush.(SolidColorBrush.Color)">
          <ColorAnimation To="Black" Duration="0:0:0.25" />
        </Storyboard>
      </BeginStoryboard>
      <BeginStoryboard>
        <Storyboard Storyboard.TargetName="InnerBorder"
          Storyboard.TargetProperty=
          "BorderBrush. (SolidColorBrush.Color) ">
          <ColorAnimation To="Black" Duration="0:0:0.3" />
        </Storyboard>
      </BeginStoryboard>
      <BeginStoryboard Name="BackgroundFadeIn"
        HandoffBehavior="Compose">
        <Storyboard Storyboard.TargetName="InnerBorder"
          Storyboard.TargetProperty=
          "Background. (SolidColorBrush.Color) ">
          <ColorAnimation To="{StaticResource
            TransparentWhiteColor}" Duration="0:0:0.2" />
        </Storyboard>
      </BeginStoryboard>
    </Trigger.EnterActions>
    <Trigger.ExitActions>
      <BeginStoryboard>
        <Storyboard Storyboard.TargetName="OuterBorder"
          Storyboard.TargetProperty=
          "BorderBrush. (SolidColorBrush.Color) ">
          <ColorAnimation To="{StaticResource
            TransparentBlackColor}" Duration="0:0:0.5" />
        </Storyboard>
      </BeginStoryboard>
      <BeginStoryboard>
        <Storyboard Storyboard.TargetName="InnerBorder"
          Storyboard.TargetProperty=
          "BorderBrush. (SolidColorBrush.Color) ">
          <ColorAnimation To="{StaticResource
            TransparentBlackColor}" Duration="0:0:0.3" />
        </Storyboard>
```

```
</BeginStoryboard>
              <BeginStoryboard Name="BackgroundFadeOut"
                HandoffBehavior="Compose">
                <Storyboard Storyboard.TargetName="InnerBorder"
                  Storyboard.TargetProperty=
                  "Background. (SolidColorBrush.Color) ">
                  <ColorAnimation To="White" Duration="0:0:0.4" />
                </Storyboard>
              </BeginStoryboard>
            </Trigger.ExitActions>
          </Trigger>
          <Trigger Property="IsPressed" Value="True">
            <Trigger.EnterActions>
              <BeginStoryboard Name="MouseDownBackground"
                HandoffBehavior="Compose">
                <Storyboard Storyboard.TargetName="InnerBorder"
                  Storyboard.TargetProperty=
                  "Background. (SolidColorBrush.Color) ">
                  <ColorAnimation From="#D6FF21" Duration="0:0:1"
                    DecelerationRatio="1.0" />
                </Storyboard>
              </BeginStoryboard>
            </Trigger.EnterActions>
          </Trigger>
          <EventTrigger RoutedEvent="Unloaded">
            <RemoveStoryboard BeginStoryboardName="BackgroundFadeIn" />
            <RemoveStoryboard BeginStoryboardName="BackgroundFadeOut" />
            <RemoveStoryboard BeginStoryboardName="MouseDownBackground" />
          </EventTrigger>
        </ControlTemplate.Triggers>
      </ControlTemplate>
    </Button.Template>
  </Button>
</Grid>
```

While this example might seem quite long, it is actually fairly simple. We start with our original control template, albeit with the previously hardcoded brush values being replaced by our newly defined resources. The main difference with the original example is found in the ControlTemplate.Triggers collection.

The first trigger will start its various storyboards when the <code>IsMouseOver</code> property of the <code>Button</code> element is true, or in other words, when the user moves the mouse cursor over the button. Our storyboards are split between the <code>Trigger.EnterActions</code> and <code>Trigger.ExitActions</code> collections.

Remember that the storyboards in the Trigger.EnterActions collection will be started as the mouse enters the bounds of the button, while the storyboards in the Trigger.ExitActions collection will be started as the mouse leaves the bounds of the button. We declare three BeginStoryboard objects with their associated Storyboard objects within each of these TriggerActionCollection objects.

The first animation targets the BorderBrush property of the OuterBorder element. Note that this property is of type Brush, but there is no BrushAnimation class in WPF. Therefore, we need to target the Color property of the SolidColorBrush that is actually applied to this property and use a ColorAnimation object instead.

In order to do this, we need to use indirect targeting to first reference the BorderBrush property and then to chain to the Color property using the syntax BorderBrush. (SolidColorBrush.Color). Note that this will only work if we are in fact using a SolidColorBrush element, as we are in this example.

However, if we were using one of the gradient brushes instead of a SolidColorBrush element, we could target the various colors of its GradientStop elements with a slightly different syntax. For example, we could target the color of the first GradientStop element in a gradient brush like this:

BorderBrush.(GradientBrush.GradientStops)[0].(GradientStop.Color)

Returning to this example now, the second animation targets the BorderBrush property of the InnerBorder element and follows the syntactical example of the first animation. While the third animation also uses indirect targeting to reference the Background property of the InnerBorder element, it is somewhat different to the other two animations.

For this animation, we name the BeginStoryboard object BackgroundFadeIn and set its HandoffBehavior property to Compose, to enable smoother transitions between this and the other animations of this property. The specified name will be used later in the example.

Note that these three ColorAnimation objects only have their To and Duration properties set and that the three duration values are slightly different. This has the effect of slightly thickening the effect, although synchronizing the times also works well.

We have omitted the From values on these animations to avoid situations where the current animated colors do not match the From values and have to immediately jump to the starting values before animating to the To values. By omitting these values, the animations will start at their current color values and will result in smoother transitions.

The three animations in the Trigger.ExitActions collection are very similar to those in the EnterActions collection, albeit animating the colors back to their original starting colors, so we can skip their explanation here. However, it is worth highlighting the fact that the third animation is also declared in a named BeginStoryboard that has its HandoffBehavior property set to Compose.

The next Trigger object will start its associated storyboard when the IsPressed property of the Button element is true, and as it is declared within the EnterActions collection, it will start when the user presses the mouse button down, rather than upon its release.

This animation also uses indirect targeting to reference the Background property of the InnerBorder element and also has a named BeginStoryboard object with its HandoffBehavior property set to Compose. Unlike the other animations, this one has an extended duration and also sets the DecelerationRatio property to 1.0, which results in quick start and slow end.

Finally, we reach the last trigger, which is an EventTrigger object that will be triggered when the Button object is unloaded. In this trigger, we remove the three named storyboards, thereby freeing the extra resources that they consume when using the Compose handoff behavior. This was the sole purpose for naming the three BeginStoryboard objects that reference the Background property.

When animating mouse over effects on buttons, we are not restricted to simply changing the background and border colors. The more imaginative that we can be, the more our applications will stand out from the crowd.

For example, rather than simply changing the background color of the button, we can instead move the focal point of the gradient with the mouse. We'll need to use some code to do this, so we'll need to create another custom control to demonstrate this point. Let's first take a look at the code from our new custom control:

```
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Controls;
using System.Windows.Controls.Primitives;
using System.Windows.Input;
using System.Windows.Media;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.CustomControls.Enums;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.CustomControls {
  [TemplatePart(Name = "PART_Root", Type = typeof(Grid))]
  public class GlowButton : ButtonBase
  {
    private RadialGradientBrush glowBrush = null;
```

[406]

```
static GlowButton()
{
  DefaultStyleKeyProperty.OverrideMetadata (typeof(GlowButton),
    new FrameworkPropertyMetadata(typeof(GlowButton)));
ļ
public GlowMode GlowMode { get; set; } = GlowMode.FullCenterMovement;
public static readonly DependencyProperty GlowColorProperty =
  DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(GlowColor), typeof(Color),
  typeof(GlowButton), new PropertyMetadata(
  Color.FromArgb(121, 71, 0, 255), OnGlowColorChanged));
public Color GlowColor
{
  get { return (Color)GetValue(GlowColorProperty); }
  set { SetValue(GlowColorProperty, value); }
}
private static void OnGlowColorChanged(
  DependencyObject dependencyObject,
  DependencyPropertyChangedEventArgs e)
{
  ((GlowButton)dependencyObject).SetGlowColor((Color)e.NewValue);
}
public override void OnApplyTemplate()
{
  Grid rootGrid = GetTemplateChild("PART_Root") as Grid;
  if (rootGrid != null)
  {
    rootGrid.MouseMove += Grid_MouseMove;
    glowBrush =
      (RadialGradientBrush) rootGrid.FindResource("GlowBrush");
    SetGlowColor(GlowColor);
  }
}
private void SetGlowColor(Color value)
{
  GlowColor = Color.FromArgb(121, value.R, value.G, value.B);
  if (glowBrush != null)
  {
    GradientStop gradientStop = glowBrush.GradientStops[2];
    gradientStop.Color = GlowColor;
  }
}
private void Grid MouseMove (object sender, MouseEventArgs e)
```

```
{
     Grid grid = (Grid) sender;
      if (grid.IsMouseOver && glowBrush != null)
      {
        Point mousePosition = e.GetPosition(grid);
        double x = mousePosition.X / ActualWidth;
        double y = GlowMode != GlowMode.HorizontalCenterMovement ?
          mousePosition.Y / ActualHeight : glowBrush.Center.Y;
        glowBrush.Center = new Point (x, y);
        if (GlowMode == GlowMode.HorizontalCenterMovement)
          glowBrush.GradientOrigin =
          new Point(x, glowBrush.GradientOrigin.Y);
        else if (GlowMode == GlowMode.FullCenterMovement)
          glowBrush.GradientOrigin = new Point(x, y);
      }
   }
 }
}
```

We start as usual, by adding the relevant references and declaring the PART_RootGrid panel element as being a required part of the control template in the TemplatePartAttribute attribute. As our custom control is a button, we extend the ButtonBase class.

Next, we define the glowBrush field and set it to null. In the static constructor, we call the OverrideMetadata method to inform the Framework of where our control's default style is. We then declare a GlowMode CLR property of type GlowMode and set it to the default FullCenterMovement member. Let's see the members of this GlowMode enumeration now:

```
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.CustomControls.Enums
{
   public enum GlowMode
   {
     NoCenterMovement, HorizontalCenterMovement, FullCenterMovement
   }
}
```

Returning to our GlowButton class, we also declare a GlowColor Dependency Property and define a default purple color, a property changed handler and some CLR property wrappers for it. In the OnGlowColorChanged handler method, we cast the dependencyObject input parameter to our GlowButton class and call the SetGlowColor method, passing in the new Color input value. Next, we see the OnApplyTemplate method that is called when the button element's control template has been applied. In this method, we attempt to access the PART_Root panel element using the GetTemplateChild method and check it for null. If it is not null, we do a number of things.

First, we attach the Grid_MouseMove event handler method to the grid's MouseMove event. Note that this is the way to attach event handlers to the UI elements that are declared in the Generic.xaml file, as it has no related code behind file.

Next, we call the grid's FindResource method in order to access the GlowBrush resource from its Resources section and set it to our local glowBrush field, as we will be referencing it regularly. After this, we call the SetGlowColor method and pass in the current GlowColor value.

We do this because the OnApplyTemplate method is generally called after the properties have been set, but we are unable to update the brush resource until the template has been applied. When writing custom controls, we often need to update properties from this method, once the template has been applied.

Next is the SetGlowColor method and in it we first make the set color semi-transparent. If the glowBrush variable is not null, we then access the third GradientStop element from its GradientStops collection and set its Color property to the value of our GlowColor property.

Note that the third GradientStop element represents the dominant color in this gradient and so in this example, we are only updating this single element, in order to save space in this book. This gives the overall impression of a complete color change, but anyone that looks carefully will be able to see a dash of purple showing through from the other two unchanged GradientStop elements. You may wish to extend this example to update the whole GradientStops collection.

Next, we see the Grid_MouseMove event handling method that was attached to the rootGrid variable in the OnApplyTemplate method. In it, we check that the mouse is currently over the grid and that the glowBrush variable is not null. If these conditions are true, we call the GetPosition method on the MouseEventArgs input parameter to get the current position of the mouse.

Using the mouse position and the current value of the GlowMode property, we determine the movement mode and update the position of the glowBrush field's Center and/or GradientOrigin properties.

This has the effect of moving the center and/or the focal point of the gradient with the mouse cursor when it is over our glow button. Let's see the XAML in the Generic.xaml file now:

```
<Style TargetType="{x:Type CustomControls:GlowButton}">
 <Setter Property="Template">
   <Setter.Value>
      <ControlTemplate TargetType="{x:Type CustomControls:GlowButton}">
        <Grid Name="PART_Root">
          <Grid.Resources>
            <RadialGradientBrush x:Key="GlowBrush"
              RadiusY="0.622" Center="0.5,0.848"
              GradientOrigin="0.5,0.818" RadiusX="1.5">
              <RadialGradientBrush.RelativeTransform>
                <ScaleTransform x:Name="ScaleTransform"
                  CenterX="0.5" CenterY="0.5" ScaleX="1.0" ScaleY="1.8" />
              </RadialGradientBrush.RelativeTransform>
              <GradientStop Color="#B9F6F2FF" />
              <GradientStop Color="#A9F4EFFF" Offset="0.099" />
              <GradientStop Color="{Binding GlowColor,
                RelativeSource={RelativeSource AncestorType={x:Type
                CustomControls:GlowButton}}}" Offset="0.608" />
              <GradientStop Offset="1" Color="#004700FF" />
            </RadialGradientBrush>
            <RadialGradientBrush x:Key="LayeredButtonBackgroundBrush"
              RadiusX="1.85" RadiusY="0.796" Center="1.018, -0.115"
              GradientOrigin="0.65,-0.139">
              <GradientStop Color="#FFCACACD" />
              <GradientStop Color="#FF3B3D42" Offset="1" />
            </RadialGradientBrush>
            <LinearGradientBrush x:Key="LayeredButtonCurveBrush"</pre>
              StartPoint="0,0" EndPoint="1,1">
              <GradientStop Color="#FF747475" Offset="0" />
              <GradientStop Color="#FF3B3D42" Offset="1" />
            </LinearGradientBrush>
            <Grid x:Key="LayeredButtonBackgroundElements">
              <Rectangle
                Fill="{StaticResource LayeredButtonBackgroundBrush}" />
              <Path StrokeThickness="0"
                Fill="{StaticResource LayeredButtonCurveBrush}">
                <Path.Data>
                  <CombinedGeometry GeometryCombineMode="Intersect">
                    <CombinedGeometry.Geometry1>
                      <EllipseGeometry Center="-20,50.7" RadiusX="185"
                        RadiusY="46" />
                    </CombinedGeometry.Geometry1>
                    <CombinedGeometry.Geometry2>
                      <RectangleGeometry Rect="0,0,106,24" />
```

```
</CombinedGeometry.Geometry2>
        </CombinedGeometry>
      </Path.Data>
    </Pat.h>
  </Grid>
  <VisualBrush x:Key="LayeredButtonBackground"
   Visual="{StaticResource LayeredButtonBackgroundElements}" />
</Grid.Resources>
<Border CornerRadius="3" BorderBrush="#7F000000"
 BorderThickness="1" Background="#7FFFFFFF"
 SnapsToDevicePixels="True">
  <Border CornerRadius="2" Margin="1"
   Background="{StaticResource LayeredButtonBackground}"
   SnapsToDevicePixels="True">
    <Grid>
      <Rectangle x:Name="Glow" IsHitTestVisible="False"
        RadiusX="2" RadiusY="2"
        Fill="{StaticResource GlowBrush}" Opacity="0" />
      <ContentPresenter Content="{TemplateBinding Content}"
        Margin="{TemplateBinding Padding}"
        HorizontalAlignment="Center"
        VerticalAlignment="Center" />
    </Grid>
  </Border>
</Border>
<Grid.Triggers>
  <EventTrigger RoutedEvent="MouseEnter">
    <BeginStoryboard>
      <Storyboard>
        <DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetName="Glow"
          Storyboard.TargetProperty="Opacity" To="1.0"
          Duration="0:0:0.5" DecelerationRatio="1" />
      </Storyboard>
    </BeginStoryboard>
  </EventTrigger>
  <EventTrigger RoutedEvent="MouseLeave">
    <BeginStoryboard>
      <Storyboard>
        <DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetName="Glow"
          Storyboard.TargetProperty="Opacity" To="0.0"
          Duration="0:0:1" DecelerationRatio="1" />
      </Storyboard>
    </BeginStoryboard>
  </EventTrigger>
  <EventTrigger RoutedEvent="MouseDown">
    <BeginStoryboard>
      <Storyboard>
        <DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetName="ScaleTransform"</pre>
```

```
Storyboard.TargetProperty="ScaleX" From="10.0"
To="1.0" Duration="0:0:0.15" AccelerationRatio="0.5" />
<DoubleAnimation Storyboard.TargetName="ScaleTransform"
Storyboard.TargetProperty="ScaleY" From="10.0"
To="1.8" Duration="0:0:0.15" AccelerationRatio="0.5" />
</Storyboard>
</BeginStoryboard>
</BeginStoryboard>
</Grid.Triggers>
</Grid>
</ControlTemplate>
</Setter.Value>
</Setter>
</Style>
```

Inside this ControlTemplate, we see the Grid named PART_Root, and, inside it, we see a number of resources declared in its Resources section. Much of this XAML is taken up by the same resources that we used in our layered button background example, so we can skip their explanation.

There is however, one new resource of type RadialGradientBrush and named GlowBrush. This is the brush that puts the color into our button. In particular, note that its RelativeTransform property is set to a ScaleTransform element named ScaleTransform and that its third GradientStop object is data bound to the GlowColor property from our control.

In the actual template, we see our double Border elements with their SnapsToDevicePixels properties set to true to ensure a sharp, rendered image. Again, the outer border has a larger CornerRadius value than the inner border, to ensure their tight fit together, and the inner border's background is painted with the LayeredButtonBackground visual brush that we saw earlier.

Inside the inner border, we have a Grid panel that contains a Rectangle element and the required ContentPresenter object. We use the GlowBrush resource to paint the background of the rectangle and set its IsHitTestVisible property to false, so that it takes no part in user interaction. Note that in this example, we set its Opacity property to zero to make it initially invisible.

We data bind the button's Content and Padding properties to the Content and Margin properties of the ContentPresenter element, respectively, and center it within the control. That completes the visual markup for our glow button and now, we reach the all-important Grid.Triggers collection, where we declare three EventTrigger objects to trigger our mouse over effects.

The first trigger starts its associated storyboard when the MouseEnter event is raised. Its associated DoubleAnimation object animates the "glowing" rectangle's Opacity property to 1.0 over half a second. Note that we omit the From property here, so that the Opacity value will start animating from its current value, rather than jumping back to 0.0 each time it starts the animation.

The second trigger starts its storyboard when the MouseLeave event is raised. Its DoubleAnimation object animates the rectangle's Opacity property back to 0.0 over a whole second. Note that we also omit the From property here so that the Opacity value will start animating from its current value, rather than jumping to 1.0 each time it starts its animation. This ensures a smoother transition.

The third trigger starts its storyboard when the MouseDown event is raised and it contains two DoubleAnimation objects. They animate the ScaleX and ScaleY properties of the ScaleTransform object from 10.0 to their usual values over one hundred and fifty milliseconds, which produces an interesting effect when the user clicks the button.

Using the GlowColor and GlowMode properties, we can produce a wide range of buttons and interaction effects. After defining the relevant XAML namespace in our View, we can use this glow button example in the following way:

```
<CustomControls:GlowButton Content="Glowing button"
GlowMode="NoCenterMovement" GlowColor="Red" FontSize="28"
Foreground="White" Height="60" Width="275" />
```

When our example is run, it can produce mouse over effects, which vary depending on the position of the mouse cursor, as shown in the following examples:



The top left button illustrates the HorizontalCenterMovement mode, the top right shows the FullCenterMovement mode and the bottom two highlight two mouse positions when using the NoCenterMovement mode. The top two use the default color and the bottom two were rendered using a GlowColor of Red. This reveals the differences between the various GlowMode values in our example.

Summary

In this chapter, we investigated a number of techniques that we can use to improve the look of our applications, from simply adding shadows to implementing far more complicated layered visuals. We saw the importance of remaining consistent throughout our application and how to get that professional look.

We then looked at more advanced techniques for making our application stand out from the crowd and saw further examples of how to create a variety of custom controls. We finished with a look at how we can incorporate animations into our everyday controls, in order to bring about a sense of exclusivity to our applications.

In the following chapter, we're going to investigate a number of ways that we can validate the data in our applications. We'll examine the various validation interfaces that are available to us in WPF and work on extending our application framework with a complete validation system using data annotations.

9 Implementing Responsive Data Validation

Data validation goes hand in hand with data input forms and is essential for promoting clean, usable data. While the UI controls in WPF can automatically corroborate the fact that values entered match the type of their data bound properties, they cannot validate the correctness of the data entered.

For example, a TextBox control that is data bound to an integer may highlight an error if a user entered a non-numeric value, but it wouldn't validate the fact that the number entered had the correct number of digits, or that the first four digits were appropriate for the type of credit card specified.

In order to validate these types of data correctness when using MVVM, we'll need to implement one of the .NET validation interfaces. In this chapter, we'll examine in detail the available interfaces, looking at a number of implementations and explore the other validation-related features that WPF provides us with. Let's start by looking at the validation system.

In WPF, the validation system very much revolves around the static Validation class. This class has several Attached Properties, methods, and an Attached Event that support data validation. Each binding instance has a ValidationRules collection that can contain ValidationRule elements.

WPF provides three built-in rules:

- The ExceptionValidationRule object checks for any exceptions thrown as the binding source property is updated.
- The DataErrorValidationRule class checks for errors that may be raised by classes that implement the IDataErrorInfo interface.
- The NotifyDataErrorValidationRule class checks for errors raised by classes that implement the INotifyDataErrorInfo interface.

Each time an attempt is made to update a data source property, the binding engine first clears the Validation.Errors collection and then checks the binding's ValidationRules collection to see whether it contains any ValidationRule elements. If it does, it calls each rule's Validate method in turn until they all pass, or one returns an error.

When a data bound value fails the condition in the Validation method of a ValidationRule element, the binding engine adds a new ValidationError object to the Validation.Errors collection of the data binding target control.

This, in turn, will set the Validation.HasError Attached Property of the element to true and, if the NotifyOnValidationError property of the binding is set to true, the binding engine will also raise the Validation.Error Attached Event on the data binding target.

Using validation rules – to do or not to do?

In WPF, there are two different approaches for dealing with data validation. On the one hand, we have the UI-based ValidationRule classes, the Validation.Error Attached Event, and the Binding.NotifyOnValidationError and UpdateSourceExceptionFilter properties, and, on the other, we have two code-based validation interfaces.

While the ValidationRule classes and their related validation approach work perfectly well, they are specified in the XAML and, as such, are tied to the UI. Furthermore, when using the ValidationRule classes, we are effectively separating the validation logic from the data Models that they are validating and storing it in a completely different assembly.

When developing a WPF application using the MVVM methodology, we work with data, rather than UI elements, and so we tend to shy away from using the ValidationRule classes and their related validation strategy directly.

Additionally, the NotifyOnValidationError and UpdateSourceExceptionFilter properties of the Binding class also require event or delegate handlers, respectively, and, as we have discovered, we prefer to avoid doing this when using MVVM. Therefore, we will not be looking at this UI-based validation approach in this book, instead focusing on the two code-based validation interfaces.

Getting to grips with validation interfaces

In WPF, we have access to two main validation interfaces; the original one is the IDataErrorInfo interface, and, in .NET 4.5, the INotifyDataErrorInfo interface was added. In this section, we'll first investigate the original validation interface and its shortcomings and see how we can make it more usable, before examining the latter.

Implementing the IDataErrorInfo interface

The IDataErrorInfo interface is a very simple affair, with only two required properties to implement. The Error property returns the error message that describes the validation error, and the Item[string] indexer returns the error message for the specified property.

It certainly seems straightforward enough, so let's take a look at a basic implementation of this interface. Let's create another base class to implement this in and, for now, omit all other unrelated base class members so that we can concentrate on this interface:

```
using System.ComponentModel;
using System.Runtime.CompilerServices;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Extensions;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels
{
  public abstract class BaseValidationModel : INotifyPropertyChanged,
    IDataErrorInfo
  {
    protected string error = string.Empty;
    #region IDataErrorInfo Members
    public string Error => error;
    public virtual string this[string propertyName] => error;
    #endregion
    #region INotifyPropertyChanged Members
    . . .
    #endregion
  }
}
```

In this simplest of implementations, we have declared a protected error field, which will be accessible to derived classes. Note that the Error property that returns it uses the C# 6.0 expression-bodied property syntax. This syntax is a shorthand notation for methods, properties, indexers, constructors, and destructors, where the member body is replaced by an inline expression.

We have declared the class indexer (the this property) as virtual, so that we can override it in the derived classes. Another option would be to declare it as abstract, so that derived classes were forced to override it. Whether you prefer to use virtual or abstract will depend on your particular circumstances, such as whether you expect every derived class to require validation.

Let's take a look at an example of a class that derives from our new base class:

```
using System;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels
{
  public class Product : BaseValidationModel
  {
    private Guid id = Guid.Empty;
    private string name = string.Empty;
    private decimal price = 0;
    public Guid Id
    {
      get { return id; }
      set { if (id != value) { id = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
    }
    public string Name
    {
      get { return name; }
      set { if (name != value) { name = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
    }
    public decimal Price
    {
      get { return price; }
      set { if (price != value) { price = value;
        NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
    }
    public override string this[string propertyName]
    {
      get
```

}

```
{
    error = string.Empty;
    if (propertyName == nameof(Name))
    {
        if (string.IsNullOrEmpty(Name))
            error = "Please enter the product name.";
        else if (Name.Length > 25) error = "The product name cannot be
            longer than twenty-five characters.";
        }
      else if (propertyName == nameof(Price) && Price == 0)
        error = "Please enter a valid price for the product.";
      return error;
    }
   }
}
```

Here, we have a basic Product class that extends our new base class. The only job that each derived class that wants to participate in the validation process needs to do is to override the class indexer and supply details regarding their relevant validation logic.

In the indexer, we first set the error field to an empty string. Note that this is an essential part of this implementation, as without it, any triggered validation errors would never be cleared. There are a number of ways to implement this method, with several different abstractions being possible. However, all implementations require validation logic to be run when this property is called.

In our particular example, we simply use an if statement to check for errors in each property, although a switch statement works just as well here. The first condition checks the value of the propertyName input parameter, while multiple validation rules per property can be handled with inner if statements.

If the propertyName input parameter equals Name, then we first check to ensure that it has some value and provide an error message in case of failure. If the property value is not null or empty, then a second validation condition checks that the length is no longer than 25 characters, which simulates a particular database constraint that we may have.

If the propertyName input parameter equals Price, then we simply check that a valid, positive value has been entered and provide another error message in case of failure. If we had further properties in this class, then we would simply add further if conditions, checking their property names, and further relevant validation checks.

Now that we have our validatable class, let's add a new View and View Model and the DataTemplate in the App.xaml file that connects the two, to demonstrate what else we need to do to get our validation logic connected to the data in the UI. Let's first see the ProductViewModel class:

```
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels
{
    public class ProductViewModel : BaseViewModel
    {
        private Product product = new Product();
        public Product Product = new Product = new Product();
        public Product Product = new Product();
        public Product Product = new Product = new Product();
        public Product Product = new Product();
        public Product Product = new Product = new Product = new Product();
        public Product Product = new Product();
        public Product Product = new Product();
        public Product Product = new Pr
```

The ProductViewModel class simply defines a single Product object and exposes it via the Product property. Let's now add some basic styles to the application resources file, which we'll use in the related View:

```
<Style x:Key="LabelStyle" TargetType="{x:Type TextBlock}">
    <Setter Property="HorizontalAlignment" Value="Right" />
    <Setter Property="VerticalAlignment" Value="Center" />
    <Setter Property="Margin" Value="0,0,10,10" />
    </Style>
<Style x:Key="FieldStyle" TargetType="{x:Type TextBox}">
    <Setter Property="SnapsToDevicePixels" Value="True" />
    <Setter Property="VerticalAlignment" Value="True" />
    <Setter Property="VerticalAlignment" Value="Center" />
    <Setter Property="WerticalAlignment" Value="True" />
    <Setter Property="WerticalAlignment" Value="Center" />
    <Setter Property="Margin" Value="0,0,0,10" />
    <Setter Property="Padding" Value="1.5,2" />
</Style>
```

And now, let's see the View:

```
<UserControl x:Class="CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.ProductView"
   xmlns="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml/presentation"
   xmlns:x="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml"
   Width="320" FontSize="14">
        <Grid Margin="20">
        <Grid Margin="20">
        <Grid.RowDefinitions>
        <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
```

```
<RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
   </Grid.RowDefinitions>
    <Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
      <ColumnDefinition Width="Auto" />
     <ColumnDefinition />
   </Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
   <TextBlock Text="Name" Style="{StaticResource LabelStyle}" />
   <TextBox Grid.Column="1" Text="{Binding Product.Name,
     UpdateSourceTrigger=PropertyChanged, ValidatesOnDataErrors=True}"
     Style="{StaticResource FieldStyle}" />
   <TextBlock Grid.Row="1" Text="Price"
     Style="{StaticResource LabelStyle}" />
   <TextBox Grid.Row="1" Grid.Column="1" Text="{Binding Product.Price,
     UpdateSourceTrigger=PropertyChanged, ValidatesOnDataErrors=True}"
     Style="{StaticResource FieldStyle}" />
 </Grid>
</UserControl>
```

In the XAML, we have a typical two column Grid panel, with two rows. The two TextBlock labels have the LabelStyle style applied, and the two TextBox input controls have the FieldStyle style applied. The binding applied to each TextBox.Text property has two important properties set on it.

The first is the UpdateSourceTrigger property, and this controls when the data source is updated and therefore, also when validation occurs. If you remember, a value of PropertyChanged causes updates to occur as soon as the data bound property value changes. An alternative value would be LostFocus, which causes updates to occur when the UI control loses focus, for example, when tabbing to the next control.

The other important property here is the ValidatesOnDataErrors property, without which our current example would not work. Setting this property to True on a binding causes a built-in DataErrorValidationRule element to be implicitly added to the Binding.ValidationRules collection.

As the data bound value changes, this element will check for errors raised by the IDataErrorInfo interface. It does this by calling the indexer in our data Model, with the name of the data bound property each time the data source is updated. Therefore, in this basic example, developers would be responsible for setting this property to True on each binding to make the validation work.


In .NET 4.5, Microsoft introduced a breaking change to the way that numeric data is entered in the TextBox control when the UpdateSourceTrigger binding is set to PropertyChanged. Their change stops users from entering numerical separators. Refer to the *Keeping Synchronized with Legacy Behavior* section later in this chapter to find out why and how to work around this issue.

When using a value of PropertyChanged for the UpdateSourceTrigger property, along with the fact that we validate each time the properties change, we have the benefit of immediate updates of errors. However, this method of validation works in a pre-emptive manner, with all validation errors being shown *before* the user has a chance to enter any data. This can be somewhat off-putting to a user, so let's take a quick look at our example when it first starts:

Name	
Price	0

As you can see, it's clear that there are some problems, but it's unclear as to what they are. So far, we have no output for our error messages. One common output that we could use would be the tooltips of the various form controls.

We could add a trigger to our FieldStyle style, which listened to the Validation.HasError Attached Property and set the TextBox control's tooltip to the ErrorContent property of the error whenever one was present. This is how Microsoft has traditionally demonstrated how to do this on their website:

```
<Style.Triggers>

<Trigger Property="Validation.HasError" Value="True">

<Setter Property="ToolTip" Value="{Binding (Validation.Errors)[0].

ErrorContent, RelativeSource={RelativeSource Self}}" />

</Trigger>

</Style.Triggers>
```

Note that we use brackets in the binding path for the Validation.Errors collection because it is an Attached Property, and that we use the RelativeSource.Self instance because we want to target the Errors collection of the TextBox control itself. Also note that this example only displays the first ValidationError object in the Errors collection.

Using this style on our data bound TextBox controls helps to provide the user with further information when they position their mouse cursor over the relevant control(s):

Name	Please enter the product name.
Price	0

However, when there are no validation errors to display, an error will be seen in the **Output** window of Visual Studio, because we are attempting to view the first error from the Validation.Errors Attached Property collection, but none exist:

```
System.Windows.Data Error: 17 : Cannot get 'Item[]' value (type
'ValidationError') from '(Validation.Errors)' (type
'ReadOnlyObservableCollection`1'). BindingExpression:
Path=(Validation.Errors)[0].ErrorContent; DataItem='TextBox' (Name='');
target element is 'TextBox' (Name=''); target property is 'ToolTip' (type
'Object') ArgumentOutOfRangeException: 'System.ArgumentOutOfRangeException:
Specified argument was out of the range of valid values.
Parameter name: index'
```

There are a number of ways to avoid this error, such as simply displaying the whole collection, and we'll see an example of this later in the chapter. However, the simplest way is to make use of the CurrentItem property of the ICollectionView object that is implicitly used to wrap IEnumerable data collections, which are data bound to ItemsControl elements.

This is similar to the way that a ListBox will implicitly wrap our data bound data items in ListBoxItem elements. The implementation of the ICollectionView interface that wraps our data collection is primarily used to enable sorting, filtering, and grouping of the data, without affecting the actual data, but its CurrentItem property is a bonus in this situation.

With this, we can replace the indexer that was causing us a problem when there were no validation errors. Now, when there are no errors, the CurrentItem property will return null, rather than throwing an Exception and so, despite Microsoft's own example showing the use of the indexer, this is a far better solution:

```
<Setter Property="ToolTip" Value="{Binding (Validation.Errors).
CurrentItem.ErrorContent, RelativeSource={RelativeSource Self}}" />
```

Nevertheless, if an end user is not aware of having to place their mouse cursor over the control to see the tooltip, then the situation is still not improved. Therefore, this initial implementation still has room for improvement. Another shortcoming of this interface is that it was designed to be atomic, so it only deals with a single error per property at a time.

In our Product class example, we want to validate the fact that the Name property is not only entered, but also has a valid length. In the order that we declared our two validation conditions for this property, the first error will be raised when the field in the UI is empty, and the second will be raised if the entered value is too long. As the entered value cannot be both non-existent and too long at the same time, having only a single reported error at one time is not a problem in this particular example.

However, if we had a property that had multiple validation conditions, such as a maximum length and a particular format, then with the usual IDataErrorInfo interface implementation, we'd only be able to view one of these errors at once. However, despite this limitation, we can still improve this basic implementation. Let's see how we can do this with a new base class:

```
using System.Collections.ObjectModel;
using System.Collections.Specialized;
using System.ComponentModel;
using System.Linq;
using System.Runtime.CompilerServices;
using System.Text;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Extensions;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels
{
  public abstract class BaseValidationModelExtended :
    INotifyPropertyChanged, IDataErrorInfo
  {
    protected ObservableCollection<string> errors =
      new ObservableCollection<string>();
    protected ObservableCollection<string> externalErrors =
      new ObservableCollection<string>();
    protected BaseValidationModelExtended()
    {
      ExternalErrors.CollectionChanged += ExternalErrors_CollectionChanged;
    }
    public virtual ObservableCollection<string> Errors => errors;
    public ObservableCollection<string> ExternalErrors => externalErrors;
    public virtual bool HasError => errors != null && errors.Any();
```

```
#region IDataErrorInfo Members
public string Error
{
  get
  {
    if (!HasError) return string.Empty;
    StringBuilder errors = new StringBuilder();
    Errors.ForEach(e => errors.AppendUniqueOnNewLineIfNotEmpty(e));
    return errors.ToString();
  }
}
public virtual string this[string propertyName] => string.Empty;
#endregion
#region INotifyPropertyChanged Members
public virtual event PropertyChangedEventHandler PropertyChanged;
protected virtual void NotifyPropertyChanged(
  params string[] propertyNames)
{
  if (PropertyChanged != null)
  {
    foreach (string propertyName in propertyNames)
    {
      if (propertyName != nameof(HasError)) PropertyChanged(this,
        new PropertyChangedEventArgs(propertyName));
    }
    PropertyChanged(this,
      new PropertyChangedEventArgs(nameof(HasError)));
  }
}
protected virtual void NotifyPropertyChanged(
  [CallerMemberName]string propertyName = "")
{
  if (PropertyChanged != null)
  {
    if (propertyName != nameof(HasError)) PropertyChanged(this,
      new PropertyChangedEventArgs(propertyName));
    PropertyChanged(this,
      new PropertyChangedEventArgs(nameof(HasError)));
  }
}
```

```
#endregion
private void ExternalErrors_CollectionChanged(object sender,
    NotifyCollectionChangedEventArgs e) =>
    NotifyPropertyChanged(nameof(Errors));
}
```

In this example, we add two collections to hold error messages; the Errors collection property contains validation errors that are generated within the derived class, and the ExternalErrors collection property holds externally generated validation errors, typically from a parent View Model.

In the constructor, we attach the ExternalErrors_CollectionChanged event handler to the CollectionChanged event of the ExternalErrors collection property so that it is notified whenever items are added or removed from it.

After the declaration of the error collection properties, we see the HasError expressionbodied property, which checks whether the Errors collection contains any errors. Note that we check the errors field for null, rather than the Errors property, because calling the Errors property regenerates the error messages and we do not want to regenerate them all twice each time the HasError property is called.

Next, we see the new implementation of the IDataErrorInfo interface. The class indexer remains the same as the one from the previous implementation, but we see a difference in the definition of the Error property, which now compiles a complete list of all errors, rather than returning a single error message at a time.

In it, we first check whether any errors exist, and return an empty string if not. If errors do exist, we initialize a StringBuilder object and use our ForEach Extension Method to iterate through the Errors collection and append each of them to it, if they haven't already been included. We do this using another Extension Method before returning the output, so let's see what that looks like now:

```
public static void AppendUniqueOnNewLineIfNotEmpty(
   this StringBuilder stringBuilder, string text)
{
   if (text.Trim().Length > 0 && !stringBuilder.ToString().Contains(text))
     stringBuilder.AppendFormat("{0}{1}", stringBuilder.ToString().Trim().
     Length == 0 ? string.Empty : Environment.NewLine, text);
}
```

In our AppendUniqueOnNewLineIfNotEmpty Extension Method, we first check that the input value is not an empty string and that it is not already present in the StringBuilder object. If the text input parameter is valid, we use the ternary operator to determine whether it is the first value to be added and whether we need to precede it with a new line or not, before adding the new, unique value.

Returning to our validation base class now, we see the new implementation of the INotifyPropertyChanged interface. Note that we repeat our earlier BaseSynchronizableDataModel class example by raising the PropertyChanged event each time changes are registered for any other properties, but, unlike the previous example, we raise the HasError property here, rather than the HasChanges property.

We can combine both of these and raise the PropertyChanged event for both properties each time we receive notification of changes to other properties if we so desire. In this case, the purpose is to call the HasError property, which will be used in the UI to display or hide the control that displays the error messages, and so it will be updated after every validatable property change.

At the bottom of our class, we see the expression-bodied ExternalErrors_CollectionChanged method, which calls the NotifyPropertyChanged method for the Errors collection property. This notifies controls that are data bound to this property that its value has changed and that they should retrieve that new value.

Let's see an example implementation of this now, using an extended version of our Product class:

```
public class ProductExtended : BaseValidationModelExtended
{
    ...
    public override ObservableCollection<string> Errors
    {
        get
        {
            errors = new ObservableCollection<string>();
            errors.AddUniqueIfNotEmpty(this[nameof(Name)]);
            errors.AddUniqueIfNotEmpty(this[nameof(Price)]);
            errors.AddRange(ExternalErrors);
        return errors;
        }
    }
    ...
}
```

Therefore, when an error is externally added to the ExternalErrors collection, the ExternalErrors_CollectionChanged method will be called and this notifies changes to the Errors property. This results in the property being called and the external error(s) being added to the internal errors collection, along with any internal errors.

To get this particular implementation of the IDataErrorInfo interface to work, each data Model class will need to override this Errors property to add error messages from each validated property. We provide a few Extension Methods to make this task easier. As its name implies, the AddUniqueIfNotEmpty method adds strings to the collection if they do not already exist in it:

```
public static void AddUniqueIfNotEmpty(
   this ObservableCollection<string> collection, string text)
{
   if (!string.IsNullOrEmpty(text) && !collection.Contains(text))
      collection.Add(text);
}
```

The AddRange method is another useful Extension Method that simply iterates through the range collection input parameter and adds them to the collection parameter one by one:

```
public static void AddRange<T>(this ICollection<T> collection,
    ICollection<T> range)
{
    foreach (T item in range) collection.Add(item);
}
```

In addition to implementing this new Errors collection property in their derived classes, developers will also need to ensure that they notify changes to it each time a validatable property value is changed. We can do this using our overload of the NotifyPropertyChanged method that takes multiple values:

```
public string Name
{
  get { return name; }
  set { if (name != value) { name = value;
    NotifyPropertyChanged(nameof(Name), nameof(Errors)); } }

public decimal Price
{
  get { return price; }
  set { if (price != value) { price = value;
    NotifyPropertyChanged(nameof(Price), nameof(Errors)); } }
```

The Errors property is responsible for calling the class indexer with the name of each of the properties that we want to validate. Any error messages that are returned, including those from the ExternalErrors collection property, are then added to the internal errors collection.

In effect, we have replicated what the Validation class and the DataErrorValidationRule element does in the UI, but in our data Model instead. This means that we no longer have to set the ValidatesOnDataErrors property to True on each binding. This is a better solution when using MVVM, as we prefer to work with data, rather than UI elements, and now also have full access to all of the data validation errors in our View Models.

Furthermore, we now have the ability to manually feed in error messages from our View Models to our data Models via the ExternalErrors collection property. This can be very useful when we need to validate across a collection of data Model objects.

For example, if we need to ensure that the name of each data Model object is unique within a collection of related objects, we can use this feature. Let's now create a new ProductViewModelExtended class to see how we can accomplish this:

```
using System;
using System.ComponentModel;
using System.Linq;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Collections;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels
{
  public class ProductViewModelExtended : BaseViewModel
  {
    private ProductsExtended products = new ProductsExtended();
    public ProductViewModelExtended()
    {
      Products.Add(new ProductExtended() { Id = Guid.NewGuid(),
        Name = "Virtual Reality Headset", Price = 14.99m });
      Products.Add(new ProductExtended() { Id = Guid.NewGuid(),
        Name = "Virtual Reality Headset" });
      Products.CurrentItemChanged += Products_CurrentItemChanged;
      Products.CurrentItem = Products.Last();
      ValidateUniqueName(Products.CurrentItem);
    }
    public ProductsExtended Products
      get { return products; }
```

ļ

```
set { if (products != value) { products = value;
     NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
  ļ
 private void Products_CurrentItemChanged(
   ProductExtended oldProduct, ProductExtended newProduct)
  {
   if (newProduct != null)
     newProduct.PropertyChanged += Product_PropertyChanged;
   if (oldProduct != null)
     oldProduct.PropertyChanged -= Product_PropertyChanged;
  }
 private void Product_PropertyChanged(object sender,
   PropertyChangedEventArgs e)
  {
   if (e.PropertyName == nameof(Products.CurrentItem.Name))
     ValidateUniqueName(Products.CurrentItem);
  }
 private void ValidateUniqueName (ProductExtended product)
  {
   string errorMessage = "The product name must be unique.";
   if (!IsProductNameUnique(product))
     product.ExternalErrors.Add(errorMessage);
   else product.ExternalErrors.Remove(errorMessage);
  }
 private bool IsProductNameUnique(ProductExtended product) =>
    !Products.Any(p => p.Id != product.Id &&
    !string.IsNullOrEmpty(p.Name) && p.Name == product.Name);
}
```

Like the ProductViewModel class, our ProductViewModelExtended class also extends the BaseViewModel class, but it declares a ProductsExtended collection and adds two ProductExtended objects to it in the constructor, instead of the single Product instance used previously. The ProductsExtended class simply extends our BaseCollection class:

```
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Collections
{
   public class ProductsExtended : BaseCollection<ProductExtended> { }
}
```

In the class constructor, we first add a couple of test products to the ProductsExtended collection and then attach the Products_CurrentItemChanged method to its CurrentItemChanged delegate. In order to set the second item as the current item, we call the Last method on the ProductsExtended collection and set that to its CurrentItem property.

This ensures that the Products_CurrentItemChanged method is called when setting the second item as the current item and the Product_PropertyChanged handler is attached to it. After this, we then call the ValidateUniqueName method that is described shortly, passing in the current item.

After the declaration of the Products property, we see the Products_CurrentItemChanged method, which will be called each time the value of the CurrentItem property is changed. In it, we attach the Product_PropertyChanged method to the PropertyChanged event of the new, current ProductExtended object and detach it from the previous one.

The Product_PropertyChanged method will be called each time any property of the related ProductExtended object changes. If the property that changed was the Name property, we call the ValidateUniqueName method, as that is the property that we need to validate for uniqueness.

The ValidateUniqueName method is responsible for adding or removing the error from the ExternalErrors collection property of the product input parameter. It does this by checking the result of the IsProductNameUnique method, which does the actual check for uniqueness.

In the expression-bodied IsProductNameUnique method, we use LINQ to query the Products collection and find out whether an existing item shares the same name. It does this by checking that each item does not have the same identification number, or, in other words, is not the object being edited, but does have the same name, and that the name is not an empty string.

If any other products that have the same name are found, then the method returns false and an error is added to the product's ExternalErrors collection in the ValidateUniqueName method. Note that we must manually remove this error if the name is found to be unique. Let's now create a new ProductViewExtended class, to display these errors better. First, let's add another reusable resource to the application resources file:

```
<DataTemplate x:Key="WrapTemplate">
<TextBlock Text="{Binding}" TextWrapping="Wrap" />
</DataTemplate>
```

This DataTemplate simply displays a TextBlock control, with its Text property data bound to the data context of the DataTemplate, and its TextWrapping property set to Wrap, which has the effect of wrapping text that does not fit into the width provided. Now, let's look at the new ProductViewExtended class that uses this template:

```
<UserControl
x:Class="CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.ProductViewExtended"
  xmlns="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml/presentation"
  xmlns:x="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml"
  Width="600" FontSize="14">
  <Grid Margin="20">
    <Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
      <ColumnDefinition />
      <ColumnDefinition />
    </Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
    <ListBox ItemsSource="{Binding Products}" SelectedItem="{Binding</pre>
      Products.CurrentItem}" DisplayMemberPath="Name" Margin="0,0,20,0" />
    <Grid Grid.Column="1">
      <Grid.RowDefinitions>
        <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
        <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
        <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
      </Grid.RowDefinitions>
      <Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
        <ColumnDefinition Width="Auto" />
        <ColumnDefinition />
      </Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
      <Border Grid.ColumnSpan="2" BorderBrush="Red" BorderThickness="2"
        Background="#1FFF0000" CornerRadius="5" Visibility="{Binding
        Products.CurrentItem.HasError, Converter={StaticResource
        BoolToVisibilityConverter}}" Margin="0,0,0,10" Padding="10">
        <ItemsControl ItemsSource="{Binding Products.CurrentItem.Errors}"</pre>
          ItemTemplate="{StaticResource WrapTemplate}" />
      </Border>
      <TextBlock Grid.Row="1" Text="Name"
        Style="{StaticResource LabelStyle}" />
      <TextBox Grid.Row="1" Grid.Column="1" Text="{Binding
        Products.CurrentItem.Name, UpdateSourceTrigger=PropertyChanged}"
        Style="{StaticResource FieldStyle}" />
      <TextBlock Grid.Row="2" Text="Price"
```

In this example, we now have a Grid panel with two columns. In the left column, we have a ListBox control, and, in the right column, we have another Grid panel containing our form fields. The ItemsSource property of the ListBox control is data bound to the Products collection property from our View Model, and the SelectedItem property is data bound to its CurrentItem property.

We set the DisplayMemberPath property to Name, to output the name of each product, as a shortcut for creating a DataTemplate for our Product class. Alternatively, we could have returned the value of the Name property from the ToString method in our Product class to achieve the same visual result, although that would not update in the UI when the property value changed.

In the Grid panel on the right, we declare three rows and, in the top one, we define a Border element containing an ItemsControl object. Its ItemsSource property is data bound to the Errors collection property of the item that is set to the CurrentItem property of the Products collection, and its ItemTemplate property is set to our new WrapTemplate data template. The Visibility property of the border is data bound to the item's HasError property using the BoolToVisibilityConverter instance from the application resources.

Therefore, when a change is made to a validated property of the item and an error is raised in our validation base class, the PropertyChanged event is raised for the HasError property and this alerts this binding to check the latest value and update its visibility value via the applied BoolToVisibilityConverter instance accordingly.

Note that we use ItemsControl here, because with this collection, we have no need for the extra features that the ListBox control provides us with, such as a border, or the notion of a selected item. The two rows underneath the error output contain the form fields from the ProductView example.

When this example is run, we'll see two items that have the same name in our ListBox control. As such, there will already be a validation error displayed that highlights this fact and that was added through the ExternalErrors collection in the View Model.

In addition to this, we'll see another error, highlighting the fact that a valid price needs to be entered:

Virtual Reality Headset	Please enter a valid price for the product.	
Virtual Reality Headset	The product name must be unique.	
	Name Virtual Reality Headset Price 0	

As the UpdateSourceTrigger property of the field bindings have been set to PropertyChanged and the data bound properties are validated straight away, the errors will immediately disappear and/or reappear as soon as we type in the relevant form fields. This setting, along with the fact that we validate each time the properties change, makes our validation work in a pre-emptive manner.

We can also change this to work only when a user presses a submit button by setting the UpdateSourceTrigger property to the Explicit value. However, this requires that we access the data bound controls in the code behind files and so we tend to avoid this approach when using the MVVM methodology:

```
BindingExpression bindingExpression =
   NameOfTextBox.GetBindingExpression(TextBox.TextProperty);
bindingExpression.UpdateSource();
```

Alternatively, if we wanted to validate in this way when using MVVM, we could simply call the validation code when the command that is data bound to the submit or save button is executed instead. Let's now take a look at the INotifyDataErrorInfo interface to see how it differs from the IDataErrorInfo interface.

Introducing the INotifyDataErrorInfo interface

The INotifyDataErrorInfo interface was added to the .NET Framework in .NET 4.5 to address concerns over the previous IDataErrorInfo interface. Like the IDataErrorInfo interface, the INotifyDataErrorInfo interface is also a simple affair, with only three members for us to implement.

With this interface, we now have a HasErrors property, which indicates whether the relevant data Model instance has any errors, a GetErrors method that retrieves the object's error collection, and an ErrorsChanged event to raise when the entity's errors change. We can see straight away that this interface was designed to work with multiple errors, unlike the IDataErrorInfo interface. Now, let's take a look at an implementation of this:

```
using System;
using System.Collections;
using System.Collections.Generic;
using System.Collections.ObjectModel;
using System.ComponentModel;
using System.Ling;
using System.Runtime.CompilerServices;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Extensions;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels
{
  public abstract class BaseNotifyValidationModel : INotifyPropertyChanged,
    INotifyDataErrorInfo
  {
    protected Dictionary<string, List<string>> AllPropertyErrors { get; } =
      new Dictionary<string, List<string>>();
    public ObservableCollection<string> Errors =>
      new ObservableCollection<string>(
      AllPropertyErrors.Values.SelectMany(e => e).Distinct());
    public abstract IEnumerable<string> this[string propertyName] { get; }
    public void NotifyPropertyChangedAndValidate(
      params string[] propertyNames)
    {
      foreach (string propertyName in propertyNames)
        NotifyPropertyChangedAndValidate(propertyName);
    }
    public void NotifyPropertyChangedAndValidate(
      [CallerMemberName] string propertyName = "")
    {
      NotifyPropertyChanged(propertyName);
      Validate (propertyName);
    }
    public void Validate(string propertyName)
    {
      UpdateErrors(propertyName, this[propertyName]);
    }
```

```
private void UpdateErrors(string propertyName,
  IEnumerable<string> errors)
{
  if (errors.Any())
  {
    if (AllPropertyErrors.ContainsKey(propertyName))
      AllPropertyErrors[propertyName].Clear();
    else AllPropertyErrors.Add(propertyName, new List<string>());
    AllPropertyErrors[propertyName].AddRange(errors);
    OnErrorsChanged (propertyName);
  }
  else
  {
    if (AllPropertyErrors.ContainsKey(propertyName))
      AllPropertyErrors.Remove (propertyName);
    OnErrorsChanged (propertyName);
  }
}
#region INotifyDataErrorInfo Members
public event EventHandler<DataErrorsChangedEventArgs> ErrorsChanged;
protected void OnErrorsChanged(string propertyName)
{
  ErrorsChanged?. Invoke (this,
    new DataErrorsChangedEventArgs(propertyName));
  NotifyPropertyChanged(nameof(Errors), nameof(HasErrors));
}
public IEnumerable GetErrors(string propertyName)
{
  List<string> propertyErrors = new List<string>();
  if (string.IsNullOrEmpty(propertyName)) return propertyErrors;
  AllPropertyErrors.TryGetValue(propertyName, out propertyErrors);
  return propertyErrors;
}
public bool HasErrors =>
  AllPropertyErrors.Any(p => p.Value != null && p.Value.Any());
#endregion
#region INotifyPropertyChanged Members
public virtual event PropertyChangedEventHandler PropertyChanged;
protected virtual void NotifyPropertyChanged(
```

}

```
params string[] propertyNames)
{
    if (PropertyChanged != null) propertyNames.ForEach(
        p => PropertyChanged(this, new PropertyChangedEventArgs(p)));
}
protected virtual void NotifyPropertyChanged(
    [CallerMemberName]string propertyName = "")
{
    PropertyChanged?.Invoke(this,
        new PropertyChangedEventArgs(propertyName));
}
#endregion
}
```

In our first implementation, we see the declaration of the read-only AllPropertyErrors auto property, initialized to a new instance. For this collection, we use the Dictionary<string, List<string>> type, where the name of each property in error is used as the dictionary key, and multiple errors for that property can be stored in the related string list.

We then see the read-only, expression-bodied Errors property, which will hold the string collection of errors to be displayed in the UI. It is set to return a compilation of unique errors from the AllPropertyErrors collection. Next, we find an abstract string indexer that returns an IEnumerable of the string type, which is responsible for returning multiple validation errors from derived classes that relate to the property specified by the propertyName input parameter. We'll see how we can implement this property in a derived class shortly.

After that, we add two convenient NotifyPropertyChangedAndValidate methods, which we can use to both provide notification of changes to our property and to validate it in a single operation. In these methods, we call our implementation of the NotifyPropertyChanged method and then our Validate method, passing the relevant property name to each of them.

In the Validate method, we call the UpdateErrors method, passing in the propertyName input parameter and the related errors for the specified property, returned from the this indexer property. In the UpdateErrors method, we begin by checking whether there are any errors in the collection specified by the errors input parameter.

If there are, and it does contain some, we clear the errors for the relevant property from the AllPropertyErrors collection, or initialize a new entry for the property, with an empty collection otherwise. We then add the incoming errors to the AllPropertyErrors collection for the relevant property and call the OnErrorsChanged method to raise the ErrorsChanged event.

If there are no errors in the collection specified by the errors input parameter, we remove all previous entries from the AllPropertyErrors collection for the relevant property, after first validating that some exist, so as to avoid an Exception being thrown. We then call the OnErrorsChanged method to raise the ErrorsChanged event to notify changes to the collection.

Next, we see the required INotifyDataErrorInfo interface members. We declare the ErrorsChanged event for internal use only and the related OnErrorsChanged method that raises it using the null conditional operator, although this method is not technically part of the interface and we are free to raise the event as we see fit. After raising the event, we notify the system of changes to the Errors and HasErrors properties, to refresh the error collection, and to update the UI of any changes.

In the GetErrors method, we are required to return the errors for the propertyName input parameter. We start by initializing the propertyErrors collection, which we return immediately if the propertyName input parameter is null, or empty. Otherwise, we use the TryGetValue method to populate the propertyErrors collection with the errors that relate to the propertyName input parameter from the AllPropertyErrors collection. We then return the propertyErrors collection.

The simplified HasErrors expression-bodied property follows and simply returns true if the AllPropertyErrors collection property contains any errors, or false otherwise. We complete the class with our default implementation of the INotifyPropertyChanged interface. Note that we can simply omit this if we intend this base class to extend another with its own implementation of this interface.

Let's copy our earlier Product class so as to create a new ProductNotify class that extends our new base class. Apart from the class name and the collection of errors, we need to make a number of changes. Let's look at these now:

```
using System;
using System.Collections.Generic;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels
{
   public class ProductNotify : BaseNotifyValidationModel
   {
```

. . .

}

```
public string Name
  {
    get { return name; }
    set { if (name != value) { name = value;
      NotifyPropertyChangedAndValidate(); } }
  }
  public decimal Price
  {
    get { return price; }
    set { if (price != value) { price = value;
      NotifyPropertyChangedAndValidate(); } }
  }
  public override IEnumerable<string> this[string propertyName]
  {
    get
    ł
      List<string> errors = new List<string>();
      if (propertyName == nameof(Name))
        if (string.IsNullOrEmpty(Name))
          errors.Add("Please enter the product name.");
        else if (Name.Length > 25) errors.Add("The product name cannot
          be longer than twenty-five characters.");
        if (Name.Length > 0 && char.IsLower(Name[0])) errors.Add("The
          first letter of the product name must be a capital letter.");
      }
      else if (propertyName == nameof(Price) && Price == 0)
        errors.Add("Please enter a valid price for the product.");
      return errors;
    }
  }
}
```

The main differences between the ProductNotify and Product classes relate to the base class, the notification method used, and the handling of multiple concurrent errors. We start by extending our new BaseNotifyValidationModel base class. Each property, with the Exception of the Id property, which requires no validation, now calls the NotifyPropertyChangedAndValidate method from the new base class, instead of the NotifyPropertyChanged method from the BaseValidationModel class.

In addition to that, the this indexer property can now report multiple errors simultaneously, rather than the single error that the BaseValidationModel class could work with. As such, it now declares a string list to hold the errors, with each valid error being added to it in turn. The final difference is that we have also added a new error, which validates the fact that the first letter of the product name should start with a capital letter.

Let's now see our ProductNotifyViewModel class:

```
using System;
using System.Linq;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Collections;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels
{
  public class ProductNotifyViewModel : BaseViewModel
  {
    private ProductsNotify products = new ProductsNotify();
    public ProductNotifyViewModel()
      Products.Add(new ProductNotify() { Id = Guid.NewGuid(),
        Name = "Virtual Reality Headset", Price = 14.99m });
      Products.Add(new ProductNotify() { Id = Guid.NewGuid(),
        Name = "Virtual Reality Headset" });
      Products.CurrentItem = Products.Last();
      Products.CurrentItem.Validate(nameof(Products.CurrentItem.Name));
      Products.CurrentItem.Validate(nameof(Products.CurrentItem.Price));
    }
    public ProductsNotify Products
    {
      get { return products; }
      set { if (products != value) { products = value;
        NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
    }
  }
}
```

We start our ProductNotifyViewModel View Model by extending our usual BaseViewModel base class. We declare a ProductsNotify collection and, in the constructor, we populate it with two ProductNotify objects, with the same property values that were used in the ProductViewModelExtended class example. We again call the Last method on the ProductsNotify collection and set that last element to its CurrentItem property to pre-select the second item in the UI.

We then call the Validate method twice on the object set to the CurrentItem property, passing in the Name and Price properties, using the nameof operator for correctness. The class ends with the standard declaration of the Products property. Note that the ProductsNotify class simply extends our BaseCollection class, just like our Products class did:

```
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Collections
{
   public class ProductsNotify : BaseCollection<ProductNotify> { }
}
```

Also note that if we removed the call to the Validate method from the constructor, this implementation would no longer work in a pre-emptive manner. It would instead initially hide any pre-existing validation errors, such as empty required values, until the user makes changes and there is a problem. Therefore, empty required values would never cause an error to be raised, unless a value was entered and then deleted, to once again be empty.

To address this, we could instead declare a ValidateAllProperties method that our View Models can call to force a new validation pass, either pre-emptively, before the user has a chance to enter any data, or on the click of a save button, once all fields have been filled. We'll see an example of this later in this chapter, but for now, let's see the XAML of our ProductNotifyView class:

```
<UserControl x:Class="CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.ProductNotifyView"</pre>
 xmlns="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml/presentation"
 xmlns:x="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml"
 Width="600" FontSize="14">
  <Grid Margin="20">
    <Grid.Resources>
      <DataTemplate x:Key="ProductTemplate">
        <TextBlock Text="{Binding Name,
          ValidatesOnNotifyDataErrors=False}" />
      </DataTemplate>
    </Grid.Resources>
    <Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
      <ColumnDefinition />
      <ColumnDefinition />
    </Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
    <ListBox ItemsSource="{Binding Products}"
      SelectedItem="{Binding Products.CurrentItem}"
      ItemTemplate="{StaticResource ProductTemplate}" Margin="0,0,20,0" />
    <Grid Grid.Column="1">
      <Grid.RowDefinitions>
        <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
        <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
        <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
```

```
</Grid.RowDefinitions>
      <Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
        <ColumnDefinition Width="Auto" />
        <ColumnDefinition />
      </Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
      <Border Grid.ColumnSpan="2" BorderBrush="Red"
        BorderThickness="2" Background="#1FFF0000" CornerRadius="5"
        Visibility="{Binding Products.CurrentItem.HasErrors,
        Converter={StaticResource BoolToVisibilityConverter}}"
        Margin="0,0,0,10" Padding="10">
        <ItemsControl ItemsSource="{Binding Products.CurrentItem.Errors}"</pre>
          ItemTemplate="{StaticResource WrapTemplate}" />
      </Border>
      <TextBlock Grid.Row="1" Text="Name"
        Style="{StaticResource LabelStyle}" />
      <TextBox Grid.Row="1" Grid.Column="1"
        Text="{Binding Products.CurrentItem.Name,
        UpdateSourceTrigger=PropertyChanged,
        ValidatesOnNotifyDataErrors=True}"
        Style="{StaticResource FieldStyle}" />
      <TextBlock Grid.Row="2" Text="Price"
        Style="{StaticResource LabelStyle}" />
      <TextBox Grid.Row="2" Grid.Column="1"
        Text="{Binding Products.CurrentItem.Price,
        UpdateSourceTrigger=PropertyChanged,
        ValidatesOnNotifyDataErrors=True, Delay=250}"
        Style="{StaticResource FieldStyle}" />
    </Grid>
  </Grid>
</UserControl>
```

In the Resources section, we have declared a new DataTemplate element, named ProductTemplate. This just displays the value of the Name property, but importantly, with the binding's ValidatesOnNotifyDataErrors property set to False, so that no error template is displayed within the ListBoxItem elements.

Another point to note is that the Visibility property of the global error display's border has now been updated to work with the new HasErrors property from the INotifyDataErrorInfo interface, rather than the HasError property from our previous BaseValidationModelExtended class.

The only other change was made to the Text property binding of the two TextBox controls; when using the INotifyDataErrorInfo interface, instead of setting the ValidatesOnDataErrors property to True as before, we now need to set the ValidatesOnNotifyDataErrors property to True.

We'll update this example again shortly, but before that, let's explore another method of providing validation logic.

Annotating data

The .NET Framework also provides us with an alternative, attribute-based validation system in the System.ComponentModel.DataAnnotations namespace. It is mostly comprised of a wide range of attribute classes that we can decorate our data Model properties with so as to specify our validation rules. In addition to these attributes, it also includes a few validation classes, which we will investigate later.

As an example, let's look at replicating the current validation rules from our ProductNotify class with these data annotation attributes. We need to corroborate the fact that the Name property is entered and has a length of 25 characters or less, and that the Price property is more than zero. For the Name property, we can use the RequiredAttribute and the MaxLengthAttribute attributes:

```
using System.ComponentModel.DataAnnotations;
...
[Required(ErrorMessage = "Please enter the product name.")]
[MaxLength(25, ErrorMessage = "The product name cannot be longer than
twenty-five characters.")]
public string Name
{
  get { return name; }
   set { if (name != value) { name = value;
    NotifyPropertyChangedAndValidate(); } }
```

As with all attributes, we can omit the word Attribute when using them to decorate properties. Most of these data annotation attributes declare one or more constructors with a number of optional parameters. The ErrorMessage input parameter is used in each to set the message to output when the specified condition is not met.

The RequiredAttribute constructor has no input parameters and simply checks that the data bound value is not null or empty. The constructor of the MaxLengthAttribute class takes an integer that specifies the maximum allowable length of the data bound value and it will raise a ValidationError instance if the input value is longer.

For the Price property, we can make use of the RangeAttribute with a really high maximum value, as there is no MinimumAttribute class available:

```
[Range(0.01, (double)decimal.MaxValue,
ErrorMessage = "Please enter a valid price for the product.")]
public decimal Price
{
  get { return price; }
  set { if (price != value) { price = value;
    NotifyPropertyChangedAndValidate(); } }
}
```

The constructor of the RangeAttribute class takes two double values, which specify the minimum and maximum valid values, and, in this example, we set the minimum to one penny and the maximum to the maximum decimal value, as our Price property is of the decimal type. Note that we could not use the RequiredAttribute class here, as numeric data bound values will never be null or empty.

There are a large number of these data annotation attribute classes, covering the most common validation situations, but when we have a requirement that does not have a preexisting attribute to help us, we can create our own custom attribute by extending the ValidationAttribute class. Let's create an attribute that only validates a minimum value:

```
using System.ComponentModel.DataAnnotations;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Attributes
{
  public class MinimumAttribute : ValidationAttribute
  {
    private double minimumValue = 0.0;
    public MinimumAttribute(double minimumValue)
    {
      this.minimumValue = minimumValue;
    }
    protected override ValidationResult IsValid(object value,
      ValidationContext validationContext)
    {
      if (value.GetType() != typeof(decimal) ||
        (decimal)value < (decimal)minimumValue)</pre>
      {
        string[] memberNames =
          new string[] { validationContext.MemberName };
        return new ValidationResult (ErrorMessage, memberNames);
```

```
}
return ValidationResult.Success;
}
}
```

When we extend the ValidationAttribute class, we only need to override the IsValid method to return true or false, depending on our input value, which is specified by the value input parameter. In our simple example, we first declare the minimumValue field to store the target minimum allowable value to use during validation.

We populate this field in the class constructor, with the value that users of our class provide. Next, we override the IsValid method that returns a ValidationResult instance. In this method, we first check the type of the value input parameter and then cast it to decimal, in order to compare it with the value of our minimumValue field.

Note that we have hardcoded this double type as the type of our minimum value, because although our Price property is decimal, the decimal type is not considered primitive and therefore cannot be used in an attribute. A better, more reusable solution, would be to declare a number of constructors that accept different numerical types that could be used in a wider range of situations and to update our IsValid method to be able to compare the different types with the input value.

In our example, if the input value is either the incorrect type, or the cast value is less than the value of the minimumValue field, we first create the memberNames variable and insert the value of the MemberName property from the validationContext input parameter. We then return a new instance of the ValidationResult class, inputting the used error message and our memberNames collection.

If the input value is valid according to our particular validation logic, then we simply return the ValidationResult.Success field to signify successful validation. Let's now look at our new attribute being used on the Price property of our ProductNotify class:

```
[Minimum(0.01,
ErrorMessage = "Please enter a valid price for the product.")]
public decimal Price
{
  get { return price; }
   set { if (price != value) { price = value;
     NotifyPropertyChangedAndValidate(); } }
}
```

In effect, our new attribute will work exactly as the previously used RangeAttribute instance, but it clearly demonstrates how we can create our own custom validation attributes. Before we move on to see how we can read these errors with our code, let's first see how we can access the value of a second property from the data Model in our attribute, as this is a common requirement when validating:

```
PropertyInfo propertyInfo =
  validationContext.ObjectType.GetProperty(otherPropertyName);
if (propertyInfo == null) throw new ArgumentNullException(
  $"Unknown property: {otherPropertyName}");
object otherPropertyValue =
  propertyInfo.GetValue(validationContext.ObjectInstance);
```

This example assumes that we have added a reference to the System and System.Reflection namespaces and declared a string field named otherPropertyName, which is populated with the name of the other property name in the constructor. Using reflection, we attempt to access the PropertyInfo object that relates to the specified property name.

If the PropertyInfo object is null, we throw an ArgumentNullException object, alerting the developer that they have used a non-existent property name. Otherwise, we use the GetValue method of the PropertyInfo object to retrieve the value from the other property.

Now that we've seen how to use and create our own custom validation attributes, let's see how we can use them to validate our data Model instances from one of their base classes:

```
ValidationContext validationContext = new ValidationContext(this);
List<ValidationResult> validationResults = new List<ValidationResult>();
Validator.TryValidateObject(this, validationContext, validationResults,
    true);
```

We start by initializing a ValidationContext object, passing in the data Model instance from the base class. The context object is then passed to the TryValidateObject method of the Validator class, in order to retrieve any validation errors from any of the data annotation attributes.

We also initialize and pass a list of the ValidationResult type to the TryValidateObject method, which will get filled with errors for the current data object. Note that the fourth bool input parameter of this method specifies whether it will return errors for all properties, or just for those that have been decorated with RequiredAttribute from the data annotations namespace.

Later, we'll see how we can incorporate this into our application framework's validation base class, but now let's investigate how we can perform different levels of validation in different scenarios.

Varying levels of validation

One thing that is not addressed by either of the .NET validation interfaces is the ability to either turn validation on or off, or to set varying levels of validation. This can be useful in several different scenarios, such as having different Views to edit different properties of a data Model object.

An example of this might be having a View that enables users to update the security settings of a User object, where we want to validate that each property has a value, but only for the properties that are currently displayed in the View. After all, there is no point in informing the user that a certain field must be entered if they can't do that in their current View.

The solution is to define a number of levels of validation, in addition to the levels that represent full and no validation. Let's take a look at a simple ValidationLevel enumeration that could fulfill this requirement:

```
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Enums
{
   public enum ValidationLevel
   {
     None, Partial, Full
   }
}
```

As we can see, in this simple example, we just have the three levels of validation, although we could have added many more. However, in practice, we could still manage with this simple enumeration. Let's see how we could use it to implement multi-level validation in our validation base class:

```
private ValidationLevel validationLevel = ValidationLevel.Full;
public ValidationLevel ValidationLevel
{
  get { return validationLevel; }
  set { if (validationLevel != value) { validationLevel = value; } }
}
private void Validate(string propertyName, IEnumerable<string> errors)
{
```

```
if (ValidationLevel == ValidationLevel.None) return;
UpdateErrors(propertyName, this[propertyName]);
}
```

We add a ValidationLevel property, with its validationLevel backing field that defaults to the Full enumeration member, as that is the normal action. Then, in the Validate method, we add a new line that simply exits the method if the ValidationLevel property is set to the None enumeration member.

Finally, the developers that use our application framework need to use the ValidationLevel property when validating their properties in the data Model classes. Imagine a scenario where users could edit the names of our products directly in a collection control, or edit all of the product's properties in a separate View. Let's see what our ProductNotify class indexer property would need to look like to demonstrate this:

```
public override IEnumerable<string> this[string propertyName]
{
  get
  {
    List<string> errors = new List<string>();
    if (propertyName == nameof(Name))
    {
      if (string.IsNullOrEmpty(Name))
        errors.Add("Please enter the product name.");
      else if (Name.Length > 25) errors.Add("The product name cannot be
        longer than twenty-five characters.");
      if (Name.Length > 0 && char.IsLower(Name[0])) errors.Add("The first
        letter of the product name must be a capital letter.");
    }
    else if (propertyName == nameof(Price) &&
      ValidationLevel == ValidationLevel.Full && Price == 0)
      errors.Add("Please enter a valid price for the product.");
    return errors;
  }
}
```

Using our implementation of the INotifyDataErrorInfo interface, we first initialize a string list named errors and then we check the value of the propertyName input parameter. As this implementation enables us to return multiple validation errors per property, we need to take care with our if and else statements.

For example, when the propertyName input parameter equals Name, we have two if statements and one else statement. The first if statement verifies that the Name property has a value, while the else statement checks that its value is no longer than 25 characters.

As these two conditions cannot possibly both be true at the same time, we tie them together with the if...else statement. On the other hand, the product name could be longer than 25 characters and start with a lowercase letter and so, the next condition has its own if statement. In this example, the Name property will be validated when the ValidationLevel property is set to either the Partial or Full members.

However, the remaining condition for the Price property is only to be validated when the ValidationLevel property is set to the Full member and so, that is simply added as a further condition. To trigger partial validation on a data Model variable, we can simply set its ValidationLevel property as follows:

```
product.ValidationLevel = ValidationLevel.Partial;
```

Let's now investigate how we can combine the different techniques that we have viewed so far.

Incorporating multiple validation techniques

Now that we've had a good look at the two validation interfaces, the data annotation attributes and the ability to validate with different levels, let's take a look at how we can amalgamate these different techniques.

Let's create a BaseNotifyValidationModelExtended class by copying what we have in our BaseNotifyValidationModel class, and incorporating these following new additions. First, we need to add some extra using directives to the ones used in the previous implementation:

```
using System.Collections.Specialized;
using System.ComponentModel.DataAnnotations;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Enums;
```

Next, we need to add our validationLevel field:

```
private ValidationLevel validationLevel = ValidationLevel.Full;
```

We need to add a constructor, in which we attach the

ExternalErrors_CollectionChanged event handler to the CollectionChanged event of the ExternalErrors collection property, as we did earlier:

```
protected BaseNotifyValidationModelExtended()
{
   ExternalErrors.CollectionChanged += ExternalErrors_CollectionChanged;
}
```

Now, let's add the familiar ValidationLevel, Errors, and ExternalErrors properties, along with the abstract ValidateAllProperties method:

```
public ValidationLevel ValidationLevel
{
  get { return validationLevel; }
  set { if (validationLevel != value) { validationLevel = value; } }
}
public virtual ObservableCollection<string> Errors
  get
  {
    ObservableCollection<string> errors = new ObservableCollection<string>
      (AllPropertyErrors.Values.SelectMany(e => e).Distinct());
    ExternalErrors.Where(
      e => !errors.Contains(e)).ForEach(e => errors.Add(e));
    return errors;
  }
}
public ObservableCollection<string> ExternalErrors { get; } =
  new ObservableCollection<string>();
public abstract void ValidateAllProperties();
```

Note that in this implementation, users of our framework will no longer need to override the Errors property in order to ensure that their validatable properties are validated. While we still declare this property as virtual, so that it can be overridden if necessary, this base class implementation already compiles all validation errors into the internal collection, ready for display, and should replace the one that we copied from the previous base class.

This time, we initialize a new local errors collection with all of the unique errors from each property error collection in the AllPropertyErrors property Dictionary object. We then add any errors from the ExternalErrors collection, if they do not already exist in the errors collection. This string Errors collection is primarily used because it is convenient to data bind to in the UI.

After the new Errors property, we see the ExternalErrors auto property with its initializer and the abstract ValidateAllProperties method that needs to be implemented in the derived classes and can be called to force a new validation pass, either pre-emptively, or on the click of a save button, once all fields have been filled. We'll see an example implementation of this shortly.

Returning to our base class now, after the ValidateAllProperties method, we need to declare a couple of Validate methods, to replace the one from the BaseNotifyValidationModel class. The first of these is a convenience method that accepts any number of property name input parameters and simply calls the second method once for each property name:

```
public void Validate(params string[] propertyNames)
{
  foreach (string propertyName in propertyNames)
    Validate (propertyName);
}
public void Validate(string propertyName)
{
  if (ValidationLevel == ValidationLevel.None) return;
  ValidationContext validationContext = new ValidationContext (this);
  List<ValidationResult> validationResults = new List<ValidationResult>();
  Validator.TryValidateObject(this, validationContext, validationResults,
    true);
  IEnumerable<string> allErrors =
    validationResults.Where(v => v.MemberNames.Contains(propertyName)).
    Select(v => v.ErrorMessage).Concat(this[propertyName]);
  UpdateErrors(propertyName, allErrors);
}
```

In the Validate method, if the ValidationLevel property is set to the None member, we perform no validation and return from the method immediately. Otherwise, we retrieve the data annotation-related validation errors, as described earlier, in the *Annotating data* section.

We then filter just the errors that relate to the property that is specified by the propertyName input parameter and concatenate them with the collection of errors returned from the this indexer property. We end by passing the compiled collection, containing all of the errors, along with the propertyName input parameter, to the unchanged UpdateErrors method from our BaseNotifyValidationModel class.

Next, we need to add the ExternalErrors_CollectionChanged method, that is now referenced in the constructor. It simply notifies changes to the Errors collection property and the HasError property, so that they will be updated in the UI each time an external error is added or removed:

```
private void ExternalErrors_CollectionChanged(object sender,
   NotifyCollectionChangedEventArgs e)
{
   NotifyPropertyChanged(nameof(Errors), nameof(HasErrors));
}
```

The HasErrors property can be used to set the visibility of a collection control in the UI so that it can display the complete collection of errors, whenever any exist, and hide it when there are none. The last change that we need to make is to add an additional condition to the HasErrors property, which listens out for external errors, as well the internally generated ones:

```
public bool HasErrors => ExternalErrors.Any() ||
allPropertyErrors.Any(p => p.Value != null && p.Value.Any());
```

Now, our base validation class will manage errors that are defined in the indexer of each derived class, along with those defined in any data annotation attributes that may decorate the class properties and also those generated by external View Models. Let's now see how we can use this.

Let's first duplicate our ProductNotify class, rename it to ProductNotifyExtended, and make it extend our new BaseNotifyValidationModelExtended base class. We'll then need to make these following changes:

```
public class ProductNotifyExtended :
  BaseNotifyValidationModelExtended
{
  . . .
  public override IEnumerable<string> this[string propertyName]
  {
    get
    {
      List<string> errors = new List<string>();
      if (propertyName == nameof(Name))
      {
        . . .
      }
      else if (propertyName == nameof(Price) &&
        ValidationLevel == ValidationLevel.Full && Price == 0)
        errors.Add("Please enter a valid price for the product.");
      return errors;
    }
  }
  public override void ValidateAllProperties()
    Validate(nameof(Name), nameof(Price));
  }
}
```

This new data Model is the same as the duplicated one, other than the name, the base class, the ValidateAllProperties method, and the addition of the extra condition to the this indexer, which was discussed in the previous section.

The ValidateAllProperties method calls the Validate method of the base class, passing in the names of the Name and Price properties, and can be called from a View Model to validate those two properties at any time. The this indexer has been updated according to the example from the previous section, to enable the ValidationLevel property to play its part in the validation process.

Now, let's create a ProductNotifyViewModelExtended class by duplicating and renaming the ProductNotifyViewModel class and making the following changes:

```
public class ProductNotifyViewModelExtended : BaseViewModel
{
  private ProductsNotifyExtended products =
    new ProductsNotifyExtended();
  public ProductNotifyViewModelExtended()
    Products.Add(new ProductNotifyExtended() { Id = Guid.NewGuid(),
      Name = "Virtual Reality Headset", Price = 14.99m });
    Products.Add(new ProductNotifyExtended() { Id = Guid.NewGuid(),
      Name = "super virtual reality headset", Price = 49.99m });
    Products.CurrentItem = Products.Last();
    Products.CurrentItem.Validate(nameof(Products.CurrentItem.Name));
    Products.CurrentItem.Validate(nameof(Products.CurrentItem.Price));
  }
  public ProductsNotifyExtended Products
    get { return products; }
    set { if (products != value) { products = value;
      NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
  }
}
```

First, we replace all instances of the ProductNotify class with

the ProductNotifyExtended class, and all instances of the ProductsNotify class with the ProductsNotifyExtended class.

The ProductsNotifyExtended class is the standard wrapper for encapsulating our BaseCollection class' functionality:

```
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Collections
{
    public class ProductsNotifyExtended :
        BaseCollection<ProductNotifyExtended> { }
}
```

The final change in the ProductNotifyViewModelExtended class is to alter the values of the second data item in the constructor to those shown in the new example. Let's also create a new ProductNotifyViewExtended class from our ProductNotifyView class by simply duplicating and renaming it. No other changes to it are required at this point.

After wiring up the View and View Model in the App.xaml file and running this example, we can see that, like our BaseValidationModelExtended example, this implementation also enables us to display multiple validation errors per property in our global error output collection control:

The product name cannot be longer than twenty-five characters. The first letter of the product name must be a capital letter.		
Name super virtual reality headset		
Price	49.99	

Let's now examine how we can customize the way in which we highlight these validation errors to users.

Customizing the error template

In addition to the essential Errors and HasError properties, the Validation class also declares an ErrorTemplate Attached Property of the ControlTemplate type. The default template assigned to this property is responsible for defining the red rectangle that surrounds UI fields that have validation errors associated with them.

However, this property enables us to change this template and so, we are able to define how validation errors are highlighted to the application users. As this property is an Attached Property, this effectively means that we could apply a different template to be displayed for each control in the UI. However, this cannot be recommended because it could make the application look less consistent.

This template actually uses an Adorner element to render its graphics in the adorner layer, on top of the related control in error. Therefore, in order to specify where our error visual(s) should be rendered in relation to the related control, we need to declare an AdornedElementPlaceholder element in the error template.

Let's take a look at a simple example, where we define a slightly thicker, non-blurry border, unlike the default one, and paint over the background of the related control with feint red for added emphasis. We first need to define a ControlTemplate object in a suitable resource section:

```
<ControlTemplate x:Key="ErrorTemplate">

<Border BorderBrush="Red" BorderThickness="2" Background="#1FFF0000"

SnapsToDevicePixels="True">

<AdornedElementPlaceholder />

</Border>

</ControlTemplate>
```

In this example, we declare the AdornedElementPlaceholder element inside a Border element, so that the border will be rendered around the outside of the related control. Note that without declaring this AdornedElementPlaceholder element, our border would resemble a tiny red dot in the top left of the related control when an error occurred.

Now, let's see how we apply this template, using our earlier example of the control that was data bound to the Product.Price property:

```
<TextBox Grid.Row="2" Grid.Column="1"
Text="{Binding Products.CurrentItem.Price,
UpdateSourceTrigger=PropertyChanged,
ValidatesOnNotifyDataErrors=True, Delay=250}"
Style="{StaticResource FieldStyle}"
Validation.ErrorTemplate="{StaticResource ErrorTemplate}" />
```

Now, let's see what it looks like when rendered:

Name		
Price	0	

If we wanted to position our error highlighting elements in a different position with relation to the related control in error, we could use one of the panels to position them. Let's take a look at a slightly more advanced error template that we could use. Let's begin by declaring some resources in a suitable resource section:

```
<ToolTip x:Key="ValidationErrorsToolTip">
  <ItemsControl ItemsSource="{Binding}">
    <ItemsControl.ItemTemplate>
      <DataTemplate>
        <TextBlock Text="{Binding ErrorContent}" />
      </DataTemplate>
    </ItemsControl.ItemTemplate>
  </ItemsControl>
</ToolTip>
<ControlTemplate x:Key="WarningErrorTemplate">
  <StackPanel Orientation="Horizontal">
    <AdornedElementPlaceholder Margin="0,0,10,0" />
    <Image Source="pack://application:,,,/CompanyName.ApplicationName;</pre>
      component/Images/Warning_16.png" Stretch="None"
      ToolTip="{StaticResource ValidationErrorsToolTip}" />
  </StackPanel>
</ControlTemplate>
```

In this example, we declare a ToolTip resource named ValidationErrorsToolTip. In it, we declare an ItemsControl element to display all of the validation errors together. We define a DataTemplate element in the ItemTemplate property, which will output the value of the ErrorContent property of each ValidationError object in the Validation.Errors collection. This collection will be implicitly set as the data context of the control template.

Next, we declare a ControlTemplate element to set to the ErrorTemplate property, with the WarningErrorTemplate key. In it, we define a horizontal StackPanel control and, within that, we declare the required AdornedElementPlaceholder element. This is followed by the warning icon, taken from the Visual Studio icon set, that was discussed in Chapter 8, Creating Visually Appealing User Interfaces, with the ValidationErrorsToolTip resource applied to its ToolTip property.

We can apply this template using the ErrorTemplate property as follows:

```
<TextBox Grid.Row="2" Grid.Column="1"

Text="{Binding Products.CurrentItem.Price,

UpdateSourceTrigger=PropertyChanged,

ValidatesOnNotifyDataErrors=True, Delay=250}"

Style="{StaticResource FieldStyle}"

Validation.ErrorTemplate="{StaticResource WarningErrorTemplate}" />
```

When a validation error now occurs on this TextBox control, it will look like this:

Name		^
Price	0	Please enter the product name.

Now that we've investigated a variety of ways to display our validation errors, let's move on to explore how we can avoid UI-based validation errors altogether.

Avoiding UI-based validation errors

In the last example from the previous section, we data bound the entire Validation.Errors collection to a tooltip in the error template for our TextBox control. We also data bound our own Errors collection from our base class to the ItemsControl element above the form fields.
Our Errors collection can display all of the errors for all of the properties in each data Model. However, the Validation.Errors collection has access to UI-based validation errors that never make it back to the View Models. Take a look at the following example:

Pleas Pleas	e enter a valid price for the product. e enter the product name.	
lame]▲
Price	Ot]
		Please enter a valid price for the product. Value '0t' could not be converted.

The UI-based validation error says **Value** '0t' could not be converted, and that explains why the View Models never see this error. The type of value expected in the data bound property is decimal, but an unconvertible value has been entered. Therefore, the input value cannot be converted to a valid decimal number and so, the data bound value is never updated.

However, the Validation.Errors collection is a UI element, and each data bound control has its own collection, and so we have no simple way to access them all from our View Model classes. Furthermore, the ValidationError class is in the System.Windows.Controls UI assembly, so we don't want to add a reference of that to our ViewModels project.

Instead of trying to control the UI-based validation errors from the View Models, we can alternatively extend controls, or define Attached Properties that restrict the ability of the users to enter invalid data in the first place, thereby avoiding the need for UI-based validation. Let's take a look at one way in which we can modify a standard TextBox control, so that it will only accept numerical input, using our TextBoxProperties class:

```
using System.Text.RegularExpressions;
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Controls;
using System.Windows.Input;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Attached
{
```

```
public class TextBoxProperties : DependencyObject
  #region IsNumericOnly
  public static readonly DependencyProperty IsNumericOnlyProperty =
    DependencyProperty.RegisterAttached("IsNumericOnly",
    typeof(bool), typeof(TextBoxProperties),
    new UIPropertyMetadata(default(bool), OnIsNumericOnlyChanged));
 public static bool GetIsNumericOnly(DependencyObject dependencyObject)
  {
   return (bool) dependencyObject.GetValue (IsNumericOnlyProperty);
  }
  public static void SetIsNumericOnly(DependencyObject dependencyObject,
   bool value)
    dependencyObject.SetValue(IsNumericOnlyProperty, value);
  }
 private static void OnIsNumericOnlyChanged(DependencyObject
    dependencyObject, DependencyPropertyChangedEventArgs e)
  {
    TextBox textBox = (TextBox) dependencyObject;
    bool newIsNumericOnlyValue = (bool)e.NewValue;
    if (newIsNumericOnlyValue)
    {
      textBox.PreviewTextInput += TextBox_PreviewTextInput;
     textBox.PreviewKeyDown += TextBox_PreviewKeyDown;
      DataObject.AddPastingHandler(textBox, TextBox_Pasting);
    }
    else
    {
      textBox.PreviewTextInput -= TextBox_PreviewTextInput;
      textBox.PreviewKeyDown -= TextBox_PreviewKeyDown;
      DataObject.RemovePastingHandler(textBox, TextBox_Pasting);
    }
  }
 private static void TextBox PreviewTextInput(object sender,
    TextCompositionEventArgs e)
  {
    string text = GetFullText((TextBox)sender, e.Text);
    e.Handled = !IsTextValid(text);
  }
 private static void TextBox_PreviewKeyDown(object sender,
   KeyEventArgs e)
```

}

```
{
    TextBox textBox = (TextBox) sender;
    if (textBox.Text.Length == 1 &&
      (e.Key == Key.Delete || e.Key == Key.Back))
    {
     textBox.Text = "0";
     textBox.CaretIndex = 1;
      e.Handled = true;
    }
    else if (textBox.Text == "0") textBox.Clear();
   else e.Handled = e.Key == Key.Space;
  }
 private static void TextBox_Pasting(object sender,
    DataObjectPastingEventArgs e)
  {
    if (e.DataObject.GetDataPresent(typeof(string)))
    {
      string text = GetFullText((TextBox)sender,
        (string)e.DataObject.GetData(typeof(string)));
      if (!IsTextValid(text)) e.CancelCommand();
    }
    else e.CancelCommand();
  }
 private static string GetFullText(TextBox textBox, string input)
  {
    return textBox.SelectedText.Length > 0 ?
      string.Concat(textBox.Text.Substring(0, textBox.SelectionStart),
      input, textBox.Text.Substring(textBox.SelectionStart +
     textBox.SelectedText.Length)) :
      textBox.Text.Insert(textBox. SelectionStart, input);
  }
 private static bool IsTextValid(string text)
  {
    return Regex.Match(text, @"^\d*\.?\d*$").Success;
  ł
  #endregion
  . . .
}
```

Excluding the other, existing members from our <code>TextBoxProperties</code> class, we first declare the <code>IsNumericOnly</code> Attached Property and its related getter and setter methods and attach the <code>OnlsNumericOnlyChanged</code> handler.

In the OnIsNumericOnlyChanged method, we first cast the dependencyObject input parameter to a TextBox element and then cast the NewValue property of the DependencyPropertyChangedEventArgs class to the bool newIsNumericOnlyValue variable.

If the newIsNumericOnlyValue variable is true, we attach our event handlers for the PreviewTextInput, PreviewKeyDown, and DataObject.Pasting events. If the newIsNumericOnlyValue variable is false, we detach the handlers.

We need to handle all of these events in order to create a TextBox control that can only enter numerical values. The UIElement.PreviewTextInput event is raised when a TextBox element receives a text input from any device, the Keyboard.PreviewKeyDown event occurs specifically when a keyboard key is pressed, and the DataObject.Pasting event is raised when we paste from the clipboard.

The TextCompositionEventArgs object in the TextBox_PreviewTextInput handler method only provides us with the last typed character through its Text property, along with TextComposition details. At the stage that this tunneling event is called, the Text property of the relevant TextBox control is not yet aware of this latest character.

Therefore, in order to correctly validate the whole entered text value, we need to combine the existing value with this new character. We do that in the GetFullText method and pass the returned value to the IsTextValid method.

We then set the inverted return value of the IsTextValid method to the Handled property of the TextCompositionEventArgs input parameter. Note that we invert this bool value, because setting the Handled property to true will stop the event from being routed any further and result in the latest character not being accepted. Therefore, we do this when the input value is invalid.

Next, we see the TextBox_PreviewKeyDown event handler method, and in it, we again start by casting the sender input parameter to a TextBox instance. We specifically need to handle this event, because the PreviewTextInput event does not get raised when the *Space bar*, *Delete*, or *Backspace* keys on the keyboard are pressed.

Therefore, we stop the event being routed any further by setting the Handled property of the KeyEventArgs input parameter to true if the pressed key is the *Space bar* key, or if the length of the entered text is a single character and the *Delete* or *Backspace* key is pressed; this stops the user from deleting the last character from the TextBox control, which would result in a UI-based validation error.

However, if the user was trying to delete the last character because it was incorrect and they wanted to replace it with a different value, this could be awkward. Therefore, in this situation, we replace the last character with a zero and place the caret position after it, which then enables the user to type a different value. Note our extra condition that clears the text if the input is 0, so that it will be replaced with the typed character.

In the TextBox_Pasting handler method, we check whether the DataObject property that is accessed from the DataObjectPastingEventArgs input parameter has any string data available, and call its CancelCommand method to cancel the paste operation if not.

If string data is present, we cast the sender input parameter to a TextBox instance and then pass the data from the DataObject property to the GetFullText method to reconstruct the whole entered string. We pass the reconstructed text to the IsTextValid method and, if it is invalid, then we call the CancelCommand method to cancel the paste operation.

Next is the GetFullText method, where the entered text from the TextBox element is reconstructed. In this method, if any text is selected in the TextBox control, we rebuild the string by concatenating the portion of text before the selection with the newly entered or pasted text and the portion of text after the selection. Otherwise, we use the Insert method of the String class, along with the TextBox control's SelectionStart property, to insert the new character into the appropriate place in the string.

At the end of the class, we see the IsTextValid method, which simply returns the Success property value of the Regex.Match method. The regular expression that we validate with is as follows:

@"^\d*\.?\d*\$"

The ampersand (@)marks the string as a Verbatim String Literal, which is useful when using characters that normally need to be escaped, the caret (^) signifies the start of the input line, \d^* indicates that we can have zero or more numerical digits, $\.?$ specifies that zero or one periods are then valid, \d^* again indicates that we can then have zero or more numerical digits, and finally, $\$ signifies the end of the input line.

When attached to an ordinary TextBox control, we can now only enter numeric values, but both integer and decimal values are allowed. Note that this particular implementation does not accept the minus sign, as we don't want to allow negative prices, but that could be easily changed. Using our earlier ProductNotifyViewExtended example, we can attach our new property like this:

```
xmlns:Attached="clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Attached"
...
<TextBox Grid.Row="2" Grid.Column="1"
   Text="{Binding Products.CurrentItem.Price,
   UpdateSourceTrigger=PropertyChanged,
   ValidatesOnNotifyDataErrors=True, Delay=250}"
   Style="{StaticResource FieldStyle}"
   Attached:TextBoxProperties.IsNumericOnly="True" />
```

Keeping Synchronized with Legacy Behavior

Those of you who have been experimenting with our various Product-related examples may have noticed something peculiar occurring when attempting to enter a price. In .NET 4.5, Microsoft decided to introduce a breaking change to the way that data is entered in the TextBox control, when the binding UpdateSourceTrigger value is set to PropertyChanged.

From .NET 4.5, we can no longer enter a numerical separator, neither a period nor a comma, when we have data bound the TextBox.Text property to a float, double, or decimal data type. The reason why they did this was because previously, the value displayed in the TextBox control would get out of sync with the data bound value, at the moment when the user types a non-numerical character.

Let's investigate this situation; A user wants to enter 0.99 and, after the second character, the input value of 0. is sent back to the data bound View Model. But as it is not a valid decimal value, it is therefore parsed to 0 and that value is sent back to the data bound Textbox element to be displayed. Therefore, the second character, the decimal point, is removed from the Text field.

Unfortunately, this change means that users can no longer directly type decimal places into a TextBox control when the UpdateSourceTrigger property is set to PropertyChanged. This can be seen in our ProductView example, where there is simply no way to enter a valid value with decimal places in the TextBox control labeled Price. There are a number of ways to get around this issue, but none of them are perfect. One simple way is to set the Mode property on the Binding element to the OneWayToSource member, to stop the value being returned from the View Model, although this will also stop any initial default values being sent as well.

When this breaking change was announced in .NET 4.5, a new property was introduced along with the change; The KeepTextBoxDisplaySynchronizedWithTextProperty property was added to the FrameworkCompatibilityPreferences class and specifies whether a TextBox control should display the same as its data bound property value. If we set this to false, it should return the previous behavior:

```
FrameworkCompatibilityPreferences.
KeepTextBoxDisplaySynchronizedWithTextProperty = false;
```

Note that we need to set this property very early in the application lifetime, such as in the constructor of the App.xaml.cs file. Once set, it cannot be changed. Another way to avoid this problem is to set the UpdateSourceTrigger property to any value other than PropertyChanged:

```
<TextBox Text="{Binding Products.CurrentItem.Price,
Style="{StaticResource FieldStyle}" UpdateSourceTrigger=LostFocus ... />
```

However, this is no use if we want to validate pre-emptively, or want our data source to update with each key press. Alternatively, we could simply data bind a string property to our TextBox control and perform our own number parsing in our View Model. This is perhaps the best solution from a user's point of view, as it would enable them to type their values with ease.

Another option would be to utilize the Delay property of the Binding class, that we discussed in Chapter 4, *Becoming Proficient with Data Binding*. If we set this to a figure of just a few hundred milliseconds, this would give the user enough time to enter their number, including the decimal point and the following digit(s), before the value is parsed to the data bound type:

```
<TextBox Text="{Binding Products.CurrentItem.Price,
UpdateSourceTrigger=PropertyChanged, Delay=250}" ... />
```

This is the option that we used in our examples, primarily because it is a quick and easy fix for this problem. However, care should be taken when using this method with actual monetary properties, as mistakes can easily be made if the user types slowly and does not pay attention to the entered value.

As always with WPF, there are a number of different ways to implement any solution. As we just saw in the previous section, there are also other ways to stop users from entering invalid data in the first place; we could build, or make use of a third-party numeric up/down control, enable users to enter time values using a custom clock control, or even use combo boxes to restrict the values that users can select to a set of allowable values.

Amalgamating validation and visuals

Let's now utilize some of the techniques that we discussed in Chapter 8, *Creating Visually Appealing User Interfaces*, to design a visually appealing user interface that highlights validation errors in a novel way, using our glowing example. For this example, we want the ability to know when the data has changed, so we'll need to extend our earlier BaseSynchronizableDataModel class in another new base class.

Let's duplicate our BaseNotifyValidationModelExtended class so as to create a new BaseNotifyValidationModelGeneric class, and make it extend our synchronizable base class. In doing so, we will also need to make it generic and add the same generic constraints for the T generic type parameter from the base class to its declaration:

```
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Interfaces;
....
public abstract class BaseNotifyValidationModelGeneric<T> :
   BaseSynchronizableDataModel<T>, INotifyPropertyChanged,
   INotifyDataErrorInfo
   where T : BaseDataModel, ISynchronizableDataModel<T>, new()
```

We'll need to remove the copied implementation of

the INotifyPropertyChanged interface and make use of the existing implementation from the BaseSynchronizableDataModel class instead. We'll also need to implement the new base class' required members in a new ProductNotifyGeneric class. Let's start by duplicating the ProductNotifyExtended class, renaming it to ProductNotifyGeneric, and then adding these methods to the end of it:

```
public class ProductNotifyGeneric :
   BaseNotifyValidationModelGeneric<ProductNotifyGeneric>
{
   ...
   public override void CopyValuesFrom(ProductNotifyGeneric product)
   {
    Id = product.Id;
    Name = product.Name;
```

```
Price = product.Price;
}
public override bool PropertiesEqual(ProductNotifyGeneric otherProduct)
{
    if (otherProduct == null) return false;
    return Id == otherProduct.Id && Name == otherProduct.Name &&
        Price == otherProduct.Price;
}
public override string ToString()
{
    return $"{Name}: f{Price:N2}";
}
```

First, we extend from our new generic BaseNotifyValidationModelGeneric class and implement all required members of the base class; the CopyValuesFrom method is used to make cloned copies of the data object, the PropertiesEqual method is used to compare its property values with other ProductNotifyGeneric instances, and the ToString method provides a useful textual output for the class.

Now that we have extended our BaseNotifyValidationModelGeneric class from our earlier BaseSynchronizableDataModel class and extended from this, in turn, in our ProductNotifyGeneric class, we can now create a new ProductsNotifyGeneric collection class to extend our earlier BaseSynchronizableCollection class:

```
public class ProductsNotifyGeneric :
    BaseSynchronizableCollection<ProductNotifyGeneric> { }
```

Let's now create a View Model for this new example, where we will use these new Models. We can start by duplicating the ProductViewModelExtended View Model and renaming it to ProductNotifyViewModelGeneric. We will need to replace all instances of the ProductExtended class with our new ProductNotifyGeneric class, and all instances of the ProductsExtended collection class with the new ProductsNotifyGeneric class.

After adding the two unchanged products from the previous View Model to it, we can now call the Synchronize method on our new ProductsNotifyGeneric collection in the constructor, in order to set the unchanged state of all of the contained data items:

```
public ProductNotifyViewModelGeneric()
{
    Products.Add(new ProductNotifyGeneric() { Id = Guid.NewGuid(),
    Name = "Virtual Reality Headset", Price = 14.99m });
    Products.Add(new ProductNotifyGeneric() { Id = Guid.NewGuid(),
```

The only other change in the constructor is that we now call the base class Validate method on the current item, passing in the names of the Name and Price properties, which validates these fields in a pre-emptive manner, before the user has a chance to enter any data.

The final thing that we need to add to this class is a number of methods that handle a command from the UI:

```
using System.Windows.Input;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels.Commands;
. . .
public ICommand DeleteCommand
{
  get { return new ActionCommand(action => Delete(action),
    canExecute => CanDelete(canExecute)); }
}
private bool CanDelete(object parameter)
{
  return Products.Contains((ProductNotifyGeneric)parameter);
}
private void Delete(object parameter)
{
  Products.Remove((ProductNotifyGeneric)parameter);
}
```

Here, we use our ActionCommand class to create an ICommand instance, which users can use to delete the selected item from the product collection in the UI. In the CanDelete method, we verify that the item to delete actually exists in the collection, but this can be replaced with your own condition. For example, you could check whether the item has any changes, or whether the current user has the correct security permission to delete objects. In the Delete method, we simply remove the selected item from the collection. Now that our View Model is ready, let's turn our attention to the accompanying View. For this, let's create a new View and name it ProductNotifyViewGeneric. We'll then need to supply some more resources to use in this example. Let's start by adding two further glow brush resources to the application resources file, with the GreenGlow brush resource from Chapter 8, Creating Visually Appealing User Interfaces:

```
<RadialGradientBrush x:Key="BlueGlow" Center="0.5,0.848"
GradientOrigin="0.5,0.818" RadiusX="-1.424" RadiusY="-0.622"
RelativeTransform="{StaticResource GlowTransformGroup}">
<GradientStop Color="#CF01C7FF" Offset="0.168" />
<GradientStop Color="#4B01C7FF" Offset="0.478" />
<GradientStop Color="#1101C7FF" Offset="1" />
</RadialGradientBrush>
<RadialGradientBrush>
<RadialGradientBrush x:Key="RedGlow" Center="0.5,0.848"
GradientOrigin="0.5,0.818" RadiusX="-1.424" RadiusY="-0.622"
RelativeTransform="{StaticResource GlowTransformGroup}">
<GradientStop Color="#CFFF0000" Offset="0.168" />
<GradientStop Color="#ABFF0000" Offset="0.478" />
<GradientStop Color="#ABFF0000" Offset="0.478" />
<GradientStop Color="#00FF0000" Offset="1" />
</RadialGradientBrush>
```

Let's now see the styles that use these brush resources:

```
<Style x:Key="GlowStyle" TargetType="{x:Type Rectangle}">
   <Setter Property="SnapsToDevicePixels" Value="True" />
   <Setter Property="Opacity" Value="1.0" />
   <Setter Property="StrokeThickness" Value="0" />
   <Setter Property="RadiusX" Value="2.5" />
   <Setter Property="RadiusX" Value="2.5" />
   <Setter Property="IsHitTestVisible" Value="False" />
   <Setter Property="VerticalAlignment" Value="Stretch" />
   <Setter Property="HorizontalAlignment" Value="Stretch" />
   <Setter Property="Fill" Value="{StaticResource BlueGlow}" />
</style>
```

This first style is reusable and can be declared in the global application resources, while the following styles extend the first, are data Model-specific, and could be declared locally in our new ProductNotifyViewGeneric class:

```
<Style x:Key="ProductGlowStyle" TargetType="{x:Type Rectangle}"
BasedOn="{StaticResource GlowStyle}">
<Style.Triggers>
<DataTrigger Binding="{Binding Products.CurrentItem.HasChanges,
FallbackValue=False, Mode=OneWay}" Value="True">
<Setter Property="Fill" Value="{StaticResource GreenGlow}" />
</DataTrigger>
<DataTrigger Binding="{Binding Products.CurrentItem.HasErrors,
```

```
FallbackValue=False, Mode=OneWay}" Value="True">
      <Setter Property="Fill" Value="{StaticResource RedGlow}" />
    </DataTrigger>
  </Style.Triggers>
</Style>
<Style x:Key="ProductItemGlowStyle" TargetType="{x:Type Rectangle}"</pre>
 BasedOn="{StaticResource GlowStyle}">
  <Style.Triggers>
    <DataTrigger Binding="{Binding HasChanges, FallbackValue=False,</pre>
      Mode=OneWay}" Value="True">
      <Setter Property="Fill" Value="{StaticResource GreenGlow}" />
    </DataTrigger>
    <DataTrigger Binding="{Binding HasErrors, FallbackValue=False,</pre>
     Mode=OneWay}" Value="True">
      <Setter Property="Fill" Value="{StaticResource RedGlow}" />
    </DataTrigger>
 </Style.Triggers>
</Style>
```

We declare the ProductGlowStyle style for our form rectangle, and the ProductItemGlowStyle style for our data items in the Products collection. The only differences can be found in the binding paths of the two data triggers.

In these styles, we add a DataTrigger element that sets the rectangle Fill property to the GreenGlow resource when the HasChanges property of the current item in the Products collection is True, and another that sets it to the RedGlow resource when the HasErrors property of the current item is True. As the trigger that highlights errors is declared after the one that highlights valid changes, this will override the first if both conditions are True, which is essential for this example.

Next, we need to alter our default styles, which we added to the application resources for our first product example. Let's add these new styles that are based on the original ones to our ProductNotifyViewGeneric class, so that they override the default ones:

```
<Style x:Key="WhiteLabelStyle" TargetType="{x:Type TextBlock}"
BasedOn="{StaticResource LabelStyle}">
<Setter Property="Foreground" Value="White" />
</Style>
<Style x:Key="ErrorFreeFieldStyle" TargetType="{x:Type TextBox}"
BasedOn="{StaticResource FieldStyle}">
<Setter Property="Validation.ErrorTemplate" Value="{x:Null}" />
</Style>
```

As these new styles are based on the previous ones, we keep the same attribute values, but add a further one to each style. The WhiteLabelStyle style sets the Foreground property to White, and the ErrorFreeFieldStyle style sets

the Validation.ErrorTemplate Attached Property to null, as we will have other ways to highlight validation errors in this example.

Let's now see the data template resource for the new ProductNotifyGeneric class, which makes use of our new ProductItemGlowStyle style, first ensuring that we have added a couple of XML namespace prefixes for our DataModels and Views projects:

```
xmlns:DataModels="clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels;
  assembly=CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels"
xmlns:Views="clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views"
<DataTemplate DataType="{x:Type DataModels:ProductNotifyGeneric}">
  <Border CornerRadius="3" BorderBrush="{StaticResource TransparentBlack}"
    BorderThickness="1" Background="{StaticResource TransparentWhite}">
    <Border Name="InnerBorder" CornerRadius="2" Margin="1"
      Background="{StaticResource LayeredButtonBackground}">
      <Grid>
        <Rectangle IsHitTestVisible="False" RadiusX="2" RadiusY="2"
          Style="{StaticResource ProductItemGlowStyle}" />
        <Grid>
          <Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
            <ColumnDefinition Width="Auto" />
            <ColumnDefinition />
            <ColumnDefinition Width="Auto" />
          </Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
          <Image Width="24" Height="24"
            Source="pack://application:,,,/CompanyName.ApplicationName;
            component/Images/Product.ico" VerticalAlignment="Center"
            Margin="3,2,5,2" />
          <TextBlock Grid.Column="1" HorizontalAlignment="Left"
            VerticalAlignment="Center" Text="{Binding Name}"
            TextWrapping="Wrap" Margin="0,1,5,3" Foreground="White"
            FontSize="14" Validation.ErrorTemplate="{x:Null}" />
          <Button Grid.Column="2"
            Command="{Binding DataContext.DeleteCommand,
            RelativeSource={RelativeSource FindAncestor,
            AncestorType={x:Type Views:ProductNotifyViewGeneric}}}"
            CommandParameter="{Binding}" Margin="0,2,4,2"
            Width="20" Height="20">
            <Image Width="16" Height="16"
              Source="pack://application:,,,/CompanyName.ApplicationName;
              component/Images/Delete_16.png"
              HorizontalAlignment="Center" VerticalAlignment="Center" />
          </Button>
```

```
</Grid>
</Grid>
</Border>
</Border>
</DataTemplate>
```

In this example, we reuse our double border technique from Chapter 8, *Creating Visually Appealing User Interfaces*, so there's no need to examine that code again. Inside the borders, we declare a Grid panel, which contains a Rectangle element, that has our new ProductItemGlowStyle style applied to it, and another Grid panel to display each user's name and a couple of images.

These images are from the Visual Studio Image Library, which we discussed earlier, and we use the first to signify that these objects are products. The VerticalAlignment property of each of the three elements is set to Center, to ensure that they are all aligned vertically, and the TextWrapping property of the TextBlock element is set to Wrap in case any products have a long name.

Note that the ErrorTemplate property of the Validation class has been set to null here in order to remove the default error template, which usually shows up as an unappealing red rectangle. As we make the entire object glow red when it has an error, there is no need for the default template to be displayed as well.

The second image specifies that each of these items can be deleted. Note that it is declared within a Button control, and while we have not attempted to style that button, it could also be given the double border treatment, or any other custom style. This button is optional, but has been included merely as an example of linking a command from the View Model to each data object.

Note that the binding path in the button's Command property uses a RelativeSource binding to reference the ancestor of the ProductNotifyViewGeneric type. In particular, it references the DeleteCommand property of the DataContext of the View, which, in our case, is an instance of our ProductNotifyViewModelGeneric class.

The CommandParameter property is then data bound to the entire data context of each data template, which means that the whole ProductNotifyGeneric data Model object will be passed through as the command parameter. Using our ActionCommand class, this is specified by the action and canExecute fields in the earlier example from our ProductNotifyViewModelGeneric class.

Now that we have styled our ProductNotifyGeneric items in the ListBox control with this data template, there is something else that we can do to improve the look further; we can remove the default selection rectangle of the ListBoxItem elements that wrap our data Models. In .NET 3.5 and before, we could simply add some resources to a style for the ListBoxItem class that would do the job for us:

```
<Style TargetType="{x:Type ListBoxItem}">
    <Style.Resources>
        <SolidColorBrush x:Key="{x:Static SystemColors.HighlightBrushKey}"
        Color="Transparent" />
        <SolidColorBrush x:Key="{x:Static SystemColors.ControlBrushKey}"
        Color="Transparent" />
        <SolidColorBrush x:Key="{x:Static SystemColors.HighlightTextBrushKey}"
        Color="Black" />
        <SolidColorBrush x:Key="{x:Static SystemColors.ControlTextBrushKey}"
        Color="Black" />
        <SolidColorBrush x:Key="{x:Static SystemColors.ControlTextBrushKey}"
        Color="Black" />
        <SolidColorBrush x:Key="{x:Static SystemColors.ControlTextBrushKey}"
        Color="Black" />
        </Style.Resources>
<//Style>
```

However, from .NET 4.0 onward, this will no longer work. Instead, we now need to define a new ControlTemplate object for the ListBoxItem class that does not highlight its background when selected, or when the user's mouse cursor is over it:

```
<Style TargetType="{x:Type ListBoxItem}">
 <Setter Property="Padding" Value="0" />
 <Setter Property="Margin" Value="2,2,2,0" />
 <Setter Property="BorderThickness" Value="1" />
 <Setter Property="Template">
   <Setter.Value>
      <ControlTemplate TargetType="{x:Type ListBoxItem}">
        <Border x:Name="Bd" BorderBrush="{TemplateBinding BorderBrush}"</pre>
          BorderThickness="{TemplateBinding BorderThickness}"
         Background="{TemplateBinding Background}"
         Padding="{TemplateBinding Padding}" SnapsToDevicePixels="True">
          <ContentPresenter
            ContentTemplate="{TemplateBinding ContentTemplate}"
            Content="{TemplateBinding Content}"
            ContentStringFormat="{TemplateBinding ContentStringFormat}"
            HorizontalAlignment="{TemplateBinding
            HorizontalContentAlignment}"
            SnapsToDevicePixels="{TemplateBinding SnapsToDevicePixels}"
            VerticalAlignment="{TemplateBinding VerticalContentAlignment}"
            />
        </Border>
        <ControlTemplate.Triggers>
          <Trigger Property="IsEnabled" Value="False">
            <Setter Property="TextElement.Foreground"
```

```
TargetName="Bd" Value="{DynamicResource
    {x:Static SystemColors.GrayTextBrushKey}}" />
    </Trigger>
    </ControlTemplate.Triggers>
    </ControlTemplate>
    </Setter.Value>
    </Setter>
</Style>
```

To create the ControlTemplate element in this style, we first accessed the default template of the ListBoxItem class, as described in the *Modifying Existing Controls* section of Chapter 5, *Using the Right Controls for the Job*, and then simply removed the triggers that colored the background. We then added it to a style with no x:Key directive, so that it will be implicitly applied to all ListBoxItem elements within scope.

Next, we have the ErrorBorderStyle style, which styles the border of our global validation error display and uses our BoolToVisibilityConverter class to set the Visibility property to show the control when the HasErrors property of the current item in the Products collection is True:

```
<Style x:Key="ErrorBorderStyle" TargetType="{x:Type Border}">
<Setter Property="BorderBrush" Value="#7BFF0000" />
<Setter Property="Background" Value="#7BFFDFE1" />
<Setter Property="BorderThickness" Value="1" />
<Setter Property="CornerRadius" Value="2.75" />
<Setter Property="Padding" Value="5,3" />
<Setter Property="Margin" Value="0,0,0,5" />
<Setter Property="SnapsToDevicePixels" Value="True" />
<Setter Property="Visibility"
Value="{Binding Products.CurrentItem.HasErrors,
    Converter={StaticResource BoolToVisibilityConverter},
    FallbackValue=Collapsed, Mode=OneWay}" />
</style>
```

Now that we've added all of the required resources for our View, let's move on to see the XAML file in the ProductNotifyViewGeneric class that uses them:

```
<Grid Margin="20">

<Grid.Resources>

...

</Grid.Resources>

<Grid.ColumnDefinitions>

<ColumnDefinition />

<ColumnDefinition />

</Grid.ColumnDefinitions>

<ListBox ItemsSource="{Binding Products}"
```

```
SelectedItem="{Binding Products.CurrentItem}" Margin="0,0,20,0"
    HorizontalContentAlignment="Stretch" />
  <Border Grid.Column="1" CornerRadius="3"
    BorderBrush="{StaticResource TransparentBlack}" BorderThickness="1"
    Background="{StaticResource TransparentWhite}">
    <Border Name="InnerBorder" CornerRadius="2" Margin="1"
      Background="{StaticResource LayeredButtonBackground}">
      <Grid>
        <Rectangle IsHitTestVisible="False" RadiusX="2" RadiusY="2"
          Style="{StaticResource ProductGlowStyle}" />
        <Grid Margin="10">
          <Grid.RowDefinitions>
            <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
            <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
            <RowDefinition Height="Auto" />
          </Grid.RowDefinitions>
          <Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
            <ColumnDefinition Width="Auto" />
            <ColumnDefinition />
          </Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
          <TextBlock Text="Name"
            Style="{StaticResource WhiteLabelStyle}" />
          <TextBox Grid.Column="1"
            Text="{Binding Products.CurrentItem.Name,
            UpdateSourceTrigger=PropertyChanged}"
            Style="{StaticResource ErrorFreeFieldStyle}" />
          <TextBlock Grid.Row="1" Text="Price"
            Style="{StaticResource WhiteLabelStyle}" />
          <TextBox Grid.Row="1" Grid.Column="1"
            Text="{Binding Products.CurrentItem.Price,
            UpdateSourceTrigger=PropertyChanged, Delay=250}"
            Style="{StaticResource ErrorFreeFieldStyle}"
            Attached:TextBoxProperties.IsNumericOnly="True" />
          <Border Grid.Row="2" Grid.ColumnSpan="2" Style="{StaticResource</pre>
            ErrorBorderStyle}" Margin="0,0,0,10" Padding="10">
            <ItemsControl ItemsSource="{Binding
Products.CurrentItem.Errors}"
              ItemTemplate="{StaticResource WrapTemplate}" />
          </Border>
        </Grid>
      </Grid>
    </Border>
  </Border>
</Grid>
```

We use the same Grid panel as in the last example, with a ListBox control on the left and some form controls on the right. Note that we set the HorizontalContentAlignment property to Stretch on the ListBox control to ensure that its ListBoxItem elements stretch to fit its whole width.

On the right, we see the double borders and the Rectangle element that is painted with the glow color resource that we created in Chapter 8, *Creating Visually Appealing User Interfaces*. Rather than hardcoding one particular color resource, as we did earlier, we instead apply our new ProductGlowStyle style to it, which will change the color with its data triggers, according to the validity of the data.

Note that we have added an outer Grid panel, that contains only the glow rectangle and the original Grid panel, which now adds an outer margin to our form. The original panel remains much unchanged from the previous example, although the error display border now uses our new ErrorBorderStyle style and is displayed underneath the form fields, in acknowledgment that some users don't like their fields moving as errors appear and disappear.

The form fields also mostly remain the same, although when using our new implementation, we no longer need to set the ValidatesOnNotifyDataErrors property to True on each binding. We also apply our new WhiteLabelStyle and ErrorFreeFieldStyle styles to the form labels and fields, to color the label foreground white and to hide the default red error border when there are validation errors.

When running this View now, it would render the following visual output, with a red glow on the form and the item in error:

Virtual Reality Headset	Name Virtual Reality Headset Price 0
	Please enter a valid price for the product. The product name must be unique.

After correcting the errors, we'll see a green glow on the form and the edited item:

Virtual Reality Headset	×	Name	Virtual Reality Headset 2
🚱 Virtual Reality Headset 2	×	Price	29.99

After saving the changes, we'd need to call the Synchronize method on the Products collection again and then we'd see the following screenshot, where all objects are now painted with the default blue glow:

🚱 Virtual Reality Headset	×	Name	Virtual Reality Headset 2	
🚱 Virtual Reality Headset 2	×	Price	29.99	

In this way, we are able to use the color of the glow to clearly inform users of the state of the control at any given time.

Summary

In this chapter, we had a thorough look at the data validation options that the .NET Framework offers us, primarily concentrating on a variety of ways to implement the two available validation interfaces. We investigated the use of the data annotation validation attributes, explored the provision of custom error templates, and aggregated our new found knowledge with that from Chapter 8, *Creating Visually Appealing User Interfaces*, in order to build up a visually pleasing validation example.

In the next chapter, we'll look at a number of ways in which we can provide users of our applications with a great user experience, from asynchronous programming to feedback mechanisms. We will also examine how to make use of application settings to provide user preferences and explore a variety of ways of supplying in-application help to the application users. We will end with a further look into additional ways of improving the user experience for end users.

10 Completing that Great User Experience

As we have seen, it is easy to add form fields to a View and produce visually appealing and functionally adequate applications. However, it can take a lot more work to provide the end user with an interface that truly ticks all of the boxes. For example, how many times have you clicked on a button in an application and had the whole application freeze while it does some work?

In this chapter, we'll look into solving this problem by using asynchronous programming, along with a number of other ways of improving the user experience for the end user. For example, we'll investigate enabling the users to customize their versions of the application using their own user preference settings.

We'll discuss keeping the users informed by providing user feedback, and update our application framework by adding a feedback system. We'll explore a few alternative methods of providing in-application help files and documentation and a number of other ways of making the application more user friendly and the life of the users that much easier.

Providing user feedback

One essential facet of a great application is keeping the end users up to date with what's going on in the application. If they click on a function button, they should be informed as to the progress or the status of the operation. Without adequate feedback, the user can be left wondering whether a particular operation worked and may attempt to run it several times, possibly causing errors.

It is, therefore, essential to implement a feedback system in our application framework. So far in this book, we've seen the name of the FeedbackManager class in a few places, although we've seen very little implementation. Let's now see how we can implement a working feedback system in our application framework, starting with the Feedback class that holds the individual feedback messages:

```
using System;
using System.ComponentModel;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Enums;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Interfaces;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Extensions;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels
{
 public class Feedback : IAnimatable, INotifyPropertyChanged
  {
    private string message = string.Empty;
    private FeedbackType type = FeedbackType.None;
    private TimeSpan duration = new TimeSpan(0, 0, 4);
    private bool isPermanent = false;
    private Animatable animatable;
    public Feedback(string message, FeedbackType type, TimeSpan duration)
    {
     Message = message;
      Type = type;
      Duration = duration == TimeSpan.Zero ? this.duration : duration;
      IsPermanent = false;
      Animatable = new Animatable(this);
    }
    public Feedback(string message, bool isSuccess, bool isPermanent) :
      this(message, isSuccess ? FeedbackType.Success :
      FeedbackType.Error, TimeSpan.Zero)
      IsPermanent = isPermanent;
    }
    public Feedback(string message, FeedbackType type) : this(message,
      type, TimeSpan.Zero) { }
    public Feedback(string message, bool isSuccess) : this(message,
      isSuccess ? FeedbackType.Success : FeedbackType.Error,
      TimeSpan.Zero) { }
    public Feedback() : this(string.Empty, FeedbackType.None) { }
    public string Message
```

}

```
{
    get { return message; }
    set { message = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
  }
  public TimeSpan Duration
  {
    get { return duration; }
    set { duration = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
  }
  public FeedbackType Type
  {
   get { return type; }
    set { type = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
  }
  public bool IsPermanent
  {
   get { return isPermanent; }
    set { isPermanent = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
  }
  #region IAnimatable Members
  public Animatable Animatable
  {
   get { return animatable; }
   set { animatable = value; }
  }
  #endregion
  #region INotifyPropertyChanged Members
  . . .
  #endregion
}
```

Note that our Feedback class implements the IAnimatable interface, which we saw earlier, along with the INotifyPropertyChanged interface. After declaring the private fields, we declare a number of useful constructor overloads.

In this example, we have hardcoded a default feedback display duration of four seconds for the duration field. In the main constructor, we set the Duration property dependent upon the value of the duration input parameter; if the input parameter is the TimeSpan.Zero field, then the default value is used, but if the input parameter is a non-zero value, it will be used.

The Message property will hold the feedback message; the Duration property specifies the length of time that the message will be displayed; the Type property uses the FeedbackType enumeration that we saw earlier to specify the type of the message, and the IsPermanent property dictates whether the message should be permanently displayed until the user manually closes it or not.

The implementation of our IAnimatable class is shown beneath the other properties, and simply consists of the Animatable property, but our implementation of the INotifyPropertyChanged interface has been omitted for brevity, as we are using the default implementation that we saw earlier.

Let's now see the ${\tt FeedbackCollection}$ class that will contain the individual ${\tt Feedback}$ instances:

```
using System.Collections.Generic;
using System.Ling;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Collections
{
  public class FeedbackCollection : BaseAnimatableCollection<Feedback>
  {
    public FeedbackCollection(IEnumerable<Feedback> feedbackCollection) :
      base(feedbackCollection) { }
    public FeedbackCollection() : base() { }
    public new void Add(Feedback feedback)
    {
      if (!string.IsNullOrEmpty(feedback.Message) && (Count == 0 ||
        !this.Any(f => f.Message == feedback.Message))) base.Add(feedback);
    }
    public void Add(string message, bool isSuccess)
    {
      Add(new Feedback(message, isSuccess));
    }
  }
}
```

The FeedbackCollection class extends the BaseAnimatableCollection class, which we saw earlier, and sets its generic type parameter to the Feedback class. This is a very simple class and declares a couple of constructors, passing any input parameters straight through to the base class constructors.

In addition to this, it declares two Add methods, with the second simply creating a Feedback object from its input parameters and passing it to the first method. The first method first checks that the feedback message is not null or empty and that an identical message is not already contained in the feedback collection, before adding the new message to the collection.

Note that our current implementation uses the base class Add method to add the new items to the end of the feedback collection. We could alternatively use the Insert method from the base class here to add new items to the start of the collection instead.

Let's now look at the FeedbackManager class that uses these two classes internally:

```
using System.ComponentModel;
using System.Runtime.CompilerServices;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Collections;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers
{
 public class FeedbackManager : INotifyPropertyChanged
    private static FeedbackCollection feedback = new FeedbackCollection();
    private static FeedbackManager instance = null;
    private FeedbackManager() { }
    public static FeedbackManager Instance =>
      instance ?? (instance = new FeedbackManager());
    public FeedbackCollection Feedback
    {
      get { return feedback; }
      set { feedback = value; NotifyPropertyChanged(); }
    }
    public void Add(Feedback feedback)
    {
      Feedback.Add (feedback);
    }
    public void Add(string message, bool isSuccess)
```

}

```
{
   Add(new Feedback(message, isSuccess));
}
#region INotifyPropertyChanged Members
...
#endregion
}
```

The FeedbackManager class also implements the INotifyPropertyChanged interface, and in it we see the static FeedbackCollection field. Next, we see the static instance field, the private constructor, and the static Instance property of type FeedbackManager, which instantiates the instance field on the first use and tells us that this class follows the Singleton pattern.

The Feedback property follows and is the class access to the FeedbackCollection field. After that, we see a number of convenient overloads of the Add method that enables developers to add feedback using different parameters. Our implementation of the INotifyPropertyChanged interface here has again been omitted for brevity, but it uses our default implementation that we saw earlier.

Let's now focus on the XAML of the FeedbackControl object:

```
<UserControl
 x:Class="CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls.FeedbackControl"
 xmlns="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml/presentation"
 xmlns:x="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml"
 xmlns:Controls="clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls"
 xmlns:Converters="clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters;
    assembly=CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters"
 xmlns:DataModels="clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels;
   assembly=CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels"
 xmlns:Panels="clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Panels">
 <UserControl.Resources>
   <Converters:FeedbackTypeToImageSourceConverter
     x:Key="FeedbackTypeToImageSourceConverter" />
   <Converters:BoolToVisibilityConverter
     x:Key="BoolToVisibilityConverter" />
   <ItemsPanelTemplate x:Key="AnimatedPanel">
      <Panels:AnimatedStackPanel />
   </ItemsPanelTemplate>
   <Style x:Key="SmallImageInButtonStyle" TargetType="{x:Type Image}"
     BasedOn="{StaticResource ImageInButtonStyle}">
```

```
<Setter Property="Width" Value="16" />
      <Setter Property="Height" Value="16" />
    </Style>
    <DataTemplate x:Key="FeedbackTemplate" DataType="{x:Type</pre>
     DataModels:Feedback}">
      <Grid Margin="2,1,2,0" MouseEnter="Border_MouseEnter"
       MouseLeave="Border_MouseLeave">
        <Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
          <ColumnDefinition Width="16" />
          <ColumnDefinition />
          <ColumnDefinition Width="24" />
        </Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
        <Image Stretch="None" Source="{Binding Type,
          Converter={StaticResource FeedbackTypeToImageSourceConverter}}"
         VerticalAlignment="Top" Margin="0,4,0,0" />
        <TextBlock Grid.Column="1" Text="{Binding Message}"
         MinHeight="22" TextWrapping="Wrap" Margin="5,2,5,0"
         VerticalAlignment="Top" FontSize="14" />
        <Button Grid.Column="2" ToolTip="Removes this message from the
          list" VerticalAlignment="Top" PreviewMouseLeftButtonDown=
          "DeleteButton_PreviewMouseLeftButtonDown">
          <Image Source="pack://application:,,/
            CompanyName.ApplicationName;component/Images/Delete_16.png"
            Style="{StaticResource SmallImageInButtonStyle}" />
        </Button>
      </Grid>
    </DataTemplate>
   <DropShadowEffect x:Key="Shadow" Color="Black" ShadowDepth="6"</pre>
     Direction="270" Opacity="0.4" />
  </UserControl.Resources>
  <Border BorderBrush="{StaticResource TransparentBlack}"
   Background="White" Padding="3" BorderThickness="1,0,1,1"
   CornerRadius="0,0,5,5" Visibility="{Binding HasFeedback,
   Converter={StaticResource BoolToVisibilityConverter},
   RelativeSource={RelativeSource Mode=FindAncestor,
   AncestorType={x:Type Controls:FeedbackControl}}}"
   Effect="{StaticResource Shadow}">
   <ListBox MaxHeight="89" ItemsSource="{Binding Feedback,
     RelativeSource={RelativeSource Mode=FindAncestor,
     AncestorType={x:Type Controls:FeedbackControl}}}"
     ItemTemplate="{StaticResource FeedbackTemplate}"
     ItemsPanel="{StaticResource AnimatedPanel}"
     ScrollViewer.HorizontalScrollBarVisibility="Disabled"
     ScrollViewer.VerticalScrollBarVisibility="Auto" BorderThickness="0"
     HorizontalContentAlignment="Stretch" />
  </Border>
</UserControl>
```

We start by adding a number of XAML namespace prefixes for some of our application projects. Using the Converters prefix, we add instances of the FeedbackTypeToImageSourceConverter and BoolToVisibilityConverter classes that we saw earlier into the UserControl.Resources section. We also reuse our AnimatedStackPanel class from Chapter 7, Mastering Practical Animations.

Next, we see the SmallImageInButtonStyle style, which is based on the ImageInButtonStyle style that we also saw earlier, and adds some sizing properties. After that, we see the FeedbackStyle style that defines what each feedback message will look like in our feedback control.

Each Feedback object will be rendered in three columns: the first contains an image that specifies the type of feedback, using the FeedbackTypeToImageSourceConverter class that we saw earlier; the second displays the message with a TextWrapping value of Wrap; the third holds a button with an image, using our SmallImageInButtonStyle style, which users can use to remove the message.

Note that, as this is purely a UI control with no business logic in, we are able to use the code behind the file, even when using MVVM. As such, we attach event handlers for the MouseEnter and MouseLeave events to the Grid panel containing each Feedback object, and another for the PreviewMouseLeftButtonDown event to the delete button. The final resource that we have here is a DropShadowEffect instance that defines a small shadow effect.

For the feedback control, we define a Border element that uses a semi-transparent border brush and has a BorderThickness value of 1, 0, 1, 1 and a CornerRadius value of 0, 0, 5, 5. These four values work like the Margin property and enable us to set different values for each of the four sides, or corners in the case of the CornerRadius property. In this way, we can display a rectangle that is only bordered on three sides, with rounded corners on two.

Note that the Visibility property on this border is determined by the HasFeedback property of the FeedbackControl class via an instance of our BoolToVisibilityConverter class. Therefore, when there are no feedback objects to display, the border will be hidden. Also note that our Shadow resource is applied to the border Effect property.

Inside the border, we declare a ListBox control, with its ItemsSource property set to the Feedback property of the FeedbackControl class and its height restricted to a maximum of three feedback items, after which vertical scrollbars will be shown. Its ItemTemplate property is set to the FeedbackTemplate that we defined in the resources section.

Its ItemsPanel property is set to the AnimatedPanel resource that we declared to animate the entrance and exit of the feedback items. Next, we remove the default border of the ListBox by setting the BorderThickness property to 0 and stretch the autogenerated ListBoxItem objects to fit the width of the ListBox control by setting the HorizontalContentAlignment property to Stretch.

Let's now see the code behind our feedback control:

```
using System;
using System.Collections.Generic;
using System.Collections.Specialized;
using System.Ling;
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Controls;
using System.Windows.Input;
using System.Windows.Threading;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Collections;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Extensions;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls
{
  public partial class FeedbackControl : UserControl
    private static List<DispatcherTimer> timers =
      new List<DispatcherTimer>();
    public FeedbackControl()
      InitializeComponent();
    }
    public static readonly DependencyProperty FeedbackProperty =
      DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(Feedback),
      typeof(FeedbackCollection), typeof(FeedbackControl),
      new UIPropertyMetadata(new FeedbackCollection(),
      (d, e) => ((FeedbackCollection)e.NewValue).CollectionChanged +=
      ((FeedbackControl)d).Feedback_CollectionChanged));
    public FeedbackCollection Feedback
    {
      get { return (FeedbackCollection)GetValue(FeedbackProperty); }
      set { SetValue(FeedbackProperty, value); }
    }
    public static readonly DependencyProperty HasFeedbackProperty =
      DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(HasFeedback), typeof(bool),
```

```
typeof(FeedbackControl), new PropertyMetadata(true));
public bool HasFeedback
{
  get { return (bool)GetValue(HasFeedbackProperty); }
 set { SetValue(HasFeedbackProperty, value); }
}
private void Feedback_CollectionChanged(object sender,
  NotifyCollectionChangedEventArgs e)
{
  if ((e.OldItems == null || e.OldItems.Count == 0) &&
    e.NewItems != null && e.NewItems.Count > 0)
  {
    e.NewItems.OfType<Feedback>().Where(f => !f.IsPermanent).
     ForEach(f => InitializeTimer(f));
  }
  HasFeedback = Feedback.Any();
}
private void InitializeTimer(Feedback feedback)
{
  DispatcherTimer timer = new DispatcherTimer();
  timer.Interval = feedback.Duration;
  timer.Tick += Timer_Tick;
  timer.Tag = new Tuple<Feedback, DateTime>(feedback, DateTime.Now);
  timer.Start();
  timers.Add(timer);
}
private void Timer_Tick(object sender, EventArgs e)
{
  DispatcherTimer timer = (DispatcherTimer) sender;
 timer.Stop();
  timer.Tick -= Timer_Tick;
  timers.Remove(timer);
  Feedback feedback = ((Tuple<Feedback, DateTime>)timer.Tag).Item1;
  Feedback.Remove(feedback);
}
private void DeleteButton_PreviewMouseLeftButtonDown(object sender,
  MouseButtonEventArgs e)
{
  Button deleteButton = (Button) sender;
  Feedback feedback = (Feedback)deleteButton.DataContext;
  Feedback.Remove(feedback);
}
```

}

```
private void Border_MouseEnter(object sender, MouseEventArgs e)
    foreach (DispatcherTimer timer in timers)
    {
      timer.Stop();
      Tuple<Feedback, DateTime> tag =
        (Tuple<Feedback, DateTime>)timer.Tag;
      taq.Item1.Duration = timer.Interval = taq.Item1.Duration.
        Subtract(DateTime.Now.Subtract(tag.Item2));
    }
  }
  private void Border MouseLeave (object sender, MouseEventArgs e)
  {
    foreach (DispatcherTimer timer in timers)
    {
      Feedback feedback = ((Tuple<Feedback, DateTime>)timer.Tag).Item1;
      timer.Tag = new Tuple<Feedback, DateTime>(feedback, DateTime.Now);
      timer.Start();
    }
  }
}
```

We start by declaring the collection of DispatcherTimer instances that will be responsible for timing when each feedback object should be removed from the collection, according to its Duration property. We then see the declaration of the Feedback and HasFeedback Dependency Properties, along with their CLR wrappers and the Feedback property's CollectionChanged handler.

In the attached Feedback_CollectionChanged handler method, we call the InitializeTimer method, passing in each new non-permanent feedback item. Note that we need to use the OfType LINQ Extension Method to cast each item in the NewItems property of the NotifyCollectionChangedEventArgs class from type object to Feedback. Before returning control to the caller, we set the HasFeedback property accordingly.

In the InitializeTimer method, we initialize a DispatcherTimer instance and set its interval to the value from the Duration property of the feedback input parameter. We then attach the Timer_Tick event handler, add the current time and the feedback object into the Tag property of the timer for later use, start the timer, and add it into the timers collection.

In the Timer_Tick method, we access the timer from the sender input parameter, and the Feedback instance from its Tag property. The feedback item is then removed from the Feedback collection, the timer is stopped and removed from the timers collection, and the Tick event handler is detached.

In the DeleteButton_PreviewMouseLeftButtonDown method, we first cast the delete button from the sender input parameter. We then cast the Feedback object from the button's DataContext property and remove it from the Feedback collection.

In the Border_MouseEnter method, we iterate through the timers collection and stop each timer. The interval of each timer and duration of each associated Feedback object is then set to the remaining time that they should be displayed for, in effect, pausing their durations.

Finally, we see the Border_MouseLeave method, which re-initializes the Tag property of each timer in the timers collection, with the same feedback item and the current date and time, and restarts it when the user's mouse pointer leaves the feedback control.

This means that the length of time that temporary feedback messages are displayed can be extended if the user moves their mouse pointer over the feedback control. This feature will hold the feedback messages in the control for as long as the user keeps their mouse pointer over the control, giving them ample time to read the messages. Let's now see what this control looks like:

Virtual	 Here's some information for Something was saved succ 	or you essfully	× ↑ the
Virtual	Something else went wron	g	unique
		Name	Virtual Reality Headset
		Price	0

If you have menu buttons at the top of your Views, then you could alternatively have the feedback appear at the bottom of the application, or even sliding in from one of the sides. Also note that the delete buttons have not been styled, so as to shorten this example, but they should be styled in line with the other controls in a real application.

If you remember from Chapter 3, Writing Custom Application Frameworks, all of our View Models will have access to our new FeedbackManager class through the FeedbackManager property in our BaseViewModel class, and so we can replicate the feedback in the preceding image from any View Model like this:

```
FeedbackManager.Add(new Feedback("Here's some information for you",
   FeedbackType.Information));
FeedbackManager.Add("Something was saved successfully", true);
FeedbackManager.Add("Something else went wrong", false);
FeedbackManager.Add("Something else went wrong too", false);
```

Let's now move on to discover how we can make our applications more responsive by maximizing the utilization of the CPU.

Utilizing multiple threads

Traditionally, all applications were developed as single threaded applications. However, when long running background processes were running, the application UI would freeze and become unresponsive, because the single thread was busy elsewhere. This problem and other performance bottlenecks led to the current era of asynchronous programming and multi threaded applications.

In days gone by, creating multi-threaded applications was a complicated matter. With each successive version of the .NET Framework, Microsoft has striven to make this task easier. Originally, we only had the Thread class and then the BackgroundWorker class in .NET 2.0, but in .NET 4.0 they introduced the Task class, and in .NET 4.5 they introduced the async and await keywords.

In this section, we will explore the latter methods of multithreading and add functionality to our application framework that will enable us to perform our data retrieval and update actions asynchronously. Let's start by looking at the async and await keywords first.

Discovering the Async and Await keywords

Along with these new keywords, Microsoft also added a plethora of methods across the .NET Framework that end with the suffix Async. As the suffix hints, these methods are all asynchronous and they are used in conjunction with the new keywords. Let's start with the basic rules.

First of all, in order to use the await keyword in a method, the method signature must be declared with the async keyword. The async keyword enables us to use the await keyword in the method without error and is responsible for returning just the T generic type parameter from asynchronous methods whose signatures declare a return type of Task<T>. A method that is modified with the async keyword is known as an async method.

Async methods actually execute in a synchronous manner, until they reach an await expression. If there is no await keyword in the method, then the whole method will run synchronously and the compiler will output a warning.

While a portion of async methods run asynchronously, they don't in fact run on their own threads. No additional threads are created using the async and await keywords. Instead, they give the appearance of multithreading by using the current synchronization context, but only when the method is active and not when it is paused, while running an await expression.

When execution reaches an await keyword, the method is suspended until the awaited task has completed asynchronously. During this time, execution returns to the method caller. When the asynchronous action is complete, program execution returns to the method and the remainder of the code in it is run synchronously.

Async methods are required to have a particular signature. They all need to use the async modifier keyword, and in addition to this the names of async methods should end with the Async suffix to clearly signify that they are asynchronous methods. Another requirement of declaring async methods is that they cannot contain any ref or out input parameters.

The final requirement is that async methods can only use one of three return types: Task, the generic Task<TResult>, or void. Note that the generic type TResult parameter is the same as and can be replaced with T, but Microsoft refers to it as TResult simply because it specifies a return type.

All async methods that return some meaningful result will use type Task<TResult>, where the actual type of the return value will be specified by the TResult generic type parameter. Therefore, if we want to return a string from our async method, we declare that our async method returns a parameter of type Task<string>. Let's see an example of this in action:

```
using System;
using System.IO;
using System. Threading. Tasks;
. . .
public async Task<string> GetTextFileContentsAsync(string filePath)
{
  string fileContents = string.Empty;
  trv
  {
    using (StreamReader streamReader = File.OpenText(filePath))
      fileContents = await streamReader.ReadToEndAsync();
    }
  }
  catch { /*Log error*/ }
  return fileContents;
ļ
```

Here we have a simple async method that returns a string that represents the contents of the text file specified by the filePath input parameter. Note that the actual return type of the method is in fact Task<string>. In it, we first initialize the fileContents variable and then attempt to create a StreamReader instance from the File.OpenText method within the using statement.

Inside the using statement, we attempt to populate the fileContents variable by awaiting the result of the ReadToEndAsync method of the StreamReader class. Up until this point, the method will run synchronously. The ReadToEndAsync method will be called, and then control will immediately return to the caller of our async method.

When the return value of the ReadToEndAsync method is ready, execution returns to our async method and continues where it left off. In our example, there is nothing else to do but return the result string, although async methods can contain any number of lines after the await keyword, or even multiple await keywords. Note that in a real-world application, we would log any exceptions that might be thrown from this method.

If our async method just performs some function asynchronously, but does not return anything, then we use a return type of Task. That is, the task-based async method will return a Task object that enables it to be used with the await keyword, but the actual method will not return anything to the caller of that method. Let's see an example of this:

```
using System.Text;
. . .
public async Task SetTextFileContentsAsync(string filePath,
  string contents)
{
  try
  {
    byte[] encodedFileContents = Encoding.Unicode.GetBytes(contents);
    using (FileStream fileStream = new FileStream(filePath,
      FileMode.OpenOrCreate, FileAccess.Write, FileShare.None, 4096, true))
    {
      await fileStream.WriteAsync(encodedFileContents, 0,
        encodedFileContents.Length);
    }
  }
  catch { /*Log error*/ }
}
```

In the SetTextFileContentsAsync method, we first need to convert our input string to a byte array. For this reason, we now need to add a using directive for the System.Text namespace in addition to the three originally specified. Note that in this particular example, we are using Unicode encoding, but you are free to use any other encoding value here.

After using the GetBytes method to obtain a byte array from the contents input parameter, we initialize a new FileStream object within another using statement. Apart from the bool useAsync input parameter, the remaining parameters used in the FileStream constructor in this example are unimportant, and you are free to replace them with values that suit your requirements better.

Inside the using statement, we see the await keyword used with the WriteAsync method. Up until this point, this method will run synchronously, and on this line it will start execution of the WriteAsync method and then return control to the method caller.

As execution leaves the using statement, the FileStream instance will be closed and disposed of. As this method has nothing to return, the return type of the async method is Task, which enables it to be awaited by the calling code. Again, we would typically log any exceptions that might be thrown from this method, but this is omitted here for brevity.

Most of us will never use the third return type option of void when using MVVM, because it is primarily used in event handling methods. Note that async methods that return void cannot be awaited and that calling code cannot catch exceptions thrown from such async methods.

One of the most commonly asked questions regarding async methods is "How can I create an async method from a synchronous method?" Luckily, there is a very simple solution to this using the Task.Run method, so let's take a quick look at it now:

```
await Task.Run(() => SynchronousMethod(parameter1, parameter2, etc));
```

Here we use a Lambda expression to specify the synchronous method to run in an asynchronous context. That's all that we have to do to run a synchronous method asynchronously. However, what about the opposite requirement? Let's now see how we can run an asynchronous method synchronously. Again, the Task class provides us with a solution:

```
Task task = SetFileContentsAsync(filePath, contents);
task.RunSynchronously();
```

As we saw at the end of Chapter 1, A Smarter Way of Working with WPF, in order to run an asynchronous method synchronously, we first need to instantiate a Task instance from our asynchronous method. Then, all we have to do is call the RunSynchronously method on that instance, and it will run synchronously.

Building asynchrony into our framework

Using the Task class, we can add functionality into our application framework that will enable us to call any data access method asynchronously. Furthermore, it will also enable us to run our data operations asynchronously when the application is running, and synchronously while testing. In order to achieve this, we will need to implement several parts, that go together to provide this functionality.

Let's look at the first part that will wrap each data operation and hold the result value, if applicable, along with any feedback messages or error details:

```
using System;
using System.Data.SqlClient;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Enums;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Extensions;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels {
```
}

```
public abstract class DataOperationResult<T>
 public DataOperationResult(string successText)
  {
   Description = string.IsNullOrEmpty(successText) ?
      "The data operation was successful" : successText;
  }
 public DataOperationResult (Exception exception, string errorText)
  {
    Exception = exception;
    if (Exception is SqlException)
      if (exception.Message.Contains("The server was not found"))
       Error = DataOperationError.DatabaseConnectionError;
      else if (exception.Message.Contains("constraint"))
        Error = DataOperationError.DatabaseConstraintError;
      // else Description = Exception.Message;
    }
    if (Error != DataOperationError.None)
      Description = Error.GetDescription();
    else
    {
     Error = DataOperationError.UndeterminedDataOperationError;
      Description = string.IsNullOrEmpty(errorText) ?
        Error.GetDescription() : errorText;
    }
  }
 public DataOperationResult(Exception exception) :
    this(exception, string.Empty) { }
 public string Description { get; set; }
 public DataOperationError Error { get; set; } =
    DataOperationError.None;
 public Exception Exception { get; set; } = null;
 public bool IsSuccess =>
    Error == DataOperationError.None && Exception == null;
}
```

In our abstract DataOperationResult class, we have a number of properties and constructor overloads. The first constructor is used for a successful set data operation and merely takes the successText input parameter, which is used to populate the Description property, unless it is null or empty, in which case a default successful operation message is used instead.

The second constructor is to be used when an exception has been thrown during the data operation, and takes the exception and an error message as input parameters. In it, we first set the Exception property to the exception specified by the exception input parameter, and then we have a chance to catch common exceptions and replace the error messages with custom messages in plain English.

Although we are only checking for exceptions of type SqlException in this example, we could easily extend this to capture other well-known or expected exceptions, and replace their messages with custom messages using laymen terms, by adding the further else...if conditions.

Note that the Error property of enumeration type DataOperationError is used here to set and output the predefined error messages, and we'll see that in a moment. If the exception is not one that we were expecting, then we could choose to output the actual exception message, although that would mean little to the users and could be deemed confusing or even worrying.

Instead, we could log the exception in the database and output the message from the errorText input parameter. We check whether the Error property has been set, and if it has, we call our GetDescription Extension Method to retrieve the message that relates to the set enumeration member, and set it to the Description property.

Otherwise, we set the Error property to the UndeterminedDataOperationError member and the Description property to the value of the errorText input parameter if it is not null or empty, or the text associated with the selected enumeration member if it is. The third constructor is also used when an exception has been thrown, but when there is no predefined feedback message.

After the constructors, we see the properties of the DataOperationResult class, most of which are self-explanatory. Of particular note is the IsSuccess property, which can be used by the calling code to determine what to do with the result. Let's now take a look at the DataOperationError enumeration class that is used to hold the error descriptions:

```
using System.ComponentModel;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Enums
{
```

}

```
public enum DataOperationError
{
    [Description("")]
    None = 0,
    [Description("A database constraint has not been adhered to, so this
        operation cannot be completed")]
    DatabaseConstraintError = 9995,
    [Description("There was an undetermined data operation error")]
    UndeterminedDataOperationError = 9997,
    [Description("There was a problem connecting to the database")]
    DatabaseConnectionError = 9998,
}
```

As you can see, we utilize the DescriptionAttribute class to relate a humanized error message with each enumeration member. We can use the GetDescription Extension Method that we saw earlier to access the text values from the attributes.

Each enumeration member is assigned a number, and this could work well with the SQL Server error numbers if you were using SQL stored procedures or queries directly. For example, we could cast the SQL error code to the particular enumeration member to get the custom message for each error. Let's now take a look at the two classes that extend the DataOperationResult class:

```
using System;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels
{
  public class GetDataOperationResult<T> : DataOperationResult<T>
    public GetDataOperationResult(Exception exception, string errorText) :
      base(exception, errorText)
    {
      ReturnValue = default(T);
    }
    public GetDataOperationResult(Exception exception) :
      this(exception, string.Empty) { }
    public GetDataOperationResult(T returnValue, string successText) :
      base(successText)
    {
      ReturnValue = returnValue;
    }
    public GetDataOperationResult(T returnValue) :
      this(returnValue, string.Empty) { }
```

```
public T ReturnValue { get; private set; }
}
```

We start with the GetDataOperationResult class, which is used to return the result of get data operations, or the exception details if an error occurred. It adds a ReturnValue property of the generic type T to hold the return value of the data operation. Apart from this single member, it simply adds a number of constructors that each call the base class constructors.

The first is used when an exception has been thrown and sets the ReturnValue property to its default value, rather than leaving it as null. The second constructor is also used when an exception has been thrown, but when there is no predefined error message.

The third constructor is used for a successful data operation and sets the ReturnValue property to the returned value. The fourth is also used for a successful data operation, but when there is no predefined success message. It calls the third constructor, passing the returned value and an empty string for the success message. Let's now see the other class that extends the DataOperationResult class:

```
using System;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels
{
    public class SetDataOperationResult : DataOperationResult<bool>
    {
        public SetDataOperationResult(Exception exception, string errorText) :
            base(exception, errorText) { }
        public SetDataOperationResult(string successText) :
        base(successText) { }
    }
}
```

The SetDataOperationResult class is used for set operations and so has no return value. Like the GetDataOperationResult class, its two constructors call the relevant base class constructors. The first is used when an exception has been thrown, and the second is used for a successful data operation and accepts an input parameter for the operation's success message.

We'll need to add a new method into our FeedbackManager class to enable us to add the feedback messages from our GetDataOperationResult and SetDataOperationResult classes directly. We'll also include a parameter that allows us to override whether each message will be displayed for its set duration, or until the user closes it manually. Let's take a look at that now:

```
public void Add<T>(DataOperationResult<T> result, bool isPermanent)
{
    Add(new Feedback(result.Description, result.IsSuccess, isPermanent));
}
```

Note that we use the DataOperationResult base class as the input parameter here, so that either of our derived classes can be used with it. This method simply initializes a Feedback object from the Description and IsSuccess properties of the DataOperationResult class and passes it to the Add method that actually adds it to the Feedback collection.

If we're going to be making asynchronous calls to the UI feedback control, then we'll also need to ensure that they are made on the UI thread, so as to avoid the common calling thread cannot access this object because a different thread owns it exception.

To enable this, we need to add a reference to the UiThreadManager class, which we discussed earlier, into our FeedbackManager class, although here we add a reference to the IUiThreadManager interface instead to enable us to use a different implementation while testing:

```
using System;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers.Interfaces;
...
private IUiThreadManager uiThreadManager = null;
...
public IUiThreadManager UiThreadManager
{
  get { return uiThreadManager; }
   set { uiThreadManager = value; }
}
...
public void Add(Feedback feedback)
```

```
{
   UiThreadManager.RunOnUiThread((Action)delegate
   {
    Feedback.Add(feedback);
   });
}
```

Using the IUiThreadManager interface, we simply need to wrap our single call to add feedback to the FeedbackManager.Feedback collection property with the RunOnUiThread method to run it on the UI thread. However, our uiThreadManager field needs to be initialized before any feedback is displayed, and we can do that from the first use of the BaseViewModel class:

```
public BaseViewModel()
{
    if (FeedbackManager.UiThreadManager == null)
        FeedbackManager.UiThreadManager = UiThreadManager;
}
....
public IUiThreadManager UiThreadManager
{
    get { return DependencyManager.Instance.Resolve<IUiThreadManager>(); }
}
```

The first time that any View Model is instantiated, this base class constructor will be called and the instance of the IUiThreadManager interface in the FeedbackManager class will be initialized. Of course, in order to correctly resolve our instance of the IUiThreadManager interface at runtime, we'll first need to register it in the App.xaml.cs file, along with the other registrations.

```
DependencyManager.Instance.Register<IUiThreadManager, UiThreadManager>();
```

Let's take a look at this interface and the classes that implement it now:

```
using System;
using System.Threading.Tasks;
using System.Windows.Threading;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers.Interfaces
{
    public interface IUiThreadManager
    {
        object RunOnUiThread(Delegate method);
        Task RunAsynchronously(Action method);
```

```
Task<TResult> RunAsynchronously<TResult>(Func<TResult> method);
}
```

The IUiThreadManager interface is a very simple affair and declares just three methods. The RunOnUiThread method is used to run code on the UI thread; the first RunAsynchronously method is used to run code asynchronously, and the second RunAsynchronously method is used to run methods that return something asynchronously. Let's now see the classes that implement it:

```
using System;
using System. Threading. Tasks;
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Threading;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers.Interfaces;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers
{
  public class UiThreadManager : IUiThreadManager
  {
    public object RunOnUiThread(Delegate method)
    {
      return Application.Current.Dispatcher.Invoke(
        DispatcherPriority.Normal, method);
    }
    public Task RunAsynchronously(Action method)
    {
      return Task.Run(method);
    }
    public Task<TResult> RunAsynchronously<TResult> (Func<TResult> method)
    {
      return Task.Run(method);
    }
  }
}
```

In the UiThreadManager class, the RunOnUiThread method calls the Invoke method on the Application.Current.Dispatcher object to ensure that the method that is passed to it is queued to run on the UI thread.

Basically, a dispatcher is responsible for maintaining the queue of work items for a particular thread, and each thread will have its own dispatcher. The Application.Current property returns the Application object for the current AppDomain object, and its Dispatcher property returns the dispatcher of the thread that was running when the application started – the UI thread.

As was seen earlier, the RunAsynchronously methods simply pass the methods specified by the method input parameters to the Task.Run method. We also saw an example of mocking the RunAsynchronously method in Chapter 1, A Smarter Way of Working With WPF, but now let's see the whole MockUiThreadManager class that we could use while testing our application:

```
using System;
using System. Threading. Tasks;
using System.Windows.Threading;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers.Interfaces;
namespace Test.CompanyName.ApplicationName.Mocks.Managers
{
  public class MockUiThreadManager : IUiThreadManager
  {
    public object RunOnUiThread(Delegate method)
    {
      return method.DynamicInvoke();
    }
    public Task RunAsynchronously (Action method)
    {
      Task task = new Task(method);
      task.RunSynchronously();
      return task;
    }
    public Task<TResult> RunAsynchronously<TResult> (Func<TResult> method)
    {
      Task<TResult> task = new Task<TResult>(method);
      task.RunSynchronously();
      return task;
    }
  }
}
```

In the RunOnUiThread method, we simply call the DynamicInvoke method of the Delegate class to run the method specified by the method input parameter. As we saw earlier, the RunAsynchronously methods use the RunSynchronously method of the Task class to run the methods specified by the method input parameters synchronously to avoid timing problems during testing.

In them, we first create a new Task object with the method specified by the method input parameter, then call the RunSynchronously method on it, and finally return the task. When called using the await keyword, this will actually return the result of the method instead.

Let's now see, perhaps the most important part of this functionality, where the IUiThreadManager interface is used, the DataOperationManager class:

```
using System;
using System.Diagnostics;
using System. Threading. Tasks;
using System.Windows.Threading;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers.Interfaces;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers
{
  public class DataOperationManager
  {
    private const int maximumRetryCount = 2;
    private IUiThreadManager uiThreadManager;
    public DataOperationManager(IUiThreadManager uiThreadManager)
    {
      UiThreadManager = uiThreadManager;
    }
    private IUiThreadManager UiThreadManager
      get { return uiThreadManager.Instance; }
      set { uiThreadManager = value; }
    }
    private FeedbackManager FeedbackManager
    {
      get { return FeedbackManager.Instance; }
    }
    public GetDataOperationResult<TResult> TryGet<TResult>(
      Func<TResult> method, string successText, string errorText,
      bool isMessageSupressed)
    {
```

```
Debug.Assert (method != null, "The method input parameter of the
    DataOperationManager.TryGet<TResult>() method must not be null.");
  for (int index = 0; index < maximumRetryCount; index++)</pre>
  {
    try
    {
      TResult result = method();
      return WithFeedback(
        new GetDataOperationResult<TResult>(result, successText),
        isMessageSupressed);
    }
    catch (Exception exception)
    {
      if (index == maximumRetryCount - 1)
      {
        return WithFeedback(
          new GetDataOperationResult<TResult>(exception, errorText),
          isMessageSupressed);
      }
      Task.Delay(TimeSpan.FromMilliseconds(300));
    }
  }
  return WithFeedback(
    new GetDataOperationResult<TResult>(default(TResult), successText),
    isMessageSupressed);
}
private GetDataOperationResult<TResult>WithFeedback<TResult>(
  GetDataOperationResult<TResult> dataOperationResult, bool
  isMessageSupressed)
{
  if (isMessageSupressed && dataOperationResult.IsSuccess)
    return dataOperationResult;
  FeedbackManager.Add(dataOperationResult, false);
  return dataOperationResult;
}
public Task<GetDataOperationResult<TResult>> TryGetAsync<TResult>(
  Func<TResult> method, string successText, string errorText,
  bool isMessageSupressed)
{
  return UiThreadManager.RunAsynchronously(() =>
    TryGet(method, successText, errorText, isMessageSupressed));
}
public SetDataOperationResult TrySet(Action method,
  string successText, string errorText, bool isMessagePermanent,
 bool isMessageSupressed)
{
```

```
Debug.Assert (method != null, "The method input parameter of the
    DataOperationManager.TrySet<TResult>() method must not be null.");
  for (int index = 0; index < maximumRetryCount; index++)</pre>
  {
    try
    {
      method();
      return WithFeedback(new SetDataOperationResult(successText),
        isMessagePermanent, isMessageSupressed);
    }
    catch (Exception exception)
    {
      if (index == maximumRetryCount - 1)
      {
        return WithFeedback (new SetDataOperationResult (exception,
          errorText), isMessagePermanent, isMessageSupressed);
      }
      Task.Delay(TimeSpan.FromMilliseconds(300));
    }
  }
  return WithFeedback(new SetDataOperationResult(successText),
    isMessagePermanent, isMessageSupressed);
}
private SetDataOperationResult WithFeedback(
  SetDataOperationResult dataOperationResult,
  bool isMessagePermanent, bool isMessageSupressed)
{
  if (isMessageSupressed && dataOperationResult.IsSuccess)
    return dataOperationResult;
  FeedbackManager.Add(dataOperationResult, isMessagePermanent);
  return dataOperationResult;
}
public Task<SetDataOperationResult> TrySetAsync(Action method)
  return TrySetAsync(method, string.Empty, string.Empty);
}
public Task<SetDataOperationResult> TrySetAsync(Action method,
  string successText, string errorText)
{
  return TrySetAsync(method, successText, errorText, false, false);
ŀ
public Task<SetDataOperationResult> TrySetAsync(Action method,
  string successText, string errorText, bool isMessagePermanent,
  bool isMessageSupressed)
```

```
{
    return UiThreadManager.RunAsynchronously(() => TrySet(method,
        successText, errorText, isMessagePermanent, isMessageSupressed));
    }
}
```

The DataOperationManager class starts with a couple of private fields, which represent the maximum number of attempts to retry each data operation in case there is a problem, and the instance of the IUiThreadManager interface to use to run our functions asynchronously when running the application.

The constructor enables us to inject the IUiThreadManager dependency into the class and sets it to the private UiThreadManager property, which can only be accessed from within the class. Likewise, the FeedbackManager property is also private and enables us to pass feedback messages to the manager class to display them in the UI.

Next, we see the generic TryGet<TResult> method that returns an object of the type GetDataOperationResult<TResult>. More specifically, it returns a generic object of the type TResult wrapped in one of our GetDataOperationResult objects. It first asserts that the method input parameter is not null, as this class is based around the required parameter.

In this method, we create a loop, with the number of its iterations determined by the value of the maximumRetryCount field, and inside the loop we try to run the function specified by the method input parameter. If the data operation is successful, we initialize a GetDataOperationResult object, passing the return value and success feedback message, and return it via the WithFeedback method.

If an error occurs and the maximum number of attempts have not yet been reached, then we use the asynchronous Task.Delay method to wait before attempting to run the method again. If the maximum number of errors has been reached, then the exception and error feedback message are wrapped in a GetDataOperationResult object and returned via the WithFeedback method.

One improvement that we could implement here would be to increase this delay time each time we retry the data operation. We could implement a function that returns an exponentially increasing number, based on the <code>maximumRetryCount</code> field, representing the millisecond value that will be passed to the <code>Task.Delay</code> method. This would be more likely to handle short network drop outs better.

The WithFeedback method enables developers to suppress successful feedback messages, as they might not always need the users to receive feedback. For example, we may not need to inform them that their data objects were fetched from the database successfully, if they have been, or are soon to be, displayed on the screen.

Therefore, if the data operation was successful and the isMessageSupressed input parameter is true, the data operation result is returned directly, without feedback. Otherwise, the dataOperationResult input parameter object is passed to the FeedbackManager class to display the associated message, using the new methods that we added earlier.

Next, we see the asynchronous TryGetAsync method that simply calls the TryGet method via the RunAsynchronously method of the UiThreadManager class. After that, we have the TrySet method that is responsible for running all set data operations, and returns an object of the type SetDataOperationResult.

This method is very similar to the TryGet method, except that it works for set data operations. Similarly, it first asserts that the method input parameter is not null and then runs the remainder of the code within a for loop. This again enables our retry capability, and is limited by the value of the maximumRetryCount field.

In the method, we try to run the function specified by the method input parameter, and if the data operation is successful, we initialize a SetDataOperationResult object, passing just the success feedback message and return it via the WithFeedback method.

If an error occurs and the number of attempts specified by the maximumRetryCount field has not yet been reached, then we use the Task.Delay method to wait before attempting to run the method again. If the maximum number of errors has been reached, then the exception and error feedback message are wrapped in a SetDataOperationResult object and returned via the WithFeedback method.

The WithFeedback method used with the SetDataOperationResult objects works exactly the same as the earlier one that works with the generic GetDataOperationResult objects. Finally, we have some overloaded TrySetAsync methods that end up calling the TrySet method asynchronously via the RunAsynchronously method of the UiThreadManager class.

One point to note here is that, currently, this class is located in the Managers project. If we were at all likely to need to swap out our data access technology, then we might prefer to move this class to the data access project for ease of removal. As it stands, we don't have that requirement, and so it is fine where it is.

We can make use of this DataOperationManager class in the DataController class that we saw earlier, with just a few changes. We can also replace its previous SetAuditCreateFields and SetAuditUpdateFields methods with some new methods that also update our data models that implement the ISynchronizableDataModel interface. Let's take a look at the new code in there:

```
using System;
using System. Threading. Tasks;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Collections;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Enums;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Interfaces;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Models.Interfaces;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Models.DataControllers
{
  public class DataController
  {
    . . .
    private DataOperationManager dataOperationManager;
    public DataController(IDataProvider dataProvider,
      DataOperationManager dataOperationManager, User currentUser)
    {
      . . .
      DataOperationManager = dataOperationManager;
      CurrentUser = currentUser.Clone();
    }
    protected DataOperationManager DataOperationManager
    {
      get { return dataOperationManager; }
      private set { dataOperationManager = value; }
    }
    . . .
    public Task<SetDataOperationResult> AddProductAsync(Product product)
    {
      return DataOperationManager.TrySetAsync(() =>
        DataProvider.AddProduct(InitializeDataModel(product)),
        $"{product.Name} was added to the data source successfully", $"A
        problem occurred and {product.Name} was not added to the data
        source.");
    }
```

```
public Task<SetDataOperationResult> DeleteProductAsync(
  Product product)
{
  return DataOperationManager.TrySetAsync(() =>
    DataProvider.DeleteProduct(DeleteDataModel(product)),
    $"{product.Name} has been deleted from the data source
    successfully.", $"A problem occurred and {product.Name} was not
    deleted from the data source.", true, false);
}
public Task<GetDataOperationResult<Products>> GetProductsAsync()
  return DataOperationManager.TryGetAsync(() =>
    DataProvider.GetProducts(), string.Empty, "A problem occurred when
    trying to retrieve the products.", true);
}
public SetDataOperationResult UpdateProduct (Product product)
{
  return DataOperationManager.TrySet(() =>
    DataProvider.UpdateProduct(UpdateDataModel(product)),
    $"{product.Name} was saved in the data source successfully.", $"A
    problem occurred and {product.Name} was not updated in the data
    source.", false, false);
}
private T InitializeDataModel<T>(T dataModel)
  where T : class, IAuditable, new()
{
  dataModel.Auditable = new Auditable(dataModel, CurrentUser);
  if (dataModel is ISynchronizableDataModel<T>)
  {
    ISynchronizableDataModel<T> synchronisableDataModel =
      (ISynchronizableDataModel<T>) dataModel;
    synchronisableDataModel.ObjectState = ObjectState.Active;
  }
  return dataModel;
ł
private T DeleteDataModel<T>(T dataModel)
  where T : class, IAuditable, new()
{
  dataModel.Auditable.UpdatedOn = DateTime.Now;
  dataModel.Auditable.UpdatedBy = CurrentUser;
  if (dataModel is ISynchronizableDataModel<T>)
    ISynchronizableDataModel<T> synchronisableDataModel =
      (ISynchronizableDataModel<T>) dataModel;
```

```
synchronisableDataModel.ObjectState = ObjectState.Deleted;
}
return dataModel;
}
private T UpdateDataModel<T>(T dataModel)
where T : class, IAuditable, new()
{
    dataModel.Auditable.UpdatedOn = DateTime.Now;
    dataModel.Auditable.UpdatedBy = CurrentUser;
    return dataModel;
}
}
```

We start this class with the dataOperationManager field of

the type DataOperationManager. We don't need to use an interface here, as this class is safe to be used during testing. However, it contains a member of the type IUiThreadManager, and we need to be able to use different implementations of this, depending on whether we're running or testing the application.

Therefore, we still need to inject the instance of the dataOperationManager field to use through the constructor, so that its instance of the IUiThreadManager interface can be resolved in the calling code. After the constructor, we see the private DataOperationManager property that can only be set from within the class.

The first of the new methods is the AddProductAsync method, and as a set operation it returns a Task of the type SetDataOperationResult. Internally, and like all async set operations here, it calls the TrySetAsync method of the DataOperationManager class. It passes the method to run asynchronously and the success and unspecified error text to be displayed as user feedback.

Note that we pass the product input parameter to the InitializeDataModel method, before passing it to the AddProduct method of the IDataProvider instance, to initialize the base class Auditable property before it is stored in the database.

If the current instance also extends the <code>ISynchronizableDataModel</code> interface, then its <code>ObjectState</code> property will be set to the <code>Active</code> member of the <code>ObjectState</code> enumeration. This idea could easily be extended; if we had an <code>IIdentifiable</code> interface with a single identification property, we could initialize that here as well.

The DeleteProductAsync method also returns a Task of the type SetDataOperationResult and calls the TrySetAsync method of the DataOperationManager class, but it uses a different overload, which enables the feedback message to be displayed permanently or until the user manually closes it. In this example, it is used to ensure that the user is aware that the product was deleted.

In this method, we pass the product input parameter to the DeleteDataModel method, before passing it to the DeleteProduct method of the IDataProvider instance. This sets the UpdatedOn property of the Auditable class to the current date and time and the UpdatedBy property to the currently logged-in user. If the current instance extends the ISynchronizableDataModel interface, then its ObjectState property will also be set to a state of Deleted.

The next new method is the GetProductsAsync method, which is a get operation and returns a Task of the type GetDataOperationResult<Products>. Internally, and like all async get operations, it calls the TryGetAsync method of the DataOperationManager class. It passes the method to run asynchronously and the unspecified error text to be displayed as user feedback.

Of particular note here is the bool parameter that it passes, which suppresses any successful feedback message from being displayed. If there is an error, either the provided error message or a more well-defined custom error message will be displayed, but as no successful message is displayed, we simply pass an empty string through for that parameter.

The final new data operation method is the UpdateProduct method, which is not asynchronous, and returns a SetDataOperationResult directly. Instead of the TrySetAsync method, it calls the TrySet method of the DataOperationManager class and passes the method to run the success and error messages and two bool parameters to signify that it should display the feedback normally.

Internally, it passes the product input parameter to the UpdateDataModel method, before passing it to the UpdateProduct method of the IDataProvider instance. This sets the UpdatedOn property of the Auditable class to the current date and time and the UpdatedBy property to the currently logged-in user.

This gives an example of how we might build up our data operation methods, predominantly using asynchronous access methods but not restricted to having to do so. Of course, there are many ways of accessing data in an application, and you should experiment with the way that suits you best. This way would suit larger scale applications best, as there is a fair amount of overhead in creating this system.

However, there's still one piece of the puzzle missing. Now that we've changed the constructor of the DataController class, we'll also need to update our BaseViewModel class, which exposes it, again:

```
protected DataController Model
{
  get { return new DataController(
    DependencyManager.Instance.Resolve<IDataProvider>(),
    new DataOperationManager(UiThreadManager),
    StateManager.CurrentUser); }
}
...
public IUiThreadManager UiThreadManager
{
  get { return DependencyManager.Instance.Resolve<IUiThreadManager>(); }
}
```

Now, the IDataProvider implementation is resolved by the DependencyManager instance, along with the IUiThreadManager implementation that gets injected into the DataOperationManager object. In addition to this, we pass the value of the StateManager.CurrentUser property to the DataController class constructor to instantiate it each time it is requested.

Now we have a system in place that can run our data operations either synchronously or asynchronously and retry our data operations a specified number of times if they fail, before finally reporting custom feedback messages to the user.

We can customize how long these messages remain visible before automatically disappearing, or whether they will automatically disappear or not, or even whether they are displayed in the first place or not. Even with these options, the system remains lightweight and can be easily added to.

Going the extra mile

Most privately developed applications are primarily functional, with little time and effort spent on design concerns and even less on usability. How many times have we seen applications that throw out a stack trace to the end user when an error occurs, or validation messages that highlight errors with the camel case code names for fields, rather than the labels used in the UI?

In a good application, the end user should never be presented with any code-based terminology. If we were writing an English based application, we wouldn't output error messages in Spanish, so *why* output them in C#? This can confuse the user and even alarm them in some cases.

How many times have you used an application that has an awkward process flow to perform each task that involves far more mouse clicks than is necessary? This section is dedicated to avoiding these kinds of situations and suggests a number of ways of improving the usability of our applications.

Producing in-application help

In an ideal world, we would all create applications that were so intuitive that we wouldn't need to provide in-application help. However, with the complexity of some of today's applications, this is not always possible. It is therefore often helpful to provide the end users of our applications with some form of help that they can refer to when necessary.

There are a number of ways of doing this, with the first simply being to provide a link to a separate help file from the application. If we have a PDF, or other type of file that contains help for the users, we can add it to our solution in Visual Studio as a resource.

To do this, we can add a Resources folder into our solution and then select the **Add New Item** option in the new folder's context menu. After navigating to the help file in the **Add New Item** dialog and successfully adding it, we can view its properties by selecting it in the **Solution Explorer** and pressing *F4*, or right clicking it and selecting **Properties** from the context menu.

Once the properties are displayed, we can verify that the file has been added with a **Build Action** of **Content** and a **Copy to Output Directory** value of **Copy always** or **Copy if newer**, which ensures that our help file and its Resources folder will be copied to the folder that contains the application executable file, and that the newest version will always be used. We can then add a menu item or button to our application, which the users can select to open the document directly. In our View Model command that is data bound to this control, we can call the Start method of the Process class, passing the path of the help file, to open the file in the default application on the user's computer:

```
System.Diagnostics.Process.Start(filePath);
```

We can get the folder path of the application executable file, using the following code:

```
string filePath = System.AppDomain.CurrentDomain.BaseDirectory;
```

Therefore, if our Resources folder is in the startup project, we could attain its folder path like this:

```
string filePath = Path.Combine(
    new DirectoryInfo(System.AppDomain.CurrentDomain.BaseDirectory).
    Parent.Parent.FullName, "Resources");
```

This utilizes the DirectoryInfo class to access the parent folder of the executable file, or the root directory of the project, and the Combine method of the Path class to create a file path that combines the new Resources folder with that path.

If we don't have a complete documentation file for our application, a quick and simple alternative would be to add an information icon to each View. This image control could display pertinent information to the users in a tooltip when they place their mouse pointer over it:



Using the information icon from the Visual Studio Image Library that was discussed in Chapter 8, *Creating Visually Appealing User Interfaces*, we can create these help points like this:

```
<Image Source="pack://application:,,,/CompanyName.ApplicationName;
component/Images/Information_16.png" Stretch="None" ToolTip="Here is
some relevant information" />
```

Either way, the idea is to provide the users of the application with any help that they may need right from the application itself. This not only improves the usability of our applications but also reduces user errors and increases data quality.

Enabling user preferences

The users of our applications are likely to be very different to each other, or at least have their individual preferences. One user may prefer to work in one way, while another may have different preferences. Providing the ability for them to customize the application to suit the way they work will increase the usability of the application for them.

This may relate to the View that they prefer to see when the application starts, or to which particular options in each View that they prefer to use, or even to the size and position of the application when it was last used. There are any number of preferences that we can offer each user.

Luckily, we can offer this customization functionality with minimal work, as the .NET Framework provides us with settings files for just this purpose. These settings can either have application or user scope and can be mixed and matched in each settings file.

Application settings are the same for each user and are suited to storing configuration settings, such as email server details or credentials. User settings can be different for each user and are suited to the kind of personal customizations just discussed.

Typically, the startup project will already have a settings file named Settings.settings. It can be found by opening the Properties folder in the **Solution Explorer** in Visual Studio, and opened by double-clicking on it. Alternatively, you can right-click on the project in the **Solution Explorer**, select the **Properties** option, and then select the **Settings** tab:



Settings files can also be added to other projects although they are not typically available by default. In order to add a settings file to another project, we first need to open the project properties by right clicking on the project in the **Solution Explorer** and selecting the **Properties** option.

In the project properties window, select the **Settings** tab and click the link that says **This project does not contain a default settings file. Click here to create one**. A settings file will be created within the project Properties folder in the **Solution Explorer**. We are then free to start adding our user preferences:

	Name	Туре		Scope		Value
	AreAuditFieldsVisible	bool	~	User	~	False
	AreSearchTermsSaved	bool	~	User	~	False
*	Setting	string	~	User	~	

To add our custom settings, click a blank row in the settings file and enter the name, data type, scope, and default value of the setting. The name will be used in code, and so it cannot contain spaces. We can select our own custom data types, although whichever type we select must be serializable. The default value is the initial value that the setting will have before the user changes it.

Settings will usually be loaded upon application startup and saved just before application shutdown. As such, it is customary to attach event handlers to the Loaded and Closed events in the MainWindow.xaml.cs file, although we can also do it in the App.xaml.cs file if we have configured the application to use it. We can see a typical example here:

```
using System;
using System.Windows;
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName
{
    public partial class MainWindow : Window
    {
        public MainWindow()
        {
            InitializeComponent();
```

}

```
Loaded += MainWindow_Loaded;
Closed += MainWindow_Closed;
}
private void MainWindow_Loaded(object sender, RoutedEventArgs e)
{
    MainWindowViewModel viewModel = new MainWindowViewModel();
    viewModel.LoadSettings();
    DataContext = viewModel;
}
private void MainWindow_Closed(object sender, EventArgs e)
{
    MainWindowViewModel viewModel = (MainWindowViewModel)DataContext;
    viewModel.SaveSettings();
  }
}
```

We attach the two event handlers in the constructor, right after the components are initialized. In the MainWindow_Loaded method, we instantiate an instance of the MainWindowViewModel class, call its LoadSettings method, and set it as the window's DataContext property value.

In the MainWindow_Closed method, we access the instance of the MainWindowViewModel class from the DataContext property, but, this time, call its SaveSettings method. Now, let's see these methods in the MainWindowViewModel.cs file:

```
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels.Properties;
. . .
public void LoadSettings()
{
  Settings.Default.Reload();
  StateManager.AreAuditFieldsVisible =
    Settings.Default.AreAuditFieldsVisible;
  StateManager.AreSearchTermsSaved = Settings.Default.AreSearchTermsSaved;
}
public void SaveSettings()
{
  Settings.Default.AreAuditFieldsVisible =
    StateManager.AreAuditFieldsVisible;
  Settings.Default.AreSearchTermsSaved = StateManager.AreSearchTermsSaved;
  Settings.Default.Save();
}
```

The first thing that we need to do in the LoadSettings method is to call the Reload method on the default instance of the settings file. This loads the settings from the settings file into the Default object. From there, we set each settings property to its corresponding property that we created in our StateManager class, for use in the application.

Note that the values of each user's personal settings are not stored in the Settings.settings file. Instead, they are stored in their AppData folder, which is hidden by default. The exact file path can be found using the ConfigurationManager class, but to find it we'll need to add a reference to the System.Configuration DLL and use the following code:

```
using System.Configuration;
...
string filePath = ConfigurationManager.OpenExeConfiguration(
   ConfigurationUserLevel.PerUserRoamingAndLocal).FilePath;
```

In my case, that resolves to the following file path:

```
C:\Users\Sheridan\AppData\Local\CompanyName\
CompanyName.ApplicationNa_Url_OnuOqp14li5newll2223u0ytheisf2gh\
1.0.0.0\user.config
```

Note that the folder in the CompanyName folder is named using a particular identification number that relates to the current settings and application version. Over time and after making changes, new folders will appear here with new identification numbers, but this is all totally transparent to the users as their previous settings will be safely transferred.

Extending common courtesies

One area of application development where we can easily make great improvements is usability. Many applications these days are created with little or no concern for the end users that will be using the application each day.

We've probably all seen applications that spew out exception stack traces when errors occur, and while we, as developers, may find that useful, it can be confusing or even alarming for the end users. Instead of worrying the end users unnecessarily, we can output stack traces and any other pertinent information about each error to an Errors table in our database.

Extending this idea further, it is good working practice to totally avoid using any development terms or phrases anywhere in the application that the users can see. That includes all UI labels along with any additional external help files and documentation. Using terms of this kind will make the application more difficult to use, especially for new users. All but the best known abbreviations should also be avoided.

We can further humanize our application by paying attention to the small details. How often have you come across an application that displays a label that says something like "1 passengers" or "2 item." While this is a very simple problem to fix, it is commonly found in many applications. Let's create a new Extension Method to encapsulate this useful functionality in an IntegerExtensions class:

```
public static string Pluralize(this int input, string wordToAdjust)
{
   return $"{wordToAdjust}{(input == 1 ? string.Empty : "s")}";
}
```

In this example, we simply use String Interpolation to append an s to the end of the wordToAdjust input parameter when the value of the this input parameter is not 1. While this will work for most words that we are likely to use, it is worth noting that there are some groups of words that this will not work with.

For example, some words, such as "Activity," ending with a "y" in their singular form, will end with "ies" when pluralized. However, this problem can be easily addressed by either adding a new overload of our Pluralize method or an additional input parameter that enables the users of our code to specify the transformation that they require.

With this method, we now have a really simple way to always ensure that our spelling is correct when dealing with quantities. Let's see how we might use this method to pluralize the word Ticket, but only when the amount of tickets in the Tickets collection is not 1:

```
public string TicketCountText => Tickets.Count.Pluralize("Ticket");
```

An extension to this method could combine this functionality with the actual number to output 6 Tickets, for example. Let's take a look at this new method:

```
public static string Combine(this int input, string wordToAdjust)
{
   return $"{input} {wordToAdjust}{(input == 1 ? string.Empty : "s")}";
}
```

The Combine method is very similar to the Pluralize method, except that it also includes the value of the input input parameter in the text output. We could also extend this method in the same way that we could extend the Pluralize method to handle the pluralization of words other than those that just require an s to be appended. We can also use it in the same way:

```
public string TicketCountText => Tickets.Count.Combine("Ticket");
```

Another way that we could humanize our textual output would be to provide a selection summary field that displays a comma-separated list of the selected items in a collection control. Clearly, this wouldn't be required for controls that only allow single selections to be made; however, it could be a useful confirmation for those using multiple selection collection controls. Let's see how we could declare a ToCommaSeparatedString method now:

```
using System.Text;
. . .
public static string ToCommaSeparatedString<T>(
  this IEnumerable<T> collection)
{
  StringBuilder stringBuilder = new StringBuilder();
  int index = 0;
  foreach (T item in collection)
    if (index > 0)
      if (index < collection.Count() - 1) stringBuilder.Append(", ");</pre>
      else if (index == collection.Count() - 1)
        stringBuilder.Append(" and ");
    }
    stringBuilder.Append(item.ToString());
    index++;
  }
  return stringBuilder.ToString();
}
```

Here, we have a method that we can call on any collection that is either of the type of, or extends, the <code>IEnumerable<T></code> interface and receives a string back that contains a comma-separated list of each contained element. We can either call it with a string collection or implement the <code>object.ToString</code> method in our classes, as that will be called on each element.

This method uses the StringBuilder class to build the comma-separated list. As the StringBuilder class has a slight overhead when being initialized and when exporting the constructed string, tests have shown that it only really offers an improvement in time over basic string concatenation when appending 10 or more strings.

You may therefore prefer to refactor this method to remove the StringBuilder object, although you may also find that the difference in milliseconds is negligible. Returning to the method, after declaring the StringBuilder object, we initialize the index variable, which is used to specify which separator to join each string with.

When the index variable equals zero and no strings have yet been added to the StringBuilder object, no separator will be appended. After that, we check whether the current string is the last in the collection, and if it is, we prepend " and " to it; otherwise, we prepend a comma and a space to it.

After each iteration, we increment the index variable, and when finished, we return the output from the StringBuilder object. It could be used to display a comma-separated list of the products that a user has selected like this:

```
SelectedProducts.Select(p => p.Name).ToCommaSeparatedString();
```

As you can see, there are many ways that we can humanize our output for the end users, to make them feel more at ease when using our applications. Let's now move on to see other ways that we can provide that great user experience for our users.

Unburdening the end user

There are many things that we can do to make the life of the end users easier. One simple example would be to set the focus in a form to the first field, so that users can start typing as soon as they load a View, without first needing to focus it manually.

We saw one way to do this using an Attached Property in Chapter 4, *Becoming Proficient* with Data Binding, but we can also achieve this easily, by first adding a new bool property into our BaseViewModel class:

```
private bool isFocused = false;
...
public bool IsFocused
{
  get { return isFocused; }
  set { if (isFocused != value) { isFocused = value;
```

```
NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
```

Next we can add a style resource into the application resources in the App.xaml file:

```
<Style TargetType="{x:Type TextBox}">
<!-- Define default TextBox style here -->
</Style>
<Style x:Key="FocusableTextBoxStyle" TargetType="{x:Type TextBox}"
BasedOn="{StaticResource {x:Type TextBox}}">
<Style.Triggers>
<DataTrigger Binding="{Binding IsFocused}" Value="True">
<Setter Property="FocusManager.FocusedElement"
Value="{Binding RelativeSource={RelativeSource Self}}" />
</Style.Triggers>
</Style.Triggers>
```

This assumes that we already have a default style that we want to use for our TextBox controls and that our new style will be based on that, but add this additional focusable functionality. It simply consists of a single data trigger that uses the FocusedElement property of the FocusManager class to focus the TextBox element that has this style applied to it when the IsFocused property is set to true.

Therefore, all we need to do to focus a particular TextBox control in a View is to apply this style to it and set the IsFocused property from the BaseViewModel class to true in the appropriate place in the related View Model:

IsFocused = true;

Note that the TextBox control will become focused as the property becomes true, and so if the property is already true, we may need to first set it to false before again setting it to true to get this to work. For example, if the property was true before the View was loaded, then the TextBox control would not become focused.

Another simple example of making our application users' lives easier would be to prepopulate any form fields that we may be able to. For example, if our application has a login screen that uses the users' Windows username, we could fill in the user name field in the form after accessing it from the WindowsIdentity class like this:

```
UserName = WindowsIdentity.GetCurrent().Name;
```

Another example of this might be to pre-populate form fields with the most commonly used values. We could perhaps fill in a date field with today's date or an Amount Paid field to the total amount, if that is what the users typically do.

We do, however, need to be careful when doing this because if we get the default value(s) wrong, it could backfire and actually take the users longer to delete the default value and replace it with the value that they want than to just input the value directly. Remember, the idea is to save the users time and make them more productive.

Quite often, we can save the users of our applications a great amount of time. If we have the chance to ask them exactly what they do and how they would use the application on a day-to-day basis, then we can usually program a lot of their operations into functions in the application.

For example, if any users have to repeatedly edit a number of files with the same data, perhaps to add, remove, or update a particular field, then we could build that functionality straight into the application.

Instead of making them edit a single record at a time, we could provide a View where they set the field, or fields to change, and the new value(s), along with the ability to select multiple records, and therefore save them a great deal of time and effort.

All menial, or repetitive tasks can be programmed into functions, and so writing a good application is not just restricted to making pretty and asynchronous UIs but also to making it highly usable. Furthermore, the more useful the application is, the more productive the users will become, and the more lavish the praise that will be bestowed on us and our development teams, if applicable.

Summary

In this chapter, we discussed further ways to improve our applications, making them as useful to the end users as possible. We investigated how we could implement a custom user feedback system to keep the users informed with the status of the operations that they perform.

We also examined how to make our applications asynchronous, so that our UI won't freeze when the application is performing long running operations. We then looked at one way of building this asynchronous behavior right into our application framework so that we can run any data access operation asynchronously with minimal code. We ended with a short section dedicated to improving the way that our applications are perceived by the end users. In it, we detailed a number of ways of accomplishing this, from providing in-application help and user preferences to paying attention to the smaller details and implementing work-heavy functions to save the users from having to manually do the same.

In the next chapter, we'll be looking at a number of ways to improve the performance of our applications, from utilizing the power of installed graphics cards to writing more efficient code. We'll also look into how we can improve the efficiency of our data bindings and resources, and investigate other techniques, such as data virtualization.

11 Improving Application Performance

The performance of **Windows Presentation Foundation (WPF**) applications, in general, is one of its biggest problems. The more visual layers that our rendered data objects and UIs contain, the more time it takes to render them, so we often need to maintain a balance between making our applications visually appealing and making them perform better.

This situation can be improved by running our WPF applications on more powerful computers. This explains why these applications are most prevalent in the financial industry. However, not everyone can afford to update all of their users' computers for this purpose.

Luckily, there are a number of ways in which we can improve the performance of our WPF applications, and we'll investigate them here. The art of improving application performance really comes down to making a lot of small improvements that, together, all add up to a noticeable difference.

In this chapter, we'll explore how we can better utilize the graphics rendering power of our computer's graphics card and declare our resources more efficiently. We'll investigate how we can improve our application's performance by opting to use lighter weight UI controls, more efficient data binding modes, and by employing other techniques, such as virtualization.

Leveraging the power of hardware rendering

As we've already learned, the visuals that WPF can output, while beautiful, can be very CPU-intensive and we often need to bear this in mind when designing our Views. However, rather than compromising our designs, we can offload the intensive rendering processes to the host computer's **Graphics Processing Unit** (**GPU**) instead.

While WPF will default to utilize its software rendering pipeline, it is also able to take advantage of a hardware rendering pipeline. This hardware pipeline leverages features of Microsoft DirectX, as long as the host PC has DirectX version 7, or higher, installed. Furthermore, if the version of DirectX that is installed is version 9 or higher, increased performance improvements will be seen.

The WPF Framework looks at the graphics hardware that is installed on the computer that it is running on and puts it into one of three categories, depending on its features, such as video RAM, shaders, and support for multi-textures. If it does not support version 7 of DirectX or higher, then it is classed in Rendering Tier 0 and will not be used for hardware rendering at all.

However, if it does support DirectX version 7 or higher, but less than version 9, then it is classed in Rendering Tier 1 and will be used for partial hardware rendering. However, as practically all new graphics cards support versions of DirectX higher than 9, they would all be classed in Rendering Tier 2 and would be used for full hardware rendering.

As the UI will freeze during the rendering time, care should be taken to minimize the number of visual layers that are rendered. Therefore, for WPF applications that will run on computers that have graphics hardware classed in Rendering Tier 0 and use software rendering, we need to take extra care.

However, if our application is likely to be run on older computers, or computers with older graphics hardware, we can detect this using the rendering tier and run more efficient code in these instances. We can find out the rendering tier of the host computer's graphics hardware using the static Tier property of the RenderCapability class.

Unfortunately, instead of the type of this property being some kind of useful enumeration, it is, in fact, an integer, where only the high-order word represents the value of the tier and can be either 0, 1, or 2. We can attain it by shifting the bits in the integer to read the value from just the last two bytes:

```
using System.Windows.Media;
...
int renderingTier = RenderCapability.Tier >> 16;
```

Once we know the rendering tier of the host computer's graphics hardware, we can write code accordingly. For example, let's imagine that we had a processor-intensive View, with lots of visuals making up each item in a collection. We could set the tier value to a property and data bind it to the View, where we could select different data templates to use depending on the processing power of the host computer. Let's examine this example by first creating the missing enumeration:

```
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels.Enums
{
    public enum RenderingTier
    {
        Zero = 0,
        One = 1,
        Two = 2
    }
}
```

Next, we need to add a property of the RenderingTier type into our StateManager class from Chapter 3, Writing Custom Application Frameworks:

```
public RenderingTier RenderingTier { get; set; }
```

We don't need to inform the INotifyPropertyChanged interface of any changes to this property because it will only be set once upon application startup. Let's adjust our previous example:

```
public App()
{
   StateManager.Instance.RenderingTier =
      (RenderingTier) (RenderCapability.Tier >> 16);
}
```

After casting the bit shifted integer value into our RenderingTier enumeration and setting it to the new RenderingTier property in the StateManager class, we can then start to use it in our Views to determine the level of visualizations that we can employ:

```
<ListBox ItemsSource="{Binding Products}">
<ListBox.Style>
<Style TargetType="{x:Type ListBox}">
<Setter Property="ItemTemplate"
Value="{StaticResource SimpleDataTemplate}" />
<Style.Triggers>
<DataTrigger Binding="{Binding
StateManager.Instance.RenderingTier}" Value="One">
<Setter Property="ItemTemplate"
Value="{StaticResource MoreComplexDataTemplate}" />
</DataTrigger>
```

```
<DataTrigger Binding="{Binding
    StateManager.Instance.RenderingTier}" Value="Two">
    <Setter Property="ItemTemplate"
        Value="{StaticResource MostComplexDataTemplate}" />
        </DataTrigger>
        </Style.Triggers>
        </Style>
    </ListBox.Style>
</ListBox>
```

In this example, we have a ListBox control that is displaying a collection of products. The idea is that we can declare three different data templates to define what each product will look like. We have a SimpleDataTemplate template that might just provide a text-based output, a MoreComplexDataTemplate template that could contain some basic visuals, and a MostComplexDataTemplate template that could contain several layers of visuals.

In the style that is applied to the list box, we set the default SimpleDataTemplate template as the value of its ItemTemplate property. Using the RenderingTier property of the StateManager class, we then declare a couple of data triggers to switch the value of the ItemTemplate property to one of the more complex templates, depending on the rendering tier of the host computer.

Making more efficient resources

When we reference our resources, we can either use a StaticResource or a DynamicResource. If you remember from Chapter 5, Using the Right Controls for the Job, a StaticResource will look up the value of the resource just once, which is comparative to a compile-time lookup. A DynamicResource will repeatedly look up the value of the resource each time it is requested, whether it has changed or not, just like a runtime lookup.

For this reason, we should only ever use a DynamicResource if we really need to, as we can attain a much better performance by using the StaticResource class instead. If we find that we need to use a lot of DynamicResource references to access our resources, then we can refactor our code to data bind to properties in our StateManager class instead of the resources, in order to increase performance.

Another simple way to improve the performance of our resources is to reuse them. Instead of declaring them inline in the place that they are used in the XAML, we should declare them in a suitable resource section and reference them.

In this way, each resource is created just once and shared. To extend this idea further, we could define all of our shared resources in the application resources in the App.xaml file and share them between all of the application Views.

Imagine a situation where some brush resources were declared inline with the XAML within a DataTemplate element. Now imagine that this template is set as the ItemTemplate of an ItemsControl object and that the collection that is data bound to its ItemsSource property contains a thousand elements.

The application will, therefore, create a thousand brush objects with identical properties for each brush that is declared locally within the data template. Now compare this to another situation where we declare each required brush just once in a resource section and reference it from the template. It's clear to see the benefit of this method and the huge savings that can be made of the computer's resources.

Furthermore, this idea also affects the Resources sections of our Views, especially if we are displaying more than one of them at once. If we declare a View to define how each object in a collection should be rendered, then all of the resources that are declared in the View will be initialized once for each element in the collection. In this case, it is better to declare them at the application level.

Freezing objects

In WPF, certain resource objects, such as animations, geometries, brushes, and pens, can be made Freezable. This provides special features that can help to improve the performance of our WPF applications. Freezable objects can either be frozen or unfrozen. In the unfrozen state, they behave like any other object; however, when frozen, they become immutable and can no longer be modified.

The main benefit of freezing objects is that it can improve application performance, because frozen objects no longer require resources to be consumed when monitoring and issuing change notifications. Another benefit is that a frozen object is also safe to be shared across threads, unlike unfrozen objects.

Many UI-related objects extend the Freezable class to provide this functionality and most Freezable objects relate to the graphics sub-system, as rendering visuals is one of the areas where performance improvements are most needed.

Classes such as the Brush, Geometry, and Transform classes contain unmanaged resources and the system must monitor them for changes. By freezing these objects and making them immutable, the system is able to free up its monitoring resources and better utilize them elsewhere. Furthermore, even the memory footprint of a frozen object is considerably less than its unfrozen counterpart.

Therefore, in order to make the greatest performance improvements, we should get used to freezing all of our resources in all of the Resource sections, as long as we have no plans to modify them. As most resources typically remain unmodified, we are usually able to freeze the vast majority of them and gain significant and noticeable improvements in performance by doing so.

In Chapter 8, Creating Visually Appealing User Interfaces, we learned how to freeze a Freezable object in code by calling its Freeze method. Let's now look at how we can freeze our resources in XAML. First, we need to add a XAML namespace prefix to the presentation options namespace to access its Freeze attribute:

```
xmlns:PresentationOptions=
    "http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml/presentation/options
"xmlns:mc="http://schemas.openxmlformats.org/markup-compatibility/2006"
mc:Ignorable="PresentationOptions"
```

Note that we also include another XAML namespace prefix to be able to access the Ignorable attribute, and we set our PresentationOptions prefix as its value. This is because the Freeze attribute is primarily only recognized by the WPF XAML processor, and, in order to maintain compatibility with other XAML readers, we need to specify that the attribute can be ignored.

We'll find a full example in the *Drawing conclusions* section coming up soon, but for now, using a resource from an earlier example, let's examine how to freeze a Freezable object in XAML:

```
<DropShadowEffect x:Key="Shadow" BlurRadius="10" Direction="270"
ShadowDepth="7" Opacity="0.5" PresentationOptions:Freeze="True" />
```

Some Freezable objects, such as the animation and geometry objects, can contain other Freezable objects. When a Freezable object is frozen, its child objects are also frozen. However, there are a few cases where a Freezable object cannot be frozen.

One case happens if it has any properties that might change in value, due to animations, data binding, or DynamicResource references. The other case occurs when the Freezable object has any child objects that cannot be frozen.
If we are freezing resource type objects in the code behind of a custom control, for example, then we can call the CanFreeze property of the Freezable class to check whether each Freezable object can be frozen before attempting to freeze them:

```
EllipseGeometry ellipseGeometry =
   new EllipseGeometry(new Rect(0, 0, 500, 250));
if (ellipseGeometry.CanFreeze) ellipseGeometry.Freeze();
Path.Data = ellipseGeometry;
```

Once a Freezable object is frozen, it cannot be modified, and attempting to do so will cause an InvalidOperationException to be thrown. Note that a Freezable object cannot be unfrozen; so, to avoid this situation, we can check the value of the IsFrozen property before attempting to modify the object. If it is frozen, we can make a copy of it using its Clone method and modify that instead:

```
if (ellipseGeometry.IsFrozen)
{
   EllipseGeometry ellipseGeometryClone = ellipseGeometry.Clone();
   ellipseGeometryClone.RadiusX = 400;
   ellipseGeometryClone.Freeze();
   Path.Data = ellipseGeometryClone;
}
else ellipseGeometry.RadiusX = 400;
```

If a Freezable object is cloned, any Freezable children that it might have will also be copied to enable modification. When a frozen object is animated, the animation system will make cloned copies of it in this way so that it can modify them. But, as this adds an overhead to performance, it is advisable not to freeze a Freezable object if you expect to be animated.

Using the right controls for performance

As we mentioned previously, there are usually several different ways of achieving the same functionality, or UI display, when using WPF. Some ways will provide better performance than others. For example, we learned how some panels do more intensive layout work and, therefore, consume more CPU cycles and/or RAM than others.

Therefore, this is one area that we can investigate in order to make performance improvements. If we do not require the complex layout and resizing abilities of a Grid panel, then we can gain a performance improvement by utilizing a more efficient StackPanel or Canvas panel instead.

Another example could be that if we do not require the ability to select in a collection control, then we should use an ItemsControl element instead of a ListBox. While swapping one control will not make much of a performance improvement on its own, making this same swap in the DataTemplate of an item that will be displayed thousands of times will make a noticeable difference.

As we discovered in Chapter 5, *Using the Right Controls for the Job*, each time a UI element is rendered, the layout system must complete two passes, a measure pass and an arrange pass, which is collectively known as a layout pass. If the element has children and/or grandchildren, they will all need to complete the layout pass too. This process is intensive and the fewer passes that can be made, the quicker our Views will render.

As mentioned earlier, we need to be careful to ensure that we do not unnecessarily trigger additional passes of the layout system, as this can lead to poor performance. This can occur when adding or removing items to or from a panel, applying transforms on the elements, or by calling the UIElement.UpdateLayout method, which forces a new layout pass.

Because of the way that changes to a UI element will invalidate its children and force a new layout pass, we need to be especially careful when building hierarchical data in code. If we create the child elements first, then their parent objects, and then the parents of those objects, and so on, we will incur a huge performance hit, due to the existing child items being forced to perform multiple layout passes.

In order to address this issue, we need to always ensure that we build our tree from the topdown, rather than the top-up method just described. If we add the parent element(s) first, then add their children and their children if any, we can avoid the additional layout passes. The performance improvement of using the top-down method is approximately five times quicker to render, and so is not insignificant. Let's take a look at some further controlrelated performance benefits that we can employ next.

Drawing conclusions

When we have a requirement to draw shapes in our UI, such as in our callout window example in Chapter 8, *Creating Visually Appealing User Interfaces*, we tend to use the abstract Shape class or, more accurately, one or more of its derived classes.

The Shape class extends the FrameworkElement class, so it can make use of the layout system, be styled, have access to a range of stroke and fill properties, and its properties can be data bound and animated. This makes it easy to use and, generally, the preferred method of drawing in WPF applications.

However, WPF also provides lower-level classes that can achieve the same end results, but more efficiently. The five classes that extend the abstract Drawing class have a much smaller inheritance hierarchy and, as such, have a much smaller memory footprint than their Shape object-based counterparts.

The two most commonly used classes include the GeometryDrawing class, which is used to draw geometrical shapes, and the DrawingGroup class, which is used to combine multiple drawing objects into a single composite drawing.

Additionally, the Drawing class is also extended by the GlyphRunDrawing class, which renders text; the ImageDrawing class, which displays images; and the VideoDrawing class, which enables us to play video files. As the Drawing class extends the Freezable class, further efficiency savings can be made by freezing its instances, that is, if they do not need to be modified afterward.

There is one other, and potentially even more efficient, method of drawing shapes in WPF. The DrawingVisual class does not provide event handling or layout functionality, so its performance is improved compared with other drawing methods. However, this is a code-only solution and there is no XAML-based DrawingVisual option.

Furthermore, its lack of layout abilities means that, in order to display it, we need to create a class that extends a class that provides layout support in the UI, such as the FrameworkElement class. To be even more efficient, though, we could extend the Visual class, as that is the lightest-weight class that can be rendered in the UI, with the fewest properties and no events to handle.

This class would be responsible for maintaining a collection of Visual elements to be rendered, creating one or more DrawingVisual objects to add to the collection, and overriding a property and a method, in order to participate in the rendering process. It could also, optionally, provide event handling and hit-testing capabilities if user interaction was required.

It really depends on what we want to draw. Typically, the more efficient the drawing, the less flexible it is. For example, if we were just drawing some static clipart, background image, or, perhaps, logo, we could take advantage of the more efficient drawing methods. However, if we need our drawing to grow and shrink as the application windows change size, then we'll need to use the less efficient methods that provide more flexibility, or use another class in addition that provides that functionality.

Let's explore an example that creates the same graphical image using each of the three different drawing methods. We'll define some smiley face emoticons, starting with the Shape-based method on the left-hand side, the Drawing object-based method in the center, and the DrawingVisual-based method on the right. Let's first look at the visual output:



Now, let's inspect the XAML:

```
<UserControl x:Class="CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.DrawingView"</pre>
 xmlns="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml/presentation"
 xmlns:x="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml"
 xmlns:Controls=
    "clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls"
 xmlns:PresentationOptions=
    "http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml/presentation/options"
 Width="450" Height="150">
  <Grid>
    <Grid.Resources>
      <RadialGradientBrush x:Key="RadialBrush" RadiusX="0.8" RadiusY="0.8"
        PresentationOptions:Freeze="True">
        <GradientStop Color="Orange" Offset="1.0" />
        <GradientStop Color="Yellow" />
      </RadialGradientBrush>
    </Grid.Resources>
    <Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
      <ColumnDefinition />
      <ColumnDefinition />
      <ColumnDefinition />
    </Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
    <Grid>
      <Grid.RowDefinitions>
        <RowDefinition Height="3*" />
        <RowDefinition Height="2*" />
        <RowDefinition Height="2*" />
```

```
<RowDefinition Height="2*" />
    <RowDefinition Height="3*" />
  </Grid.RowDefinitions>
  <Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
    <ColumnDefinition />
    <ColumnDefinition />
    <ColumnDefinition />
    <ColumnDefinition />
    <ColumnDefinition />
  </Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
  <Ellipse Grid.RowSpan="5" Grid.ColumnSpan="5"
    Fill="{StaticResource RadialBrush}" Stroke="Black"
    StrokeThickness="5" />
  <Ellipse Grid.Row="1" Grid.Column="1" Fill="Black" Width="20"</pre>
    HorizontalAlignment="Center" />
  <Ellipse Grid.Row="1" Grid.Column="3" Fill="Black" Width="20"</pre>
    HorizontalAlignment="Center" />
  <Path Grid.Row="3" Grid.Column="1" Grid.ColumnSpan="3" Stroke="Black"
    StrokeThickness="10" StrokeStartLineCap="Round"
    StrokeEndLineCap="Round" Data="M0,10 A10,25 0 0 12.5,10"
    Stretch="Fill" HorizontalAlignment="Stretch" />
</Grid>
<Canvas Grid.Column="1">
  <Canvas.Background>
    <DrawingBrush PresentationOptions:Freeze="True">
      <DrawingBrush.Drawing>
        <DrawingGroup>
          <GeometryDrawing Brush="{StaticResource RadialBrush}">
            <GeometryDrawing.Geometry>
              <EllipseGeometry Center="50,50" RadiusX="50"
                RadiusY="50" />
            </GeometryDrawing.Geometry>
            <GeometryDrawing.Pen>
              <Pen Thickness="3.5" Brush="Black" />
            </GeometryDrawing.Pen>
          </GeometryDrawing>
          <GeometryDrawing Brush="Black">
            <GeometryDrawing.Geometry>
              <EllipseGeometry Center="29.5,33" RadiusX="6.75"
                RadiusY="8.5" />
            </GeometryDrawing.Geometry>
          </GeometryDrawing>
          <GeometryDrawing Brush="Black">
            <GeometryDrawing.Geometry>
              <EllipseGeometry Center="70.5,33" RadiusX="6.75"
                RadiusY="8.5" />
            </GeometryDrawing.Geometry>
          </GeometryDrawing>
```

```
<GeometryDrawing>
                <GeometryDrawing.Geometry>
                  <PathGeometry>
                    <PathGeometry.Figures>
                      <PathFigure StartPoint="23,62.5">
                        <ArcSegment Point="77,62.5" Size="41 41" />
                      </PathFigure>
                    </PathGeometry.Figures>
                  </PathGeometry>
                </GeometryDrawing.Geometry>
                <GeometryDrawing.Pen>
                  <Pen Thickness="7" Brush="Black" StartLineCap="Round"
                    EndLineCap="Round" />
                </GeometryDrawing.Pen>
              </GeometryDrawing>
            </DrawingGroup>
          </DrawingBrush.Drawing>
        </DrawingBrush>
      </Canvas.Background>
    </Canvas>
    <Canvas Grid.Column="2">
      <Canvas.Background>
        <VisualBrush>
          <VisualBrush.Visual>
            <Controls:SmileyFace />
          </VisualBrush.Visual>
        </VisualBrush>
      </Canvas.Background>
    </Canvas>
 </Grid>
</UserControl>
```

The first thing that we can see straight away from this example is that the Shape objectbased method of drawing is far simpler, achieving the same output as the far more verbose Drawing object-based method in far fewer lines of XAML. Let's now investigate the code.

After defining the PresentationOptions XAML namespace, we declare a RadialGradientBrush resource and optimize its efficiency, by freezing it using the Freeze attribute that was discussed earlier in the chapter. Note that if we were planning on using this control multiple times simultaneously, then we could be even more efficient, by declaring all of our Brush and Pen objects in the application resources and referencing them with StaticResource references.

We then declare an outer Grid panel that has two columns. In the left column, we declare another Grid panel, with five rows and five columns. This inner panel is used to position the various Shape elements that make up the first smiley face. Note that we use star sizing on the row definitions of this panel in order to slightly increase the sizes of the top and bottom rows to better position the eyes and mouth of the face.

Inside the panel, we define an Ellipse object to create the overall shape of the face, fill it with our brush from the resources, and add an outline with a black brush. We then use two further Ellipse elements filled with the black brush to draw the eyes and a Path element to draw the smile. Note that we do not fill the Path element, as that would look more like an open mouth than a smile.

Two other important points to note are that we must set the Stretch property to Fill in order to get the Path element to fill the available space that we provide it with, and we must set the StrokeStartLineCap and StrokeEndLineCap properties to Round to produce the nice, rounded ends of the smile.

We specify the shape that the Path element should be using its Data property and the inline mini-language that we used previously. Let's now break this value down into the various mini-language commands:

M0,10 A10,25 0 0 0 12.5,10

As with the previous example, we start with the Move command, specified by M and the following coordinate pair, which dictates the start point for the line. The remainder is taken up with the Elliptical Arc command, which is specified by A and the following five figures.

In order, the five figures of the Elliptical Arc command relate to the size of the arc, or its *x* and *y* radii, its rotation angle, a bit field to specify whether the angle of the arc should be greater than 180 degrees or not, another bit field to specify whether the arc should be drawn in a clockwise or an anti-clockwise direction, and, finally, the end point of the arc.

Full details of this path mini-language syntax can be found on the Microsoft website. Note that we could change the bit field of the drawing direction to a 1 in order to draw a frown instead:

M0,10 A10,25 0 0 1 12.5,10

Now, let's move onto the second column of the outer Grid panel now. In this column, we recreate the same smiley face but using the more efficient Drawing object-based objects. As they cannot render themselves like the Shape classes and we need to utilize other elements to do that job for us, we define them inside a DrawingBrush element and use that to paint the background of a Canvas object.

There are two important things to note here. The first is that we could have used the DrawingBrush element to paint any class that extends the FrameworkElement class, such as a Rectangle element, or another type of panel.

The second is that as we have frozen the DrawingBrush element using the Freeze attribute, all of the inner elements that extend the Freezable type will also be frozen. In this case, that includes the GeometryDrawing objects, the EllipseGeometry and PathGeometry objects, and even the Brush and Pen elements that were used to paint them.

When using a DrawingBrush object to render our drawings, we must define them using the Drawing property. As we want to build up our image from multiple Drawing-based objects, we need to wrap them all in a DrawingGroup object.

In order to recreate the overall shape of the face, we start with a GeometryDrawing element and specify an EllipseGeometry object as its Geometry property value. With this GeometryDrawing element, we paint the background by setting a reference of our RadialGradientBrush resource to its Brush property, and define a new Pen instance in its Pen property to specify a stroke for it.

As with all Geometry objects, we specify its dimensions so that they are in scale with each other, rather than using exact pixel sizes. For example, our View is 150 pixels high; however, instead of setting the Center property of this EllipseGeometry object to 75, which is half of the height, we have set it to 50.

As the two radii properties are also set to 50, they remain in scale with the position of the center and the resulting image is scaled to fit the container that it is rendered in. The scale that we use is up to our preference. For example, we could divide or multiply all of the coordinates, radii, and brush and pen thicknesses in our drawing example by the same amount and we would end up with the same face visual.

Next, we add another GeometryDrawing element with an EllipseGeometry object specified in its Drawing property for each of the two eyes on the face. These have no stroke and so have nothing assigned to the Pen property and are colored only using a black Brush set to their Brush properties. The final GeometryDrawing element hosts a PathGeometry object that draws the smile on the face.

Note that defining a PathGeometry object in XAML is far more verbose than using the path mini-language syntax. In it, we need to specify each PathFigure element in the PathFigures collection property, although actually declaring the surrounding collection in XAML is optional. In the case of our smile, we just need to define a single PathFigure element containing an ArcSegment object.

The StartPoint property of the PathFigure element dictates where the arc should start, the Size property of the ArcSegment object relates to the size of the arc, or its *x* and *y* radii, while its Point property specifies the end point of the arc.

In order to define round ends for the smile, as we did with the previous smiley face, the Pen element that we specify for this PathGeometry object must have its StartLineCap and EndLineCap properties set to the Round member of the PenLineCap enumeration. This completes the second method of drawing a smiley face.

The third method uses DrawingVisual objects in code internally and results in a Visual object. As the items in the Children collection of the Grid panel are of the UIElement type, we cannot add our Visual control to it directly. Instead, we can set it to the Visual property of a VisualBrush element and paint the background of an efficient container, such as a Canvas control, with it.

Let's now take a look at the code in this SmileyFace class:

```
using System;
using System.Collections.Generic;
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Media;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls
{
  public class SmileyFace : Visual
  {
    private VisualCollection visuals;
    public SmileyFace()
    {
      visuals = new VisualCollection(this);
      visuals.Add(GetFaceDrawingVisual());
    }
    private DrawingVisual GetFaceDrawingVisual()
    ł
      RadialGradientBrush radialGradientBrush =
        new RadialGradientBrush(Colors.Yellow, Colors.Orange);
      radialGradientBrush.RadiusX = 0.8;
      radialGradientBrush.RadiusY = 0.8;
      radialGradientBrush.Freeze();
      Pen outerPen = new Pen(Brushes.Black, 5.25);
      outerPen.Freeze();
      DrawingVisual drawingVisual = new DrawingVisual();
      DrawingContext drawingContext = drawingVisual.RenderOpen();
      drawingContext.DrawEllipse(radialGradientBrush, outerPen,
```

}

```
new Point(75, 75), 72.375, 72.375);
    drawingContext.DrawEllipse(Brushes.Black, null,
      new Point(44.25, 49.5), 10.125, 12.75);
    drawingContext.DrawEllipse(Brushes.Black, null,
      new Point(105.75, 49.5), 10.125, 12.75);
    ArcSegment arcSegment =
      new ArcSegment(new Point(115.5, 93.75), new Size(61.5, 61.5), 0,
      false, SweepDirection.Counterclockwise, true);
    PathFigure pathFigure = new PathFigure (new Point (34.5, 93.75),
      new List<PathSegment>() { arcSegment }, false);
    PathGeometry pathGeometry =
      new PathGeometry(new List<PathFigure>() { pathFigure });
    pathGeometry.Freeze();
    Pen smilePen = new Pen(Brushes.Black, 10.5);
    smilePen.StartLineCap = PenLineCap.Round;
    smilePen.EndLineCap = PenLineCap.Round;
    smilePen.Freeze();
    drawingContext.DrawGeometry(null, smilePen, pathGeometry);
    drawingContext.Close();
    return drawingVisual;
  }
  protected override int VisualChildrenCount
  {
    get { return visuals.Count; }
  ļ
  protected override Visual GetVisualChild(int index)
  {
    if (index < 0 || index >= visuals.Count)
     throw new ArgumentOutOfRangeException();
    return visuals[index];
  }
}
```

There are several classes that we could have extended our SmileyFace class from, in order to display it in the UI. As we saw in Chapter 5, Using the Right Controls for the Job, most UI controls have a rich inheritance hierarchy, with each extended class offering some particular functionality.

In order to make the most efficient container for our DrawingVisual, we want to extend a class that enables it to take part in the layout process, but adds as little additional overhead via unused properties and unrequired event handling as possible. As such, we have chosen the Visual class, which cannot be used as a UI element directly in the XAML, but it can be displayed as the visual of a VisualBrush element and used to paint a surface with.

To generate one or more DrawingVisual elements in our SmileyFace class, we need to declare and maintain a VisualCollection instance that will hold the Visual elements that we want to display. In the constructor, we initialize this collection and add the single DrawingVisual element that we want to render to it in this example, via the GetFaceDrawingVisual method.

In the GetFaceDrawingVisual method, we first declare a new version of our RadialBrush resource using the RadialGradientBrush class and a Pen element and freeze them using their Freeze methods. Next, we initialize a single DrawingVisual element and access a DrawingContext object from its RenderOpen method, with which to draw our shape.

We use the DrawingContext object to draw the ellipse that serves as the background for the face first. It is colored using the frozen Brush and pen elements. Note that, as the Visual class has no Stretch property or concept of size, the dimensions that we use here are exact device-independent pixel dimensions, rather than relative values, as were used in the previous drawing methods.

In this example, our smiley faces are 150 pixels wide by 150 pixels tall, so the center position will be half of that. Therefore, these exact pixel values can be calculated by multiplying the relative values from the previous Drawing-based example by 1.5.

However, we also need to consider the fact that the outline will be drawn half inside the drawing and half outside. As such, we need to adjust the two radii of this ellipse, reducing them by half of the outline size. As the pen used for this ellipse has a thickness of 5.25 device-independent pixels, we need to reduce each radius by 2.625.

Next, we call the DrawEllipse method again to draw each of the eyes, passing in a black brush and no Pen element, along with their newly calculated positions and sizes. For the smile, we first need to create an ArcSegment element and add that to a collection of the PathSegment type, while initializing a PathFigure object.

We then add the PathFigure object to a collection and pass that to the constructor of the PathGeometry object to initialize it. Next, we define the Pen object that will be used to draw the smile, ensuring that we set its StartLineCap and EndLineCap properties to the Round member of the PenLineCap enumeration, as in the previous examples.

We then freeze this Pen object and pass it, along with the PathGeometry object, to the DrawGeometry method of the DrawingContext object to draw it. Finally, we close the drawing context using its Close method and return the single DrawingVisual element that we just created.

While we have now taken care of the code that draws our smiley face, we will not be able to see anything in the UI yet. In order to participate in the rendering process, we need to override a couple of members from the Visual class, the VisualChildrenCount property, and the GetVisualChild method.

When overriding these members, we need to inform the Visual class of the visuals that we want it to render for us. As such, we simply return the number of items in our internal VisualCollection object from the VisualChildrenCount property and return the item in the collection that relates to the specified index input parameter from the GetVisualChild method.

In this example, we have added a check for invalid values from the index input parameter, although this shouldn't ever occur if we output the correct number of items from the <code>VisualChildrenCount</code> property in the first place.

So, now we have seen three different drawing methods for creating the same visual output, with each being more efficient than the previous one. However, apart from the efficiency differences, we should also be aware of the differences in these drawing methods when it comes to the manipulation and versatility of the elements.

As an example, let's adjust the Width of our DrawingView class, set its ClipToBounds property to true, and view its new output:

Width="225" Height="150" ClipToBounds="True">

Let's now run the application again and see the output:



As you can see from the preceding screenshot, these drawing methods behave differently when resized. The first method is redrawn at the current size and the thickness of each drawn line remains the same, even though the width of this face has been narrowed by the space provided to it from the parent Grid panel.

However, the second and third smiley faces actually look like squashed images, where the thickness of each line is no longer static; the more vertical the line is, the thinner it now becomes. The overall widths of these faces have also been adjusted by the parent Grid panel.

The third face, however, has only been scaled by the <code>VisualBrush</code> object that is used to display it. If instead of extending the <code>Visual</code> class, we had wanted to derive from the <code>UIElement</code> class to utilize some of its functionality, or perhaps to enable us to display our <code>SmileyFace</code> control directly in the XAML, then we would see a different output. Let's make a slight adjustment to our class declaration:

```
public class SmileyFace : UIElement
```

Let's also display it directly in the XAML now, replacing the Canvas and VisualBrush objects that previously displayed it:

```
<Controls:SmileyFace Grid.Column="2" />
```

Now, if we run the application again and see the output, it will look very different:



Because we specified exact values for our drawing, our SmileyFace control does not extend any class that would enable resizing or scaling, and we no longer have the VisualBrush object to resize it. That is, the drawing remains exactly as it would be at full size, except that it now no longer fits into the space provided to it from the parent Grid panel.

In order to build the ability to draw the shape at different sizes into our class, we'll need to derive it from a class that provides us with additional properties and functionality. The FrameworkElement class supplies us with both dimension properties that we can use to draw our shape at the required size and a Loaded event that we can use to delay the construction of our shape until the relevant size has been calculated by the layout system.

{

}

Let's examine the changes that we'd need to make to achieve this:

```
public class SmileyFace : FrameworkElement
  . . .
 public SmileyFace()
   visuals = new VisualCollection(this);
   Loaded += SmileyFace_Loaded;
  }
 private void SmileyFace_Loaded(object sender, RoutedEventArgs e)
  {
   visuals.Add(GetFaceDrawingVisual());
  }
 private DrawingVisual GetFaceDrawingVisual()
  {
    DrawingVisual drawingVisual = new DrawingVisual();
    DrawingContext drawingContext = drawingVisual.RenderOpen();
    drawingContext.DrawEllipse(radialGradientBrush, outerPen,
      new Point (ActualWidth / 2, ActualHeight / 2), (ActualWidth -
      outerPen.Thickness) / 2, (ActualHeight - outerPen.Thickness) / 2);
   drawingContext.DrawEllipse(Brushes.Black, null, new Point(
      ActualWidth / 3.3898305084745761, ActualHeight / 3.0303030303030303),
      ActualWidth / 14.814814814814815, ActualHeight / 11.764705882352942);
    drawingContext.DrawEllipse(Brushes.Black, null, new Point(
      ActualWidth / 1.4184397163120568, ActualHeight / 3.0303030303030303),
      ActualWidth / 14.814814814814815, ActualHeight / 11.764705882352942);
   ArcSegment arcSegment = new ArcSegment (new Point (ActualWidth /
      1.2987012987012987, ActualHeight / 1.6), new Size(ActualWidth /
      2.4390243902439024, ActualHeight / 2.4390243902439024), 0, false,
      SweepDirection.Counterclockwise, true);
    PathFigure pathFigure = new PathFigure (new Point (ActualWidth /
      4.3478260869565215, ActualHeight / 1.6), new List<PathSegment>() {
      arcSegment }, false);
   PathGeometry pathGeometry =
      new PathGeometry(new List<PathFigure>() { pathFigure });
    . . .
    return drawingVisual;
  }
```

The first change is that we need to move the call to generate the shape from the constructor to the SmileyFace_Loaded handling method. If we had not moved this, our shape would have no size, because the ActualWidth and ActualHeight properties that are used to define its size would not have been set by the layout system at that time.

Next, in the GetFaceDrawingVisual method, we need to replace the hardcoded values with divisions of the control's dimensions. The ellipse that draws the whole face is simple to calculate, with a position of half the width and height of the control and radii of half of the width and height of the control minus half of the thickness of the Pen element that draws its outline.

However, if you were wondering where all of the remaining long decimal divisor values came from, the answer is basic mathematics. The original drawing was 150 pixels wide by 150 pixels tall, so we can divide this by the various positions and sizes of the drawn lines from the previous example.

For example, the ellipse that draws the first eye was previously centered with an X position of 44.25. So, to calculate our required width divisor, we simply divide 150 by 44.25, which equals 3.3898305084745761. Therefore, when the control is provided with 150 pixels of space, it will draw the left eye at an X position of 44.25 and it will now scale correctly at all of the other sizes.

The divisors for each position and size of the drawn shapes were all calculated using this method, to ensure that they would be sized appropriately for the space provided to our control. Note that we could have altered the brush and pen thicknesses likewise, but we have opted not to do so in this example for brevity.

When running this example now, we again have a slightly different output:



Now, the first and third faces look more similar, with the thicknesses of their drawn lines being static and unchanging along their length, unlike the second face. So, we can see that we have many options when it comes to creating custom drawings, and we need to balance the need for efficiency with the ease of use of the drawing method and also take the use of the resulting image into consideration.

Before moving onto the next topic in this chapter, there are a few further efficiency savings that we can make when drawing complex shapes. If our code uses a large number of PathGeometry objects, then we can replace them by using a StreamGeometry object instead.

The StreamGeometry class is specifically optimized to handle multiple path geometries and shows better performance than can be attained from using multiple PathGeometry instances. In fact, we have already been using the StreamGeometry class inadvertently, as that is what is used internally when the binding path mini-language syntax is parsed by the XAML reader.

It can be thought of in a similar way to the StringBuilder class, in that it is more efficient at drawing complex shapes than using multiple instances of the PathGeometry class, but it also has some overhead and so only benefits us when replacing a fair number of them.

Finally, rather than display our DrawingVisual using a VisualBrush, which is refreshed during each layout pass, if our drawings are never to be manipulated in the UI, it is even more efficient to create actual images from them and display those instead.

The RenderTargetBitmap class provides a simple way for us to create images from Visual instances, using its Render method. Let's explore an example of this:

```
using System.IO;
using System.Windows.Media;
using System.Windows.Media.Imaging;
...
RenderTargetBitmap renderTargetBitmap = new RenderTargetBitmap(
  (int)ActualWidth, (int)ActualHeight, 96, 96, PixelFormats.Pbgra32);
renderTargetBitmap.Render(drawingVisual);
renderTargetBitmap.Freeze();
PngBitmapEncoder image = new PngBitmapEncoder();
image.Frames.Add(BitmapFrame.Create(renderTargetBitmap));
using (Stream stream = File.Create(filePath))
{
    image.Save(stream);
}
```

We start by initializing a RenderTargetBitmap object with the required dimensions, resolution, and pixel format of the image to create. Note that the Pbgra32 member of the static PixelFormats class specifies a pixel format that follows the sRGB format, using 32 bits per pixel, with each of the four alpha, red, green, and blue channels receiving 8 bits each per pixel.

Next, we pass our DrawingVisual element, or any other element that extends the Visual class, to the Render method of the RenderTargetBitmap class to render it. To make the operation more efficient still, we then call its Freeze method to freeze the object.

In order to save a PNG image file, we first initialize a PngBitmapEncoder object and add the renderTargetBitmap variable to its Frames collection via the Create method of the BitmapFrame class. Finally, we initialize a Stream object using the File.Create method, passing in the desired file name and path, and call its Save method to save the file to the computer's hard drive. Alternatively, the JpegBitmapEncoder class can be used to create a JPG image file.

Let's now move on to find ways of using images more efficiently.

Imaging more efficiently

When an image is displayed in a WPF application, it is loaded and decoded in its full size by default. If your application displays a number of thumbnails from the original images, then you can gain enhanced performance by copying your full-size images and then resizing them to the correct size for the thumbnails, rather than letting WPF do it for you.

Alternatively, you can request that WPF decodes your images to the size required by the thumbnails, although, if you want to display the full-size images, you would really need to decode each full-size image separately. Let's take a look at how we can achieve this by using a BitmapImage object as the source for an Image control:

```
<Image Width="64">
    <Image.Source>
        <BitmapImage DecodePixelWidth="64" UriSource="pack://application:,,/
            CompanyName.ApplicationName;component/Images/Image1.png" />
        </Image.Source>
<//Image>
```

The important part of this example is the DecodePixelWidth property of the BitmapImage class, which specifies the actual size of the image to decode to. In this example, this would result in a smaller memory footprint as well as faster rendering.

Note that if the DecodePixelHeight and DecodePixelWidth properties of the BitmapImage class are both set, a new aspect ratio will be calculated from their values. However, if only one of these properties is set, then the image's original aspect ratio will be used. It is, therefore, customary to only set one of these properties in order to decode to a different size from the original, while maintaining its aspect ratio.

Normally, when images are used in a WPF application, they are all cached into memory at load time. Another benefit that can be gained if using code in the aforementioned scenario is to set the CacheOption property of the BitmapImage class to the OnDemand enumeration member, which postpones the caching of the relevant image until the image is actually requested to be displayed.

This can save a significant amount of resources at load time, although each image will take a tiny bit longer to display the first time they are displayed. Once the image is cached, however, it will work in exactly the same way as the images created in the default way.

There is one additional property in the BitmapImage class that can be used to improve the performance when loading multiple image files. The CreateOptions property is of the BitmapCreateOptions enumeration type and enables us to specify initialization options that relate to the loading of images. This enumeration can be set using bitwise combinations as it specifies the FlagsAttribute attribute in its declaration.

The DelayCreation member can be used to delay the initialization of each image until it is actually required, thereby speeding up the process of loading the relevant View, while adding a tiny cost to the process of requesting each image when it is actually required.

This would benefit a photo gallery type of application, for example, where the initialization of each full-size image could be delayed until the user clicks on the appropriate thumbnail. It is only at that point that the image would be created, but as there would only be a single image to create at that point, the initialization time would be negligible.

While it is possible to set more than one of these members to the CreateOptions property using the bitwise OR operator (), care should be taken to not also set the PreservePixelFormat member, unless specifically required, as that can result in lower performance. When it is not set, the system will choose the pixel format with the best performance by default. Let's look at a short example:

```
private Image CreateImageEfficiently(string filePath)
{
    Image image = new Image();
    BitmapImage bitmapImage = new BitmapImage();
    bitmapImage.BeginInit();
    bitmapImage.CacheOption = BitmapCacheOption.OnDemand;
    bitmapImage.CreateOptions = BitmapCreateOptions.DelayCreation;
```

```
[547]
```

```
bitmapImage.UriSource = new Uri(filePath, UriKind.Absolute);
bitmapImage.Freeze();
bitmapImage.EndInit();
image.Source = bitmapImage;
return image;
}
```

When creating images in code, we need to initialize an instance of the <code>BitmapImage</code> class to use as the source for the actual <code>Image</code> object that will be displayed in the UI. When doing so, we need to call its <code>BeginInit</code> method before making changes to it and then call its <code>EndInit</code> method afterward. Note that all changes made after initialization will be ignored.

During initialization, we set the CacheOption property to the OnDemand member and the CreateOptions property to the DelayCreation member. Note that we do not set the DecodePixelWidth or DecodePixelHeight properties here, because this code example is setup for initializing the full-size images in our gallery example.

Additionally, note that, in this particular example, we initialize the Uri object using an absolute file path, by passing the Absolute member of the UriKind enumeration into the constructor. If you prefer to work with relative file paths, you can change this line to specify a relative file path by passing the Relative member to the constructor instead:

```
bitmapImage.UriSource = new Uri(filePath, UriKind.Relative);
```

Returning to the end of the example now, we can see the call to the Freeze method, which ensures that the BitmapImage object will be unmodifiable and in its most efficient state. This line can be omitted if the images need to be modified later.

Finally, we call the EndInit method to signal the end of the BitmapImage object initialization, set the BitmapImage object as the Source property value of the Image object to return, and then return the Image object to the method caller.

Now that we've seen some tips on how to display our images more efficiently, let's investigate how we might do the same for our application's textual output.

Enhancing the performance of textual output

WPF provides similar options for creating text as it does for drawing shapes; the more versatile the output method, the easier it is to use, but the less efficient it is and vice versa. The vast majority of us opt for the simplest, but least efficient, method of using the high-level TextBlock or Label elements.

While this doesn't typically cause us any problems when used in typical forms, there is definitely room for improvement when displaying thousands of text blocks in a data grid, or other collection control. If we require formatted text, we can utilize the more efficient FormattedText object; otherwise, we can use the lowest-level method and the most efficient Glyphs elements.

Let's look at an example:

```
<UserControl x:Class="CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.TextView"</pre>
 xmlns="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml/presentation"
 xmlns:x="http://schemas.microsoft.com/winfx/2006/xaml"
 xmlns:Controls=
    "clr-namespace:CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls"
 Height="250" Width="325">
 <Grid ShowGridLines="True">
    <Grid.RowDefinitions>
     <RowDefinition />
     <RowDefinition />
     <RowDefinition />
     <RowDefinition />
   </Grid.RowDefinitions>
   <Label Content="Quite Efficient" FontFamily="Times New Roman"
     FontSize="50" FontWeight="Bold" FontStyle="Italic"
     Foreground="Red" Margin="10,0,0,0" Padding="0" />
   <TextBlock Grid.Row="1" Text="More Efficient"
     FontFamily="Times New Roman" FontSize="50" FontWeight="Bold"
     FontStyle="Italic" Foreground="Black" Margin="10,0,0,0" />
   <Controls:FormattedTextOutput Grid.Row="2" Text="More Efficient" />
   <Glyphs Grid.Row="3" UnicodeString="Most Efficient"
     FontUri="C:\WINDOWS\Fonts\timesbi.TTF" FontRenderingEmSize="50"
     Fill="Black" OriginX="10" OriginY="45" />
  </Grid>
</UserControl>
```

Here, we have a View that has a Grid panel with four rows. The first row holds a Label control, which although fairly efficient, is the least efficient of the textual output methods shown here and, as we'll see soon, should only be used in very specific circumstances. On it, we specify the FontFamily, FontSize, FontWeight, FontStyle, and Foreground properties to define how its text should look.

The second row contains a TextBlock element, which is slightly more efficient, and, like the Label element, we specify the FontFamily, FontSize, FontWeight, FontStyle, and Foreground properties on it directly. It's worth noting that to result in the same visual output, we don't need to set its Padding property to 0, which was required with the Label control. In the third row, we have a custom <code>FormattedTextOutput</code> control that uses a <code>FormattedText</code> object internally and is slightly more efficient still. As we'll see shortly, we need to specify the relevant properties of this text object in code.

Finally, we see a Glyphs element in the fourth row and this represents the most efficient method of outputting text in a WPF application. Note that when using this method of textual output, we don't specify a font family by name, but instead set an exact font file path to its FontUri property.

As we want to match the bold italic version of the Times New Roman font, we specifically need to set the file path to that exact file. Therefore, we need to specify the timesbi.ttf file, rather than the normal times.ttf version. Other than setting the font size to the FontRenderingEmSize property and the margin to the OriginX and OriginY properties, this class is fairly self-explanatory.

Before continuing, let's first take a look at the visual output of this View:



Let's now take a look at the code inside the FormattedTextOutput class:

```
using System.Globalization;
using System.Windows;
using System.Windows.Media;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views.Controls
```

```
{
 public class FormattedTextOutput : FrameworkElement
 {
   public static readonly DependencyProperty TextProperty =
     DependencyProperty.Register(nameof(Text), typeof(string),
     typeof(FormattedTextOutput), new FrameworkPropertyMetadata(
     string.Empty, FrameworkPropertyMetadataOptions.AffectsRender));
   public string Text
    {
     get { return (string)GetValue(TextProperty); }
     set { SetValue(TextProperty, value); }
    }
   protected override void OnRender(DrawingContext drawingContext)
    {
     DpiScale dpiScale = VisualTreeHelper.GetDpi(this);
     FormattedText formattedText = new FormattedText(Text,
       CultureInfo.GetCultureInfo("en-us"), FlowDirection.LeftToRight,
       new Typeface("Times New Roman"), 50, Brushes.Red,
       dpiScale.PixelsPerDip);
      formattedText.SetFontStyle(FontStyles.Italic);
     formattedText.SetFontWeight(FontWeights.Bold);
     drawingContext.DrawText(formattedText, new Point(10, 0));
    }
 }
}
```

The FormattedTextOutput class is a fairly simple affair, with a single Dependency Property and its associated CLR wrapper and a single overridden base class method. One very important point to note is our use of the AffectsRender member of the FrameworkPropertyMetadataOptions enumeration to specify that changes to this property need to cause a new rendering pass.

Typically, the Text property will be updated from any data binding after the OnRender method is called by the UIElement base class. Without specifying this option, our class will never output any data bound values. By specifying this option, we are, in fact, telling the Framework to call the OnRender method again each time this property value changes.

In the overridden OnRender method, we first initialize a FormattedText object with basic properties, such as the text to render, the current culture, and the color, size, and type of the font to use. Additional style properties can be set using the various set methods that the class exposes. Finally, we call the DrawText method of the DrawingContext object specified by the drawingContext input parameter, passing in the FormattedText object and the position to render it.

Note that we can use data binding with all of these text rendering methods, so let's now update our previous example to demonstrate this:

```
...
<Label Content="{Binding Text}" FontFamily="Times New Roman"
FontSize="50" FontWeight="Bold" FontStyle="Italic" Foreground="Red"
Margin="10,0,0,0" Padding="0" />
<TextBlock Grid.Row="1" Text="{Binding Text}"
FontFamily="Times New Roman" FontSize="50" FontWeight="Bold"
FontStyle="Italic" Foreground="Red" Margin="10,0,0,0" />
<Controls:FormattedTextOutput Grid.Row="2" Text="{Binding Text}" />
<Glyphs Grid.Row="3" UnicodeString="{Binding Text}" FontUri=
    "C:\WINDOWS\Fonts\timesbi.TTF" FontRenderingEmSize="50"
Fill="Black" OriginX="10" OriginY="45" />
```

For this example, we can simply hardcode a value in our View Model:

```
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels
{
   public class TextViewModel : BaseViewModel
   {
     public string Text { get; set; } = "Efficient";
   }
}
```

Although we can data bind when using all of these textual output methods, there are some caveats to be aware of. We've just learned of one relating to the required metadata of the Text property in our custom FormattedTextOutput class and there is another relating to the Glyphs class.

It has a requirement that the UnicodeString property cannot be empty if the Indicies property, which represents an alternative method of providing the text to render, is also empty. Unfortunately, because of this requirement, attempting to data bind to the UnicodeString property, as we did in our extended example, will result in a compilation error:

Glyphs Indices and UnicodeString properties cannot both be empty.

To address this issue, we can simply provide a value for the FallbackValue property of the Binding class, so that the Glyphs class can be rest assured that even if there is no data bound value, its UnicodeString property will have a non-empty value.

Note that setting the FallbackValue property to an empty string will result in the same error being raised:

```
<Glyphs Grid.Row="3" UnicodeString="{Binding Text, FallbackValue='Data
Binding Not Working'}" FontUri="C:\WINDOWS\Fonts\timesbi.TTF"
FontRenderingEmSize="50" Fill="Black" OriginX="10" OriginY="45" />
```

There is one further issue regarding data binding; however, this time, it involves the Content property of the Label class. Because the string type is immutable, each time a data bound value updates the Content property, the previous string type will be discarded and replaced with the new one.

Furthermore, if the default ContentTemplate element is used, it will generate a new TextBlock element and discard the previous element each time the property string is replaced. As a result, updating a data bound TextBlock is approximately four times quicker than updating a Label control. Therefore, if we need to update our data bound text values, we should not use a Label control.

In fact, each method of rendering text has its own purpose. The Label control should specifically be used to label text fields in a form, and, in doing so, we can take advantage of its access key functionality and its ability to reference a target control. The TextBlock element is a general-purpose text output method that should be used the majority of the time.

The FormattedText object should really only be used when we specifically want to format some text in a particular way. It provides the ability to output text with a wide range of effects, such as being able to paint the stroke and fill of the text independently and to format particular ranges of characters within the rendered text string.

The Glyphs class extends the FrameworkElement class directly and is, therefore, extremely light-weight and should be utilized when we need to recreate our text output more efficiently than we can by using the alternative methods. Although the FormattedText class can make use of lower, core level classes to render its output, the most efficient way to render text is to use Glyphs objects.

Liking the linking

As you have already seen, each UI element that we use in our Views takes time to render. Simply put, the fewer elements that we use, the quicker the View will be displayed. Those of us that have used Hyperlink elements in our Views will already be aware that we cannot display them on their own but, instead, have to wrap them inside a TextBlock element.

However, as each Hyperlink element is self-contained, with its own navigation URI, content, and property options, we can actually display more than one of them in a single TextBlock element. This will reduce the render time; therefore, the more TextBlock elements that we can remove, the quicker it will become. Let's look at an example:

```
<ListBox ItemsSource="{Binding Products}" FontSize="14"</pre>
 HorizontalContentAlignment="Stretch">
  <ListBox.ItemTemplate>
    <DataTemplate DataType="{x:Type DataModels:Product}">
      <Grid>
        <Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
          <ColumnDefinition />
          <ColumnDefinition Width="Auto" />
          <ColumnDefinition Width="Auto" />
        </Grid.ColumnDefinitions>
        <TextBlock Text="{Binding Name}" />
        <TextBlock Grid.Column="1"
          Text="{Binding Price, StringFormat=C}" Margin="10,0" />
        <StackPanel Grid.Column="2" TextElement.FontSize="14"</pre>
          Orientation="Horizontal">
          <TextBlock>
            <Hyperlink Command="{Binding ViewCommand,
              RelativeSource={RelativeSource
              AncestorType={x:Type Views:TextView}}}"
              CommandParameter="{Binding}">View</Hyperlink>
          </TextBlock>
          <TextBlock Text=" | " />
          <TextBlock>
            <Hyperlink Command="{Binding EditCommand,
              RelativeSource={RelativeSource
              AncestorType={x:Type Views:TextView}}}"
              CommandParameter="{Binding}">Edit</Hyperlink>
          </TextBlock>
          <TextBlock Text=" | " />
          <TextBlock>
            <Hyperlink Command="{Binding DeleteCommand,
              RelativeSource={RelativeSource
              AncestorType={x:Type Views:TextView}}}"
              CommandParameter="{Binding}">Delete</Hyperlink>
          </TextBlock>
        </StackPanel>
      </Grid>
    </DataTemplate>
  </ListBox.ItemTemplate>
</ListBox>
```

Here, we have a collection of Product objects that are data bound to a ListBox, with each item displaying its name, price, and three commands in the form of Hyperlink objects. Let's see what this looks like before continuing:

Virtual Reality Headset Mobile Phone Mount £14.99 <u>View</u> | <u>Edit</u> | <u>Delete</u> £11.99 <u>View</u> | <u>Edit</u> | <u>Delete</u>

Focusing on the links now, our example uses nine UI elements per item to render these three links. The StackPanel element keeps them altogether, with each Hyperlink object having its own TextBlock element and a further two TextBlock elements to display the pipe separator characters.

The Hyperlink objects are data bound to commands in the View Model and the CommandParameter property is data bound to the whole Product object that is set as the data source for each item. In this way, we will have access to the relevant Product instance in the View Model when a link is clicked on.

While there is nothing wrong with this XAML, if we need to be more efficient, then we can replace everything inside the StackPanel and the panel itself with the following TextBlock element:

```
<TextBlock Grid.Column="2" TextElement.FontSize="14" Foreground="White">
<Hyperlink Command="{Binding ViewCommand, RelativeSource={
    RelativeSource AncestorType={x:Type Views:TextView}}}"
    CommandParameter="{Binding}">View</Hyperlink>
<Run Text=" | " />
<Hyperlink Command="{Binding EditCommand, RelativeSource={
    RelativeSource AncestorType={x:Type Views:TextView}}}"
    CommandParameter="{Binding}">Edit</Hyperlink>
<Run Text=" | " />
<Hyperlink Command="{Binding DeleteCommand, RelativeSource={
    RelativeSource AncestorType={x:Type Views:TextView}}"
    CommandParameter="{Binding DeleteCommand, RelativeSource={
    RelativeSource AncestorType={x:Type Views:TextView}}"
    CommandParameter="{Binding DeleteCommand, RelativeSource={
    RelativeSource AncestorType={x:Type Views:TextView}}"
    CommandParameter="{Binding}">Delete</Hyperlink>
</TextBlock>
```

As you can see, we now host all three Hyperlink objects inside a single TextBlock element and have replaced the two TextBlock elements that displayed the pipe characters with Run objects. Using the Run class is moderately more efficient than using one TextBlock element inside another.

Now, we need only render six elements per item to produce the links, including using two more efficient elements, rendering three elements fewer per item. However, if we had 1,000 products, we would end up rendering 3,000 fewer UI elements, with 2,000 more efficient replacements, so it is easy to see how this can soon add up to some real efficiency savings.

In this example, we could make further improvements, simply by removing the line under each link. Bizarrely, we can save up to 25 percent of the rendering time taken to render our Hyperlink elements if we remove their underlines. We can do this by setting their TextDecorations property to None:

```
<Hyperlink ... TextDecorations="None">View</Hyperlink>
```

We could extend this idea further, by only displaying the underline when the user's mouse cursor is over the link. In this way, we still give the visual confirmation that the link is, in fact, a link, but we save the initial rendering time:

```
<Style TargetType="{x:Type Hyperlink}">

<Setter Property="TextDecorations" Value="None" />

<Style.Triggers>

<Trigger Property="IsMouseOver" Value="True">

<Setter Property="TextDecorations" Value="Underline" />

</Trigger>

</Style.Triggers>

</Style.Triggers>
```

Let's now turn our attention to a number of performance improvements that we can make when data binding in our applications.

Data binding

The simplest improvement in performance when data binding can be obtained by simply setting the Binding.Mode property correctly. In order to make data binding possible, the Framework attaches handlers to listen out for changes to our data bound properties.

For two-way bindings, event handlers will be attached to the PropertyChanged event of the INotifyPropertyChanged interface to listen to changes in our data Model objects or View Models and to various other XxxChanged events in the relevant binding target controls to listen to UI-based property changes.

When we only require one-way bindings, we can save some computing resources by setting the Mode property of the Binding class to the appropriate member of the BindingMode enumeration. If you remember, when a data bound property is for display purposes only, we should set its Mode property to OneWay, and when we have no need to update an editable field from the View Model, we should set its Mode property to the OneWayToSource member.

In doing this, we cut down the number of event handlers listening for changes and, therefore, free up resources to be used where they are actually needed. Once again, the effect of doing this on one binding alone would be negligible, but if we practice this on every relevant binding, then the efficiency improvement will start to make a difference.

Another good practice to get into is to set the FallbackValue property of the Binding class on each binding that we declare. As mentioned in Chapter 4, Becoming Proficient with Data Binding, doing this will stop the WPF Framework from performing a lookup of the default value of the target Dependency Property when there are data binding errors and will prevent trace statements from being generated and output.

Likewise, setting the TargetNullValue property is similar to setting the FallbackValue property in that it is slightly more efficient than not setting it. Again, doing this on a single binding will have a negligible effect; however, if we do this on every binding, it will free up CPU cycles for rendering or other required processes.

In fact, the best binding-related way to increase the performance of our applications is to simply fix any data binding errors that we may have. Each time a binding cannot be resolved, the Framework will perform a number of checks, using up valuable resources, as mentioned previously in this section. Therefore, keeping the **Output** window free of binding errors is a must when it comes to performance.

Registering Dependency Properties

As we saw in the *Using the right controls for performance* section earlier in this chapter, we need to be careful when setting the metadata for our Dependency Properties. Incorrectly specifying the framework metadata while registering our Dependency Properties can lower performance by forcing the layout system to unnecessarily perform additional layout passes.

In particular, we need to be careful when specifying any of the AffectsMeasure, AffectsArrange, AffectsParentMeasure, AffectsParentArrange, or AffectsRender members of the FrameworkPropertyMetadataOptions enumeration and ensure that they are actually required.

Likewise, if we specify the Inherits member of the

FrameworkPropertyMetadataOptions enumeration when registering our Dependency Property, we are effectively increasing the length of time that invalidation will take on the property. As such, we should ensure that this particular metadata member is only used when it is really necessary.

One last metadata option that can improve the performance of the application is the SubPropertiesDoNotAffectRender member. If the type of our Dependency Property is a reference type, we can specify this enumeration member to stop the layout system from checking for changes to all sub-properties of the object, which it would otherwise do by default.

While we may need to call the OverrideMetadata method of the DependencyProperty class to override the metadata of the pre-existing properties in the .NET Framework, this comes with a small performance impact. When setting the metadata for our own custom Dependency Properties, we should always use the appropriate Register or RegisterAttached method to specify our requirements, as this offers far better performance.

Likewise, when registering our custom Dependency Properties, we should also set their default values using the relevant Register or RegisterAttached method as they are created, rather than initializing each instance individually in a constructor, or by using some other method.

Binding to collections

As you are most probably aware, when dealing with collections that will be updated in a WPF application, we tend to prefer using the generic <code>ObservableCollection<T></code> class. The reason for this is because this class implements the <code>INotifyCollectionChanged</code> interface, which notifies listeners of changes to the collection, such as adding, removing, or clearing items.

What we may not realize is the incredible performance improvement that we get from using this class to hold our data collections. When comparing this with the generic List<T> class, for example, we note that it does not automatically raise any collection changed event. In order to enable the View to display the updated collection, we need to reset it as the ItemsSource property value of the relevant collection control.

However, each time that the ItemsSource property is set, the data bound collection control will clear its current list of items and completely regenerate them again, which can be a time-consuming process. So, to add a single item to an ObservableCollection<T> takes approximately 20 milliseconds to render, but to reset the ItemsSource property value could take over 1.5 seconds.

However, if our collection is immutable and we will not be altering it in any way, we do not need to use the generic <code>ObservableCollection<T></code> class, as we have no need for its change handlers. Rather than wasting resources on unused change handlers, we can use a different type of collection class.

While there is not a preferred type of collection to use when data binding immutable collections to UI controls, we should try to avoid using the IEnumerable class as the collection container. This type cannot be used directly by the ItemsControl class, and, when it is used, the WPF Framework will generate a generic IList<T> collection to wrap the IEnumerable instance and this can also negatively affect performance.

In the next few sections, we'll explore other ways in which we can display large collections efficiently.

Shrinking data objects

Quite often, our applications will have fairly sizable data objects, with dozens, or even hundreds, of properties. If we were to load all of the properties for each data object when we have thousands of them, our application would slow down and possibly even run out of memory.

We might think that we can save on RAM by simply not populating all of the property values; however, if we use the same classes, we'll soon find that even the default or empty values for these properties may consume too much memory. In general, and with a few exceptions, unset properties take the same amount of RAM as set properties.

If our data model object has a very large number of properties, one solution would be to break it down into much smaller pieces. For example, we could create a number of smaller, sub product classes, such as ProductTechnicalSpecification, ProductDescription, ProductDimension, ProductPricing, and more.

Rather than building one giant View to edit the whole product, we could then provide a number of smaller Views, perhaps even accessible from different tabs within the same View. In this way, we would be able to just load the ProductDescription objects for the user to select from and then load the individual sections of the product in each sub View.

There is a significant performance increase to be gained by this method, as binding to a single object with a great many properties can take up to four times longer than binding to a great many objects with fewer properties.

One alternative to breaking our data objects into smaller pieces would be to use the concept of thin data objects. For example, imagine that our Product class had dozens of properties and that we had thousands of products. We could create a ThinProduct class that contains only the properties that would be used to identify the full data object to load when selected and those displayed in the product collection.

In this case, we might simply need two properties in our ThinProduct class, a unique identification property, and a display name property. In this way, we can reduce the memory footprint of our products by a factor of 10 or even more. This means that they can be loaded from the database and displayed in a fraction of the time of the full Product objects.

In order to facilitate easy transferal between the Product and ThinProduct classes, we can add constructors into each class that accepts the other type and updates the relevant properties:

```
using System;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels
{
  public class ThinProduct : BaseDataModel
  {
    private Guid id = Guid.Empty;
    private string name = string.Empty;
    public ThinProduct(Product product)
    {
      Id = product.Id;
      Name = product.Name;
    }
    public Guid Id
    {
      get { return id; }
      set { if (id != value) { id = value;
        NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }
```

}

```
}
public string Name
{
   get { return name; }
   set { if (name != value) { name = value;
     NotifyPropertyChanged(); } }

public override string ToString()
{
   return Name;
  }
}
```

The properties in this ThinProduct class basically mirror those from the Product class that we saw earlier, but only the ones that are used to identify each instance. A constructor is added that takes an input parameter of type Product to enable easy transferal between the two. A similar constructor is added to the Product class, but takes an input parameter of type ThinProduct:

```
public Product(ThinProduct thinProduct) : this()
{
    Id = thinProduct.Id;
    Name = thinProduct.Name;
}
```

The idea is that we have a View Model that displays a large number of products and in code, we actually load a large number of these much lighter ThinProduct instances. When the user selects one of the products to view or edit, we use the identification number of the selected item to then load the full Product object that relates to that identifier.

Given a base collection of these ThinProduct instances in a property named Products, we could achieve this as follows. First, let's bind our collection to a ListBox control:

```
<ListBox ItemsSource="{Binding Products}"
SelectedItem="{Binding Products.CurrentItem}" ... />
```

When the user selects a product from the list, the collection's CurrentItem property will hold a reference to the selected item. If we attach a handler to the collection's CurrentItemChanged delegate when it is first loaded, we can be notified when the item is selected.

At that point, we can load the full Product object using the identifier from the selected ThinProduct instance and output the associated feedback to the user:

```
private void Products_CurrentItemChanged(ThinProduct oldProduct,
  ThinProduct newProduct)
{
  GetDataOperationResult<Product> result =
   await Model.GetProductAsync(newProduct.Id);
  if (result.IsSuccess) Product = result.ReturnValue;
  else FeedbackManager.Add(result, false);
}
```

In the next section, we'll find out how we can display our large collections more efficiently using collection controls, rather than having to break up our large classes into smaller classes or create associated thin data objects.

Virtualizing collections

When we display large numbers of items in our collection controls, it can negatively affect the application's performance. This is because the layout system will create a layout container, such as a ComboBoxItem in the case of a ComboBox, for example, for every item in the data bound collection. As only a small subset of the complete number of items is displayed at any one time, we can take advantage of virtualization to improve the situation.

UI virtualization defers the generation and layout of these item containers until each item is actually visible in the relevant collection control, often saving on large amounts of resources. We can take advantage of virtualization without doing anything at all if we use ListBox or ListView controls to display our collections, as they use it by default.

Virtualization can also be enabled in ComboBox, ContextMenu, and TreeView controls, although it will have to be done manually. When using a TreeView control, we can enable virtualization by simply setting the

VirtualizingStackPanel.IsVirtualizing Attached Property to True on it:

```
<TreeView ItemsSource="{Binding Items}"
VirtualizingStackPanel.IsVirtualizing="True" />
```

For other controls that use the StackPanel class internally, such as the ComboBox and ContextMenu controls, we can enable virtualization by setting an ItemsPanelTemplate element hosting an instance of the VirtualizingStackPanel class with its IsVirtualizing property set to True to its ItemsPanel property:

```
<ComboBox ItemsSource="{Binding Items}">
<ComboBox.ItemsPanel>
<ItemsPanelTemplate>
</ItemsPanelTemplate>
</ItemsPanelTemplate>
</ComboBox.ItemsPanel>
</ComboBox>
```

Apart from setting the IsVirtualizing property to False, there are a few other reasons why UI virtualization may not work. One case is when item containers have manually been added to an ItemsControl object or one of its derived controls. Another case is when the item containers are of different types.

The final reason why virtualization may not work is not so obvious and relates to the CanContentScroll property of the ScrollViewer class. This is an interesting property that specifies whether the ScrollViewer in a collection control will scroll its items in logical units or physical units. The default value is False, which smoothly scrolls in terms of physical units.

Physical units relate to the device-independent pixels that WPF works with, while logical units relate to the widths or heights of the collection items, depending on the orientation of the control. As the default value of the CanContentScroll property is False, this will need to be set to True to enable virtualization. This is so that scrolling is performed item by item and not pixel by pixel.

When virtualization is employed in a collection control that extends the ItemsControl class and the user scrolls, new item containers are created for the newly visible items and the containers for the items that are no longer visible are disposed of.

In version 3.5 of the .NET Framework, an optimization of the virtualization system was introduced. Container recycling enables the collection control to reuse the item containers, instead of creating new ones and disposing of old ones as the user scrolls. This offers an additional performance benefit and can be enabled by setting the VirtualizationMode Attached Property to a value of Recycling:

```
<TreeView ItemsSource="{Binding Items}"
VirtualizingStackPanel.IsVirtualizing="True" />
VirtualizingStackPanel.VirtualizationMode="Recycling" />
```

One further optimization that WPF provides us with is deferred scrolling. Normally, scrolling in a collection control continuously updates the UI. However, if our data items or their item containers have several layers of visuals that define them and scrolling is slow, we can opt to defer the UI update until scrolling has finished.

In order to enable deferred scrolling on a collection control, we need to set the ScrollViewer.IsDeferredScrollingEnabled Attached Property to True. Although we don't generally use ScrollViewer elements in XAML directly, we can also attach this property to collection controls that host a ScrollViewer element in their control templates:

```
<ListBox ItemsSource="{Binding Items}"
ScrollViewer.IsDeferredScrollingEnabled="True" />
```

We've now investigated performance improvements that we can make with computer hardware, resources, correct control selection, methods of drawing and displaying images, outputting text, linking, data binding, minimizing memory footprints, and data virtualization. There is just one more essential area to look at, that is, events, so let's look at that next.

Handling events

One of the most common causes of memory leaks appearing in an application is the failure to remove event handlers once they are no longer needed. When we attach an event handler to an object's event in the usual way, we are effectively passing that object a reference to the handler and creating a hard reference to it.

When the object is no longer needed and could otherwise be disposed of, the reference in the object that raises the event will prevent that from occurring. This is because the garbage collector cannot collect an object that can be accessed from any part of the application code. In the worst-case scenario, the object being kept alive may contain numerous other objects and, therefore, inadvertently keep them alive as well.

The problem with this is that keeping objects alive after they are no longer needed will unnecessarily increase the memory footprint of the application, in some cases, with dramatic and irreversible consequences, leading to an OutOfMemoryException being thrown. It is, therefore, essential that we detach our event handlers from the events that they are subscribed to in objects that we have no further use for before trying to dispose of them. There is, however, an alternative method that we can use to avoid this situation. In the .NET Framework, there is a WeakReference class and it can be used to remove the hard references caused by attaching event handlers to events using the traditional method.

The basic idea is that the class that raises the event should maintain a collection of WeakReference instances and add to it each time another class attaches an event handler to the event. Let's now create a new WeakReferenceActionCommand class from our ActionCommand class from earlier to use this WeakReference class:

```
using System;
using System.Collections.Generic;
using System.Windows.Input;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels.Commands
 public class WeakReferenceActionCommand : ICommand
  {
    private readonly Action<object> action;
    private readonly Predicate<object> canExecute;
    private List<WeakReference> eventHandlers = new List<WeakReference>();
    public WeakReferenceActionCommand(Action<object> action) :
      this(action, null) { }
    public WeakReferenceActionCommand(Action<object> action,
      Predicate<object> canExecute)
    {
      if (action == null) throw new ArgumentNullException("The action
        input parameter of the WeakReferenceActionCommand constructor
        cannot be null.");
      this.action = action;
      this.canExecute = canExecute;
    }
    public event EventHandler CanExecuteChanged
    {
      add
      {
        eventHandlers.Add(new WeakReference(value));
        CommandManager.RequerySuggested += value;
      }
      remove
      {
        if (eventHandlers == null) return;
        for (int i = eventHandlers.Count - 1; i >= 0; i--)
        {
          WeakReference weakReference = eventHandlers[i];
```
}

```
EventHandler handler = weakReference.Target as EventHandler;
        if (handler == null || handler == value)
          eventHandlers.RemoveAt(i);
      }
      CommandManager.RequerySuggested -= value;
    }
  }
  public void RaiseCanExecuteChanged()
    eventHandlers.ForEach(
      r => (r.Target as EventHandler)?.Invoke(this, new EventArgs()));
  }
  public bool CanExecute(object parameter)
  {
    return canExecute == null ? true : canExecute(parameter);
  }
  public void Execute(object parameter)
  {
    action(parameter);
  }
}
```

We start by adding a declaration of our new collection containing objects of the WeakReference type to the pre-existing fields. The two constructors remain unchanged, but when attaching handlers in the CanExecuteChanged event, we now wrap the event handling delegate in a WeakReference object and add it to the collection. We still need to pass the references to the handlers that get attached through to the RequerySuggested event of the CommandManager class as before.

When an event handler is removed, we first double-check that our WeakReference collection is not null and simply return control to the caller if it is. If not, we use a for loop to iterate through the collection in reverse so that we can remove items without affecting the loop index.

We attempt to access the actual event handler from the Target property of each WeakReference object in turn, converting it to the EventHandler base type using the as keyword. We then remove the WeakReference instance if its event handler reference is either null or it matches the handler being removed. Note that a null reference in the Target property would be the result of an event handler from a class that has been disposed of by the garbage collector. As before, we then also detach the event handler from the CommandManager.RequerySuggested event.

Finally, we need to update our RaiseCanExecuteChanged method to use our new collection of WeakReference objects. In it, we again iterate through each instance in the collection using our ForEach Extension Method and after checking whether its Target property is null by using the null conditional operator, call it using the delegate's Invoke method.

So, the idea here is that we no longer directly hold on to any references to the attached event handlers and are, therefore, free to dispose of those classes at any point without fear of them being kept alive unnecessarily.

Summary

In this chapter, we explored a number of options that we can use to increase the performance of our WPF applications. As we have now seen, this is more a case of making a large number of little changes to gain an overall noticeable performance benefit.

We saw that we can utilize the graphics rendering power of our computer's graphics card and declare our resources more efficiently. We investigated ways to improve our application's performance using lighter-weight UI controls and more efficient methods of rendering drawings, images, and text. We also learned how to data bind, display large objects and collections, and handle events with improved performance.

In the next chapter, we will investigate the final requirement for all professional applications, that is, deployment. In it, we will first cover the older method, using the Windows Installer software, and then progress to investigate the more common and up-to-date method, that is, using ClickOnce functionality.

12 Deploying Your Masterpiece Application

So, we've designed and constructed our application framework, resources, and managers, added our Models, Views, and View Models, and after completing the development of our application, now it's time for deployment. In this chapter, we'll be looking at an overview of the three main methods of deploying WPF applications.

We'll start by investigating the original **Windows Setup Project** method, move on to discover the newer **InstallShield Limited Edition Project** method, and then progress to examine the recommended **ClickOnce** technology.

Installing Windows applications

In days gone by, creating a **Setup and Deployment** project in Visual Studio was a confusing and complicated process. However, as with just about everything in .NET, successive updates over the years have resulted in ever-improved creation methods for these projects.

Introducing the Setup Project

The latest deployment technologies are simpler to use and provide an easily understandable method of performing the same steps as the earlier technologies. However, in older versions of Visual Studio, we might only have access to the older **Visual Studio Installer** project types, so let's first investigate the standard **Setup Project**:



After adding a **Setup Project** to the solution, a page opens up showing the file system on the target computer. On this **File System Editor** page, we can specify what we would like to install and where. The page is divided into two, with a tree View of the folders to be installed on the users' computers on the left and their folder contents on the right. By default, the left pane contains the Application, Desktop, and Program Files folders.

If we would prefer to use other predefined locations, such as the Fonts, Favorites, or the Common Files folders, for example, then we can right-click on the background of these panes and select the **Add Special Folder** option. Typically, we would add a standard folder with our company's name into the User's Programs Menu folder and add a further folder named after our application into that.

However, if we want to install our application as a 64 bit application, then we'll need to use this option to add the 64 bit Program Files folder to install into. To do so, we need to right-click on the **File System on Target Machine** item at the top of the tree View, select the **Add Special Folder** option, and then select the **Program Files (64-bit) Folder** item.

Note that we should only perform this step if we want to have a 64 bit installation. We then need to set the project output of our startup project to the folder in the left pane, which represents our installation folder, whether 32 or 64 bit.

We'll need to right-click on that folder and select the **Add** option and then the **Project Outputs** option from the contextual menu, and then select the **Primary Output** option that relates to our CompanyName.ApplicationName project. After doing so, we'll see a copy of the executable and other dependent files from its bin folder being included in our selected application folder.

Next, we can create a shortcut to our application on the machine that it was installed on by right-clicking the icon for the project output in the right pane and selecting the **Create Shortcut to Primary output from CompanyName.ApplicationName (Active)** option from the menu.

We need to give it the same name as our application and set an icon for it, which we can do in its **Properties** window. We can then click and drag, or copy and paste it to the User's Desktop folder, or to whichever folder we want the shortcut to appear in.

In addition to the executable and shortcut files, we can right-click a folder in the left pane and select the **Add** option and then the **Folder** and/or **File** options from the contextual menu and choose any other files that we may need to install on the user's computer. Once we have finished configuring the **File System Editor**, we can right-click on the project node in the **Solution Explorer** and select another page to edit from the **View** menu.

The **Registry Editor** page is next, and it enables us to make entries in the Windows Registry of the host computer. The left window pane acts as the registry View of the target computer, and we can use it in the same way as the **Registry Editor** to add new keys. This page also allows us to import registry keys from a .reg file if we right-click on an empty space and select **Import**.

The **File Types Editor** page follows in the **View** menu and enables us to associate any custom file types that we may have created with our application. In doing so, after installation, Windows will then open our application whenever a file of one of the types specified on this page are clicked.

The **Setup Project** enables us to display a number of default dialogs during installation, such as welcome, confirmation, and completion dialogs. It also provides the ability to reorder or remove these default dialogs, or add new ones from a predefined list. Each dialog provides an image field and different options, such as whether a progress bar should be displayed, or what text to display at different stages of the installation. This is achieved on the **User Interface** page.

The **Custom Actions Editor** page enables us to specify assemblies that contain code in a particular form, that can be run after the application has been installed. These actions could be anything, such as popping up a small form and providing the user with some configuration options, or simply opening a particular web page after installation has completed.

The final option in the **View** menu of the **Setup Project** opens the **Launch Conditions Editor** page. Here, we can specify prerequisite conditions that must be satisfied in order for the application to be installed. For example, we might require a particular version of the .NET Framework to be installed, or the host computer to have a particular registry key setting.

Once the project pages have all been appropriately completed, we just need to build the **Setup and Deployment** project to generate the setup files. However, we need to ensure that we build it correctly, dependent upon the selections that we made on the **File System Editor** page.

For example, if we wanted to have a number of setup projects, let's say including 32 bit and 64 bit installations, then we need to only build the 32 bit version of the **Setup Project** in the 32 bit solution platform and only build the 64 bit version in the 64 bit solution platform.

We can do this in the **Configuration Manager** in Visual Studio, which we can open from the last option in either the solution configuration or solution platform drop-down controls. If the x86 and x64 solution platforms do not already exist, we can add them by selecting the **<New...>** option from the solution platform drop-down control in the **Configuration Manager** dialog window.

To add the new solution platforms in the **New Solution Platform** dialog that opens, type either x86 or x64 in the **Type or select the new platform** field, select the **<Empty>** option from the **Copy settings from** drop-down control, and ensure that the **Create new project platforms** tick box is checked.

Once we have these two solution platforms, we can select them one at a time in the **Active solution platform** drop-down control in the **Configuration Manager** dialog window and tick and untick the relevant setup projects.

Here is a screenshot of the x86 solutions selected:

ctive solution <u>c</u> onfiguration:		Active solu	ution <u>p</u> latform:			
Release 🗸		x86			~	
roject contexts (check the project configurations to l	build or de	ploy):				
Project	Conf	iguration	Platform	Build	Deploy	^
CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters	Relea	se	x86	\checkmark		
CompanyName.ApplicationName.CustomControls	Relea	se	x86	\checkmark		
CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataAccess	Relea	se	x86	\checkmark		
CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels	Relea	se	x86	\checkmark		
CompanyName.ApplicationName.Extensions	Relea	se	x86	\checkmark		
CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers	Relea	se	x86	\checkmark		
CompanyName.ApplicationName.Models	Relea	se	x86	\checkmark		
CompanyName.ApplicationName.Resources	Relea	se	x86	\checkmark		
CompanyName.ApplicationName.Setup32bit	Singl	elmage		\checkmark		
CompanyName.ApplicationName.Setup64bit	Singl	elmage				
CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels	Relea	se	x86	\checkmark		
CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views	Relea	se	x86	\checkmark		v

Here is a screenshot of the $\times 64$ solutions selected:

Active solution <u>c</u> onfiguration:		Active solu	ution platform:			
Release 🗸		x64				~
project contexts (check the project configurations to b	uild or de	ploy):				
Project	Conf	iguration	Platform	Build	Deploy	^
CompanyName.ApplicationName.Converters	Relea	se	x64			
CompanyName.ApplicationName.CustomControls	Relea	ise	x64	\checkmark		
CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataAccess	Relea	se	х64	\checkmark		
CompanyName.ApplicationName.DataModels	Relea	se	x64	\checkmark		
CompanyName.ApplicationName.Extensions	Relea	se	x64	\checkmark		
CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers	Relea	se	x64	\checkmark		
CompanyName.ApplicationName.Models	Relea	se	x64	\checkmark		
CompanyName.ApplicationName.Resources	Relea	se	x64	\checkmark		
CompanyName.ApplicationName.Setup32bit	Singl	elmage				
CompanyName.ApplicationName.Setup64bit	Singl	elmage		\checkmark		
CompanyName.ApplicationName.ViewModels	Relea	se	x64	\checkmark		
CompanyName.ApplicationName.Views	Relea	se	x64	\checkmark		~

Note that we must select **Release** in the solution configuration drop-down and then build our project to generate the setup files. If we have set up our build configuration correctly, then building the x86 solution platform will generate the 32-bit setup files, and building the x64 solution platform will generate the 64 bit setup files.

It can be useful to uncheck the **Build** tick boxes for our deployment projects on all solution platforms when the **Active solution configuration** is set to **Debug**. Doing this will stop the deployment files from being regenerated every time that the solution is built while debugging and will therefore save time during any future development.

Working with the InstallShield Limited Edition project

To add a Setup Project in a modern version of Visual Studio, we need to select the **InstallShield Limited Edition Project** from the **Setup and Deployment** project type in the **Other Project Types** category in the left-hand pane of the **Add New Project** dialog window:

Recent		.NET Framework 4.6.1 - Sort by: Default	🚽 🎬 📃 Search Installed Te 🔎 -
▲ Installed		InstallShield Limit Setup and Deployment	Type: Setup and Deployment
Extensibility iOS LightSwitch Office/SharePo Reporting Silverlight Test WCF Workflow ▷ Other Languages ▲ Other Project Type Setup and Dep	int s		Create a new installShield Limited Edition project.
▶ Online		Click here to go online and find templates.	
Name:	CompanyName.A	pplicationName.Setup	
Location: C:\Developmen	C:\DevelopmentP	rojects\CompanyName.ApplicationName 🔫	Browse
			OK Cancel

Note that this project type is already included with all paid versions of Visual Studio, but those who are using a free version may be directed to a website to download this functionality upon selection of the project type.

Once it's installed and the project has been successfully added, a help wizard, or a **Project Assistant** window as InstallShield likes to call it, will be opened in Visual Studio to aid the process of configuring the installation project. It walks us through the various tasks that we may need to perform when creating our installer, page by page:



Each page is divided into two window panes; the right pane contains the various fields that we will edit to set our required specifications for the deployment, and the left pane contains additional options and contextual help topics, relevant to each page.

The first page of the **Project Assistant** is the **Application Information** page, where we can provide general information about the application, such as the company name and website, the application name and version, and the icon to display with the application.

The **Installation Requirements** page enables us to select one or more particular operating systems that our application is compatible with. In addition to this, we can also specify that our application has a dependency from a pre-existing list of third-party software, such as Adobe Reader, various versions of the .NET Framework, and a number of Microsoft products.

While this list is short, it does contain the most likely prerequisite software titles. However, there are a couple of additional options, one of which enables us to create custom installation requirements. Upon clicking on this option, the **System Search Wizard** opens and lets us search for additional installation requirements, either by folder path, registry key, or by .ini file value, and enables us to choose what happens if the new requirement is not met during the installation process.

The **Application Files** page is next, and in it, we can add any required application files to the installation. The page is divided into two, with a tree View of the folders to be installed on the users' computers on the left and the folder contents on the right. The left pane contains a list of the most commonly used predefined folders, such as the App Data, Common, and Program Files folders.

If we need to use other predefined locations, such as the Desktop, Favorites, or the My Pictures folders, for example, then we can right-click on an item in this pane and select the **Show Predefined Folder** option. In fact, if we want to install our application as a 64 bit application, then we'll need to use this option to add the 64 bit Program Files folder, in a similar way to the Setup Project.

In order to do this, we can right-click on the **Destination Computer** item at the top of the tree View, select the **Show Predefined Folder** option, and then select the ProgramFiles64Folder item. We would then need to set the project output of our startup project to the folder in the left pane that represents our installation folder. Note that it will be suffixed with [INSTALLDIR] and, in our case, will be named ApplicationName.

We should click the **Add Project Outputs** button and select the **Primary Output** option that relates to our CompanyName.ApplicationName project to include a copy of the DLLs and other dependent files from its bin folder in the deployment. We can right-click the added output item to select further properties if required, or if we are using COM objects in our application.

Next up is the **Application Shortcuts** page, where we can control which custom shortcuts the installation will include on the users' computer. Note that default shortcuts will automatically be added for the executable files that we have specified, but this page enables us to delete these, as well as add new ones, or even specify uninstallation shortcuts or alternative icons to use.

The **Application Registry** page follows and enables us to make entries in the Windows Registry of the computer that our application is being installed on. The left window pane mirrors the registry View of the destination computer and we can use it in the same way to add new keys. This page also allows us to import registry keys from a .reg file and open the registry editor for the source computer.

The last page is the **Installation Interview** page, where we can specify which dialog screens are displayed to the user during the installation. Here, we can optionally upload an End User License Agreement file in the **Rich Text Format** (**RTF**) file format to require the user to agree to.

Additionally, we can prompt the user to enter their username and company name and provide them with options to select the installation location and whether the application should open after the installation is complete. We can also specify custom images to be displayed in these dialog windows from this page.

Once the project assistant pages have all been appropriately completed, we just need to build the setup and deployment project to generate the setup files. However, we need to ensure that we build it correctly, dependent upon the selections that we made in the project assistant.

When using and focusing the **InstallShield Limited Edition Project** in the **Solution Explorer** in Visual Studio, we get an extra **InstallShield LE** menu item, and in it, we can find an **Open Release folder...** option. Clicking this option will open a folder window showing the setup project folder, in which we can find the installation files to distribute to the users:



Utilizing ClickOnce functionality

ClickOnce is an application deployment technology that enables us to deploy applications that can be installed, run, and updated with minimal interaction from the end user. In fact, the name ClickOnce comes from the ideal scenario, where each application could be installed with a single click.

Each ClickOnce application is deployed into its own self-contained area on the host computer, with no access to other applications, rather than in one of the standard program files folders that the other deployment technologies use. Furthermore, they only grant the exact security permissions required by the application and so, can generally also be installed by non-administrative users.

Another benefit of using ClickOnce is that it enables applications to be installed either from a web page, a network folder, or from physical media. We can also specify that applications installed using ClickOnce should check for updates at regular periods and can be easily updated by the end user, without requiring an administrator to be present.

ClickOnce deployments contain an application manifest and a deployment manifest. The application manifest contains details of the application, such as its dependencies, required security permissions, and the location where updates will be available. The deployment manifest contains details of the deployment, such as the location of the application manifest and the target version of the application.

ClickOnce is now the preferred method of deploying applications and is built right into the project properties of our startup project. We can open the properties window by either right-clicking on the CompanyName.ApplicationName project in the **Solution Explorer** and selecting the **Properties** option, by opening the project node and double-clicking on the **Properties** item, or by selecting the project node and pressing the *Alt* + *Enter* keys on the keyboard together.

In the project properties window, we can find the ClickOnce configuration fields in the **Publish** tab. In this tab, we can set the location of the publishing folder to a network shared folder or FTP server. This represents the location that files will be published to. Optionally, we can also specify the location that users will install the application from, if that will be different.

We can dictate that the installation mode should make the application available online only, like a web application, or offline as well, like a typical desktop application. In this section, we also have the **Application Files** button, which opens a dialog window where we can specify which additional files to include in the deployment.

All files from the solution that currently get built into the bin folder will be included by default, but we can exclude them if we prefer. Alternatively, we can add new files from the **Solution Explorer** by setting their **Build Action** to **Content** in the **Properties** window. We can also specify whether any executable files are prerequisites, or whether any other file types are data files. However this is set for us automatically and we do not need to make changes here unless we have specific requirements.

Next, we see the **Prerequisites** button, which opens a dialog window that enables us to create a setup program to install any prerequisite components that we may have, such as the .NET Framework and the Windows Installer software. If the users' computers do not already have the required prerequisites installed, we can specify where the installer should access them from. This dialog is also automatically populated according to the requirements of the application.

In order to specify that the installed applications should check for updates, we can tick the **The application should check for updates** checkbox in the dialog that opens after clicking the **Updates** button in the **Publish** tab. We can also specify whether this occurs before or after the application starts, or after a certain period of time.

In the **Application Updates** dialog window, we can also stipulate that the application should be mandatorily updated to a particular version by ticking the **Specify a minimum required version for this application** checkbox and setting the version. Additionally, we can specify a further location that updates can be accessed from, if it is different to the publish location.

Finally, in the **Install Mode and Settings** section, we come to the **Options** button, which opens the **Publish Options** dialog window, where we can specify details such as the publisher and product names, and deployment and manifest settings, and associate our applications with our custom file types so that it will open when those file types are clicked.

The **Deployment** options enable us to specify a web page that users can use to download and install our ClickOnce application from, although if we enter default.html, we can use the default page that is generated for us. We can also specify whether the web page should automatically open, or whether the uploaded files should be verified after publishing the application.

The final section in the **Publish** tab is the **Publish Version** section, where we can specify the current version of the application. Rather than update this manually each time we publish, we can optionally tick the **Automatically increment revision with each publish** checkbox to update the revision for us.

In this section, we have two publishing options. The **Publish Wizard** button opens a multipage dialog window that walks us through many of the more essential options described previously and ends with publishing the application. While this is useful for the first time that we publish the application, we tend to use the other option, the **Publish Now** button, after that, which simply publishes the application.

Securing deployments

On the **Security** tab of the project properties window, we can specify the security permissions that our application requires. To do so, we can tick the **Enable ClickOnce security settings** checkbox and select whether our application is a full or partial trust application.

For a typical desktop application, it is common to specify that it is a full trust application, but otherwise we can specify just the trust level that we require. Note that unless the application publisher is set as a trusted publisher on the end user's computer, they might be required to grant any required permissions during installation.

If we specify that our application is a partial trust application, then we can either select from pre-configured zones that contain specific groups of permissions, or select custom permissions, in which case, we can manually specify our required permissions directly in the application manifest file.

Note that even if we have specified our application as a partial trust application, we usually have full trust when developing. In order to develop using the same permissions that our application requires and therefore see the same errors as the users, we can click the **Advanced** button and tick the **Debug this application with the selected permission set** checkbox.

On the **Signing** tab of the project properties window, we can optionally digitally sign the ClickOnce manifests by ticking the **Sign the ClickOnce manifests** checkbox. If we have a valid certificate that has been persisted in the computer's certificate store, then we can select it to sign the ClickOnce manifests using the **Select from Store** button.

Alternatively, if we have a **Personal Information Exchange** (**PFX**) file, we can sign the manifests with it by clicking on the **Select from File** button and selecting it in the file explorer that opens. If we don't currently have a valid certificate, we can optionally create one for testing purposes by clicking on the **Create Test Certificate** button.

However, note that a test certificate should not be deployed with a production application as they do not contain verifiable information about the publisher. When installing the ClickOnce application with a test certificate, users will be informed that the publisher cannot be verified and asked to confirm whether they really want to install the application. For the peace of mind of the end users, a real certificate should be used and a copy stored in their Trusted Publishers Certificate Store.

We can also optionally sign the assembly by ticking the **Sign the assembly** checkbox and selecting a **Strong Name Key** (**SNK**) file from the associated drop-down control. If we haven't previously selected one, we can add a new one from the same drop-down control.

This completes the summary of the configuration pages used for a ClickOnce deployment. They provide practically the same settings as the other deployment technologies, except those to do with the location of the installed files and the security permissions that may be required to install. Let's now look at how we can safely store files on the host computer in non-full trust applications.

Isolating storage

One of the reasons why ClickOnce can be installed directly by the end users without the need for administrator assistance is because it is installed into a self-contained ecosystem that's separate from all other programs and, in general, isolated from the rest of the user's computer.

When we need to store data locally, we can run into security problems if we have not specified our application as a full trust application. In these situations, we can take advantage of isolated storage, which is a data storage mechanism that abstracts the actual location of the data on the hard drive, which remains unknown to both users and developers.

When we use isolated storage, the actual data compartment where the data is stored is generated from some aspects of each application so that it is unique. The data compartment contains one or more isolated storage files called stores, which reference where the actual data is stored. The amount of data that can be stored in each store can be limited by code in the application.

The actual physical location of the files will differ, depending upon the operating system running on the user's computer and whether the store has roaming enabled or not. For all operating systems since Vista, the location is in the hidden AppData folder in the user's personal user folder. Within this folder, it will either be found in the Local or Roaming folders, depending on the store's settings:

```
<SYSTEMDRIVE>\Users\<username>\AppData\Local
<SYSTEMDRIVE>\Users\<username>\AppData\Roaming
```

We can store any type of file in isolated storage, but as an example, let's take a look at how we could utilize it to store text files. Let's first see the interface that we will use:

```
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers.Interfaces
{
    public interface IHardDriveManager
    {
        void SaveTextFile(string filePath, string fileContents);
        string ReadTextFile(string filePath);
    }
}
```

And now let's see the concrete implementation for the interface:

```
using CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers.Interfaces;
using System.IO;
using System.IO.IsolatedStorage;
namespace CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers
{
  public class HardDriveManager : IHardDriveManager
  {
    private IsolatedStorageFile GetIsolatedStorageFile()
    {
      return IsolatedStorageFile.GetStore(IsolatedStorageScope.User |
        IsolatedStorageScope.Assembly | IsolatedStorageScope.Domain,
        null, null);
    }
    public void SaveTextFile(string filePath, string fileContents)
    {
      try
      {
        IsolatedStorageFile isolatedStorageFile = GetIsolatedStorageFile();
        using (IsolatedStorageFileStream isolatedStorageFileStream =
          new IsolatedStorageFileStream(filePath, FileMode.OpenOrCreate,
          isolatedStorageFile))
        {
          using (StreamWriter streamWriter =
            new StreamWriter(isolatedStorageFileStream))
          {
            streamWriter.Write(fileContents);
          }
        }
      ì
      catch { /*Log error*/ }
    }
    public string ReadTextFile(string filePath)
    {
      string fileContents = string.Empty;
      try
      {
        IsolatedStorageFile isolatedStorageFile = GetIsolatedStorageFile();
        if (isolatedStorageFile.FileExists(filePath))
          using (IsolatedStorageFileStream isolatedStorageFileStream =
            new IsolatedStorageFileStream(filePath, FileMode.Open,
            isolatedStorageFile))
          {
```

As with the other manager classes, we declare the HardDriveManager class in the CompanyName.ApplicationName.Managers namespace. In the private GetIsolatedStorageFile method, we obtain the IsolatedStorageFile object that relates to the isolated storage store that we will save the user's data in by calling the GetStore method of the IsolatedStorageFile class.

This method has a number of overloads that enable us to specify the scope, application identity, evidence, and evidence types with which to generate the unique isolated storage file. In this example, we use the overload that takes the bitwise combination of the IsolatedStorageScope enumeration members and the domain and assembly evidence types, which we simply pass null for.

The scope input parameter here is interesting and requires some explanation. Isolated storage is always restricted to the user that was logged on and using the application when the store was created. However, it can also be restricted to the identity of the assembly, or to the assembly and application domain together.

When we call the GetStore method, it obtains a store that corresponds with the passed input parameters. When we pass the User and Assembly IsolatedStorageScope enumeration members, this acquires a store that can be shared between applications that use the same assembly, when used by the same user. Typically, this is allowed under the Intranet security zone, but not the Internet zone.

When we pass the User, Assembly, and Domain IsolatedStorageScope enumeration members, this acquires a store that can only be accessed by the user, when running the application that was used to create the store. This is the default and most common choice for most applications, and so these are the enumeration members that were used in our example.

Note that if we had wanted to enable the user to use roaming profiles but still be able to access their data from their isolated storage file, then we could have additionally included the Roaming enumeration member with the other members.

Returning to the HardDriveManager class now, in the SaveTextFile method, we first call the GetIsolatedStorageFile method to obtain the IsolatedStorageFile object. We then initialize an IsolatedStorageFileStream object with the filename specified by the filePath input parameter, the OpenOrCreate member of the FileMode enumeration and the storage file object.

Next, we initialize a StreamWriter object with the IsolatedStorageFileStream variable and write the data from the fileContents input parameter to the file specified in the stream using the Write method of the StreamWriter class. Again, we enclose this in a try...catch block and would typically log any exceptions that might be thrown from this method, but omit this here for brevity.

In the ReadTextFile method, we initialize the fileContents variable to an empty string and then obtain the IsolatedStorageFile object from the GetIsolatedStorageFile method. We verify that the file specified by the filePath input parameter actually exists before attempting to access it.

We then initialize an IsolatedStorageFileStream object with the filename specified by the filePath input parameter, the Open member of the FileMode enumeration, and the isolated storage file.

Next, we initialize a StreamReader object with the IsolatedStorageFileStream variable and read the data from the file specified in the stream into the fileContents input parameter using the Read method of the StreamReader object. Once again, this is all enclosed in a try...catch block, and finally, we return the fileContents variable with the data from the file.

In order to use it, we must first register the connection between the interface and our runtime implementation with our DependencyManager instance:

DependencyManager.Instance.Register<IHardDriveManager, HardDriveManager>();

Then we can expose a reference to the new IHardDriveManager interface from our BaseViewModel class and resolve it using the DependencyManager instance:

```
public IHardDriveManager HardDriveManager
{
  get { return DependencyManager.Instance.Resolve<IHardDriveManager>(); }
}
```

We can then use it to save files to, or read files from, isolated storage from any View Model:

```
HardDriveManager.SaveTextFile("UserPreferences.txt", "AutoLogIn:True");
...
string preferences = HardDriveManager.ReadTextFile("UserPreferences.txt");
```

Realistically, if we were to save user preferences in this way, they would typically be in an XML file, or in another format that is more easily parsed. However, for the purposes of this example, a plain string will suffice.

As well as saving and loading files in an isolated storage store, we can also delete them and add or remove folders to better organize the data. We can add further methods to our HardDriveManager class and IHardDriveManager interface to enable us to manipulate the files and folders from within the user's isolated storage store. Let's take a look at how we can do this now:

```
public void DeleteFile(string filePath)
{
  try
  {
    IsolatedStorageFile isolatedStorageFile = GetIsolatedStorageFile();
    isolatedStorageFile.DeleteFile(filePath);
  }
  catch { /*Log error*/ }
}
public void CreateFolder(string folderName)
{
  try
  {
    IsolatedStorageFile isolatedStorageFile = GetIsolatedStorageFile();
    isolatedStorageFile.CreateDirectory(folderName);
  }
  catch { /*Log error*/ }
}
public void DeleteFolder(string folderName)
{
  try
  {
    IsolatedStorageFile isolatedStorageFile = GetIsolatedStorageFile();
    isolatedStorageFile.DeleteDirectory(folderName);
  }
  catch { /*Log error*/ }
}
```

Quite simply, the DeleteFile method accesses the IsolatedStorageFile object from the GetIsolatedStorageFile method and then calls its DeleteFile method, passing in the name of the file to delete, which is specified by the filePath input parameter, within another try...catch block.

Likewise, the CreateFolder method obtains the IsolatedStorageFile object from the GetIsolatedStorageFile method and then calls its CreateDirectory method, passing in the name of the folder to create, specified by the folderName input parameter, within a try...catch block.

Similarly, the DeleteFolder method acquires the IsolatedStorageFile object by calling the GetIsolatedStorageFile method and then calls its DeleteDirectory method, passing in the name of the folder to delete, which is specified by the folderName input parameter, within another try...catch block.

Now, let's adjust our previous example to demonstrate how we can use this new functionality:

```
HardDriveManager.CreateFolder("Preferences");
HardDriveManager.SaveTextFile("Preferences/UserPreferences.txt",
    "AutoLogIn:True");
...
string preferences =
    HardDriveManager.ReadTextFile("Preferences/UserPreferences.txt");
...
HardDriveManager.DeleteFile("Preferences/UserPreferences.txt");
HardDriveManager.DeleteFile("Preferences");
```

In this extended example, we first create a folder named Preferences in the isolated storage store and then save the text file in that folder by prefixing the filename with the name of the folder and separated from the name with a forward slash.

At a later stage, we can then read back the contents of the file by passing in the same file path to the ReadTextFile method. If we need to clear up the store afterward, or if the file was temporary, we can delete it by passing the same file path to the DeleteFile method. Note that we must first delete the contents of a folder in the store before we can delete the folder itself. Also note that we can create subdirectories in the isolated storage store by chaining their names in the file path. For example, we can create a Login folder in the folder named Preferences by simply appending the subdirectory name to the end of the parent folder name and separating them with a forward slash again:

```
HardDriveManager.CreateFolder("Preferences");
HardDriveManager.CreateFolder("Preferences/Login");
HardDriveManager.SaveTextFile("Preferences/Login/UserPreferences.txt",
    "AutoLogIn:True");
```

This concludes our look into isolated storage files in .NET. But before we end this chapter, let's briefly turn our attention to discover how to access our various application versions and, indeed, what they all relate to.

Accessing application versions

In .NET, an application has a number of different versions, and so we have a number of alternative ways to access them. The version number that we discussed earlier and is displayed in the **Publish Version** section of the **Publish** tab of the project properties can be found using the ApplicationDeployment class from the System.Deployment DLL:

```
using System.Deployment.Application;
...
private string GetPublishedVersion()
{
    if (ApplicationDeployment.IsNetworkDeployed)
    {
        return
            ApplicationDeployment.CurrentDeployment.CurrentVersion.ToString();
    }
    return "Not network deployed";
}
```

Note that we need to verify that the application has actually been deployed before we can access the CurrentVersion property of the ApplicationDeployment class, otherwise an InvalidDeploymentException will be thrown. This means that we cannot attain the published version while debugging our WPF applications, and so we should return some other value instead in these instances.

In order to view the remaining application versions, we first need to access the assembly that we want to know the version of. The code that we use to access the assembly will depend on where in the code we currently are. For example, we typically want to display the version of the startup assembly, but we may want to access it from a View Model in the ViewModels project instead.

We have a number of ways of accessing assemblies, depending on where they are in relation to the calling code. If we want to access the startup assembly from the startup project, then we can use the <code>Assembly.GetExecutingAssembly</code> method after adding using statements for the following namespaces:

```
using System.Diagnostics;
using System.Reflection;
```

To access the same assembly from a different project, we can use the Assembly.GetEntryAssembly method. Alternatively, we can access the startup project's assembly from a different project (if that project was called from the startup assembly) using the Assembly.GetCallingAssembly method. For the remaining examples here, we'll use the GetEntryAssembly method.

In addition to the published version, we may also need to access the application's assembly or file versions. The assembly version that we can set in the **Assembly Information** dialog window, which is accessible from the **Application** tab of the project properties window, can be accessed from the assembly using the following code:

```
string assemblyVersion =
Assembly.GetEntryAssembly().GetName().Version.ToString();
```

The assembly version is used by the .NET Framework to load and link references to other assemblies at build and runtime. This is the version that is embedded when adding references to our projects in Visual Studio and if an incorrect version is found during a build, then an error will be raised.

Note that we can also set this value using the assembly level <code>AssemblyVersionAttribute</code> class in the <code>AssemblyInfo.cs</code> file of the project, which can be found in the **Properties** node of the project in the **Solution Explorer**.

Instead of converting the returned Version object to a string directly, we may prefer to access the individual components that make up the version number. They comprise the Major, Minor, Build, and Revision component values.

We could then chose to just output the Major and Minor components, along with the product name. Here's an example:

```
Version assemblyVersion = Assembly.GetEntryAssembly().GetName().Version;
string productName = FileVersionInfo.GetVersionInfo(
Assembly.GetEntryAssembly().Location).ProductName;
string output = $"{productName}: Version {version.Major}.{version.Minor}";
```

If we need the file version, which is used for non-ClickOnce deployments, we can pass the location of the assembly to the GetVersionInfo method of the FileVersionInfo class, as shown in the preceding code in the product name example, but access the FileVersion property instead:

```
string fileVersion = FileVersionInfo.GetVersionInfo(
   Assembly.GetEntryAssembly().Location).FileVersion;
```

Note that we can also set this value in the **Assembly Information** dialog window, or by using the assembly level <code>AssemblyFileVersionAttribute</code> class in the <code>AssemblyInfo.cs</code> file of the project. This version can be seen in the **Details** tab of the file properties dialog window in Windows Explorer:

Property	Value
Description -	
File description	CompanyName.ApplicationName
Туре	Application
File version	3.0.0.0
Product name	ApplicationName
Product version	3.0.0.0
Copyright	Copyright © 2016
Size	80.5 KB
Date modified	28/10/2016 12:54
Language	Language Neutral
Original filename	CompanyName.ApplicationName.exe

The product version that the assembly is distributed with can be accessed in a similar way:

```
string productVersion = FileVersionInfo.GetVersionInfo(
   Assembly.GetEntryAssembly().Location).ProductVersion;
```

Note that this version can also be seen in the **Details** tab of the file properties dialog window in Windows Explorer, along with the product name that we accessed earlier. Also note that in a WPF application, this value typically comes from the assembly file version.

Summary

In this chapter, we explored a number of different ways to deploy our WPF applications. We looked over the older **Setup Project** type and the **InstallShield Limited Edition Project** type, but focused primarily on the newer **ClickOnce** technology. We investigated how ClickOnce deployments are made and how we can safely store and access data in isolated storage. We ended by looking at a number of ways to access the various application versions available to us in .NET.

In the final chapter of this book, we'll take a look at a summary of what has been covered throughout this book and investigate what you can do next to continue this journey. We'll suggest a few possible ways that you could extend our application framework further and what you can do to advance your application development in general.

13 What Next?

In this book, we have discovered the MVVM architectural pattern and explored the process of developing a WPF application, while taking advantage of the pattern's **Separation of Concerns** and adhering to its principles. We investigated a number of different ways of communicating between the various application layers and structuring our code base.

Importantly, we considered a variety of ways of debugging our WPF applications and tracking down our coding problems. In particular, we revealed some tips and tricks to help us to identify the causes of our data binding errors. In addition, we also learned how viewing trace information can help us to detect problems, even after our applications have been deployed.

We moved on to investigate the benefit of utilizing an application framework and began designing and developing our own. We structured it in a way that did not tie our framework to any particular feature or technology and experimented with a variety of ways to encapsulate our required functionality.

We devoted a whole chapter to the essential art of data binding and took a detailed look at the creation of Dependency Properties and Attached Properties. We looked at setting Dependency Property metadata and were introduced to the crucial Dependency Property Setting Precedence List. We then covered both standard and hierarchical data templates and studied some interesting data binding examples.

Investigating the rich inheritance hierarchy of the built-in WPF controls enabled us to see how their functionality is built up from each successive base class in the hierarchy. This, in turn, enabled us to see that some controls are better to use in some situations than others. We also found out how to customize the built-in controls and considered how best to make our own controls. While the animation possibilities in a WPF application are practically endless, we investigated the more usable options, primarily focusing on the syntax used in XAML. We then added animation functionality directly into our application framework, where it could be used with little effort on the part of developers.

After turning our attention to the look of our applications, we investigated a number of techniques, such as borderless windows and adding shadows and glowing effects to more advanced methods to make our application stand out from the crowd. We also incorporated animations into our everyday controls, in order to bring about a sense of exclusivity to our applications.

We thoroughly investigated the data validation options that the .NET Framework offers us, primarily concentrating on the two available validation interfaces, and exploring a number of different ways of implementing them. We probed advanced techniques, such as multilevel validation and using data annotation attributes, and then added a complete validation system into our application framework.

We further extended our application framework with an asynchronous data operation system that was combined with a complete user feedback component, including an animated feedback display mechanism. We continued by investigating how we can provide in-application help and user preferences and implement work-heavy functions to save users time and effort.

We also explored a number of options that we can use to increase the performance of our WPF applications, from declaring our resources more efficiently to using lighter weight controls and more efficient methods of rendering drawings, images, and text. We saw more performant methods of data binding and discovered the importance of detaching event handlers.

Finally, we investigated the last task in any professional application's development, its deployment. We looked at a number of alternative methods but primarily focused on the most popular ClickOnce technology. We investigated how ClickOnce deployments are done and how we can safely store and access data in isolated storage. We ended with a number of ways to access the various application versions available to us in .NET.

Overall, we've covered a plethora of information that, together, will enable us to create efficient, visually appealing, highly usable, and highly productive applications in WPF. What's more, we've now got our own application framework that we can reuse for each new application that we create. *So, what's next?*

Turning your attention to future projects

You could apply the concepts and ideas from this book to other areas and continue to experiment and explore their effect in these new areas. For example, we've learned about Adorner objects, so you could use that new-found knowledge to implement some visual feedback for the common drag and drop functionality in the main window's adorner layer.

You could then further extend this idea, using what you've discovered about Attached Properties, and completely encapsulate this drag and drop functionality, enabling the developers that utilize your application framework to make use of this feature in a property-based manner.

For example, you could create a DragDropProperties class that declared Attached Properties, such as IsDragSource, IsDragTarget, DragEffects, DragDropType, and DropCommand, and it could be extended by your relevant Attached Property classes, such as a ListBoxProperties class.

You could then declare a BaseDragDropManager class to be used in the DragDropProperties class, that stitches everything together, by attaching and removing the appropriate event handlers, starting the drag and drop procedure, updating the cursor via the drag and drop effects as it moves across the screen, and executing the ICommand object assigned to the DropCommand Property.

This leads to a further area that could be extended. Not only can we handle UI events in Attached Properties, but we can also combine them to perform more complex functionality. For example, let's say that we have an Attached Property of type string, named Label.

When this property is set, it could apply a particular ControlTemplate element from resources to the current TextBox object's Template property. This template could display the text from this property in a secondary text element and therefore act as an internal label. When the TextBox object has a value, the label text element could be hidden via an IValueConverter implementation that extends our BaseVisibilityConverter class:

```
<TextBlock Text="{Binding (Attached:TextBoxProperties.Label),
RelativeSource={RelativeSource AncestorType=TextBox}, FallbackValue=''}"
Foreground="{Binding (Attached:TextBoxProperties.LabelColor),
RelativeSource={RelativeSource AncestorType=TextBox},
FallbackValue=#FF000000}" Visibility="{Binding Text,
RelativeSource={RelativeSource AncestorType=TextBox},
Converter={StaticResource StringToVisibilityConverter},
FallbackValue=Collapsed}" ... />
```

As shown in the preceding example, we could then declare another Attached Property, named LabelColor, of type Brush, which specifies the color to be used by the Label Attached Property when it is set. Note that if the LabelColor property is not set, then it will either use its default value if it is set, or the value specified in the FallbackValue property.

Improving our application framework

Another area that you can continue to work on is customizing our application framework further and adapting it to your individual requirements. With this in mind, you could continue to build up a complete collection of customized controls with a particular look and feel in an external resource file to use in all of your applications.

There are also many other examples provided throughout this book that could be easily extended. For example, you could update our DependencyManager class to enable multiple concrete classes to be registered for each interface.

Instead of using a Dictionary<Type, Type> object to store our registrations, you could define new custom objects. You could declare a ConcreteImplementation struct that has a Type property and an object array to hold any constructor input parameters that may be required for its initialization:

```
public ConcreteImplementation(Type type,
    params object[] constructorParameters)
{
    Type = type;
    ConstructorParameters = constructorParameters;
}
```

You could then declare a DependencyRegistration class that you could use to pair the interface type with the collection of concrete implementations:

```
public DependencyRegistration(Type interfaceType,
   IEnumerable<ConcreteImplementation> concreteImplementations)
{
   if (!concreteImplementations.All(c =>
      interfaceType.IsAssignableFrom(c.Type)))
      throw new ArgumentException("The System.Type object specified by the
      ConcreteImplementation.Type property must implement the interface type
      specified by the interfaceType input parameter.",
      nameof(interfaceType));
      ConcreteImplementations = concreteImplementations;
      InterfaceType = interfaceType;
}
```

In our DependencyManager class, you could change the type of the registeredDependencies field to a collection of this new DependencyRegistration type. The current Register and Resolve methods could then also be updated to use this new collection type.

Alternatively, you could include other common functionality that is contained within popular Dependency Injection and Inversion of Control containers, such as the automatic registering of concrete classes to interfaces at the assembly level. For this, you could use some basic reflection:

```
using System.Reflection;
. . .
public void RegisterAllInterfacesInAssemblyOf<T>() where T : class
{
  Assembly assembly = typeof(T).Assembly;
  IEnumerable<Type> interfaces =
    assembly.GetTypes().Where(p => p.IsInterface);
  foreach (Type interfaceType in interfaces)
    IEnumerable<Type> implementingTypes = assembly.GetTypes().
      Where (p => interfaceType.IsAssignableFrom(p) && !p.IsInterface);
    ConcreteImplementation[] concreteImplementations = implementingTypes.
      Select(t => new ConcreteImplementation(t, null)).ToArray();
    if (concreteImplementations != null && concreteImplementations.Any())
      registeredDependencies.Add(interfaceType, concreteImplementations);
  }
}
```

This method first accesses the assembly that contains the generic type parameter and then gets a collection of the interfaces in that assembly. It then iterates through the interface collection and finds a collection of classes that implements each interface, instantiating a ConcreteImplementation element with each. Each match is added into the registeredDependencies collection with its relating interface type.

In this way, you could pass any interface type from our Models, Managers, and ViewModels projects to automatically register all of the interfaces and concrete classes found inside their assemblies. There is a clear benefit to doing this in larger applications, as it will mean that you don't have to manually register each type:

```
private void RegisterDependencies()
{
    DependencyManager.Instance.ClearRegistrations();
    DependencyManagerAdvanced.Instance.
    RegisterAllInterfacesInAssemblyOf<IDataProvider>();
```

```
[595]
```

```
DependencyManagerAdvanced.Instance.
    RegisterAllInterfacesInAssemblyOf<IUiThreadManager>();
    DependencyManagerAdvanced.Instance.
    RegisterAllInterfacesInAssemblyOf<IUserViewModel>();
}
```

Additionally, you could declare another method that registers all types found in the assembly of the type specified by the generic type parameter T, where matches of implemented interfaces are found. This could be used during testing, so that you could just pass any type from the mock projects during testing, again saving time and effort:

```
DependencyManager.Instance.
RegisterAllConcreteImplementationsInAssemblyOf<MockUiThreadManager>();
```

As with all serious development projects, there is a need to test the code that makes up the code base. Doing so obviously helps to reduce the number of bugs in the application, but also alerts us when existing functionality has been broken, while adding new code. They also provide a safety net for refactoring, allowing us to continually improve our designs, while ensuring that existing functionality is not broken.

Therefore, one area that you could improve in the application would be to implement a full test suite. This book has explained a number of ways for us to swap out code during testing and this pattern can be easily extended. If a manager class uses some sort of resource that cannot be used during testing, then you can create an interface for it, add a mock class, and use the DependencyManager class to instantiate the relevant concrete implementation during runtime and testing.

Another area from the book that could be extended relates to our AnimatedStackPanel class. You could extract the reusable properties and animation code from this class to an AnimatedPanel base class so that it could service several different types of animated panels.

As suggested in Chapter 7, *Mastering Practical Animations*, you could then further extend the base class by exposing additional animation properties so that users of your panel could have more control over the animations that it provides. For example, you could add alignment, direction, duration, and/or animation type properties to enable users of your framework to use a wide variety of animation options.

These properties could be divided between the entry and exit animations, to enable independent control over them. By providing a wide variety of these additional properties in a base class, you can vastly simplify the process of adding new animated panels.

For example, you could add a new AnimatedWrapPanel, or perhaps an AnimatedColumnPanel, by simply extending the base class, and only have to implement the two MeasureOverride and ArrangeOverride methods in the new panel.

Logging errors

In a number of places in the code examples in this book, you may have seen Log error comments. In general, it is not only good practice to log errors, but it can also help you to track down bugs and improve the overall user experience of the users of your applications.

The easiest place to log errors to would be an Errors database and the minimum useful information fields that you'd want to store would include details of the current user, the time the error occurred, the exception message, the stack trace, and the assembly or area that it occurred in. This latter field can be found in the Module property of the exception's TargetSite property:

```
public Error(Exception exception, User createdBy)
{
    Id = Guid.NewGuid();
    Message = FlattenInnerExceptions(exception);
    StackTrace = exception.StackTrace;
    Area = exception.TargetSite.Module.ToString();
    CreatedOn = DateTime.Now;
    CreatedBy = createdBy;
}
```

Note the use of the custom FlattenInnerExceptions method that also outputs the messages from any inner exceptions that the thrown exception may contain. One alternative to building your own FlattenInnerExceptions method would be to simply save the ToString output of the exception, which will also contain details of any inner exceptions that it may contain, although it will also contain stack trace and other information as well.

Using online resources

As a final note, if you are not already familiar with the **Microsoft Docs** website, you really should acquaint yourself with it. It is maintained for the Microsoft developer community and includes everything from detailed APIs for their various languages, tutorial walkthroughs, and code examples, through to downloads of their software.

It can be found at https://docs.microsoft.com and should be the first place you look when questions arise over the members of the various classes in .NET. Should you not find your required information in their APIs, then you can ask questions in their forums and quickly receive answers from both the community and from Microsoft employees.

Another great developer resource is the **Stack Overflow** question and answer site for development professionals, where I still answer questions when I can find the time. It can be found online at http://stackoverflow.com/ and with answers often provided by the community within seconds, it really is hard to beat and is one of the best development forums around.

For further tutorials, check out the WPF Tutorial.net website at https://www.wpftutorial. net/, where you can find a wealth of tutorials, from basic to complex. And for interesting and novel downloadable custom controls and additional tutorials, try visiting the WPF section of the Code Project website at https://www.codeproject.com/kb/wpf/.

All that remains now is for me to wish you well with your future application development and your blossoming development careers.

Other Books You May Enjoy

If you enjoyed this book, you may be interested in these other books by Packt:



Hands-On Software Architecture with C# 8 and .NET Core 3 Francesco Abbruzzese, Gabriel Baptista

ISBN: 978-1-78980-093-7

- Overcome real-world architectural challenges and solve design consideration issues
- Apply architectural approaches like Layered Architecture, service-oriented architecture (SOA), and microservices
- Learn to use tools like containers, Docker, and Kubernetes to manage microservices
- Get up to speed with Azure Cosmos DB for delivering multi-continental solutions
- Learn how to program and maintain Azure Functions using C#
- Understand when to use test-driven development (TDD) as an approach for software development
- Write automated functional test cases for your projects

Other Books You May Enjoy



Hands-On RESTful Web Services with ASP.NET Core 3 Samuele Resca

ISBN: 978-1-78953-761-1

- Gain a comprehensive working knowledge of ASP.NET Core
- Integrate third-party tools and frameworks to build maintainable and efficient services
- Implement patterns using dependency injection to reduce boilerplate code and improve flexibility
- Use ASP.NET Core's out-of-the-box tools to test your applications
- Use Docker to run your ASP.NET Core web service in an isolated and selfcontained environment
- Secure your information using HTTPS and token-based authentication
- Integrate multiple web services using resiliency patterns and messaging techniques

Leave a review - let other readers know what you think

Please share your thoughts on this book with others by leaving a review on the site that you bought it from. If you purchased the book from Amazon, please leave us an honest review on this book's Amazon page. This is vital so that other potential readers can see and use your unbiased opinion to make purchasing decisions, we can understand what our customers think about our products, and our authors can see your feedback on the title that they have worked with Packt to create. It will only take a few minutes of your time, but is valuable to other potential customers, our authors, and Packt. Thank you!
Index

.NET application versions accessing 587, 588, 590 .NET controls, section selection emphasizing 299, 300, 301, 303, 304, 306, 307, 309 highlighting 292 indicating, in axes 292, 293, 295, 296, 298 .NET controls adapting, to fulfill requisites 278, 279, 281 data, displaying in spreadsheet 281, 282, 283, 285,287 default behavior, altering 268, 270, 271, 273, 274.275 GetLayoutClip method, using 265, 266, 267, 268 overridable methods, creating 276, 277, 278 protected methods, inspecting 259, 260, 262, 264 spreadsheet sections, styling 287, 288, 291

Α

adorner class 217, 218, 219, 221, 222 adorner layer 217 animations, storyboards controlling 326, 327, 329, 330, 331, 333, 334 animations, timelines investigating 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 319, 320 animations creating 341, 343, 344, 345, 346, 348, 351, 354, 355, 357 easing functions 334, 335, 336, 338, 339 performing, along with paths 340, 341 Storyboard class, using 324, 326 application codebase

structuring 23, 26, 28, 29, 32 application framework functionality dependency injection, implementing for 108, 109, 111, 113, 115, 116 encapsulating 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58 encapsulating, in base classes 58, 59, 60, 61 encapsulating, in converters 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81 encapsulating, in UI controls 70, 71, 73, 74 encapsulating, through extension method 65, 66, 67,68,70 encapsulating, through interfaces 61, 63, 64, 65 implementing, by connecting Views with View Models 117, 118 implementing, in services 105, 106, 107, 108 application framework about 52, 53 data access layer, separating 99, 101, 102, 103,104 errors, logging 597 improving 594, 595, 596 online resources, using for 597, 598 application performance improving 530, 531 application usability improving 512 improving, by enabling user preferences 514, 516,517 improving, by extending common courtesies 517, 518, 519, 520 improving, by producing in-application help 512, 513 improving, by unburdening end user 520, 521, 522 applications, styling about 358 default control styles, overriding for 359, 360,

361 with professional icons 361, 362 Async keyword discovering 490, 493 async methods 490 asynchrony building, into framework 493, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 505, 506, 507, 509, 510, 511 Attached Properties registering 160, 161, 162 used, for manipulating built-in WPF controls 243, 245 Await keywords discovering 490, 493

В

base classes declaration benefits 91 base classes application framework functionality, encapsulating 58, 59, 60, 61 borderless windows exploring 378, 379, 380, 383, 384, 385, 386 built-in WPF controls about 187, 188 adorner class 217, 218, 219, 221, 222 Canvas class 188, 190 combining 246, 247, 249, 250 ContentControl class 208, 209 ContentPresenter class 209, 210 custom layout behavior 205, 206, 208 DockPanel class 190, 192 Grid panel 193, 194, 195, 199 investigating 183 ItemsControl class 211, 212, 213, 215, 216, 217 layout system 186 manipulating, with attached properties 243, 245 modifying 223 modifying, with Trigger classes 232, 234, 235, 237 StackPanel 199, 201 styling 223, 224 styling, by merging Resources 228, 229, 231

styling, with Resources 225, 226, 227 templating 237, 239, 240, 241, 243 UniformGrid panel 201, 202, 203 using, for inheriting application framework abilities 184, 185 WrapPanel panel 203, 205

С

Caliburn.Micro 53 Canvas class 188.190 changing dependency property values catching 48 ClickOnce functionality deployments, securing 579, 580 storage, isolating 581, 584, 585, 586 utilizing 577, 578, 579 collections virtualizing 562, 563, 564 composite visuals reusing 366, 367 container timeline 324 ContentControl class 208, 209 ContentPresenter class 209.210 control-related performance, benefits conclusions, drawing 531, 532, 533, 535, 536, 537, 538, 540, 541, 543, 545, 546 converters application framework functionality, encapsulating 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81 exploiting 49, 50, 51 custom application framework constructing 82, 84, 85, 86, 87, 90, 91, 92, 94, 95, 97, 99 custom built-in WPF controls creating 250, 251, 253, 256, 258 custom layout behavior 205, 206, 208

D

data access layer (DAL) about 8 separating 99, 101, 102, 103, 104 data annotation attributes 443, 444, 445, 446, 447 data binding about 126, 127, 556

Binding class, exploring 131, 132, 133 collections 558 data bound values, converting 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147 data-bound traffic, directing 134 dependency properties, registering 557 enumeration values, converting 179, 180, 182 errors, fixing 557 from control templates 140, 141 invalid characters, avoiding 130, 131 path syntax 127, 128, 129, 130 priority, setting 139, 140 source, setting 135, 136, 137, 138 sources, modifying 141, 142 to multiple binding sources 148, 149, 151 data objects shrinking 559, 560, 561, 562 data templates **about** 168, 169, 171, 172 controlling 172, 174 hierarchical data, displaying 175, 176, 177, 178 data-bound values changing dependency property values, catching 48 converters, exploiting 49, 51 debugging 46, 47 outputting, to UI controls 47 data visualizing 386, 389, 391, 394, 396, 398, 400, 401 default control styles overriding 360, 361 delegates using 20, 22, 23 dependency injection implementing 108, 109, 111, 113, 115, 116 **Dependency Properties** about 152, 153, 154 Attached Properties, registering 160, 161, 162 metadata, setting 155, 156, 157, 158 read-only Dependency Properties, declaring 159,160 value-setting sources, prioritizing 162, 164, 165, 166,168 **Dependency Property Identifier** 153

Dependency Property Setting Precedence List 162 DockPanel class 190, 192

Ε

easing functions 334, 335, 336, 338, 339 error template customizing 454, 456, 457 events handling 564, 565, 567 extension methods application framework functionality, encapsulating through 65, 66, 67, 69, 70

G

glowing effects creating 370, 372 graphical user interface (GUI) 7 Graphics Processing Unit (GPU) 525 Grid class, properties IsSharedSizeScope attached property 196 ShowGridLines property 196 Grid panel 193, 194, 195, 198, 199

Η

hardware rendering 525, 526, 527

IDataErrorInfo interface implementing 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 422, 423, 424, 426, 427, 428, 429, 431, 433, 434 images performance, enhancing 546, 547, 548 indirect property targeting 128 inner exceptions discovering 43, 44, 46 INotifyDataErrorInfo interface 434, 437, 438, 440, 441,442 InstallShield Limited Edition project working with 574, 575, 576, 577 interfaces application framework functionality, encapsulating through 61, 63, 64, 65 ItemsControl class 211, 212, 213, 215, 216, 217

Κ

key-frame animations 320, 321, 322, 324

L

layout pass 531 legacy behavior synchronizing with 463, 465 levels of validation varying 447, 449 light reflecting 368, 370

Μ

measure pass 186 model-view-controller (MVC) 9 Model-View-View Model (MVVM) about 8,211 benefits 10, 11 data binding 10 downsides 11 ICommand interface, using 15, 17, 18 misconceptions 12, 13 Models 8, 9 UI events functionality, implementing 13, 15 View Models 9 Views 10 multiple borders declaring 364 multiple threads utilizing 489 MVVM Light Toolkit 53

Ν

null-coalescing operator 97

0

Object-Oriented Programming (OOP) 52 objects freezing 528, 529, 530 freezing, benefit 528 One-Way mode 134 output window utilizing 35, 36

Ρ

Panel class 187 PathFigure object using 340 PathSegment object using 340 Personal Information Exchange (PFX) file 580 Prism 53 professional icons using 361, 362

R

read-only Dependency Properties declaring 159 reflections casting 375, 377 resources making efficient 527, 528 Rich Text Format (RTF) 576

S

separation of concerns (SoC) 8 shadows throwing 363, 364 Stack Overflow URL 598 StackPanel 199, 201 Storyboard class using 324, 326 Strong Name Key (SNK) file 580

Т

textual output Hyperlink elements, using 553, 555, 556 performance, enhancing 548, 550, 552 Trigger classes used, for modifying built in WPF controls 231, 232, 234, 235, 237 Two-Way binding 134

U

UI controls application framework functionality, encapsulating 70, 71, 73, 74

[605] -

enhancing 402, 405, 406, 408, 409, 412, 414 values, outputting to 47 UI-based validation errors avoiding 457, 458, 460, 461, 463 Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) 228 UniformGrid panel 201, 202, 203 user feedback providing 477, 479, 480, 481, 482, 484, 485, 487, 488, 489 user interface (UI) 8, 183

V

validation interfaces IDataErrorInfo interface, implementing 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 422, 423, 424, 426, 427, 428, 429, 431, 433, 434 INotifyDataErrorInfo interface 434, 437, 438, 440, 441, 442 using 417 validation rules using 416 validation techniques incorporating 449, 450, 452, 454 validation amalgamating 465, 466, 468, 470, 472, 473, 475, 476 View Models locating 119, 120, 122, 123, 124 Views, connecting with 117, 118 Views connecting, with View Models 117, 118 visuals amalgamating 465, 466, 468, 470, 472, 473, 475, 476 layering 363, 372, 375

W

Windows applications installing 568, 573 InstallShield Limited Edition project, working with 574, 575, 576, 577 Setup Project 569, 570, 571, 572, 573 Windows Presentation Foundation (WPF) about 7 events, handling in attached properties 18, 20 future projects 593, 594 ICommand interface, using 15, 17, 18 Windows Setup Project 569, 570, 571, 572, 573 WPF Application Framework (WAF) 53 WPF presentation trace sources enabling 37, 38, 39, 41, 42 WPF Tutorial.net **URL** 598 WrapPanel panel 203, 205